

**Regulatory Review and Evaluation Act  
Evaluation Report Form  
2003 – 2011**

Chapter Codification:

Chapter Name:

Authority:

Date Originally Adopted or Last Amended:

Purpose:

**A. Review Criteria.** (State Government Article, §10-132(1)(i), Annotated Code of Maryland; COMAR 01.01.2003.20E)

- (1) Do the regulations continue to be necessary for the public interest?  Yes  No
- (2) Do the regulations continue to be supported by statutory authority and judicial opinion?  Yes  No
- (3) Are the regulations obsolete or otherwise appropriate for amendment or repeal?  Yes  No
- (4) Are the regulations effective in accomplishing their intended purpose?  Yes  No

**B. Outreach and Research.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(i) – (viii), Annotated Code of Maryland)

- (1) List any stakeholders invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (2) List any other affected agencies that were invited to review the regulations and provide a summary of their participation in and input into the review process.

- (3) Describe the process used to solicit public comment, including:
- (a) any notice published in the Maryland Register;
  - (b) any notice published in newspapers of general circulation;
  - (c) any notice posted on the unit's website or on a Statewide website created for units to post notices of regulations review;
  - (d) any mailing by the adopting authority; and
  - (e) any public hearing held.

The State Archives used a variety of traditional print and electronic media to insure wide-spread public notice including the Maryland Register (33:16 Md. R 1360), the Daily Record, the Annapolis Capital, posting on the State Archives' web site; and traditional and electronic mailings to stakeholders, State agencies, the Judiciary, Legislative Reference, county and local officials, and the University of Maryland System and other public colleges and universities.

- (4) Provide summaries of:
- (a) all comments received from stakeholders, affected units, or the public; and
  - (b) the adopting authority's responses to those comments.

The State Archives received no comments concerning proposed amendments to these regulations.

- (5) Describe any interunit conflict reviewed and the resolution or proposed resolution of that conflict.

The State Archives does not anticipate any interunit conflict.

- (6) Provide a summary of any relevant scientific data gathered.

N/A

- (7) Provide a summary of any relevant information gathered related to the regulations of other states or the federal government.

N/A

- (8) Provide a summary of any other relevant information gathered.

N/A

C. Under COMAR 01.01.2003.20E(3), does the agency have any existing policy statements, guidelines, or standards being applied or enforced which should be promulgated as regulations, in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act?  Yes  No

Has the agency promulgated all regulations required by recent legislation?  Yes  No

Provide explanations of the above responses, as needed:

D. **Actions Needed.** (State Government Article, §10-135(a)(2)(ix) – (xi), Annotated Code of Maryland)  
( check all that apply)

- no action
- amendment
- repeal
- repeal and adopt new regulations
- reorganization

Summary:

County, local and municipal governments, local historical and genealogical societies and the general public have found these regulations helpful in establishing local archives as repositories for the permanently valuable records of the executive and legislative branches of county, local or municipal governments.

Person performing review: Kim C. Moreno  
Title: Director, Appraisal Program