

Vol 8

File No. 6723.

CORRESPONDENCE.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS,
SUB-DEPARTMENT: HARBOR BOARD,
BALTIMORE, MD.

Baltimore, August 26th, 1907.

Mr. W. Cabell Bruce,
City Solicitor.

Dear Sir:-

In an interview had Saturday with his Honor, the Mayor, he instructed me to secure from you an opinion in relation to the following:

4901

Assuming that the United Railways & Electric Company have sole right to the use of O'Donnell's wharf, is there any obligation on the part of the City to rebuild or maintain the bulkhead on the west side of said wharf south from the new south line of Pratt Street to the present north line of Wood Street, (if extended westerly). Owing to the conduits and water pipe in the bed of O'Donnell's wharf and the fear of disturbing the switchboards by settlement of any kind, the cost of constructing new bulkhead will be greater than for any of the other piers. If the City is to receive no revenue from the money thus expended, why should they put themselves to this expense to benefit an individual or corporation, getting nothing in return.

Yours respectfully,

(Signed) O. F. Lackey,
Harbor Engineer.

File No. 6723.

OPINION.

LAW DEPARTMENT.

Baltimore, August 26, 1907.

O. F. Lackey, Esq.,
Harbor Engineer,
City.

Dear Sir:-

4902

Your letter of the 26th inst. has been received by me. I deny, as I have heretofore repeatedly denied, that the Street Railway Company has the sole right to the use of O'Donnell's Wharf. On the