File Bo. 4873 Continued.

OPINION.

3612

3613

The wall was originally four inches in thickness and the Inspector of Buildings was in doubt whether he could grant a permit for the erection of such a wall in the fact of the provision of law requiring walls to be at least nine inches in thickness. I called his attention to Section 90 of Article 50 of the Baltimore City Code of 1893, which provides that "any building now erected that the owner may desire to improve and build upon, and that may not have the required thickness of walls as herein specified, the Inspector of Buildings, after examination may, in his discretion, allow such improvement to be made if he considers it of sufficient strength so to do".

The Inspector of Buildings has had the examination made and advises me, under date of June 16th, that a four inch wall would have ample strength, if laid in cement.

Under these circumstances, I am of opinion that the Inspector of Buildings is authorized to issue the permit for the erection of four inch wall.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) Sylvan Hayes Lauchheimer. Assistant City Solicitor.

File No. 4873

LAW DEPARTMENT.

Baltimore, June 18, 1906.

Edward D. Preston, Esq.,

Inspector of Buildings.

Replying to your letter of the 7th inst. asking for my ad-Dear Sarie vice with reference to a certain four inch wall involved in the case of Hall ws. Kramer, in the Circuit Court No. 2 of Baltimore City, I enclose herewith a report dated the 16th inst, from Mr. Lauchheimer to Please follow the instructions contained in Mr. Lauchheimer's Truly yours, Feports.

(Signed) W. Cebell Bruce. City Solicisor.

3614