File No. 488 Continued. CORRESPONDENCE.

obtainable and will lay said crossing upon concrete.

I am satisfied it will make a handsome and everlasting piece of work.

751 If however you cannot see your way clear to permit us to use brick we will be much indebted for the plans of each crossing that we might have the flag prepared this winter thereby preventing delay in the spring.

Most respectfully,

(Signed) Chas. A. Hook, Jr.

File No. 488. OPINION.

LAW DEPARTMENT.

Baltimore, December 4, 1903.

B. T. Fendall, Esq.,

City Engineer.

Dear Sir:-

752 Referring to your favor of the 3rd inst., with reference to the attached letter from Mr. Charles A. Hook, Jr., I beg leave to say that I do not think that you can, in the face of Section 38 of Article 48 of the City Code, legally lay a vitrified brick crossing.

753 The terms "flag stones" do not include such a vitrified brick crossing, because by the section "flag stones" are required to be gneiss granite or other hard stone; nor do I consider the terms "stepping stones" to include such a crossing. As I understand it, by "stepping stones" in the section are meant upright stones at intervals, placed to enable persons using the streets to walk dry shod over crossings where there is apt to be a considerable depth of water during rainfalls.

Truly yours,

(Signed) W. Cabell Bruce,

City Solicitor.

***