

Maryland Historical Trust

Maryland Inventory of Historic Properties number: BA-2694

Name: Bozzi / Cub Hill Rd. over Falls to Gunpowder Falls

The bridge referenced herein was inventoried by the Maryland State Highway Administration as part of the Historic Bridge Inventory, and SHA provided the Trust with eligibility determinations in February 2001. The Trust accepted the Historic Bridge Inventory on April 3, 2001. The bridge received the following determination of eligibility.

MARYLAND HISTORICAL TRUST	
Eligibility Recommended _____	Eligibility Not Recommended <u>X</u>
Criteria: <u> </u> A <u> </u> B <u> </u> C <u> </u> D	Considerations: <u> </u> A <u> </u> B <u> </u> C <u> </u> D <u> </u> E <u> </u> F <u> </u> G <u> </u> None
Comments: _____ _____ _____	
Reviewer, OPS: <u>Anne E. Bruder</u>	Date: <u>3 April 2001</u>
Reviewer, NR Program: <u>Peter E. Kurtze</u>	Date: <u>3 April 2001</u>

MARYLAND INVENTORY OF HISTORIC BRIDGES
HISTORIC BRIDGE INVENTORY
MARYLAND STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION/
MARYLAND HISTORICAL TRUST

MHT No. BA-2694

SHA Bridge No. B 0221 Bridge name Cub Hill Road over Tributary to Gunpowder Falls

LOCATION:

Street/Road name and number [facility carried] Cub Hill Road

City/town 0.2 mi E of Cromwell Bridge Road. Vicinity _____

County Baltimore

This bridge projects over: Road Railway Water Land

Ownership: State County Municipal Other

HISTORIC STATUS:

Is bridge located within a designated historic district? Yes No

National Register-listed district National Register-determined-eligible district

Locally-designated district Other _____

Name of district _____

BRIDGE TYPE:

Timber Bridge _____:

Beam Bridge _____ Truss -Covered Trestle Timber-And-Concrete

Stone Arch Bridge

Metal Truss Bridge

Movable Bridge _____:

Swing Bascule Single Leaf Bascule Multiple Leaf

Vertical Lift Retractable _____ Pontoon _____

Metal Girder _____:

Rolled Girder _____ Rolled Girder Concrete Encased _____

Plate Girder _____ Plate Girder Concrete Encased _____

Metal Suspension

Metal Arch

Metal Cantilever

Concrete :

Concrete Arch _____ Concrete Slab Concrete Beam Rigid Frame

Other _____ Type Name _____

DESCRIPTION:

Setting: Urban _____ Small town _____ Rural X

Describe Setting: Bridge B0221 carries Cub Hill Road in a north-south direction over a tributary of Gunpowder Falls which flows in an easterly direction.

Describe Superstructure And Substructure:

Bridge B0221 is a two span continuous reinforced concrete slab bridge. The span lengths are 12.5 feet and the overall length is 27.0 feet, the clear roadway width is 19.5 feet. The bridge was built in 1920 and rehabilitated in 1971, 1985, 1987, and 1988.

The 1993 inspection report described the bridge as in good condition. The underside of the deck exhibits minor surface defects and the parapets have minor cracking and light efflorescence. The concrete portions of the south abutment is satisfactory but the masonry needs repointing, the stone masonry portion of the pier is weathered and worn, but sound. The north abutment exhibits only minor cracking.

Discuss Major Alterations:

In 1971 the superstructure was replaced, and between the 1985 and 1987 inspections the SW wingwall was replaced. In 1988, concrete jackets were installed at the pier and the south abutment. In addition, a new concrete wingwall was installed at the east side of the north abutment.

HISTORY:

WHEN was bridge built (actual date or date range) original bridge built 1920; reconstructed 1971, 1986, 1988

This date is: Actual X Estimated _____

Source of date: Plaque ___ Design plans ___ County bridge files/inspection form X

Other (specify) _____

WHY was the bridge built?

The need for a more efficient transportation network and increased load capacity in the decades following World War I.

WHO was the designer?

State Highway Administration

WHO was the builder?

Unknown

WHY was the bridge altered?

Accommodations were needed for increased load limits, vehicle width and traffic volumes, as well as correcting deterioration of the bridge.

WAS this bridge built as part of an organized bridge-building campaign?

As part of an effort by the State to increase load capacity on secondary roads during the 1920s.

