

June 1810. Jyl.	24 James Groome Charged	10.	Appeal Quod rule Argent. Judgment affirmed in the Court to Appellee nisi
6.	Court of Appellee \$12.65.	Kent	
Ms. W.B.	Philip Wallis's Depu	10.	June 9 <sup>th</sup> 1812
J.C.	Hugh Boyd Charged	19.	Jamd Judgm <sup>t</sup> affirmed nisi - the Court assess the damages to \$ by way of int <sup>t</sup> .
7.	Court of Appellee \$20.11 <sup>7</sup> / <sub>10</sub>	Guil	
Ms. W.B.	Hugh Steele, use of Ju: Sudwell	19.	June 9 <sup>th</sup>
H. M.	Charles S. Powell Charged	32	Jamd. Deft. D <sup>th</sup> sug <sup>t</sup> June 1811.
8.	Court of Appellee \$16.60 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub>	D. A.	Not to be bro <sup>t</sup> forward -
J.H.	Samuel T. Wright, use of Eliz: D <sup>th</sup> of Mrs	32.	
Dec. 1810. J.C.	Richard Adams Charged	45.	Appeal Quod rule Argent. Judgment aff <sup>d</sup> nisi.
9.	Court of Appellee \$15.62 <sup>7</sup> / <sub>10</sub>	Guil	
Ms. W.B.	Joshua Lowe's Depu	46.	June 9 <sup>th</sup>
Ms. W.B. W.B.	John Stewart & others Charged	48.	Jamd Judgment Court on Curia a. v.
10.	Court of Appellee \$15.62 <sup>7</sup> / <sub>10</sub>	Sou	
Ms. E.H. Ms. D.L.	Samuel Holbrook, wife others	48.	No. 4