File No. 3602. CORRESPONDENCE.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY-IMPROVEMENTS,
SUB-DEPARTMENT OFFICE INSPECTOR OF BUILDINGS,
CITY HALL.

Baltimore, October 12,1905.

Hon. W. Cabell Bruce,
City Solicitor.

Dear Sir:-

2905 Complaints have come to me about annoyance and damage from Stacks and Chimneys which throw out smoke and cinders, and the Health Department has referred several to me for action.

Will you kindly inform me if the Ordinance No. 217 approved March 6th, 1905, nullifies Section 3 of Article 9 or Ordinance No. 49 approved April 11th, 1900, or is it my duty to proceed as heretofore.

Yours very truly,
(Signed) Edward D. Preston,
Inspector of Buildings.

OPINION.

File No. 3602.

LAW DEPARTMENT.

Baltimore,-October 12, 1905.

Edward D. Preston, Esq.,
Inspector of Buildings.

Dear Sir:-

2906 The two ordinances referred to by you in your favor of the 12th inst. cover two different fields of legislation.

Ordinance No. 49, approved April 11, 1900, provided for the use of spark catchers so as to prevent the emission of cinders from certain chimneys &c. Ordinance No. 217, approved March 6, 1905, was intended to prohibit the emission of dense or black smoke from certain chimneys or smoke stacks. The one ordinance, therefore, does not nullify the other, but both should be treated as subsisting ordinances.

Truly yours,
(Signed) W. Cabell Bruce,
City Solicitor.

.....