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The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) has determined that State Highway Administration's Selected Alternative 2 for improvements to MD 650 from Powder Mill Road to North of US 29 will have no significant impact upon the environment. The Selected Alternative proposes outside widening of MD 650 to provide an additional northbound through lane from Cresthaven Drive to the ramp to northbound US 29. The Selected Alternative also includes MD 650 intersection improvements at Powder Mill Road, Mahan Drive, Relocated Michelson Road, and Lockwood Drive, as well as improvements to the MD 650 interchange at US 29.

The Selected Alternative would require 8.84 acres of right of way, including 7.48 acres of permanent right of way from the public White Oak Golf Course property, part of the Naval Ordnance Laboratory (NOL) Historic District, which is eligible for inclusion on the National Register of Historic Places. The State Historic Preservation Officer concurred that the Selected Alternative would have no adverse effect on the NOL Historic District. The Selected Alternative would not require displacement of any residential properties or community facilities. No wetland impacts are anticipated with the SHA Selected Alternative.

This Finding of No Significant Impact/Final Section 4(f) Evaluation has been independently evaluated by the FHWA and determined to adequately and accurately discuss the need, environmental issues, impacts of the proposed action, and appropriate mitigation measures. It provides sufficient evidence and analysis for determining that an Environmental Impact Statement is not required. The FHWA takes full responsibility for the accuracy, scope, and content of the Environmental Assessment and attached documentation.
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I. RECORD OF DECISION

## SHA's Selected Alternative

Of the two alternatives, including no-build, presented at the Informational Public Meeting (November 25, 2002), Alternative 2 was chosen as SHA's Selected Alternative. The Selected Alternative involves outside widening of MD 650 to provide an additional northbound through lane from Cresthaven Drive to the ramp to northbound US 29. The Selected Alternative includes intersection improvements at the following locations:

- MD 650/Powder Mill Road Intersection
- MD 650/Mahan Drive Intersection
- Relocated Michelson Road
- MD 650/Lockwood Drive Intersection
- US 29/MD 650 Interchange


## MD 650/Powder Mill Road Intersection

At the MD 650/Powder Mill Road intersection, the northbound left turn lane will be lengthened 175 feet by reducing the median width in this area. The east leg of the intersection will be widened to the south of Powder Mill Road and the westbound lanes configured to provide two left turn lanes, one shared left-through lane and one exclusive right turn lane. A raised median will be added between the westbound lanes and the two eastbound lanes to provide a pedestrian refuge area.

## MD 650/Mahan Drive Intersection

In addition to the northbound through lane added to MD 650, a northbound right turn lane will be added at the MD 650/Mahan Drive intersection. A double left turn lane from southbound MD 650 to Mahan Drive will also be added. Mahan Drive will be seven lanes wide, and will be widened (by others) to provide three eastbound lanes and four westbound lanes, configured as two left turn lanes, one shared left through lane and one exclusive right turn lane. A grass median will be provided on Mahan Road for pedestrian refuge.

## MD 650/Relocated Michelson Road

The Selected Alternative involves relocating Michelson Road directly across from Northwest Drive, approximately 550 feet south of its current intersection location. Two left turn lanes will be added
to MD 650 to accommodate southbound left turns to Relocated Michelson Road. Relocated Michelson Road will be five lanes wide, and will consist of two eastbound lanes and three westbound lanes (two left turn lanes and one right turn lane) with a grass median for pedestrian refuge. Others will construct relocated Michelson Road.

## MD 650/Lockwood Drive Intersection

The Selected Alternative involves improvements to all approaches at the MD 650/Lockwood Drive intersection:

- On the west leg, the eastbound approach will be widened and the lanes configured to provide one left turn lane, one shared left-through lane, one through lane and two right turn lanes.
- On the east leg, the westbound Lockwood Drive approach will be widened and the lanes configured to provide two left turn lanes, one through lane and one right turn lane.
- With the addition of the northbound turning lane previously discussed, the south leg, northbound MD 650 approach will be configured as a single left turn lane, four through lanes and an exclusive right turn lane.
- On the north leg, the southbound MD 650 left turn lane will be extended to provide a total storage length of 400 feet, and an exclusive right turn lane will be added. Approximately 400 feet north of Lockwood Drive, an exclusive left turn lane will be provided in the existing median of MD 650 to provide access to the White Oak Shopping Center.


## US 29/MD 650 Interchange

At the US 29/MD 650 interchange, the ramp from southbound US 29 to southbound MD 650 will be reconstructed to provide additional weaving distance to the ramp from southbound MD 650 to northbound US 29. In addition, two left turn lanes will be installed for access from northbound MD 650 to southbound US 29. The ramp from northbound MD 650 to southbound US 29 will be widened to two lanes at the entrance to accommodate both turn lanes. Of the four northbound lanes continuing north from the Lockwood Drive intersection, the outside lane will become a lane-drop at the northbound US 29 ramp. A new acceleration lane will be installed at the ramp from northbound US 29 to northbound MD 650.

Alternatives Considered
The alternatives considered are described in Section III.E of this document, and include the NoBuild Alternative and one Build Alternative. The following discussion highlights the factors that were considered in the decision of the Selected Alternative.


#### Abstract

Alternative 1 - No-Build The No-Build Alternative would not provide any significant improvements to MD 650 from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. Any improvements would occur as part of normal maintenance and safety operations and would not measurably affect roadway capacity or address accident potential.


In addition, the No-Build Alternative is not designed to accommodate the increase in predicted traffic for the 2025 analysis year. The predicted traffic volume moving southbound in the morning peak hour is 5,595 vehicles on the existing three through lanes and one left turn lane. It is reasonable that carbon monoxide ( CO ) violations would exist in the No-Build Alternative for the 2025 analysis year.

## Alternative 2 - Build Alternative

Alternative 2 involves outside widening of MD 650 to provide an additional northbound through lane from Cresthaven Drive to the ramp to northbound US 29. Alternative 2 also considers intersection improvements at the following locations:

- MD 650/Powder Mill Road Intersection
- MD 650/Mahan Drive Intersection
- Relocated Michelson Road
- MD 650/Lockwood Drive Intersection
- US 29/MD 650 Interchange


## Measures to Minimize Harm

The Selected Alternative will not require displacing any residential properties or community facilities, including schools, healthcare facilities, emergency services, libraries, or places of worship. A total of nine commercial properties would be impacted, with no commercial displacements. The Selected Alternative would require a minor right-of-way (ROW) acquisition of
0.07 acre and 0.14 acre of temporary easement from the Kingdom Hall of Jehovah Witnesses. A temporary construction easement of 0.01 acre and a slope easement of 0.01 acre from the Hillandale Volunteer Fire Company would also be required.

The Selected Alternative would require ROW acquisition of 0.30 acre of commercial, 0.38 acre of residential and 0.61 acre of the White Oak Shopping Center parking lot. The Selected Alternative requires the net loss of 14 parking spaces at the White Oak Shopping Center (ten spaces at the north end and four spaces at the south end), in order to improve access to the shopping center.

The Selected Alternative would require 7.48 acres of permanent ROW and 1.89 acres of temporary easement to the golf course property, part of the Naval Ordnance Laboratory (NOL) historic district. In addition, 0.48 acres of perpetual easement will be required for maintenance and access to two proposed outfall pipes. Total ROW requirements with the Selected Alternative would be approximately 8.84 acres, the total includes the 7.48 acres of ROW.

Section 4(f) impacts will result not only from the roadway widening but also from the installation of two stormwater management facilities. Permanent impacts would be necessary for construction of the proposed roadway, roadway embankment and the stormwater management facilities and will require SHA to acquire 7.48 of acres of ROW from the White Oak Golf Course. The following minimization design techniques have been incorporated into SHA's Selected Alternative:

- The design radii of Relocated Michelson Road were tightened to the maximum extent in order to reduce impacts to the golf course.
- The acceleration lane from Mahan Drive to northbound MD 650 was eliminated, thus reducing Section 4(f) impacts.
- The right turn lane from the firehouse to Mahan Drive was shortened to minimum capacity, which reduced Section 4(f) impacts.
- The proposed stormwater management facility south of Mahan Drive was shifted and modified in shape to minimize impacts and to blend with the redesign plans of the golf course.

Standard measures to minimize sediment and erosion impacts and stormwater management will be implemented. No wetland impacts are anticipated with the Selected Alternative. Complete avoidance of waterways within the study area is not possible due to the construction of outfall pipes for the two proposed stormwater management facilities. Preserving and protecting existing vegetation wherever possible and using landscaping will minimize visual impacts.

## II. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT/COST COMPARISION OF ALTERNATIVES

## A. Summary of Environmental Impacts

A summary of environmental impacts is shown in Table 1. The No-Build Alternative is analyzed to provide a baseline condition. The last column in the table presents the impacts and costs for SHA's Selected Alternative. The Selected Alternative avoids and/or minimizes environmental impacts wherever possible. The Selected Alternative is similar to Alternative 2 - Build Alternative presented in the October 2002 Environmental Assessment (EA)/Draft Section 4(f) Evaluation. Since publication of the October 2002 EA/Draft Section 4(f) Evaluation, there have been only minor design changes to SHA's Selected Alternative. The proposed retaining wall to be constructed at the White Oak Shopping Center has been re-designed. The previously proposed retaining wall would have impacted an underground Potomac Electric Power Company (PEPCO) utility vault. To minimize impacts to the utility vault, the retaining wall location was shifted and design modifications were made to further minimize ROW impacts. Please refer to Table 1 for detailed descriptions of impacts to the White Oak Shopping Center parking lot.

SHA's Selected Alternative would require 7.48 acres of ROW and 1.89 acres of temporary easement from the White Oak Golf Course, part of the Naval Ordnance Laboratory (NOL) historic district. In addition, 0.48 acres of perpetual easement will be required for maintenance and access to two proposed outfall pipes. Permanent impacts would be necessary for construction of the proposed roadway and the stormwater management facilities.

SHA's Selected Alternative would not impact any wetlands or Federal Emergency Management Administration (FEMA) designated 100-year floodplains. The Selected Alternative would require two stream crossings resulting in 93 linear feet of stream impacts. In addition, one-half acre of woodland impacts would result from the Selected Alternative. Implementation of SHA's Selected Alternative would not result in a violation of the 1 -hour or 8 -hour State and National Ambient Air Quality Standards (S/NAAQS) for CO in the analysis years 2010 or 2025. The projected 2025 design-year noise levels indicate that the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Noise Abatement Criterion ( 67 dBA ) is approached ( 66 dBA ) or exceeded at five of the six Noise Sensitive Areas (NSAs) associated with the Selected Alternative.

No residential or commercial displacements would be required with SHA's Selected Alternative. A total of 0.38 acre of residential and 0.30 acre of commercial ROW would be required with the Selected Alternative. The Selected Alternative will also require a ROW acquisition of 0.07 acre from the Kingdom Hall of Jehovah Witnesses.

## B. Cost Comparison of Alternatives

The costs for SHA's Selected Alternative are presented in Table 1. The total cost is estimated at approximately $\$ 17.7$ million, including $\$ 2.2$ million for ROW, $\$ 1.5$ million for engineering, and $\$ 14.0$ million for construction.

## Table 1

Summary of Impacts


[^0]
## III. SUMMARY OF ACTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

## A. Project Location

MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) is located in eastern Montgomery County near the Montgomery/Prince George's County line, and is a primary facility for north-south travel through Montgomery County. The study area is located in White Oak, Maryland, just north of Washington D.C., and extends along MD 650 from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29 (Columbia Pike). Mahan Drive is located in the center of the study area and provides the main access to the proposed Food and Drug Administration (FDA) facility (Figure 1). Additional access will be provided via Relocated Michelson Road.

## B. Purpose and Need

Based on the Federal Functional Classification System, MD 650 and US 29 are each functionally classified as other principal arterial highways and Powder Mill Road is functionally classified as a minor arterial highway. MD 650 and US 29 are each classified as major highways according to the Montgomery County Master Plan roadway designation. Both Lockwood Road (CO 109) and Powder Mill Road (CO 104) are county roadways, designated as arterial in the master plan. The master plan designates Schindler Drive as a primary residential road. The study area is entirely within a designated Priority Funding Area (PFA) (Figure 2).

Transportation improvements along MD 650 are needed to accommodate additional highway traffic and capacity needs. The project was initiated based on roadway transportation needs identified in the General Services Administration's (GSA) 1997 Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) since FDA's consolidation on the former US Naval Surface Weapons Center will (NSWC) substantially contribute to the impact of traffic flow in this area. The following necessary improvements were identified to mitigate traffic impacts associated with the FDA consolidation (1997 FEIS):

- MD 650 at Michelson Road - These improvements include the addition of a turn lane along northbound MD 650 into the site.
- MD 650 and Schindler Drive/Mahan Drive - These improvements include the addition of a channelized turn lane into the site and extending the southbound turn lane on MD 650.


- MD 650 at Lockwood Drive - These improvements include the widening of southbound MD 650 to accommodate the turning movements of turn lanes from the east leg of Powder Mill Road.
- MD 650 at Lockwood Drive - These improvements involve reconfiguring the intersection to provide a turn lane on Lockwood Drive.

Additional traffic analyses [1998 Traffic Access Plan (GSA); Transportation Improvement Feasibility Study (BMI, 1999); and Review of Transportation Improvements along New Hampshire Avenue (MD 650) (GSA, 2000)] were undertaken by Montgomery County and GSA to determine needed transportation roadway improvements to accommodate not only the FDA proposed development, but also other future projected new development at other locations in the vicinity that would add traffic to the MD 650 corridor. These studies concluded that selected intersections would operate at an unacceptable level of service (LOS) with the proposed FDA consolidation and other projected new development.

The purpose of this project is to improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north of US 29. In order to accommodate the additional highway traffic and capacity needs associated with the FDA consolidation and other projected new development, this study assesses the environmental impacts of the proposed transportation improvements along MD 650. Provisions for improving circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed FDA facility are being considered to accommodate future development associated with FDA's consolidation and the future employment of approximately 6,256 (2002 FDA Master Plan) persons at this location. Adequate vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle access to existing and planned activity centers, including commercial sites is also being considered.

## 1. Traffic Conditions <br> Existing and Future Average Daily Traffic

Average daily traffic (ADT) volumes for existing conditions (2001) and No-Build years 2007 and 2025 are shown in Table 2. SHA Travel Forecasting Section provided traffic volume information. The forecasts used in this project were based on previous forecasting efforts described in detail in the Review of Transportation Improvements Along New Hampshire Avenue (GSA, 2000). Peak hour
estimates of traffic accessing the FDA site are approximately 1,100 during the AM peak hour and 1,800 during the PM peak hour. This represents approximately 15 percent of the future peak hour traffic and accounts for roughly one-third of the anticipated traffic growth in the study area.

## Table 2

Average Daily Traffic (ADT)


## 2. Levels of Services

The critical lane methodology was used at signalized intersections to determine the number of conflicts at the intersection, known as the critical lane volume (CLV) and the ratio of that volume to the intersections capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio). From this, the Level of Service (LOS) is determined. LOS is a measure of congestion experienced by drivers and ranges from " $A$ " (free flow with little or no congestion) to " F " (failure with stop-and-go conditions). LOS is normally computed for the peak periods of the typical day, with LOS "D" (approaching unstable flow) or better generally considered acceptable for highways in suburban areas. At LOS "E," volumes are near or at the capacity of the highway. LOS " $F$ " represents conditions in which the capacity is exceeded resulting in operational breakdowns with stop-and-go traffic and extremely long delays at signalized intersections. A table summarizing the 2001, 2007 No-Build and 2025 No-Build critical lane analysis is included in Table 3.

