File No. 1254 Continued.

OPINION.

LAW DEPARTMENT.

Baltimore, June 16, 1904.

Edward D. Preston, Esq.,
Inspector of Buildings.

Dear Sir:-

1618

1619

Replying to your favor of the 16th inst., I beg leave to say that you cannot rightfully withhold permits for buildings in the dock and pier district because the City proposes to acquire all the property in that district for the water front improvement.

The fact that such buildings would enhance the price that the City would have to pay for acquiring all the property in the dock and pier district is a fact that you cannot properly take into consideration. The right of owners to use their property for any lawful purpose that they see fit until the property is actually condemned by public authority is one that cannot be questioned upon any legal ground.

Truly yours,

(Signed) W. Cabell Bruce, City Solicitor.

F11e No. 1275.

AT THE RESERVE TO SERVE TO SER

CORRESPONDENCE.

MAYOR'S OFFICE,
BALTIMORE.

Baltimore, June 20, 1904.

Hon. Wm. Cabell Bruce,

Dear Sir:-

His Honor, the Mayor, requests that you kindly pass upon the accompanying proposed ordinance, adding a Section to Article 33 of the City Code, relative to "Dog Laws."

As these laws have been, we understand, repealed and re-enacted several times since the Code of 1893 was compiled, and this proposed

1620