

File No. 6562 Continued.

head wires in the vicinity of Camden, Pratt and Eutaw Sts. must be abandoned 150 days from May 1st and advised us to arrange accordingly.

We took this matter up with our construction department and they are working on the same, expecting to have necessary changes made inside of the requirements of the Commission to which the B. & O. Railroad Co. called our attention. Mr. Young advises me that the pole referred to was subject to a previous notice from your department which he never received and consequently could not furnish us with the information.

Kindly investigate and advise us if it is not practical to embrace pole referred to in your notice of May 1st instructing that all overhead wires in the neighborhood of Pratt, Camden & Eutaw Sts. be abandoned 150 days from date referred to.

Awaiting, we trust, a favorable reply, we are,

Yours respectfully,

SWIFT AND COMPANY,

EUTAW STREET MARKET.

per W. F. Smith,

Manager.

File No. 6562.

OPINION.

LAW DEPARTMENT.

Baltimore, July 23, 1907.

Hon. W. Cabell Bruce,
City Solicitor.

Dear Sir:-

98 I beg to report as follows upon the inquiry contained in the letter of the Chief Engineer of the Electrical Commission, under date of July 17th, relative to the right of the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad Company to use the City streets, or the City conduits for the purpose of supplying an electrical current to Swift and Company, at Eutaw and Camden streets. It is very clear that the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad Company has no such legal right, as such use of the City streets is absolutely foreign to the purposes and uses authorized by its charter. I called up the Legal Department of the Baltimore and Ohio and asked