## Table 3

Level of Service Analysis


Analysis based on SHA critical lane volume methodology and volume and lane information provided by SHA.

## C. Project History

MD 650 and associated improvements were initiated by SHA based on roadway transportation needs identified in GSA's 1997 FEIS since FDA's consolidation will substantially contribute to the impact of traffic flow in this area. Prior to the development of GSA's 1997 FEIS, the MD 650 roadway improvements (from I-495 to the then-proposed Inter-County Connector (ICC)) were identified in the 1997 White Oak Master Plan prepared by Montgomery County. The 1997 White Oak Master Plan recommended widening MD 650 to a six lane divided highway between Randolph Road and the then-proposed ICC. Additionally, sidewalk improvements were recommended along MD 650 from I-495 to the then- proposed ICC.

The purpose of GSA's 1997 FEIS was to describe the provision of new consolidated, state-of-the art facilities for FDA at one location in Montgomery County, Maryland. Various sections of GSA's 1997 FEIS will be referenced throughout this document. The study area consisted solely of the former NSWC property, located at the White Oak Federal Center.

GSA will be studying a northeast access road from Cherry Hill Road to the FDA facility. Environmental impacts and cost estimates for this potential new access will be conducted as part of
the overall FDA development plan. Traffic evaluations for the MD 650 project have been based on the inclusion of this access entrance, however, the actual planning study will be undertaken by others.

A series of LABQUEST Community Partnership (LABQUEST) and Landscaping Focus Group meetings have been held since February 2000, along with three public meetings. The most recent public meeting was an Informational Public Meeting (November 25, 2002) held to obtain community input on the project. A discussion of the public involvement efforts for the MD 650 project is located in Chapter V, Summary of Public Involvement.

## D. Master Plan Consistency and County Support

The MD 650 project is located entirely within the Montgomery County-Certified PFA. The proposed transportation improvements are consistent with the 1997 White Oak Master Plan recommendations for roadway and intersection improvements for MD 650. The goals of the MD 650 project are consistent with the goals of the 1997 White Oak Master Plan's support for the FDA facility. The goals of the MD 650 project are to:

1) make all necessary improvements to facilitate the in-flow and out-flow of the approximately 4,000 cars expected to be used by FDA employees;
2) minimize congestion on MD 650 during peak traffic hours; and
3) preclude the use of Lockwood Drive, Schindler Drive and Northwest Drive as commuter thoroughfares for FDA employees.

Several future transportation improvements have been recommended by Maryland National Park and Planning Commission (M-NCPPC) within the project area and are included in the 1997 White Oak Master Plan. Transportation improvements anticipated in this plan included:

- US 29 - Improvements to US 29 will provide six general-purpose lanes plus acceleration and deceleration lanes, with four lanes crossing the Patuxent River to the Howard County line. SHA has started construction on two US 29 interchanges in Montgomery County including the US 29/MD 198 and US 29/Randolph Road interchanges. The US 29/Briggs Chaney interchange is expected to begin construction in the winter of 2003.

SHA is currently designing the following US 29 interchanges in Montgomery County; Stuart Lane, Industrial Parkway/Tech Road, Musgrove Road/Fairland Road, Greencastle Road and Blackburn Road are currently in the design phase.

- MD 650 - Study the potential to widen New Hampshire Avenue from four lanes to six lanes at the US 29 interchange.
- Lockwood Drive - Reaffirm classification of Lockwood Drive between US 29 and a point 400 feet west of New Hampshire Avenue as an arterial road with a two-lane closed section cross-section. This master plan recommendation was reaffirmed and Lockwood Drive's functional classification remains an arterial road (Personal Interview, February 2003).

Construction is funded for 700 feet of missing sidewalk links on the east side of Lockwood Drive from US 29 to New Hampshire Avenue as part of a project providing continuity of pedestrian facilities between University Boulevard and Prelude Drive. The scope also includes construction of 3,300 feet of sidewalk on the west side of US 29 where feasible. The project includes the construction of retaining walls to reduce the impacts to properties adjacent to the public ROW. This project was completed in Fall 2001 (Personal Interview, February 2003).

- Powder Mill Road - From New Hampshire Avenue to the Prince George’s County line, sidewalk and bikeway improvements are recommended. None of the recommended improvements have been funded at this time (Personal Interview, February 2003).

The MD 650 project involves adding double left turn lanes from MD 650 onto US 29 to alleviate congestion. It also involves widening Lockwood Drive to allow for double left turn lanes. The areas of proposed improvements are consistent with those areas mentioned for improvement in the 1997 White Oak Master Plan.

## E. Alternatives Considered

## 1. Preliminary Alternatives

Refer to the 1999 BMI Report for details of this preliminary concept (Figure 3). The preliminary concept included intersection improvements at the following locations in the MD 650 corridor:

- MD 650/Powder Mill Road Intersection
- MD 650/Schindler Drive/Mahan Drive Intersection
- MD 650/Relocated Michelson Road/Northwest Drive Intersection
- MD 650/Lockwood Drive Intersection
- Ramp to Southbound US 29/MD 650 Intersection


Because these intersection improvements involved closely spaced intersections, it was determined that traffic operations between Chalmers Road and the MD 650/US 29 interchange were best addressed by the addition of a northbound lane through that part of the corridor.

The report titled 2000 Review of Transportation Improvements Along MD 650 recommended minor changes to the improvements proposed in the 1999 BMI Report at the MD 650/Lockwood Drive intersection. None of the improvements recommended in the 2000 Review of Transportation Improvements Along MD 650 were carried into final design due to community opposition. Local citizens expressed concern that these recommended improvements would encourage through traffic to use local residential streets as alternate routes. Improvements recommended in the 1999 BMI Report were used except for the westbound Lockwood Drive approach, which was revised based on community input and updated traffic calculations. Two left turn lanes, one through lane and one right turn lane were carried into final design for the westbound Lockwood Drive approach to MD 650.

The 2000 Review of Transportation Improvements Along MD 650 also recommended minor changes to the westbound approach at the MD 650/Relocated Michelson Road/Northwest Drive intersection. The improvements to this approach carried into final design included modification from one left turn lane and one right turn lane (BMI, 1999) to two left turn lanes and one right turn lane (GSA, 2000).

## 2. Selected Alternative

Since the publication of the October 2002 MD 650 EA/Draft Section 4(f) Evaluation, there have been only minor design changes to SHA's Selected Alternative. In addition, the MD 650 project also now proposes to resurface the entire MD 650 Roadway from Powder Mill Road to North of US 29. This additional resurfacing will not result in any new impacts since it is on existing roadway.

The proposed retaining wall to be constructed at the White Oak Shopping Center has been redesigned because the previously proposed retaining wall would have impacted an underground PEPCO utility vault. To minimize impacts to the utility vault, the retaining wall location was
shifted and design modifications were made to further minimize ROW impacts. ROW requirements at the White Oak Shopping Center decreased from 0.65 acre to 0.61 , temporary easement decreased from 2.41 acres to 2.22 acres and slope easement from 0.11 acre to 0.10 (Table 1).

The October 2002 MD 650 EA/Draft Section 4(f) Evaluation stated that, as one of its transportation management strategies, the March 2002 Transportation Management Plan (TMP) for the FDA Consolidation identified a goal of developing a program to increase the Average Vehicle Occupancy (AVO) to 1.5 persons per vehicle. Further coordination with GSA has revealed that the National Capital Planning Commission (NCPC) did not approve the 1.5 persons per vehicle. Currently, the NCPC has approved the parking ratio of 1:2 (one parking space for two employees) and requires that NCPC review the parking ratio as the facility is constructed and the parking habits of FDA employees are observed. Many of the employees work odd and long hours so car-pooling is difficult. Also, there is limited public transportation to the site. GSA and its traffic consultant anticipate that more parking may be needed than what has been approved, as they predict a ratio of 1.2:2 (1.2 parking spaces for two employees).

SHA's Selected Alternative involves outside widening of MD 650 to provide an additional northbound through lane from Cresthaven Drive to the ramp to northbound US 29 (Figure 4). The typical section for the Selected Alternative is shown in Figure 5. The Selected Alternative includes intersection improvements at the following locations:

- MD 650/Powder Mill Road Intersection
- MD 650/Mahan Drive Intersection
- Relocated Michelson Road
- MD 650/Lockwood Drive Intersection
- MD 650/US 29 Interchange





MD 650 from Powder Mill Road to North of US 29

FIGURE 5

Typical Section

## MD 650/Powder Mill Road Intersection

At the MD 650/Powder Mill Road intersection, the northbound left turn lane will be lengthened 175 feet by reducing the median width in this area. The east leg of the intersection will be widened to the south of Powder Mill Road and the westbound lanes configured to provide two left turn lanes, one shared left-through lane and one exclusive right turn lane. A raised median will be added between the westbound lanes and the two eastbound lanes to provide a pedestrian refuge area.

## MD 650/Mahan Drive Intersection

In addition to the northbound through lane added to MD 650, a northbound right turn lane will be added at the MD 650/Mahan Drive intersection. A double left turn lane from southbound MD 650 to Mahan Drive will also be added. Mahan Drive will be seven lanes wide and will be widened to provide three eastbound lanes and four westbound lanes, configured as two left turn lanes, one shared left through lane and one exclusive right turn lane. A grass median will be provided on Mahan Drive for pedestrian refuge. SHA will only be improving MD 650 and allowing for turning movements into/out of Mahan Drive. Improvements to Mahan Drive will be constructed by others.

## MD 650/Relocated Michelson Road

Under SHA's Selected Alternative, Michelson Road will be relocated to a location across from Northwest Drive, approximately 550 feet south of its current intersection location. Two left turn lanes will be added to MD 650 to accommodate southbound left turns to Relocated Michelson Road. Relocated Michelson Road will be five lanes wide and will consist of two eastbound lanes and three westbound lanes (two left turn lanes and one right turn lane) with a grass median for pedestrian refuge. SHA will only be improving MD 650 and allowing for turning movements into/out of Relocated Michelson Road. Relocated Michelson Road will be constructed by others.

## MD 650/Lockwood Drive Intersection

SHA's Selected Alternative involves improvements to all approaches at the MD650/Lockwood Drive intersection:

- On the west leg, the eastbound approach will be widened and the lanes configured to provide one left turn lane, one shared left-through lane, one through lane and two right turn lanes.
- On the east leg, the westbound Lockwood Drive approach will be widened and the lanes configured to provide two left turn lanes, one through lane and one right turn lane.
- With the addition of the northbound turning lane previously discussed, the south leg, northbound MD 650 approach will be configured as a single left turn lane, four through lanes, and an exclusive right turn lane.
- On the north leg, the southbound MD 650 left turn lane will be extended to provide a total storage length of 400 feet, and an exclusive right turn lane will be added. Approximately 400 feet north of Lockwood Drive, an exclusive left turn lane will be provided in the existing median of southbound MD 650 to provide access to the White Oak Shopping Center.


## US 29/MD 650 Interchange

At the US 29/MD 650 interchange, the ramp from southbound US 29 to southbound MD 650 will be reconstructed to provide additional weaving distance on MD 650 to the ramp from southbound MD 650 to northbound US 29. Under Alternative 2, two left turn lanes will be installed for access from northbound MD 650 to southbound US 29. The ramp from MD 650 to southbound US 29 will be widened to two lanes at the entrance to accommodate both turn lanes. Of the four northbound lanes continuing north from the Lockwood Drive intersection, the outside lane will become a lanedrop at the northbound US 29 ramp. A new acceleration lane will be installed at the ramp from northbound US 29 to northbound MD 650.

## F. Environmental Consequences of SHA's Selected Alternative

Environmental impacts that would result from SHA's Selected Alternative are shown on Figure 4 and summarized below. Refer to Table 1 for a summary of all environmental impacts.

## 1. Social Impacts

## a. Displacements/Property Impacts

SHA's Selected Alternative would not displace any residential properties or community facilities. The Selected Alternative requires the net loss of 14 parking spaces at the White Oak Shopping Center (ten spaces at the north end and four spaces at the south end), in order to improve access to the shopping center. The Selected Alternative would also require ROW acquisition of 0.30 acre of commercial, 0.38 acre of residential and 0.61 acre of the White Oak Shopping Center parking lot.

Total ROW requirements with SHA's Selected Alternative would be approximately 8.84 acres. This includes 7.48 acres of ROW from the White Oak Golf Course.

## b. Disruptions of Neighborhoods and Communities

SHA's Selected Alternative would not disrupt any neighborhoods or communities within the study area. The Selected Alternative would not divide or disrupt existing cohesiveness of communities, and would maintain all existing access for residences in neighborhoods and communities in the study area.

## c. Environmental Justice Inventory

Executive Order (EO) 12898 "Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority and Low-Income Populations" was signed on February 11, 1994. The EO requires the assessment of disproportionately high adverse human health and environmental impacts on minority and lowincome populations resulting for proposed federal actions. The EO reaffirms the provisions of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and related statutes, emphasizing the incorporation of those provisions with existing planning and environmental processes. Title VI requires federal agencies to ensure that their programs, policies and activities do not have the effect of excluding populations from the benefits of the project, or subjecting persons and populations to discrimination based on race, color or national origin. EO 12898 adds low-income to the list of populations which should be investigated to ensure that they are not excluded from the benefits of the project or subjected to discrimination caused by federal programs, policies and activities.

In compliance with federal guidelines on environmental justice, SHA identified low-income or minority persons that live within geographic proximity of SHA's Selected Alternative. Identification of low-income and minority populations was based on existing census demographics, field research and correspondence with local planning officials. Areas of known environmental justice populations are within the study area but well outside the Selected Alternative's ROW.

Low-Income Population - Low-income is identified as a person whose median household income is at or below the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) poverty guidelines. The poverty guidelines issued by the DHHS are abstracted from the original poverty thresholds updated
each year by the United States Census Bureau. Examination of census tract data shows that the average household income from the study area is higher than the DHHS poverty guidelines for the year 1999 (AGS - Census Tract Summary, 1999). The median household income for the study area is $\$ 81,438$. This is in comparison to Montgomery County's median household income of $\$ 70,794$ (2000 Census).

Holly Hall, a rental apartment facility for the elderly, is located near the Hillandale community and is operated by the Housing Opportunities Commission. This facility was identified as a lowincome, elderly population at a public meeting held on September 10, 2001 at the Child Center/Hillandale Center/Inwood House (CHI) and is discussed in the 1997 White Oak Master Plan. Refer to Section V.E for more detail on the September 2001 meeting. Though not consistent with the EO requirements, M-NCPPC verified that this facility should be considered a low-income population compared to the rest of Montgomery County (see Record of Conversation, Bill Barron, Appendix C). Holly Hall is within the study area, but well outside the Selected Alternative's ROW. SHA requested residents of the community to attend the November 25, 2002 Informational Public Meeting. SHA contacted a Holly Hall representative prior to this meeting so that residents were notified in advance and encouraged to attend (see Record of Conversation, Vanessa Floyd, Appendix C). An additional outreach meeting was held on January 22, 2003 with residents from Holly Hall. SHA representatives provided a presentation to Holly Hall residents to obtain input from the community on the proposed improvements (see January 22, 2003 Holly Hall Community Meeting Minutes and Follow-Up Letter, Appendix C). General comments included topics such as vehicles making U-turns at Powder Mill Road, truck turn-around traffic into Holly Hall, access to MD 650 from the Holly Hall facility, signalization, pedestrian safety and bus access.

