

December term 1808

the said General Court might proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid as of the matter aforesaid by the said John and Margaret Hay above for error assigned and that the said Judgment might be in all things affirmed and soforth. But because the said General Courts were not advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises day therefore was given to the parties aforesaid before the said General Court until the second Tuesday of May then next to hear their Judgment of and upon the premises because the said Court thereof were not then advised <sup>to</sup>

At which said second Tuesday of May being the tenth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and three came again into the said General Court the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid But because the said General Courts were not then advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises day therefore was further given to the parties aforesaid (by consent of the said parties and their attorneys aforesaid) before the said General Court until the second Thursday of October then next to hear their Judgment of and upon the premises because the said Court thereof were not then advised <sup>to</sup>

At which said second Tuesday of October being the eleventh day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and three came again into the said General Court the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid But because the said General Courts were not then advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises day therefore was further given to the parties aforesaid (by consent of the said parties and their attorneys aforesaid) before the said General Court until the second Tuesday of May then next to hear their Judgment of and upon the premises because the said Court thereof were not then advised <sup>to</sup>

At which said second Tuesday of May being the eighth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and four came again into the said General Court the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid But because the said General Courts were not then advised to give their Judgment of and upon the premises day therefore was further given to the parties aforesaid (by consent of the said parties and their attorneys aforesaid) before the said General Court until the second Tuesday of October then next to hear their Judgment of and upon the premises because the said Court thereof were not then advised <sup>to</sup>

At which said second Tuesday of October being the ninth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and four came again into the said General Court as were the said John and Margaret Hay by Nicholas Bruce and Thomas Kell their attorneys in the said Walter Comer by his attorney aforesaid.

But