SURVEYOR/HISTORIAN ANALYSIS:

This bridge may have National Register significance for its association with:

- A - Events _____ B- Person _____
 C- Engineering/architectural character _____

This bridge does not have National Register significance.

Was the bridge constructed in response to significant events in Maryland or local history?

Reinforced concrete slab bridges are a twentieth century structure type, easily adapted to the need for expedient engineering solutions. Reinforced concrete technology developed rapidly in the early twentieth century with early recognition of the potential for standardized design. The first U.S. attempt to standardize concrete design specifications came in 1903-04 with the formation of the Joint Committee on Concrete and Reinforced Concrete of the American Society of Civil Engineers.

Maryland's road and bridge improvement programs mirrored economic cycles. The first road improvement program of the State Roads Commission was a 7 year program, starting with the Commission's establishment in 1908 and ending in 1915. Due to World War I, the period from 1916-1920 was one of relative inactivity; only roads of first priority were built. Truck traffic resulting from war-related factories and military installations generated new, heavy traffic unanticipated by the builders of the early road system. From 1920 to 1929, numerous highway improvements occurred in response to the increase in Maryland motor vehicles from 103,000 in 1920 to 320,000 in 1929, with emphasis on the secondary system of feeder roads which moved traffic from the primary roads built before World War I. After World War I, Maryland's bridge system also was appraised as too narrow and structurally inadequate for the increasing traffic, with plans for an expanded bridge program to be handled by the Bridge Division, set up in 1920. In 1920 under Chapter 508 of the Acts of 1920 the State issued a bond of \$3,000,000.00 for road construction; the primary purpose of these monies was to meet the state obligations involving the construction of rural post roads. The secondary purpose of these monies was to fund [with an equal sum from the counties] the building of lateral roads. The number of hard surfaced roads on the state system grew from 2000 in 1920 to 3200 in 1930. By 1930, Maryland's primary system had become inadequate to the huge freight trucks and volume of passenger cars in use, with major improvements occurring in the late 1930s. Most improvements to local roads waited until the years after World War II.

With a diverse topographical domain encompassing numerous small and large crossings, Maryland engineers quickly recognized the need for expedient design and construction.

In the early years, there was a need to replace the numerous single lane timber bridges. Walter Wilson Crosby, Chief Engineer stated in 1906, "The general plan has been to replace these [wood bridges] with pipe culverts or concrete bridges and thus forever do way with the further expense of the maintenance of expensive and dangerous wooden structures". Within a few years, readily constructed standardized bridges of concrete were being built throughout the state.

The creation of standard plans and a description of their use was first announced in the 1912-15 Reports of the State Roads Commission whereby bridges spanning up to 36 feet were to use standardized designs.

Published on a single sheet, the 1912 Standard Plans included those structures that were amenable to such an approach: slab spans, (deck) girder spans, box culverts, box bridges, abutments, and piers

(State Roads Commission 1912). Slab spans, with lengths of 6 to 16 feet in two foot increments, featured a solid parapet that was integrated into the slab, with a roadway of 22 feet.

In the Report for the years 1916-1919, a revision of the standard plans was noted:

During the four years covered by this report, it has been found necessary to revise our standard plans for culverts and bridges, to take care of the increased tonnage which they have been forced to carry. Army cantonments...increased their operations several hundred per cent, and the brunt of the enormous truck traffic resulting therefrom, was borne by the State Roads of Maryland. In addition to these war activities, freight motor lines from Baltimore to Washington, Philadelphia, New York, and various points throughout Maryland, and the weight of many of these trucks when loaded, was in excess of the loads for which our early bridges were designed (State Roads Commission 1920:56).

Published on separate sheets, the new standard plans (State Roads Commission 1919) for slab bridges reveal that the major changes was an increase in roadway width from 22 feet to 24 feet and a redesign of the reinforcement. The slab spans continued to feature solid parapets integrated into the span. The range of span lengths remained 6 to 16 feet, but the next year (1920) witnessed the issue of a supplemental plan for a 20 foot long slab span (State Roads Commission 1920).

Based upon documentary evidence, Baltimore County and City were the early pioneers in concrete bridge building in Maryland. The first reinforced concrete bridge documented in Maryland was the bridge at Sherwood Station, built in 1903 by Baltimore County.