Minority Population - The racial and ethnic makeup of the study area reveals the presence of a minority population. The minority population of the census tract shows a higher percentage (43 percent African-American and 14 percent Hispanic) of minority persons found within the census tracts in comparison to surrounding areas within the county. Minority persons were identified as Black (African-American origin), Hispanic, Asian American, American Indian and Alaskan Native. Areas of known minority populations are within the study area but located well outside the Selected Alternative's ROW.

Approximately 77 percent of the study area populations are a race other than white. This is in comparison to 47 percent of the county population being a race other than white. Persons of African-American race make up 43 percent of the study area compared to 15 percent of the population countywide, which is almost three times that of Montgomery County. The Hispanic ethnic origin also constitutes 14 percent of the study area population, in comparison to the 12 percent of the total County population.

The local M-NCPPC planner for the White Oak Planning Area was contacted regarding the identification of potential environmental justice communities. The M-NCPPC planner identified the White Oak Apartments as a minority and low-income community. The White Oak Apartments are located behind the White Oak Shopping Center on both sides of Lockwood Drive (see Record of Conversation, Bill Barron, Appendix C). This community, as a whole, is referred to by Montgomery County as the April/Stuart Lane Community, and is occasionally called the Garden Apartments. These apartments consist of several three-story buildings, and have both AfricanAmerican and Hispanic populations, with the majority of the population being Hispanic. As the April/Stuart Lane Community includes the White Oak Apartments, this community is partially within the study area, but well outside the Selected Alternative's ROW. SHA requested residents of the community to attend the November 25, 2002 Informational Public Meeting. SHA contacted a White Oak Apartment representative prior to this meeting so that residents were notified in advance and encouraged to attend. SHA also offered to meet separately with residents of the White Oak Apartments community. The Property Manager from the community indicated that she would contact SHA if there was enough interest from residents (see Record of Conversation and Followup Letter, Michele Schively, Appendix C). No additional contact with SHA was made from the White Oak Apartments.

An investigation into the characteristics of the individuals affected by the proposed roadway improvements found that there would not be a disproportionate impact to low or minority populations.
d. Summary of SHA's Equal Opportunity Program/Title VI Statement

It is the policy of SHA to ensure compliance with the provisions of the Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and related civil rights laws and regulations which prohibit discrimination on the grounds of race, color, sex, national origin, age, religion, physical or mental handicap or sexual orientation in all SHA projects funded in whole or in part by FHWA. The SHA will not discriminate in highway planning, design, construction, the acquisition of ROW, or the provision of relocating advisory assistance.

## e. Relocation Assistance Program

Relocation of any individuals, families or businesses displaced by this project would be accomplished in accordance with the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 as amended by the Surface Transportation and Uniform Relocation Assistance Act of 1987 and could be affected in a timely and humane fashion. In the event comparable replacement housing is not available for displaced persons or available replacement housing is beyond their financial means, replacement "housing as a last resort" will be utilized to accomplish the rehousing.

## f. Effects on Parks and Recreational Facilities

SHA's Selected Alternative would not impact any parks within the study area. The Selected Alternative would impact one public recreational facility, the White Oak Golf Course (Figure 4), which is owned by GSA but used and operated by M-NCPPC. The White Oak Golf Course is also a contributing element in the NOL Historic District. The Selected Alternative would require 7.48 acres of ROW, 1.89 acres of temporary easement and 0.48 acre of perpetual easement from the golf course property. Please refer to Chapter IV, Final Section 4(f) Evaluation, for a detailed description of the White Oak Golf Course and impacts associated with the Selected Alternative.

## g. Effects on Community Facilities and Services

SHA's Selected Alternative would not displace any schools, healthcare facilities, emergency services, libraries or places of worship. In addition, the Selected Alternative would not require any ROW acquisition from schools or healthcare facilities. A temporary easement would be required from one emergency service facility, the Hillandale Volunteer Fire Company. In addition, a minor

ROW acquisition of 0.07 acre and 0.14 acre of temporary easement would be required from one place of worship, the Kingdom Hall of Jehovah Witnesses. This acquisition will not impact access/egress from the property or impact any parking areas.

SHA's Selected Alternative would require a temporary construction easement of 0.01 acre and a slope easement of 0.01 acre from the Hillandale Volunteer Fire Company. This temporary impact will not disturb emergency service operations, such as access/egress from the facility, or interrupt the normal operation of the unit. The Selected Alternative is designed to alleviate congestion and is anticipated to improve accessibility for emergency services. Coordination with the Montgomery County Fire and Rescue Service (F\&R) and the Montgomery County Department of Police (DOP) was necessary to determine the effect on emergency service and response time (January 15, 2002). The DOP does not foresee any negative impacts from the improvements proposed in the Selected Alternative, and responded that the proposed changes should result in improved traffic flow throughout the area.

SHA has also received a response from the F\&R (February 25, 2002). The F\&R would like to ensure the following (SHA responses to address these comments are enclosed in parentheses after each Division comment):

- Refuge areas for motorists to move while apparatus is passing during emergency response. (By adding lanes, SHA would provide additional room available for passing emergency vehicles);
- Advance notification of construction start and stop dates, and other benchmark events which may cause additional congestion and lane closures (The current schedule shows a Notice to Proceed date in late Summer 2003 with approximately two years for construction. As this date approaches, the event dates will be verified and checked regularly with the District 3 Construction Engineer);
- Apparatus egress, both northbound and southbound, will be maintained for Fire Station 12, including signal control (The Limit of Work is currently just north of the Fire Station, and there should not be any disruption to egress. The signal will function as it does today. SHA is currently reconstructing the Fire Station signal at MD 650 under a separate contract); and
- Signal control or another type of control to prevent motorists from cutting across all lanes of New Hampshire Avenue to access Northwest Drive from relocated Michelson Road. Northwest Drive provides a short cut to Lockwood Drive and US Route 29 and the Fire and

Rescue Division believes that not controlling the intersection will result in an increase in vehicle crashes and pedestrians being struck. (There will be a new signal installed at this intersection)

## h. Maintenance of Traffic (MOT) and Construction Detours

To minimize the disruption of traffic during construction, existing travel lanes will be maintained to the greatest extent possible, with required lane closures permitted only during off-peak travel periods. Access to adjacent businesses will be maintained through all construction phases by providing driveway connections to the current construction phase' through lanes. Driveways and side streets will be overlayed or rebuilt as necessary to match the proposed MD 650 pavement elevations.

Reconstruction of ramps at the US $29 / \mathrm{MD} 650$ interchange will require closure of the ramps and detours of ramp traffic. Ramp closures will only be permitted during overnight periods to avoid significant impact to interchange operations and congestion on the detour route. All detours will be carefully marked and portable variable message signs will be used to provide at least 72 hours notice of the anticipated ramp closure.

## i. Effects on Visual Quality

Proposed streetscape improvements along MD 650 include the addition of plant material, sidewalks, bicycle paths, fences terminating in brick piers and planted medians, which will complement the improved travel lanes of the highway itself. The sidewalks and bicycle paths will be installed with appropriate ramps and landings to make the design handicap accessible and ADA compliant.

New four-feet wide sidewalks are proposed at the north end and the south end of the project study area; from north of the US 29 overpass to Lockwood Drive (along MD 650) and along the westbound side of Powder Mill Road, which allows for continuous pedestrian movement along MD 650 and Powder Mill Road within the project limits. Medians planted with flowering trees, shade trees and sod are proposed between the northbound and southbound traffic lanes on MD 650, providing enhanced safety as they assist in directing movement of vehicular traffic. Landscape treatments along both sides of the road, beyond the sidewalks, supplement existing vegetation and add variety, seasonal color and interest to the roadside plantings. The medians separating north and southbound traffic on MD 650 from the bridge at US 29 to the median break ending at the existing

Michelson Road will be given special landscape treatment to deter pedestrian crossings at locations other than intersections with striped cross walks. In addition to the thorny, flowering shrubs proposed in the medians, a 3.5 -feet high ornamental fence is also proposed. The visual quality of the medians separating southbound MD 650 from the bridge at US 29 will be greatly improved with the installation of these additional landscape features. A three to five-feet wide grass strip planted with street trees is proposed between the proposed bicycle path and MD 650 , which will provide a visual buffer between vehicular and bicycle/pedestrian traffic.

Street tree plantings are proposed along the golf course between the bikeway and MD 650 with additional plantings located behind the bikeway. An eight-foot high fence is also proposed along the perimeter of the golf course between Michelson Road and Mahan Drive. Mahan Drive (to be constructed by others) will be improved with landscaping for seasonal interest and color to enhance the gateway entry feature. South of Mahan Drive, an eight-foot high fence is proposed along the perimeter of the golf course. Buffer planting including shade trees, flowering trees and shrub beds is also proposed along this fence line to create more of a naturalized setting for the golf course and to provide a buffer from MD 650. The proposed bikeway and street tree plantings, between the bikeway and MD 650, continue south to the end of the project limits.

A planted median is located between MD 650 and the service road to the west. The existing vegetation in this median is proposed for removal and will be replaced with a variety of plant materials that will be easier to maintain, increase the buffer and provide color and seasonal interest. The plantings within this median, which includes shade trees, flowering trees, shrubs and perennial beds, has been carefully designed to allow for safe movement in and out of the development by ensuring clear lines of sight at the intersections.

The proposed landscape and streetscape improvements along MD 650 will result in a well-designed, aesthetically pleasing project that ensures safe and efficient movement for pedestrians, cyclists and vehicular traffic throughout the project site while enhancing the setting for residents and patrons of the golf course and other businesses in the area.

## 2. Economic Impacts

## a. Effects on Existing Businesses

No commercial displacements will result from SHA's Selected Alternative. A total of 0.91 acre of ROW would be required from a total of nine commercial properties. Three of these commercial properties contain multiple businesses.

The following businesses would be impacted:

- Exxon Corporation (Powder Mill Road)
- White Oak Shopping Center I, II, III
- White Oak Professional Building
- Hillandale Shopping Center I
- PEPCO
- Hardware City
- Shell
- Exxon Corporation (Lockwood Drive)
- George Meany Center for Labor Studies

It is anticipated that the overall operation of the impacted commercial properties would not be affected. In general, the ROW requirements would occur as minor sliver takings. With the exception of one commercial property, the White Oak Shopping Center, SHA's Selected Alternative would not impact parking spaces. The Selected Alternative would also change access to the White Oak Shopping Center. In order to improve access to the White Oak Shopping Center, the Selected Alternative would require the acquisition of 0.61 acre of permanent ROW and a net loss of 14 parking spaces at the shopping center (ten spaces at the north end and four spaces at the south end). From the north, an exclusive left turn lane from southbound MD 650 would allow direct access to the reconfigured entrance into White Oak Shopping Center. From the south, traveling northbound on MD 650, two additional lanes would improve access to the reconfigured entrance of the shopping center.

## 3. Land Use Impacts

The study area consists predominately of mixed residential and institutional land uses (Figure 6). The Milestone Drive Properties, which was previously listed as vacant in the 1997 White Oak Master Plan has since been developed into a mixed residential community. The majority of the commercial land use is located adjacent to Lockwood Drive and Powder Mill Road. There are minor amounts of land use consisting of parkland/open space and industrial located within the study area. Please refer to Section 3.2.1 (pg. 3-43 through 3-48) of GSA's 1997 FEIS for further information on existing land use within and surrounding the study area. Statewide Smart Growth Initiatives formally took effect on October 1, 1998. The intent of Maryland's Smart Growth Act of 1997 is to direct State funding for growth-related projects to areas designated by local jurisdictions as ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ Priority Funding Areas (PFAs). PFAs are existing communities and other locally designated areas as determined by local jurisdictions in accordance with Smart Growth Guidelines. The entire study area falls within the PFA therefore, this project is consistent with Smart Growth.

Since the publication of the October 2002 MD 650 EA/Draft Section 4(f) Evaluation, additional coordination has revealed that the focus of transit studies has changed in the White Oak area. Montgomery County Department of Public Works and Transportation (DPW\&T) completed a twoyear study to determine the feasibility of locating a transit center in the White Oak Shopping Center. The study expanded on the 1997 White Oak Master Plan recommendation to look at other potentially feasible transit center locations in the White Oak area. The prototype for technical analysis as the study began was a facility with 500 parking spaces and six bus bays. As the study progressed, a decision was made by Montgomery County DPW\&T to delay the long-term transit study, including the potential for a large-scale transit center at the White Oak Shopping Center. Montgomery County DPW\&T focused on short-term improvements instead, specifically improvements to heavily used bus stops in the vicinity of MD 650 and Lockwood Drive. The final study report presents a proposed facility program for the bus stop improvements. Bus pads will be installed on New Hampshire Avenue at Lockwood Drive (east and west sides, north of Lockwood Drive). According to Montgomery County DPW\&T, there are no immediate plans to reinitiate the White Oak/Hillandale Transit Center study (see Record of Coordination, Rob Klein, Appendix D).


MD 650 from Powder Mill Road to North of US 29

## FIGURE 6

## 4. Cultural Resources <br> a. Historic Sites

## NOL Historic District

Located on the east side of MD 650 at 10901 New Hampshire Avenue, the NOL Historic District is approximately 1.15 miles north of the Capital Beltway (I-495) and 0.75 mile south of US 29 and encompasses 732 acres. The district includes 372 contributing resources as well as a golf course that serves as a buffer along the western and southern borders of the district. The NOL Historic District is the only historic property within the area of potential effects (APE). There are no contributing buildings located within the APE.

SHA's Selected Alternative would require 7.48 acres of permanent ROW and 1.89 acres of temporary easement from the White Oak Golf Course, part of the NOL Historic District. In addition, 0.48 acres of perpetual easement would be required for maintenance and access to two proposed outfall pipes. Permanent ROW impacts would be necessary for construction of the proposed roadway and the Storm Water Management (SWM) facilities. The proposed roadway improvements would require impacting the existing golf course layout, including removal of fairways and changes to course circulation.

SHA's Selected Alternative would temporarily impact 1.89 acres of the golf course property for construction access. SHA determined that the impacts would have no adverse effect on the NOL Historic District. The State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) concurred with this determination on January 14, 2002.

## b. Archeological Sites

No National Register eligible archeological sites would be impacted by SHA's Selected Alternative. The SHPO concurred with this finding on January 14, 2002. Please refer to Chapter VI, Other Agency Correspondence.

## 5. Natural Environment/Ecological Conditions

## a. Topography and Geology

SHA's Selected Alternative would affect existing topography in the study area. Earth fill to support new road and road embankments would be necessary for the expansion of MD 650. Less substantial earth cut and fill would be necessary for grading and widening associated with the intersections at Powder Mill Road, Lockwood Drive and the US 29 interchange. Soil will have to be removed for the construction of SWM best management practices (BMP). The top bank of the SWM facility would be built level with existing grade.

No unique geologic features or economically important mineral deposits would be affected by the Selected Alternative.

## b. Soils

## 1. Erosion and Sedimentation

Construction of SHA's Selected Alternative would affect soils, especially by erosion and subsequent sedimentation during the construction phase. Such erosion would primarily be caused by removal of existing vegetation and placement of unvegetated fill leading to increased exposure of soils to weather and runoff potential. Sedimentation may increase slightly as soil becomes disturbed and subsequently erodes. Several structural, vegetative and operational methods would be used together to decrease erosion effects during construction. These control measures may include:

- conducting work during drier seasons (i.e. autumn and early winter),
- seeding, sodding and stabilizing slopes as soon as possible to minimize the time of area exposure,
- stabilizing ditches at the tops of cuts and at the bottoms of fill slopes before evacuation and formation of embankments,
- proper use of sediment traps, silt fences, slope drains, water holding areas and other control measures, and
- use of diversion dikes, mulches, netting, energy dissipater and other physical erosion controls on slopes where vegetation cannot be supported.

