

File No. 5768 Continued.

OPINION.

them respectively, provided that they do not exceed the aggregate appropriations for salaries made to them respectively by the Ordinance of Estimates for 1907.

4300

I am dealing with your question, of course, solely in its legal aspects. If it is deemed inexpedient from a public point of view as in my judgment it is except, perhaps, under extraordinary conditions, for increases in salaries to be made after the Ordinance of Estimates has been matured, the only way that I can think of in which this idea could be given legal effect would be by embodying the itemized estimates of salaries, furnished by municipal officials, in the body of the Ordinance of Estimates itself.

Truly yours,

(Signed) W. Cabell Bruce,  
City Solicitor.

.....

File No. 5769.

CORRESPONDENCE.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC IMPROVEMENTS.

SUB-DEPARTMENT OF CITY ENGINEER.

Baltimore, April 17, 1907.

Hon. W. Cabell Bruce,  
City Solicitor.

Dear Sir:-

4301

Attached from Mr. Gladfelter, of the Baltimore Terminal Company, is respectfully referred. These people cannot proceed with their work without changing the awning at the corner of Fremont and McHenry Streets. Mr. Gladfelter tells me that he has tried in every way to come to an agreement with the owner of the awning without result. From what I can learn, it is a clear case of hold-up.

Is there anything that I can do, or anything that I should do, in this connection, in order to help these people out?

Very truly yours,

(Signed) B. T. Fendall,  
City Engineer.