File No. 3528 Continued. CORRESPONDENCE.

to your sub-station on Sharp Street; also a more recent copy of tests handed into this office.

I would respectfully suggest that the position you take in your letter of the 16th inst., will not improve the condition of these cables. It seems to me that while you may raise a perfectly legitimate legal question, that the stand you take may result disastrously to your cable equipment.

Respectfully,

(Signed) Charles E. Phelps, Jr.,

Chief Engineer.

File No. 3528. OPINION.

LAW DEPARTMENT.

Baltimore. Sept. 22, 1905.

Charles E. Phelps, Jr. Esq.,

Chief Engineer of the Electrical Commission,

City.

Dear Sir:-

I am in receipt of your favor of the 21st inst., enclosing me certain correspondence between yourself and Mr. Comer, General Manager of the Maryland Telephone and Telegraph Company, with reference to certain electrolytic action of which he complains.

2877

This Department does not recognize any legal responsibility upon the part of the City for the injury done by electrolysis in its conduit system to any tenant. However, pursuing the same spirit which led us in the former instance to take up the subject of electrolysis with the Street Railway Company, I would advise you to bring the complaint of Mr. Comer to the cognizance of the Street Railway Company, and to ask them to take such steps as may be necessary to redress the grievance.

Truly yours,

(Signed) W. Cabell Bruce,

City Solicitor.

.....