A grading plan and sediment and erosion control plan will be prepared and implemented in accordance with Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) regulations. The grading and sediment control plans will minimize the potential for impacts to water quality from erosion and sedimentation. Proper implementation of the control plans will help prevent any erosion that would occur during and after construction. Furthermore, temporary and permanent controls are reviewed and approved by MDE prior to initiation of work. Measures to prevent erosion in highly susceptible areas (i.e., steep slopes) are included in the control plans when necessary.

## c. Water Resources

## 1. Surface Water and Groundwater

The study area generally lies on the divide between the Paint Branch watershed to the east and the Northwest Branch watershed to the west. Both watersheds are within the Washington Metropolitan drainage basin. Paint Branch and its tributaries are classified as Use III (Natural Trout) waters above the Capital Beltway. Northwest Branch and tributaries are Use IV streams (please refer to Chapter VI, Other Agency Correspondence). An intermittent stream (a tributary of Paint Branch) generally bisects the White Oak Golf Course and drains from north to south. In-stream work is prohibited in Use III streams from October $1^{\text {st }}$ to April $30^{\text {th }}$ of any given year (MDE, COMAR 26.08.02). There are no perennial streams in the study area. Waterways draining to both Paint Branch and Northwest Branch are tributaries to the Anacostia River, a State Scenic and Wild River.

SHA's Selected Alternative would require the construction of one outfall pipe for each of the two proposed SWM facilities. The outfall pipes would provide drainage east from the facilities through the golf course property and outfall into a small waterway also located on golf course property in the event of a 100 year storm event. The Selected Alternative adds 5.08 acres of impervious area. Both SWM ponds combined treat 12.28 acres of impervious area. Both SWM ponds are designed with 12-hour detention periods for the one year storm event (Final Stormwater Management Report 2002).

SHA's Selected Alternative would not affect aquifer formations or the level of the groundwater table because local aquifers are recharged by precipitation throughout the study area. In general, fluctuations of the groundwater level depend upon precipitation amounts and temperature.

The Selected Alternative has limited potential for groundwater contamination from roadway runoff due to the fact that there are closed drainage systems throughout the study area. Possible pollutants include engine oil, brake lining, coolant, rubber and road salt. The impacts are limited spatially by the natural processes of the soil, so there should be less effect farther from the roadway.

SHA's Selected Alternative would increase impervious areas by approximately 5.1 acres. To minimize adverse effects from road wash, stormwater BMPs would be strictly followed to comply with MDE standards. All runoff in the drainage area of Relocated Michelson Road and the additional right turn lane added by the Selected Alternative will pass through one of the SWM facilities before evaporation or discharge into the intermittent stream. Specific measures to reduce runoff include adherence to erosion and sediment control procedures, vegetating and stabilizing exposed soil.

## d. Ecological Effects

## 1. Waterways/Wetlands

SHA's Selected Alternative would impact 43 linear feet of stream from Waterway A and 50 linear feet from Waterway B, for a total of 93 linear feet of stream impact. Stream impacts would be required for the installation of SWM outfall pipes. The Selected Alternative would not impact Waterway D. In addition, no wetland impacts are anticipated with the Selected Alternative. Please refer to Figure 4 waterway and wetland locations within the study area, and Table 1 for a description of impacts to the waterways/wetlands in the study area.

## 2. Terrestrial Habitat/Wildlife

SHA's Selected Alternative would have minimal permanent effects upon a small area of pervious ground on the White Oak Golf Course. This existing area does not provide substantial wildlife habitat because it is not large enough to be a wildlife corridor or Forest Interior Dwelling Species (FIDS) habitat, it is maintained turf or forest as part of the golf course and it provides little use as food, cover or nesting area for native wildlife. The Selected Alternative would impact one-half acre of forest for the construction of the outfall pipe from the SWM facility south of Mahan Drive. This area would be able to revert to forest, but some existing trees may be subject to removal or root pruning. The remainder of the affected terrestrial habitat is already urbanized and serves limited use as native wildlife habitat.

Large trees were defined as those trees having a diameter at breast height ( DBH ) equal to or greater than 24 inches and in good health. Significant trees were defined as those trees having a DBH equal to or greater than 75 percent of the DBH of the current state champion for that particular species. Ten large trees and no significant trees were identified within the study area (Table 4). The construction of the bikeway and sidewalk would impact four red oaks (Quercus rubra) and one black cherry (Prunus serotina). The fill associated with the road embankment would impact one 34 -inch tulip poplar (Liriodendron tulipifera) and the 30 -inch sweet gum (Liquidambar styraciflua). The stormwater outfall pipe from the southern SWM facility would impact one 32 -inch tulip poplar associated with the forest stand on the golf course. Table 4 lists the large trees and describes the impacts from the Selected Alternative. Please refer to Figure 4 for locations of the large trees.

Table 4
Large Trees

| Common Name | Scientific Name | Diameter at Breast Height (DBH) | Impact |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Red Oak | Quercus rubra | $30^{\prime \prime}$ | Root prune |
| Red Oak | Quercus rubra | $32^{\prime \prime}$ | Removal |
| Red Oak | Quercus rubra | $34^{\prime \prime}$ | Removal |
| Red Oak | Quercus rubra | $37^{\prime \prime}$ | Root prune |
| Black Cherry | Prunus serotina | $39^{\prime \prime}$ | Removal |
| Tulip Poplar* | Liriodendron tulipifera | $32^{\prime \prime}$ | Removal |
| Tulip Poplar | Liriodendron tulipifera | $34^{\prime \prime}$ | Removal |
| Sweet Gum | Liquidambar styraciflua | $30^{\prime \prime}$ | Root prune |
| Willow Oak | Quercus phellos | $40^{\prime \prime}$ | Not impacted |
| Willow Oak | Quercus phellos | $47^{\prime \prime}$ | Not impacted |

* Within a forest stand

Per Natural Resources Article 5-103, "Reforestation Law," adopted 1989, amended 1990 and 1991, the construction of a highway by a unit of the state:

1. May cut or clear only the minimum number of trees and other woody plants that are necessary and consistent with sound design practices, and
2. Shall make every reasonable effort to minimize the cutting or clearing of trees and other woody plants.

The Maryland Reforestation Law requires the minimizing of forest clearing, replacement of removed wooded areas or contributions to a reforestation fund if forested areas are taken. Every effort will be made to minimize the impacts within the project area. All highway construction projects utilizing $\$ 1$ or more of State funding must perform mitigation for forest impacts. Forest mitigation is required for any State project that requires one or more acre of impact. SHA's Selected Alternative would impact 0.5 acre of woodlands. Therefore, no reforestation mitigation is required under the Maryland Reforestation Act.

The Selected Alternative will impact eight individual trees. This will require SHA to submit a "Roadside Tree Permit" in compliance with the Maryland Reforestation Law. Impacted roadside trees can be defined as plants that have woody stem or trunk that grow all, or in part, within the ROW of a public road per "Natural Resources Article 5-103, the Reforestation Law" (Maryland DNR Forest Service). SHA will submit a Roadside Tree Permit to DNR Forest Service.

## 3. Aquatic Wildlife/Habitat

SHA's Selected Alternative would propose the construction of two SWM outfall pipes that will discharge into the Waterways $A$ and $B$. The quality of storm water discharged would not have any adverse effects on the existing water quality. The Selected Alternative would not impact vegetated wetlands. The Selected Alternative would have no adverse effect on water quality or aquatic habitat, and would therefore have no adverse impact on aquatic wildlife.

## 4. Endangered and Threatened Species

Coordination with the DNR and the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) indicated that no known federal or state, rare, threatened or endangered (RTE) species were identified within the study area. Therefore, none would be affected by SHA's Selected Alternative.

## 6. Noise

Six Noise Sensitive Areas (NSAs), were identified in the study corridor. A total of 41 receptors were identified to represent noise sensitive land uses within the six NSAs. The location of these NSAs and receptor locations are shown on Figure 7. The projected 2025 design-year noise levels indicate that the FHWA Noise Abatement Criterion ( 67 dBA ) is approached ( 66 dBA ) or exceeded at five of the six NSAs associated with SHA's Selected Alternative. Sound barriers are not feasible or reasonable at the six NSAs due to the following reasons:

- SHA's vehicular/pedestrian access and no-build vs. build relationship criteria,
- desirable construction width required for a sound barrier,
- cost per benefited residence criteria,
- seasonal and transient nature of the activities taking place on the property, and
- lack of capacity enhancing improvements affecting the highway noise environment.


The following summarizes noise abatement evaluation for each NSA:

## NSA 1

NSA 1 (represented by Receptor 1-1) is a two-story brick church (Our Savior Episcopal Church) in the northeast quadrant of the MD650/Powder Mill Road intersection. A sound barrier at NSA 1 is considered not feasible or reasonable based on SHA's vehicular/pedestrian access and no-build vs. build relationship criteria ( 3 dBA or less difference between build and no-build noise levels). If a sound barrier were to be constructed at this NSA, a gap or opening would be required for the access drive, as well as Greenacres Drive to maintain access to the church and adjacent community. Gaps or openings of this nature for vehicular access and desirable sight distance at the access point would reduce the achievable barrier insertion loss and compromise the sound barrier's effectiveness.

## NSA 2

NSA 2 (represented by Receptors 2-1 to 2-23) consists of two residential communities (Hillandale Heights and Burnt Mills Knolls) on the west side of MD 650, between Chalmers Road and Lockwood Drive. A sound barrier at NSA 2 is considered not feasible or reasonable based on SHA's vehicular/pedestrian access and no-build vs. build relationship criteria, as well as the desirable construction width required for a sound barrier. If a sound barrier were to be constructed between Chalmers Road and Ruppert Road, gaps or openings would be required to maintain access for Chalmers Road, Ruppert Road and the private driveways to MD 650. The same applies for a sound barrier between Ruppert Road and Lockwood Drive. Gaps or openings of this nature for vehicular access and desirable sight distance at the access points would reduce the achievable barrier insertion loss and compromise the sound barrier's effectiveness. If the sound barrier would be constructed in the grassy area between the service road and the MD 650 southbound roadway, the need for driveway gaps would be eliminated but gaps would still be required to allow access points at Ruppert Road, Schindler Drive, McCeney Avenue and Northwest Drive. In addition, the grassy area is quite narrow and is generally insufficient in width for the physical construction of a sound barrier. Lastly, the grassy area contains a line of utility poles and associated transmission lines, which would require relocation.

NSA 3
NSA 3 (represented by Receptors 3-1 to 3-7) is the White Oak Golf Course, located on the east side of MD 650 between Chalmers Road and existing Michelson Road. A sound barrier at NSA 3 is considered not feasible or reasonable based on SHA's vehicular/pedestrian access, no-build vs. build relationship, the cost per benefited residence criteria and the seasonal and transient nature of the activities taking place on the property. If a sound barrier were to be constructed, it would require gaps or openings to provide pedestrian and vehicular access and desirable sight distances at Mahan Drive, Relocated Michelson Road and private driveways north and south of the golf course property. Gaps or openings of this nature would reduce the achievable barrier insertion loss and compromise the sound barrier's effectiveness.

NSA 4
NSA 4 (represented by Receptor 4-1) is the Berkshire Towers, three nineteen-story apartment buildings on the west side of MD 650 between Lockwood Drive and US 29. NSA 4 will not be impacted.

## NSA 5

NSA 5 (represented by Receptors 5-1 to 5-8) consists of a community of single-family residences on Sonata Way. A sound barrier at NSA 5 is considered not feasible or reasonable based on SHA's vehicular/pedestrian access, no-build vs. build relationship, cumulative effects criteria and the lack of capacity enhancing improvements affecting the highway noise environment. If a sound barrier were to be constructed, gaps or openings would be required to maintain access for Milestone Drive, Quaint Acres Drive and the private driveway to MD 650 for the St. Stephen Lutheran Church. Gaps or openings of this nature would reduce the achievable barrier insertion loss and compromise the sound barrier's effectiveness. The improvements proposed for this area are limited to the reconstruction of the US 29 southbound on-ramp to provide a tighter radius and the provision of a double left-turn from northbound MD 650 to the southbound on-ramp, neither of which are considered capacity enhancements.

## NSA 6

NSA 6 (represented by Receptor 6-1) consists of two single-family residences and an assisted living center (currently under construction) north of the US 29 underpass. A sound barrier at NSA 6 is
considered not feasible or reasonable based on SHA's vehicular/pedestrian access, no-build vs. build relationship, cumulative effects and aesthetics criteria. If a sound barrier were to be constructed, gaps or openings would be required to maintain access and provide desirable sight distance for the new subdivision roadway under construction opposite Quaint Acres Drive and the entrance to the library on MD 650. Gaps or openings of this nature would reduce the achievable barrier insertion loss and compromise the sound barrier's effectiveness. In addition, a barrier of the height required could present a visual impact for residents west of MD 650 and travelers on MD 650 and the US 29 southbound off-ramp.

## Construction Impacts

Land uses that are sensitive to vehicular noise would also be sensitive to construction noise. Although highway construction is a short-term condition, it can cause significant noise impacts. Additionally, it is possible that some construction may occur at night to avoid severe traffic impacts. The extent and severity of the noise impact would depend upon the phase of construction and the noise characteristics of the construction equipment in use. Construction would have direct impact on receptors located close to the construction site and would have an indirect impact on receptors located near roadways where traffic flow characteristics are altered due to re-routing of vehicles from the construction area.

## 7. Air Quality

## a. Objectives and Types of Analysis

This analysis will serve as support documentation for the project and has been prepared in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), FHWA, and SHA guidelines. CO impacts are analyzed as the accepted indicator of vehicle-generated air pollution. The CO microscale analysis indicates that overall air quality in the project area will improve as a result of SHA's Selected Alternative. Under the No-Build Alternative, the increased vehicle volume forecasted for the project area in the future would overburden the existing roadway configurations extending queue lengths from signalized intersections. The Selected Alternative would add lane capacity for the additional vehicles and improve the signal timing for the new configuration. This will result in more efficient vehicle movement at intersections and reduce the overall queue length of idling
vehicles waiting to make left turns. The improved movements, shorter queue lengths and more efficient timing of signals will ultimately improve the CO emissions at signalized intersections with the Selected Alternative.

## b. Construction Impacts

The construction phase of the proposed project has the potential to impact the local ambient air quality by generating fugitive dust through activities such as demolition and materials handling. SHA has addressed this possibility by establishing "Standard Specifications for Construction and Materials" which specifies procedures to be followed by contractors involved in site work.

The Maryland Air and Radiations Management Administration was consulted to determine the adequacy of the "Specifications" in terms of satisfying the requirements of the "Regulations Governing the Control of Air Pollution in the State of Maryland". It found the specifications to be consistent with the requirements of these regulations. Therefore, during the construction period, all appropriate measures (Code of Maryland Regulations 26.11.03D) would be incorporated to minimize the impact of the proposed transportation improvements on the air quality of the study area.

## c. Receptor Site Locations

Fifty-nine air quality receptors were selected to represent air quality sensitive locations within the study area. Five hotspot intersection locations were selected for a detailed CO analysis. The five intersections consisted of 50 air quality receptors. The hotspot intersections are:

- MD 650/Powder Mill Road
- MD 650/Schindler Drive/Mahan Drive
- MD 650/Michelson Road
- MD 650/Lockwood Drive
- MD 650/US 29 Interchange

The remaining nine receptors were placed at sensitive receptor locations such as near sidewalks, residential dwellings, schools, churches or parks. For detailed receptor locations, please refer to the MD 650 (from 1-495 to US 29) Intersection Improvements, Air Quality Technical Analysis Report.

