OPINION.

File No. 3787 Continued.

diction of the Court, after the passage of a decree. This property not being in that situation, I am of opinion that it should not be withdrawn

2955 from sale, but that the sale should take place. There is, of course, a bare possibility that a decree may be passed assuming jurisdiction of the property before the date of sale, which is December 18th next. From the state of the proceedings, however, I do not think this likely.

I will, however, on December 17th examine the proceedings again, and, if a decree is passed between the present time and then, I will notify Mr. Williams not to sell the property. Unless he hears from me to the contrary, however, he can go ahead with the sale. I told Mr.

2956 Hartogensis that I would ask Mr. Williams to notify him whether or not the sale would take place, so, in answering Mr. Williams' letter, will you kindly ask him to write Mr. Hartogensis, in accordance with my promise?

Very truly yours,
(Signed) Albert C. Ritchie,
Assistant City Solicitor.

File No. 3787.

LAW DEPARTMENT.

Baltimore, November 25, 1905.

Henry Williams, Esq.,
Collector.

Dear Sir:-

Referring to your letter of the 21st inst., with reference to the notice received by you from B. H. Hartogensis, attorney, with reference to the tax sale of Nos. 414 and 416 Falls Street, I beg leave to enclose herewith a report dated the 24th inst., which I have just re-

2957 ceived from Mr. Ritchie, Assistant City Solicitor, in which you will find, I think, a satisfactory answer to your letter. Please note especially the concluding part of Mr. Ritchie's letter.

Truly yours,
(Signed) W. Cabell Bruce,
City Solicitor.

.....