Evidence from historic maps suggests that almost all of the extant concrete slab bridges built before 1940 in Baltimore County replaced earlier bridges. With the exception of two bridges, all of these structures lie on roads whose alignments have changed little since the middle of the nineteenth century. The two exceptions are both located on Shelbourne Avenue in Arbutus. Shelbourne Avenue does not appear on the 1850 map of Baltimore County but does appear on the 1915 map. Both concrete slabs bridges on Shelbourne Avenue, however, were built after 1915. The evidence therefore suggests that these two bridges were also built to replace previous structures.

When the bridge was built and/or given a major alteration, did it have a significant impact on the growth and development of the area?

There is no evidence to suggest that the construction of this bridge had a significant impact on the growth and development of this area.

Is the bridge located in an area which may be eligible for historic designation?

Would the bridge add to or detract from the historic/visual character of the potential district?

Is the bridge a significant example of its type?

This bridge is not a significant example of its type.

Does the bridge retain integrity of important elements described in Context Addendum?

No, this bridge does not retain integrity, due to reconstruction in 1971, 1986 and 1988; the superstructure has been replaced, as well as wingwalls and pier and abutments have concrete jackets.

Is the bridge a significant example of the work of a manufacturer, designer, and/or engineer?

The bridge is not a significant example of the work a manufacturer, designer, and/or engineer.

Should the bridge be given further study before an evaluation of its significance is made?

No additional study will be needed before an evaluation of the significance of this bridge is made.

BIBLIOGRAPHY:

County inspection/bridge files X SHA inspection/bridge files

Other (list):

SURVEYOR:

Date bridge recorded 08/15/95

Name of surveyor Colin Farr

Organization/Address P.A.C. Spero & Company, Suite 412, 40 West Chesapeake Ave., Baltimore, MD 21204

Phone number (410) 296-1635 FAX number (410) 296-1670

Greiner, Inc.

BALTIMORE COUNTY
1993 BRIDGE INSPECTION REPORT

BRIDGE NO. 221

CUB HILL ROAD

NO PHOTO
AVAILABLE


NEXT TO N. ABUT. 5.7' L.R. (US)
7.0' L.R. (DS)

NORTH SIDE OF PIER 6.2' L.R. (U)
7.6' L.R. (DS)

SOUTH SIDE OF PIER 6.4' L.R. (US)
7.6' L.R. (DS)

NEXT TO S. ABUT. 6.3' L.R. (US)
7.7' L.R. (DS)

31' BACK OF SLAB TO BACK OF SLAB (VARIES SLIGHTLY)



ELEVATION
NOT TO SCALE

DATUM: BOTTOM OF SLAB

L.R. - LARGE ROCKS


UPSTREAM
DOWNSTREAM

188

PA-2694

Maryland Historic Highway Bridges
 Bridge Type Concrete Slab
 Map Baltimore NW, C-13
 County Baltimore
 Bridge # and Name B0221 Cub Hill
Road Over Tributary of Gunpowder Falls

BA-2694



187

RIDGELYS



BA-2694

8221 CUB HILL ROAD OVER TRIBUTARY OF GUNPOWDER FALLS

BALTIMORE CO., MD

C. HALL

8/98

MD SHPO

WEST APPROACH

1 OF 6



BA-2694

BOZZI CUB HILL ROAD OVER TRIBUTARY OF GUNPOWDER FALLS

BALTIMORE CO., MD

C. HALL

8/98

MD SHPO

SOUTH ELEVATION

2 OF 6



BA- 2694

80221 CUB HILL ROAD OVER TRIBUTARY OF GUN POWDER FALLS

BALTIMORE CO., MD

C. HALL

8/98

MD SHPO

SOUTH PARAPET

3 of 6



BA-2694

BOZZI CUB HILL ROAD OVER TRIBUTARY OF GUNPOWDER FALLS

BALTIMORE CO., MD

C. HALL

8/98

MD SHPO

EAST APPROACH

4 OF 6



BA-2694

BOZZI CUB HILL ROAD OVER TRIBUTARY OF GUNPOWDER FALLS

BALTIMORE Co., MD

C. HALL

8/98

MD SHPO

NORTH PARAPET

5 of 6



BA- 2694

BOZZI CUB HILL ROAD OVER TRIBUTARY OF GUNPOWDER FALLS
BALTIMORE Co., MD

C. HALL

8/98

MD SHPO

SOUTH ELEVATION

6 OF 6