## d. Results of the Microscale Analysis

The results of the predicted CO concentrations for both the No-Build and Build Alternative for the build (2007) and design (2025) years are described in detail below. For additional technical information regarding the CO microscale analysis, refer to the MD 650 (from I-495 to US 29) Intersection Improvements, Air Quality Technical Analysis Report. Receptor CO concentrations for the 2025 No-Build Alternative exceeded the State and National Ambient Air Quality Standards (S/NAAQS) for the 1 -hour ( 35.0 ppm ) and 8 -hour ( 9.0 ppm ) analyses. No receptor CO concentration exceeded the 1 -hour S/NAAQS standard ( 35.0 ppm ) or the 8 -hour standard ( 9.0 ppm ) for the 2007 No-Build and 2007 Build Alternatives. No CO concentrations exceeded the S/NAAQS for the 2025 Build Alternative.

The CO concentrations for the 2007 Build Alternative were generally higher than that of the 2007 No-Build Alternative. The higher CO concentrations in the 2007 Build Alternative may be due to greater predicted traffic volumes occurring in the project study area. Relative comparison of the 2025 No-Build Alternative versus the 2025 Build Alternative identified that CO concentrations for the No-Build Alternative were generally higher than the Build Alternative. This was likely due to the constrained capacity of the roadway to handle forecasted traffic for the year 2025. Both the 2025 No-Build Alternative and the 2025 Build Alternative experienced elevated concentrations of CO at the intersections of MD 650 with Powder Mill Road, Michelson Road and Lockwood Drive. This was expected due to vehicle idle emissions in queue at the signalized intersections. The only violations of the S/NAAQS occurred at the intersection of MD 650 and Powder Mill Road. The 2025 No-Build Alternative analysis predicted that Receptors 5, 9 and 10 exceed the eight-hour standard ( 9.0 ppm ), while Receptors 11 and 12 exceed the 1 -hour standard ( 35.0 ppm ). These elevated levels in the 2025 No-Build Alternative may be explained because of the increased traffic volumes and the longer queue lengths at the MD 650/Powder Mill Road intersection. However, the 2025 No-Build Alternative was not designed to function properly with increased predicted traffic volumes.

Receptor 5 was located on the northeast corner of the MD 650/Powder Mill Road intersection. This receptor CO concentration of 9.2 ppm exceeded the 8 -hour $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{NAAQS}$ criteria of 9.0 ppm in the 2025 No-Build Alternative. The 2025 No-Build Alternative did not contain additional lane assignments for the additional traffic volumes predicted for the 2025 model year. The additional traffic volumes and longer queue lengths predicted for westbound Powder Mill Road in the 2025 model year resulted in 8-hour CO violations.

Receptors 9 through 12 were located at the northwest corner of the MD 650/Powder Mill Road intersection (Figure 7). The model predicted that Receptors 9 ( 11.7 ppm ) and $10(9.9 \mathrm{ppm})$ would have CO concentrations that exceeded the 8 -hour S/NAAQS criteria for the 2025 No-Build Alternative. Receptors 11 ( 37.7 ppm ) and 12 ( 37.2 ppm ) exceeded the 1-hour standard of 35 ppm for the 2025 No-Build Alternative. The violations occurred in the 2025 morning rush hour model. The 2025 No-Build Alternative was not designed to accommodate the increase in predicted traffic for the 2025 analysis year. The predicted traffic volume moving southbound in the morning rush hour was 5,595 vehicles on the existing three through lanes and one left turn lane. It was expected that CO violations existed in the 2025 No-Build Alternative.

## e. Conformity with Regional Air Quality Planning

This project is located in Montgomery County, Maryland. The County is not designated as a nonattainment area for CO or particulate matter $\left(\mathrm{PM}_{10}\right)$, but is designated as a non-attainment area for ozone $\left(\mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$. The MD 650 improvement is identified in the 2001 - 2006 Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP) and the Year 2000 Constrained Long Range Plan (CLRP). The MD 650 project is categorized in the CLRP's Projects under Major Study as a component of the East West Link Improvements. The projects included in the TIP and CLRP are consistent with the air quality budgets set forth in the State Implementation Plan (SIP) Phase II Attainment Plan. The Phase II Plan has been prepared by the Metropolitan Washington Air Quality Committee to comply with the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 and with an EPA memorandum dated March 2, 1995 on the phased attainment demonstration process. Because the project was considered in the region's CLRP as a study, it may be subject to a future conformity determination once its design has been completed. Meeting conformity requirements in future years may require the implementation of additional transportation control measures that reduce vehicle miles traveled. Employer based TCMs in the study area include the services provided through the "Commuter Connections"
program. "Commuter Connections", a service provided through the Metropolitan Council of Governments, assists commuters in finding a commute alternative to the single occupant vehicle (SOV) trip. Their services include ride-matching assistance to help employees in locating potential carpool/vanpool matches and guaranteed ride home programs. Additional TCMs that reduce the need for SOV trips that are in use at the current FDA facility includes parking space incentives for carpool/vanpool users and flexible work hour programs. Bus service between the FDA site and the Silver Spring Metrorail Station is also available providing an alternative to SOV trips. Since the FDA is a federal government agency, GSA has agreed to implement these types of TCMs to reduce emissions (1997 FEIS). Please refer to III.C. Project History for a description of transportation management strategies, such as transit usage and carpooling.

## 8. Hazardous Materials

## a. Initial Site Assessment (ISA)

The study area was inspected on November 14, 2001. For the purposes of this hazardous waste ISA, general site characteristics were examined. The site inspection focused on the following areas of concern:

- Underground Storage Tank (USTs)/Aboveground Storage Tank (ASTs)
- Storage, handling and disposal of hazardous substances
- Waste disposal areas
- Stained soils, gravel or pavement
- Electrical transformers
- Odors
- Collection ponds
- Stressed vegetation
- Discolored water/seeps/discharges
- Other potential areas of concern


## b. File Review and Agency Coordination

The Montgomery County Department of Environmental Protection and MDE were contacted to obtain information on properties within the study area. According to the Montgomery County Department of Environmental Protection, no records were found in their database indicating any environmental problems investigated by their office. A file review with MDE was also conducted. MDE had several files for sites identified within the study area. The results of the MDE file review regarding tank removal, corrective actions and site monitoring were considered in the assessment of environmental contamination potential.

## c. Site Inspection

A total of 39 sites with potential environmental concerns were identified in the study area during the ISA investigation. The following sites were included in the inspection:

- Active gasoline stations
- Former gasoline stations
- Vehicle repair shops
- Dry cleaners
- Facilities with USTs
- Businesses with large or small quantity hazardous waste generator permits

Many of these properties were included because of the materials handled on site and the nature of activities conducted, not because of a verified presence of soil and/or groundwater contamination.

## d. Conclusions and Recommendations

SHA guidelines for performing an ISA and the ASTM E 1527-00 Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessment: Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Process were followed.

The database search documented a number of sites where USTs have been removed or are permanently out of service. The Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR 26.10.10.02, Code of Maryland Regulations is a Maryland state regulation which regulates waste material. Title 26 Department of the Environment, Subtitle 10 - Oil Pollution and Tank Management, Chapter 10 -Out-of-Service UST Systems and Closure, Section 2 - Permanent Closure and Changes-In-Services) requires that USTs be removed from the ground or, with MDE approval, filled with an inert material. Before a UST site is closed, the owner must determine if a release occurred. If evidence of a release exists, the owners must begin corrective action according to COMAR 26.10.03 (Title 26, Department of the Environment, Subtitle 10 - Oil Pollution and Tank Management, Chapter 3UST Systems: Design, Construction, Installation and Notification).

Should a property with underground storage tanks be impacted by the project, the USTs would need to be removed in accordance with all applicable local and state regulations (COMAR 26.10.10.02). Although some gasoline stations will be impacted by a slight expansion of ROW, no underground
storage tanks will be affected. The US NSWC is listed as a site with high potential for Hazardous Waste. However the ROW expansion occurs entirely on the White Oak Golf Course. The history of the White Oak Golf Course does not indicate a presence of hazardous materials or waste disposal. Therefore, no sites within the project area are anticipated to pose a threat to construction activities or ROW expansion.

## G. Secondary and Cumulative Effects Analysis

A Secondary and Cumulative Effects Analysis (SCEA) was performed in compliance with the NEPA and Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations that require the secondary and cumulative effects on the project be examined (40 CFR 1508.25 (c)).

## 1. Scoping <br> a. Resources

Resources considered in the SCEA are those that are directly impacted by the project alternatives and those resources impacted from any secondary development that would be the result of the project's action. Coordination initiated with the M-NCPPC concluded that no secondary development is contingent upon implementation of SHA's Selected Alternative. Other sources that were referenced regarding secondary development included the 1997 White Oak Master Plan and the 1997 USDA FEIS. An environmental inventory identified three resources that are impacted by the project alternatives. The resources considered in the SCEA and how they will be analyzed is presented in Table 5.

## Table 5

SCEA Resources

| Resource | Analysis Methodology |
| :---: | :--- |
| Waters of <br> the US | Evaluate published trends of watersheds to estimate past impacts to Waters of the US. Trends analysis will <br> be supported with population and employment data for the future time frames to show the effect that growth <br> pressures have on this resource. |
| Recreational <br> Facilities | Inventory recreational facilities, parkland and schools from Environmental Systems Research Institute <br> (ESRI) data, ADC maps and the Parks, Recreation and Open Space Plan (PROS Plan). Overlay future land <br> use in relation to existing recreational facilities to identify impacts. Support overlay analysis with <br> population and employment data to show the effect that growth pressures have on this type of resource. |
| Historic | Inventory National Register and/or Maryland Inventory sites within the SCEA boundary. Overlay future <br> land use in relation to existing historic properties to identify impacts. Support overlay analysis with <br> population and employment data to show the effect that growth pressures have on this resource. |

## b. Boundary

The establishment of the SCEA boundary is a synthesis of all sub-boundaries into one overall SCEA boundary and considered available data for all the sub-boundaries relevant to the proposed action. The sub-boundaries considered in establishing the SCEA boundary are listed below.

- Direct Impacts
- Area of Traffic Influence
- Subwatersheds
- Census Tracts
- County Planning Area
- Sewer and Water Service

Figure 8 shows the SCEA boundary in relation to the Area of Traffic Influence, Subwatershed, Census Tracts and Traffic Analysis Zones (TAZs) sub-boundaries into the overall SCEA geographical boundary. All sub-boundaries were considered in establishing the SCEA boundary but only those sub-boundaries influencing the shape of the SCEA boundary are shown on Figure 8.

## c. Time Frame

## 1. Past Time Frame

Data collected to determine the past time frame include events with historic consequence to the development of the SCEA study area. The following events/policy decisions were considered when determining the past time frame, however the past time frame was not significantly influenced by these events/policies:

- 1954-64 Opening/Expansions of I-495
- 1964 General Plan (Wedges and Corridors)
- 1981 Eastern Montgomery County Master Plan

1980 was selected as the past time frame limit. A sewer moratorium for eastern Montgomery County was lifted in 1980. The result helped initiate rapid development of the US 29 corridor in Montgomery and Howard Counties. The selection of 1980 as the past time frame limit is substantiated by trends that show the SCEA study area decreasing in population by 37 percent from 1970 to 1980 and increasing in population by 50 percent in the period from 1980 to 1990 (Figure 9).


## Figure 9

## SCEA Study Area Population Change



## 2. Future Time Frame

The year 2007 is proposed as the SCEA's future time frame limit. 2007 is the design year of the project and represents the timeframe in which travel forecasting land use assumptions were conducted for the project.

## 2. Analysis

## a. Land Use Scenarios

## 1. Past

The primary data source available for establishing land use in the 1980 time period is the Montgomery County Eastern Montgomery County Planning Area Master Plan. This Master Plan identifies land use, zoning, transportation and public facilities for the year 1979.
There are seven land use classifications that that make-up the Master Plan including:

- Single Family
- Apartments
- Commercial and Office
- Industrial
- Public Parkland
- Public and Semi-Public
- Agricultural, Woodland, Vacant

The SCEA boundary consists of six land use classifications with Public Parkland (39\%) and Agricultural/Vacant land (22\%) making up the majority of land use.

## 2. Present

The present land use scenario established for the SCEA is based on the 1997 White Oak Master Plan. The SCEA boundary consists of seven land use classifications with Single Family Residential (50\%) making up the majority.

The present land use scenario was updated based on proposed development (one to five years beyond present year), as defined in the 1997 White Oak Master Plan. According to the Master Plan, the Milestone Drive Properties, located at the intersection of US 29 and MD 650, was the only undeveloped area within the SCEA Boundary. Since publication of the 1997 White Oak Master Plan, the property has been developed into a mixed residential community. This site consists of 37 developable acres and is appropriate for single-family detached units and single-family attached units. Two transportation projects, intersection improvements at MD 650/Lockwood Drive and US 29/Stewart Lane, have been completed in the present timeframe but do not alter the underlying land use of the SCEA boundary. The proposed land development for this area is consistent with the base land use scenario established in the Master Plan.

## 3. Future

According to the 1997 White Oak Master Plan, commercial and transit development planned for the study area is consistent with present land use. The Master Plan supports and reinforces the existing land use patterns of the 1997 White Oak Master Plan communities and encourages development in the commercial centers that will strengthen their function and sense of place.

The future land use scenario was established by overlaying parcels of land recommended for rezoning or development in the 1997 White Oak Master Plan, with the present land use scenario. Each of the land parcels greater than ten acres overlaid on the present land use scenario is summarized in Table 6 and shown on Figure 10. No major transportation projects are planned for the future timeframe (beyond the year 2007).

## Table 6

Future Land Development

| Property | Property Size | Existing Land Use | Proposed Development | Zoning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hillandale Commercial Center | 31.4 Acres | Commercial | Require Properties to provide a "Main Street" Development | Maintain Commercial Zoning |
| Burnt Mills Commercial Center | 17.6 Acres | Commercial | Redevelopment of Manor Care International | Rezone 5.88 acres of C-2 <br> (General Commercial) to C-4 <br> (Limited Commercial). |

The future land use in the SCEA geographical boundary for the year 2007 will remain similar to the existing scenario. Development of land parcels described above may alter the appearance of small isolated land areas, but are unlikely to change the overall land use from its current condition.

## b. SCEA Resources

## 1. Waters of the US (WUS)

Impacts to WUS examined trends in watershed quality for the Middle Potomac River Basin and Anacostia River Watersheds. The SCEA boundary is contained in both watersheds. The Anacostia River and two unnamed tributaries are contained within the SCEA boundary (Figure 11).

## a. Past

According to the Maryland DNR Watershed Profile for the Anacostia River Watershed, past and current stresses to surface water quality in the SCEA area include agricultural runoff, stormwater runoff and sedimentation/siltation due to development.

Data obtained from the Middle Potomac Watershed Status and Trends Report indicates that there is a general improvement in water quality in the Middle Potomac River Basin resulting from the overall decreasing trend in phosphorus concentrations from 1985 to 2000. The nitrogen levels have shown no trend over this same time frame. The status for dissolved oxygen content has been good.


The Potomac Washington Metro Basin Environmental Assessment of Stream Conditions report indicated that both fish habitat and benthos indicate substantial problems with stream resources in the basin. These problems are a direct result of urbanization within the watershed. The basin has the highest percentage of urban land use ( 45 percent or 192 square miles) of all 18 major river basins in the State of Maryland.

## b. Future

SHA's Selected Alternative would increase impervious areas by approximately 5.1 acres. SHA is constructing two SWM facilities to treat the additional impervious surfaces required under the Selected Alternative. According to GSA's 1997 FEIS, the proposed FDA facility consolidation will increase impervious area by approximately 29 acres on the main FDA site and 17 acres on the remote parking area. Impervious areas increase the amount of runoff that is discharged into receiving streams. Cumulative impacts from the FDA site and the Selected Alternative include increased levels of sedimentation, pollutants and thermal loading in the receiving streams. Impacts from these increases in impervious areas would be mitigated through the use of BMP's during and after construction. Refer to GSA's 1997 FEIS regarding detailed proposed SWM on the FDA site.

Trends analysis (other than the Selected Alternative and FDA's consolidation project) shows that development pressures continue to add stress to WUS habitat inside the SCEA geographical boundary. According to the M-NCPPC forecasts, future population is expected to increase ten percent between the years 2000 and 2010 for Montgomery County and seven percent for the State of Maryland.

Overlay analysis shows that one of the vacant land parcels will occur in areas of WUS. The Dow Jones parcel ( 15.76 acres), located on US 29, currently contains a small Technology and Business Park covering a portion of the lot. The remainder of the parcel is vacant. Future development of this parcel will transform the vacant portion of the parcel to residential use. However, in order to provide protection of the existing stream valley, the plan recommends that a 50 -feet buffer be retained on the property to ensure compatibility with future residential development. The 1997 White Oak Master Plan identifies the need to protect and enhance the natural resources in order to
sustain a stable and healthy biological environment. To minimize further degradation of WUS a number of laws and regulations are applicable to preserving this land in the future timeframe. They include:

- Clean Water Act, Section 404
- Clean Water Act, Section 401
(Water Quality Certification)
- Maryland Waterway Construction Statute (COMAR) 26.17.04
- Maryland Planning Act, 1992


## 2. Historic Resources

Evaluation of historic resources included overlaying National Register of Historic Places (NRHP) and Maryland Inventory of Historic Properties with the future land use scenario. One National Register Property (Rachel Carson House) and 11 properties (including Rachel Carson House) listed in the Maryland Inventory of Historic Properties are contained within the SCEA geographical boundary. These properties are shown on Figure 12.

## a. Past

Records show that trends in the elimination or protection of historic sites in the past time frame are not readily available. For these reasons, a past to present trend was not conducted for these resources.

## b. Future

Future assessment of historic properties included overlaying future development on the locations of existing known historic properties. Overlay analysis indicated that no NRHP or Maryland Inventory of Historic Properties listings would be affected by future development in the study area.

## 3. Recreational Facilities

Data sources from the PROS Plan, ESRI Institution data set and the Montgomery County ADC map identified eleven recreational facilities contained in the SCEA boundary (Figure 10).


## a. Past

Records show that trends in the elimination or protection of recreational facilities in the past timeframe are not readily available. Because of this, a past to present trend was not conducted for these resources.

## b. Future

Future assessment of recreation facilities included overlaying future development on the locations of existing known recreational facilities. Overlay analysis determined no recreational facilities would be affected by future development.

## 4. Conclusions

## a. Waters of the US

Waters of the US in the past to present time frame have experienced cumulative effects due to rapid residential and employment growth between 1980 and 2000. The rapid development has contributed to the loss of parkland and agricultural/vacant land inside the SCEA boundary. Despite the occurrence of cumulative effects to WUS, the style of growth that did occur is consistent with the goals set forth by the Eastern Montgomery County Master Plan.

The development in the past time frame occurred in a corridor designated for residential and commercial growth in the 1969 General Plan. The 1969 General Plan is an updated version of the 1964 plan. This is based on the concept of Wedges and Corridors and is a general plan for the Maryland-Washington regional district for Montgomery and Prince George's Counties.

No cumulative effects to WUS are expected to occur in the SCEA geographical boundary for the future time frame. Environmental and conservation programs/laws previously listed in Section III.G.2.b.1.b. will minimize impacts from future development.

## b. Historic Resources

Construction of the proposed new FDA headquarters will involve the demolition of nearly all of the "100 Area" buildings within an area of approximately 130 acres on the western portion of the site. Only Building 100, a Non-Contributing resource, and part of the Main Administration Building's
facade (Building 1) will be retained within this area. As a result of the construction of the Remote Parking Facility on a 40 acre parcel near the center of the site, approximately 46 contributing historic district resources were demolished within "100 Area".

SHA's Selected Alternative will have a direct permanent impact of 7.48 acres, 0.48 acres of perpetual easement and 1.89 acres of temporary impact to the White Oak Golf Course, part of the NOL Historic District. SHA's proposed project does not require demolition of any buildings. SHA determined that the impacts would have no adverse effect on the NOL Historic District and the SHPO concurred with this determination on January 14, 2002. Therefore, SHA's project will have no additional cumulative effects to historic resources.

Cumulative effects are not expected to occur in the future time frame due to national and county preservation regulations that protect historic resources. These regulations and preservation programs include the Master Plan for Montgomery County Historic Preservation, the Historic Preservation Ordinance, Chapter 24A of the Montgomery County Code and Section 4(f) of the U.S. Department of Transportation Act of 1966. Future development and transportation projects do not impact any of the historic resources, including the NOL Historic District, identified in the SCEA Boundary.

## c. Recreational Facilities

No cumulative effects to recreational facilities are expected to occur in the future time frame. Potential impacts to recreation facilities due to population and employment growth would be minimized by local and national laws designed to protect public facilities used for recreation including 49 U.S.C. Section 303 and FHWA Regulation - 23 CFR 771.135.
IV. FINAL SECTION 4(f) EVALUATION

## A. Introduction

Section 4(f) of the U.S. Department of Transportation Act of 1966 (49 USC 303 (c)) permits the use of land from a significant publicly-owned public park or recreation area, wildlife refuge or historic site (as determined by the officials having jurisdiction over the park, recreation area, refuge or site) only if there are no feasible and prudent alternatives to using that land. The action must also include all possible planning to minimize harm to the protected property resulting from this use.

The requirements of Section 4(f) apply to this project because SHA's Selected Alternative would require use of land from the White Oak Golf Course. The White Oak Golf Course is a contributing element of the Naval Ordnance Laboratory (NOL) Historic District and is classified as a publiclyowned public recreational land. The White Oak Golf Course is situated in the extreme western portion of GSA's property, immediately adjacent to MD 650. The Selected Alternative would impact the golf course by widening MD 650 to the east, encroaching within the golf course boundary. The proposed MD 650 improvements are necessary to accommodate additional highway traffic and capacity needs associated with future anticipated development in the area. FDA's consolidation will substantially contribute to the volume of traffic flow in this area. Therefore, impacts to this Section 4(f) resource are necessary to provide adequate transportation improvements to support the traffic needs associated with FDA's consolidation and other future projected new development that would add traffic to the MD 650 corridor.

## B. Proposed Action

The purpose of this project is to improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north of US 29. The proposed action involves the widening of MD 650 from approximately Acres Drive to Chalmers Drive (Figure 4). The proposed typical section would include four through-lanes in the northbound direction and three through lanes in the southbound direction. The typical section would also include a median of variable width that would range from 16 to 40 feet. Widening will also occur on Powder Mill Road, Mahan Road and Lockwood Drive. The proposed scope of work includes the installation of two SWM ponds, an access road, inlets and outfalls, landscaping, milling and resurfacing existing pavement and construction of medians, curbs and gutters, bikeways and sidewalks. The project also includes the
relocation of Michelson Road (to be constructed by others) to a new location across from Northwest Drive, the relocation and reconstruction of the US 29 southbound ramp and the widening of the US 29 northbound ramp. Refer to Chapters I and III for more detail on SHA's Selected Alternative.

## C. Description of Section 4(f) Resources

The White Oak Golf Course (Photos 1 and 2) is located on the east side of MD 650. In 1995, the closure of the former Naval Service Warfare Center (NSWC) on New Hampshire Avenue was announced as part of the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act of 1990. The White Oak Federal Center, comprising 730 acres, includes a nine-hole golf course that served as a buffer area to the former naval activities. The golf course was developed by an employee group in the 1950s and was operated and maintained by the group for the exclusive use of their members. The employee group relinquished their control of the course effective January 1, 1997.


Photo 1: White Oak Golf Course


Photo 2: White Oak Golf Course from MD 650

The Department of the Navy granted the M-NCPPC a temporary license to operate the golf course, and the course was opened to the public in July, 1997. The license was to continue following transfer of the administrative jurisdiction of the NSWC from the Navy to the GSA, on October 18, 1997 and remained in place until the M-NCPPC and GSA entered into a service contract for the golf course. The term of the service contract expired on October 31, 2000. The M-NCPPC is currently negotiating with the GSA to continue operating the course during the planning process of long-term improvements to the course.

A Navy employee group developed the golf course in the 1950s, with no Navy-appointed funds used for its construction and maintenance. Initially, membership was restricted to military and civilian employees of NOL. For community relations, membership was opened to residents in the surrounding communities by the 1960s. The Navy relinquished its control of the course effective January 1, 1997.

## D. Impacts on Section 4(f) Resources

SHA's Selected Alternative would impact the White Oak Golf Course/NOL Historic District by widening MD 650 to the east, encroaching within the western perimeter of the property. Impacts to this Section 4(f) resource are necessary to provide adequate transportation improvements to support the traffic needs associated with FDA's consolidation and other future projected new development in the area. These impacts would occur as a result of the proposed widening of MD 650 for an additional northbound through lane along MD 650 and the turn lane from northbound MD 650 to Mahan Drive and the proposed turning movements to/from Relocated Michelson Road. Additional property impacts would occur for the proposed relocated Michelson Road (to be constructed by others). Relocated Michelson Road is proposed south of its current location. The Relocated Michelson Road would shift the existing Michelson Road/MD 650 intersection approximately 550 feet south, across from Northwest Drive.

Impacts to the Section 4(f) resource will also occur as a result of two proposed SWM facilities within the White Oak Golf Course property/NOL Historic District. SHA determined that the SWM ponds are the most feasible alternative for managing stormwater runoff as opposed to the alternative of excavating portions of MD 650 for placement of stormwater drainage pipes parallel to northbound MD 650. One of the SWM facilities would be located on the southern portion of the golf course and would be approximately 1.59 acres in size. An additional facility would be located on the northern section of the property, north of the proposed Relocated Michelson Road and would be approximately 1.24 acres in size. Both permanent and temporary impacts to the golf course would occur as a result of the proposed roadway improvements and SWM facilities.

Such impacts constitute a Section 4(f) "use" as defined in 23 CFR 771.135 (Section 4(f)) (49 U.S.C. 303). SHA requested a no adverse effect determination for this project from the State Historic

Preservation Officer (SHPO). The SHPO concurred with SHA's no adverse effect determination (January 14, 2002). Please refer to Chapter VI, Other Agency Correspondence.

SHA's Selected Alternative would require 7.48 acres of permanent ROW and 1.89 acres of temporary easement from the golf course property. In addition, 0.48 acres of perpetual easement will be required for maintenance and access to two proposed outfall pipes. Section 4(f) impacts will result not only from the roadway widening, but also from the installation of two SWM ponds.

Table 7
Summary of Impacts to the White Oak Golf Course

| Alternative | Impacts to White Oak Golf Course |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Proposed <br> ROW | Perpetual <br> Easement | Temporary <br> Easement |
| Selected Alternative | 7.48 acres | 0.48 acres | 1.89 acres |

The outfall pipes would extend from each of the SWM facilities, drain east through the golf course property and outfall into a small waterway also located on the golf course property (Figure 4). A perpetual easement is necessary at these two locations to allow SHA to maintain these outfall pipes.

The proposed improvements would require 1.89 acres of temporary easement from the golf course property. Types of temporary impacts necessary for construction of the project include areas needed to temporarily install erosion and sediment control measures during construction (removed immediately after construction) and areas needed for construction access to allow equipment on site to construct the roadway, embankments and SWM facilities. In general, temporary impacts extend approximately 15 feet beyond SHA's proposed ROW and will not result in modification to existing terrain. These temporary impacts require SHA to obtain temporary use concurrence from agencies with jurisdiction over the Section 4(f) property. The FHWA has determined that the requirements of Section $4(f)$ do not apply to the temporary use of a publicly-owned public recreational area when officials with jurisdiction over the resource indicate their agreements with the five criteria outlined below. As part of this project, SHA formally requested concurrence from GSA, M-NCPPC and MHT with the Section 4(f) criteria of temporary use of the golf course since these agencies have jurisdiction over the Section 4(f) property.

All of these agencies (M-NCPPC, MHT, and GSA) concurred that the requirements of Section 4(f) would not apply in this instance to 1.89 acre of temporary easement based on the following criteria:

- The duration of the use will be temporary and less than the time needed for construction of the project.

The temporary use of the NOL golf course for grading will be completed prior to the final completion of the MD 650 improvements.

- The ownership of the property will not change or result in the retention of long term or indefinite interests in the land for transportation purposes.

The ownership of the NOL golf course outside of existing and proposed SHA ROW will remain with GSA, leased by the M-NCPPC, and will continue to be maintained by M-NCPPC.

- The scope of the work will be minor, in which the nature and magnitude of the changes to the resource will be minimal.

Temporary use of the NOL golf course property will be required due to grading within the vicinity of the proposed SWM facilities, bike paths, sidewalks, and access roads. This will require approximately 1.89 acres of temporary easement from GSA within the NOL Historic District.

- There will be no anticipated permanent adverse physical impacts, nor will there be interference with the activities or purposes of the resource, on either a temporary or permanent basis.

The temporary grading will not adversely impact the front area that was created to provide a physical and natural buffer that preserves the visual character of the main complex. Overall, it is anticipated that there will be no interference with the activities or purposes of the NOL golf course, on either a temporary or permanent basis.

- The land being used will be fully restored, in that the resource will be returned to a condition, which is at least as good as that at which existed prior to the project.

The areas where temporary use is proposed will be restored to an acceptable condition upon completion of grading. Mature trees will be avoided to the extent possible. If any mature trees require removal, they will be properly mitigated with re-planting of the appropriate tree species by SHA upon approval by GSA and M-NCPPC.

## E. Avoidance and Minimization Alternatives

In addition to the No-Build Alternative, three other avoidance or minimization alternatives were developed to avoid impacting the White Oak Golf Course at White Oak NOL Historic District. SHA's Selected Alternative is considered a minimization alternative. Its design was modified extensively since the preliminary alternative (Section III.E.1 and Figure 3) to minimize Section 4(f) impacts.

## 1. Minimization Alternative

## Selected Alternative

The detailed design of SHA's Selected Alternative is included on Figure 4. The following minimization design techniques have been incorporated into the Selected Alternative:

- The design radii of Relocated Michelson Road were tightened to the maximum extent in order to reduce impacts to the golf course by 0.6 acre.
- The acceleration lane from Mahan Drive to northbound MD 650 was eliminated, thus reducing Section $4(f)$ impacts by 0.08 acre.
- The deceleration lane from the firehouse to Mahan Drive was shortened to minimum capacity, thus reducing Section 4(f) impacts by 0.05 acre.
- The proposed SWM facility south of Mahan Drive was shifted and modified in shape to minimize impacts and to blend with the redesign plans of the golf course.


## 2. Avoidance Alternatives

## Alternative 1 (No-Build)

Alternative 1 would not provide any significant improvements to MD 650 from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29 and would therefore not impact the White Oak Golf Course. Alternative 1 is not considered feasible and prudent because it does not address the project's purpose and need.

## Avoidance Alternative 1

Avoidance Alternative 1 holds the existing eastern curb line of MD 650 to avoid direct impacts to the golf course. This alternative proposes widening MD 650 to the west, towards the community of Burnt Mills (Figure 13). This would require the elimination of the service road and the landscaped buffer area, along the west side of MD 650.


This alternative would consist of four 12 -foot northbound lanes, three 12 -foot southbound lanes and an 18 -foot grass median on MD 650 . Where left turns are proposed, there would be a 6 -foot monolithic median. The southbound lanes would continue to allow access to each of the side streets. Schindler Drive would be the sole point of access for left turns into the Burnt Mills Community. Turns would no longer be allowed from the northbound lanes on Northwest Drive, McCeney Avenue, Rupert Road and Cresthaven Drive. Lockwood Drive would provide alternate access to the community. Table 8 summarizes the impacts associated with this avoidance alternative.

Table 8
Avoidance Alternative 1 Impacts

| Impact Category | Avoidance Alternative 1 Impacts |
| :--- | :--- |
| Noise | Noise levels would remain approximately the same or slightly increase, due to the change <br> in distance between the receiver and source. Sound barriers to mitigate traffic noise <br> impacts would remain not feasible or reasonable due to access and sight distance issues <br> for driveways and cross streets entering MD 650. |
| Air | Moving the roadway alignment closer to the Burnt Mills Community may result in a <br> slight increase of CO concentrations for homes located along the existing service road. |
| Cost* | \$16,298,575 |
| Landscaped Buffer | This Avoidance Alternative would require the elimination of the landscaped buffer area <br> between the southbound lanes of MD 650 and the service road parallel to MD 650. |
| Service Road | This Avoidance Alternative would require the elimination of the service road. |
| Displacements |  |
| - Residential |  |
| - Commercial | This Avoidance Alternative has the potential to impact four residences located along MD <br> 650, between Ruppert Drive and Cresthaven Drive, and has the potential to displace two <br> commercial properties located at the MD 650/Lockwood Drive intersection. |
| ROW Acquisition | Considerable ROW acquisitions would be required from adjacent residential and <br> commercial properties. Additional ROW acquisition would also be required (beyond <br> what is depicted in Figure 13) for bikeways and pathways. |
| Local Traffic | The introduction of a median on MD 650 and elimination of the service road would result <br> in changes to local traffic patterns, as traffic from the Burnt Mills Community would only <br> have access to/from northbound MD 650 via Schindler Drive. This may result in <br> increased traffic levels on the local community street network. |
| Safety | The elimination of the service road would require residents to enter their driveways <br> directly from MD 650, thus compromising safety. |

* Cost Estimates are Based on Average Cost Per Mile Estimates for Roadway Construction, June 1996, and do not include ROW
Acquisition Costs.

The MD 650/Mahan Road/Schindler Road intersection would serve as the primary access to and from the GSA property. A double left turn lane is proposed to accommodate the predicted heavy left turn movement from southbound MD 650 to Mahan Road during the morning peak hour. Existing Michelson Road would remain open. However, due to its proximity to the Lockwood Drive intersection, there would be minimal left turn storage on northbound and southbound

MD 650. Therefore, Michelson Road would only be a minor point of access to and from the GSA property.

Avoidance Alternative 1 is not prudent and feasible because it would require several commercial displacements and substantial community impacts. The acquisition of 0.66 acre of new ROW along southbound MD 650 would affect four residential properties and four commercial properties. In addition, two commercial facilities along southbound MD 650 just south of Lockwood Drive would be displaced.

Avoidance Alternative 1 would result in community impacts at the Burnt Mills Community, including decreased traffic safety, decreased availability of parking and changes in access. This alternative would eliminate the landscaped buffer island and service road on the west side of MD 650 whereby creating unsafe conditions for residents and motorists by connecting driveways directly to proposed MD 650, which would have three lanes in the southbound direction and an anticipated speed limit of 35 miles per hour. The elimination of the service road would also result in hardship for many of the residents in this area because the service road currently provides parking. The residences along the service road currently have driveways, but many of those driveways are only large enough to accommodate one vehicle. The service road is needed for supplemental parking for those residents with more than one vehicle.

Avoidance Alternative 1 would also have a major impact on local traffic patterns because, along MD 650, it would limit left-turn access into and out of the Burnt Mills Community to only one location (Schindler Road). Residents along Schindler Road would be affected by the increase in traffic volumes along this roadway. The elimination of left-turn access from MD 650 could potentially cause more motorists to enter the community from Lockwood Drive and wind through side streets to reach their destination. This would result in greater traffic volumes on side streets within the community, which could contribute to a decline in the quality of life currently enjoyed by residents of the community.

To avoid the White Oak Golf Course/NOL Historic District, Avoidance Alternative 1 does not include the stormwater management facility that is proposed under SHA's Selected Alternative. Because the study area is already largely developed, there are no alternative locations available for
this facility. The absence of the stormwater management pond would eliminate all of the benefits associated with the inclusion of this facility such as controlling pollution from runoff and controlling the rate at which runoff is discharged into the natural drainage system.

The cost of Avoidance Alternative 1 is estimated to be $\$ 16,298,575$, which is slightly less than the estimated cost of the Selected Alternative (not including ROW costs). It is likely that the inclusion of additional costs associated with ROW acquisition would result in an overall cost that exceeds that of the selected alternate.

## Avoidance Alternative 2

Avoidance Alternative 2 also holds the existing eastern curb line of MD 650 to avoid direct impacts to the Section $4(\mathrm{f})$ property and consists of widening the facility towards the community of Burnt Mills (Figure 13). This would require the elimination of the service road and landscaped buffer area along the west side of MD 650.

This alternative would consist of three northbound and southbound lanes on MD 650 with a median of variable width. Mahan Road would serve as the primary access to and from the GSA property. Left turns to and from Mahan Road would be accommodated through underground ramps. Existing Michelson Road will remain open but would function as right in/right out only.

The southbound lanes would allow access to each of the side streets. Schindler Drive would be the sole point of access for left turns into the Burnt Mills Community. Left turns would no longer be allowed from the northbound lanes into Northwest Drive, McCeney Avenue, Ruppert Road, Cresthaven Drive or the White Oak Center. Double northbound turn lanes would also be provided at Lockwood Drive. As in Avoidance Alternate 1, Lockwood Drive would provide alternate access to the community. Table 9 summarizes the impacts associated with this Avoidance Alternative.

Avoidance Alternative 2 is not prudent and feasible because it would require several commercial displacements, substantial community impacts and would be extremely expensive to construct. The acquisition of 0.66 acre of new ROW along southbound MD 650 would affect four residential and four commercial properties. In addition, two commercial facilities located along southbound MD 650, south of Lockwood Drive, would be displaced.

## Table 9

Avoidance Alternative 2 Impacts

| Impact Category | Avoidance Alternative 2 Impacts |
| :--- | :--- |
| Noise | Noise levels would remain approximately the same or slightly increase, due to the <br> change in distance between the receiver and source. Sound barriers to mitigate traffic <br> noise impacts would remain not feasible or reasonable due to access and sight <br> distance issues for driveways and cross streets entering.MD 650. |
| Air | CO concentrations may increase slightly for the homes located along the existing <br> service road and there may be a potential increase in CO as a result of the <br> underground ramps. |
| Cost* | $\$ 24,807,732$ |
| Landscaped Buffer | This Avoidance Alternative would require the elimination of the landscaped buffer <br> area between the southbound lanes of MD 650 and the service road parallel to MD <br> 650. |
| Service Road | This Avoidance Alternative would require the elimination of the service road. |
| Displacements | Residential <br> - Commercial |
| This Avoidance Alternative has the potential to impact four residences located along <br> MD 650, between Ruppert Drive and Cresthaven Drive, and has the potential to <br> displace two commercial properties located at the MD 650/Lockwood Drive <br> intersection. |  |
| ROW Acquisition | Considerable ROW acquisitions would be required from adjacent residential and <br> commercial properties. Additional ROW acquisition would also be required (beyond <br> what is depicted in Figure 13) for bikeways and pathways. |
| Local Traffic | The introduction of a median on MD 650 and elimination of the service road would <br> result in changes to local traffic patterns, as traffic from the Burnt Mills Community <br> would only have access to/from northbound MD 650 via Schindler Drive. This may <br> result in increased traffic levels on the local community street network. |
| Safety | The elimination of the service road would require residents to enter their driveways <br> directly from MD 650, thus compromising safety. |

* Cost Estimates are Based on Average Cost Per Mile Estimates for Roadway Construction, June 1996, and do not include ROW Costs.

Similar to Avoidance Alternative 1, Avoidance Alternative 2 would result in community impacts at the Burnt Mills Community, including decreased traffic safety, decreased availability of parking and changes in access. This alternative would also eliminate the landscaped buffer island and service road on the west side of MD 650 whereby creating unsafe conditions for residents and motorists by connecting driveways directly to proposed MD 650. The elimination of the service road would have the same effect on parking for residents along the service road as Avoidance Alternative 1.

Avoidance Alternative 2 would have similar impacts on local traffic patterns as Avoidance Alternative 1 because, along MD 650, it would limit left-turn access into and out of the Burnt Mills Community to only one location (Schindler Road). Increases in traffic volumes along Schindler Road as well as other community side streets used by traffic entering the community via Lockwood

Drive would have a similar effect on the quality of life currently enjoyed by residents of the community as those described in Avoidance Alternative 1.

As described in Avoidance Alternative 1, there would be no opportunity to relocate the stormwater management facility included in SHA's Selected Alternative. Therefore, no benefits of stormwater management practices would be realized under this alternative.

The construction of underground ramps to provide left-turn access from southbound MD 650 onto Mahan Road and from Mahan Road onto southbound MD 650 would cause the cost of this alternative to be much greater than the other alternatives considered. The overall construction cost (not including ROW costs) would be $\$ 24,807,732$.

## Avoidance Alternative 3

Avoidance Alternative 3 also holds the existing eastern curb line of MD 650 to avoid Section 4(f) impacts and proposes widening the facility towards the community of Burnt Mills (Figure 14). This alternative would require the elimination of the service road and landscaped buffer area along the west side of MD 650 and the service road.

This alternative would consist of three 12 -foot northbound lanes, three 12 -foot southbound lanes and an 18 -foot median on MD 650. Where left turns are proposed, there would be a six-foot monolithic median. The southbound lanes would continue to allow access to each of the side streets. Left turns into the Burnt Mills Community would be limited to Schindler Drive, Ruppert Road and Cresthaven Drive. Northwest Drive and McCeney Drive would be right in/right out only. Table 10 summarizes the impacts associated with this avoidance alternative.

Access to the GSA property would be allowed at both Mahan Drive and Michelson Road. However, left turns lanes on both northbound and southbound MD 650 would be one lane only. Avoidance Alternative 3 would also include a four-lane road connecting Michelson Road and Lockwood Drive. This proposed roadway is intended to provide an entrance directly into the northern parking lot from Lockwood Drive.


Table 10
Avoidance Alternative 3 Impacts

| Impact Category | Avoidance Alternative 3 Impacts |
| :--- | :--- |
| Noise | Noise levels would remain approximately the same or slightly lower, due to the <br> reduction in traffic volume. Sound barriers to mitigate traffic noise impacts would <br> remain not feasible or reasonable due to access and sight distance issues for <br> driveways and cross streets entering MD 650. |
| Air | Residents along southbound MD 650 may experience a slight increase in CO <br> concentrations as a result of the realignment closer to homes; however, traffic <br> volume on MD 650 may be reduced slightly as a result of the additional access road <br> to the FDA facility from Lockwood Drive. Properties may be affected as a result of <br> the four-lane connector road between Michelson Road and Lockwood Drive. |
| Cost* | \$19,354,541 |
| Landscaped Buffer | This Avoidance Alternative would require elimination of the landscaped buffer area <br> between the southbound lanes of MD 650 and the service road parallel to MD 650. |
| Service Road | This Avoidance Alternative would require the elimination of the service road. |
| Displacements |  |
| - Residential | This alternative has the potential to impact four residences located along MD 650, <br> between Ruppert Drive and Cresthaven Drive. This alternative has the potential to <br> displace two commercial properties at the MD 650/ockwood Drive intersection, <br> and two businesses on Lockwood Drive east of the MD 650/Lockwood Drive <br> intersection. |
| ROWmercial Acquisition | Additional ROW acquisition would also be required (beyond what is depicted in <br> Figure 14) for bikeways and pathways. |
| Local Traffic | Since a majority of the forecast trips to the FDA site originate south and west of the <br> MD 650 corridor, the proposed connector to the northeast of the corridor may not <br> adequately address the arrival pattern of site traffic. In addition, the proposed <br> connection is located 600 feet from the MD 650/Lockood Drive intersection. This is <br> close enough to impact traffic operations at the MD 650/Lockwood Drive <br> intersection. |
| Safety | The elimination of the service road would require residents to enter their driveways <br> directly from MD 650, thus compromising safety. |

* Cost Estimates are Based on Average Cost Per Mile Estimates for Roadway Construction, June 1996, and do not include ROW Costs.

Avoidance Alternative 3 is not prudent and feasible because it would require several commercial displacements and substantial community impacts. The acquisition of 0.26 acre of new ROW along southbound MD 650 would affect four residential properties located adjacent to the existing roadway. In addition, two commercial facilities located along southbound MD 650, south of Lockwood Drive, would be displaced. The construction of the proposed new roadway between Lockwood Drive and Michelson Road would require 0.98 acre of new ROW from three commercial properties and require the displacement of two businesses.

Similar to Avoidance Alternative 1, Avoidance Alternative 3 would result in community impacts at the Burnt Mills Community, including decreased traffic safety, decreased availability of parking
and changes in access. The elimination of the landscaped buffer island and service road on the west side of MD 650 would create the same unsafe conditions for residents and motorists as described under Avoidance Alternative 1. The elimination of the service road would also result in the same impacts to parking for residents along the service road.

The costs associated with Avoidance Alternative 3 would be substantially higher than the cost of the Selected Alternative (not including ROW costs) due to the construction of the four-lane connector road between Lockwood Drive and Michelson Road.

Changes in local traffic patterns would also result from this alternative. Providing only one left-turn lane from southbound MD 650 onto Mahan Road, this entrance would not accommodate the anticipated traffic volumes associated with the FDA consolidation. This would encourage motorists to utilize the proposed Lockwood Drive entrance, whereby diverting traffic from MD 650 onto Lockwood Drive. The introduction of this new entrance in conjunction with the proposed improvements to the MD 650/Lockwood Road intersection is a cause for concern among local citizens. These citizens feel that improvements at the MD 650/Lockwood Drive intersection would encourage through traffic to use local residential streets as alternate routes. Greater volumes of traffic that would bc using Lockwood Drive as a result of this alternative would exacerbate the potential for this situation to occur. Furthermore, traffic bound for the FDA facility from northbound US 29 may exit US 29 at Lockwood Drive instead of MD 650 to avoid the left turn from MD 650 onto Lockwood Drive. This could potentially result in greater traffic volumes on Lockwood Drive during the AM peak period, which could make it more difficult for residents of the adjacent community to turn onto Lockwood Drive. In addition, the 1997 White Oak Master Plan states a goal to preclude the use of Lockwood Drive as a commuter thoroughfare for FDA employees.

As described in Avoidance Alternative 1, there would be no opportunity to relocate the stormwater management facility included in SHA's Selected Alternative. Therefore, no benefits of stormwater management practices would be realized under this alternative.

## Table 11

Summary Comparison of Avoidance Alternatives

| Impact Category | No-Build | Avoidance Alternative 1 | Avoidance Alternative 2 | Avoidance Alternative 3 | Selected Alternative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Address Purpose \& Need | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Right-of-Way (acres)* | 0 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 1.24 | 7.30 |
| Section 4(f) Impacts (acres) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7.48** |
| Displacements | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 |
| Residential | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Commercial | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 0 |
| Community Impacts |  |  |  |  |  |
| Access | None | - Eliminate service road <br> - Limit left-turns to/from Burnt Mills | - Eliminate service road <br> - Limit left-turns to/from Burnt Mills | - Encourage use of proposed Lockwood Drive entrance <br> - Eliminate service road | - Does not eliminate service road <br> - Does not limit left-turns to/from Burnt Mills |
| Parking | None | - Eliminate parking on service road | - Eliminate parking on service road | - Eliminate parking on service road | - Does not eliminate parking on service road |
| Traffic | None | - Safety issues result from driveways directly entering MD 650 <br> - Potential increase in traffic on side streets | - Safety issues result from driveways directly entering MD 650 <br> - Potential increase in traffic on side streets | - Safety issues result from driveways directly entering MD 650 <br> - Increased traffic on Lockwood Drive | - Driveways do not directly enter MD 650 <br> - Does not potentially increase traffic on side streets |
| Stormwater Management (SWM) | N/A | - No benefits of SWM | - No benefits of SWM | - No benefits of SWM | - All benefits of SWM |
| Cost*** | \$0 | \$16,298,575* | \$24,807,732 | \$19,354,541 | \$15,463,398 |

* These figures include ROW acquisitions along MD 650 between Lockwood Drive and Chalmers Road and along the connecting road between Lockwood Drive and Michelson Road.
** Total includes permanent ROW and perpetual easements located on the Section 4(f) property.
*** Costs shown do not include ROW acquisition costs.


## F. Measures to Minimize Harm

A variety of measures were incorporated into SHA's Selected Alternative to minimize harm to the White Oak Golf Course. Please refer to Chapter IV.E, Avoidance and Minimization Alternatives of this section for details regarding minimization design strategies associated with the Selected Alternative for minimizing impacts to the Section 4(f) property.

## G. Mitigation

Impacts to the Section 4(f) resource will be mitigated through the use of landscape improvements (Figure 15). Recognizing the recreational use this property serves, landscape elements are being incorporated into the mitigation landscape plan that will blend with the redesign plans for the White Oak Golf Course. SHA, in coordination with M-NCPPC and GSA, is incorporating a mitigation landscape plan into the final design plans. In conjunction with LABQUEST, a Landscaping Focus Group was formed to discuss landscaping issues within the MD 650 corridor (refer to Section V.C of this document for further detail). Landscape elements include street trees, evergreen buffers, ornamental planting beds and ornamental SWM enhancements.

SHA and GSA must determine the extent of landscaping maintenance each party is willing to commit to so that it may be accounted for in the design process. A temporary eight-foot fence will be constructed by SHA between the proposed sidewalk and SWM facilities. The purpose of the temporary eight-foot fence is to provide a buffer between the golfers and the construction site and to prevent deer from approaching MD 650. A new permanent fence will be installed along MD 650 prior to removing the temporary fence. Also, the recreational use of the property as a golf course is being considered in the landscape design. GSA will be landscaping based on the FDA development. The following summarizes SHA's proposed Section 4(f) mitigation:

- Golf Course Gateways - The Golf Course has two proposed entrances along MD 650, Mahan Drive and Relocated Michelson Road. SHA will landscape these entrances to provide a gateway effect and be in accordance with the surrounding area. Additional ornamental species will be added to the gateways in order to enhance the visual effects. These plantings include flowering trees and shrubs along the driveways and in the medians. More plantings would be focused in the area of the gateway to help add visual



interest. Ornamental planting beds will include flowering trees, shrubs, perennials and annuals with an evergreen backdrop. Using species with various bloom periods and seasonal interest would provide year-round enhancements.
- Golf Course Edge - The golf course is sensitive to visual impacts. It is important to buffer intrusive views in order to maintain the golf course's visual integrity. A landscaped buffer will be added by SHA along MD 650 to help create an aesthetically pleasing atmosphere and to block intrusive views of the highway. The buffer would extend between the northern property limit to Relocated Michelson Road, between Relocated Michelson Road and Mahan Drive and between Mahan Drive and the southern property limit. The landscape buffer consists of earthen berms, evergreen trees, shade trees, ornamental trees and shrubs and decorative perennial planting beds. In addition, SHA will install a temporary eight-foot chain link fence at the limit of disturbance on the golf course along MD 650 prior to removing the existing fence. The new permanent fence will be installed along MD 650 prior to removing the temporary fence.
- SWM Facilities - MDE requires that SHA provide stormwater management for the project. The proposed locations fall within the Section 4(f) impacted area and the locations are south of Mahan Drive and north of Relocated Michelson Road. These facilities would become additional aesthetic features to the golf course. The SWM facilities are currently being designed by SHA, as bio-retention ponds. These ponds drain fairly quickly (usually within 24 hours). Under the most severe conditions, retained water will seldom, if ever, remain for more than 72 hours. The standing water will never be greater than 12 inches deep. Ornamental plantings are proposed along the bench of the pond and along the slopes above the lower pool. Species being incorporated into the landscaping design plan have been carefully selected to provide an aesthetic feature to the golf course, while also considering hydrologic and shade/sunlight tolerances. Plant species currently proposed include Allegany serviceberry (Amelanchier laevis), river birch (Betula nigra), Virginia sweetspire (Itea virginicia) and inkberry (Ilex glabra).
- Sidewalks and Bike Paths - Sidewalk and bike path areas along the corridor will help connect users to the golf course. The sidewalk and bike path areas will be attractive routes for alternate modes of travel. These routes will be compliant with the Americans with

Disabilities Act (ADA) and may be enhanced with additional landscaping. Landscape opportunities include the use of street trees along the route to add color and shade. A setback is also provided in the design in order to allow users a comfortable buffer between the path and the road. The buffer will be enhanced with additional trees and shrubs.

- Streetscaping - The MD 650 roadway improvements by SHA will include streetscape features to enhance the corridor. Roadside enhancement areas include medians and setbacks as well as the sidewalks, bike paths, SWM facilities and golf course gateways and buffers. Median and setback enhancements include an allee of trees, ornamental shrubs and groundcovers, payers and other aesthetic features. These features would provide a more attractive setting for the corridor and the golf course.


## H. Coordination

Coordination with MHT was undertaken to identify and evaluate historically significant properties and archeological resources in the project study corridor and to determine the effect of the build alternative on these resources. Correspondence from MHT regarding the Section 106 process is contained in Chapter VI, Other Agency Correspondence. On October 19, 2001, SHA requested MHT to concur that the project will have no adverse effect on the White Oak Golf Course/NOL Historic District. On January 14, 2002, the MHT provided their concurrence. As previously mentioned, SHA will continue to coordinate with M-NCPPC and GSA regarding the Section 4(f) mitigation plan.

Lastly, the SHA coordinated with the M-NCPPC, MHT and GSA regarding the temporary use of the White Oak Golf Course and NOL Historic District for construction access. All of these agencies concurred with the temporary use criteria and agreed that the proposed improvements will not result in permanent or adverse impacts to the golf course property and NOL Historic District. All correspondence is included in Chapter VI, Other Agency Correspondence.

## I. Conclusion

The following alternatives to avoid the use of property from the White Oak Golf Course/NOL Historic District were evaluated and found to be neither feasible nor prudent:

[^1]
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- Avoidance Alternative 1
- Avoidance Alternative 2
- Avoidance Alternative 3

Based upon the above considerations, there is no feasible and prudent alternative to the use of land from the White Oak Golf Course/NOL Historic District, and the proposed action includes all possible planning to minimize harm to the Section 4(f) property resulting from such use.

## V. SUMMARY OF PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

This section summarizes the public involvement that has occurred for this project.

## A. Introduction

There has been extensive public involvement associated with this project including a series of LABQUEST, Landscaping Focus Group, community and public meetings. The most recent meetings include an Informational Public Meeting held on November 25, 2002 and an outreach meeting with the Holly Hall Community on January 22, 2003. Summaries of these meetings are included in this section.

## B. LABQUEST Community Partnership

Since February 2000, SHA has actively participated in the LABQUEST, comprised of representatives from local communities, advisory boards, civic associations, FDA, GSA, Montgomery and Prince George's Counties and M-NCPPC. LABQUEST has been assisting GSA in the future development of the FDA facility, including improvements to the White Oak Golf Course and transportation improvements affecting the surrounding communities. Comments and suggestions received from LABQUEST have been evaluated and incorporated into preliminary concepts where possible. LABQUEST members have provided valuable insights into the proposed transportation improvements, including access to and from the FDA facility, transportation-related problems during peak traffic hours, signage, pedestrian/bicycle/handicapped requirements, environmental concerns, impacts to the business community and use of transit.

## C. Landscaping Focus Group

In conjunction with LABQUEST, a Landscaping Focus Group was formed to discuss landscaping issues within the MD 650 corridor. The Landscaping Focus Group consisted of private citizens and community representatives, along with the President of LABQUEST. This focus group provided input on a new gateway entrance to the FDA site at Mahan Drive, a new boundary fence along the White Oak Golf Course and proposed landscaping along MD 650.

## D. Community Meeting

An outreach meeting was held with the Holly Hall Community on January 22, 2003. This facility was identified as an environmental justice community (refer to Section III.F.1.c for more detail). Holly Hall is within the study area, but well outside the Selected Alternative's ROW. At the outreach meeting, SHA representatives provided a presentation to residents of the facility to obtain input from the community on the proposed improvements (see January 22, 2003 Holly Hall Community Meeting Minutes and Follow-Up Letter, Appendix C). General comments included topics such as vehicles making U-turns at Powder Mill Road, truck traffic at Holly Hall, access to MD 650 from the Holly Hall facility, signalization, pedestrian safety and bus access.

## E. Public Meetings

Three public meetings were held to obtain community input on the MD 650 project. The initial meeting was held on April 5, 2000 at the CHI Center. The purpose of this meeting was to review the traffic reports completed for the project, discuss the proposed improvements contained in these reports and review the project schedule. On September 10,2001 , a second public meeting was held at the CHI Center to discuss SHA's MD 650 transportation improvement alternatives being studied to accommodate future traffic associated with the FDA consolidation. SHA and M-NCPPC staff notified attendees that M-NCPPC would review the design proposals at its meeting on Thursday, October 25, 2001. The public was given the opportunity to direct comments on the project to the Montgomery County Planning Board. Several general comments were voiced from local residents at the meeting. Topics discussed included design-related issues and traffic operations, such as speeding and circulation patterns on existing roads.

An Informational Public Meeting held on November 25, 2002 at the CHI Center was the third public meeting held, with a public attendance of 119 people. The purpose of this meeting was to update the public on the project status, present the latest engineering and environmental studies and provide a forum for public comment.

Advertisements for this meeting appeared in the following newspapers:

- The Washington Post
- The Montgomery Journal
- The Prince George’s Journal
- The Afro-American
- The Gazette

A brochure was distributed to the project mailing list prior to the Informational Public Meeting and was also available for distribution at the meeting. Included in the brochure was a listing of locations where the October 2002 EA/Draft Section 4(f) Evaluation was on public display for those seeking comprehensive data and results of the environmental studies. The 45-day comment period began on November 11, 2002 and ended on December 31, 2002. These locations included:

| Montgomery County Library | Montgomery County Library |
| :--- | :--- |
| White Oak Branch | Silver Spring Branch |
| 11701 New Hampshire Ave. | 8901 Colesville Road |
| Silver Spring, MD 20904 | Silver Spring, MD 20910 |
|  |  |
| Montgomery County Library | State Highway Administration |
| Long Branch Branch | District 3 Office |
| 8800 Garland Ave. | 9300 Kenilworth Avenue |
| Silver Spring, MD 20901 | Greenbelt, MD 20770 |
|  |  |
|  | State Highway Administration |
|  | Resource Center - ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Floor |
|  | 707 North Calvert Street |
|  | Baltimore, MD 21202 |

A summary of the written comments received following the November 25, 2002 Informational Public Meeting is provided in Section V.E.1. The majority of the written comments received related to topics including design, vehicle and pedestrian safety, bike paths, traffic patterns, speed limit and transit. SHA received and responded to a total of forty-seven written comments. Individual written comments and SHA response letters can be found in Section V.E.2.
V.E. 1 SUMMARY OF WRITTEN COMMENTS AND COMMENTS RECEIVED AT THE INFORMATIONAL PUBLIC MEETING

## V.E. 1

# Summary of Written Comments and Comments Received at the Informational Public Meeting 

119 People Attended<br>47 Written Comments/Letters Received<br>As of 12/31/02

## Design

- Adding fourth leaf to US 29/MD 650 cloverleaf
- Support for northwest entrance to FDA facility at Cherry Hill Road (concern that traffic will cut through Burnt Mills neighborhood to access US 29)
- Support for No-Build
- People exiting from McDonald's/Office Depot complex make an illegal "C" turn onto MD 650 going north. Close the break in front of driveway
- Consideration of right turn only lane from I-495 at Powder Mill Road
- MD 650/Lockwood Drive, specifically exiting the shopping center
- Will motorists proceeding north on MD 650 still be able to turn right on Milestone Drive?
- Wouldn't widening US 29 seem more appropriate at southbound US 29 to southbound MD 650 ?
- No changes are shown at entrance to the Hillandale Park, south of the firehouse and Chalmers
- Support for northbound MD 650 single left-turn lane onto Lockwood Drive
- Support for single right-turn lane onto southbound MD 650
- Should the improvements to the MD 650/Lockwood Drive west leg include an additional right-turn lane onto southbound MD 650?
- Opposed to exclusive left-turn lane in the existing median of southbound MD 650 to northbound US 29
- Look at ways to route people into FDA from north and east (Industrial Boulevard or Michelson)
- Will this plan have any impact on the houses located on MD 650 between the FDA and Powder Mill Road?
- Keep 2 left lanes from MD 650 on to US 29 south. Keep the single right from Lockwood onto southbound MD 650. There is a crucial need to bar traffic from cutting through Bumt Mills Hills and nearby community. There should be "Do not enter" signs during 3:30 pm 7:00 pm on Schindler, Ruppert, Cresthaven and Michelson
- At Hillandale Shopping Center, consider making a right turn only at exit to Powder Mill Road. Anyone wishing to enter MD 650 can do so directly from [the] shopping center or by way of Elton Drive. Cars pulling out onto Powder Mill are in great danger, especially from cars making a turn from MD 650
- Support project and almost all changes. Suggested improvements: On southbound MD 650 at US 29 southbound ramp, make sure landscaping does not block drivers' view of cars making a left into the same ramp. Like new left turn into shopping center from southbound MD 650. Recommend a signal. Need three left turn lanes westbound from Lockwood
- Will the MD 650/Colesville underpass be widened? What is being done to improve ingress \& egress for the White Oak Shopping Center? Will Powder Mill between Riggs and Route 1 be widened to accommodate increased traffic? Will speed bumps be placed in east Hillandale to discourage traffic - purely transitory - from Powder Mill and MD 650?


## Safety (general)

- Left on Randolph Road from north on MD 650 (safety concern, allow more room for inner lane cars to make turn)
- Sharp turns required to ncgotiate ramp from southbound US 29 to southbound MD 650 are unsafe
- Safety is a major concern when getting off of the Beltway to go south on MD 650 with the increased traffic in the area
- Figure 1 - Build Alternative - Sheet 2 of 4 . Reengineer median strip on MD 650, opposite present Michelson Road, so autos exiting Office Depot area are not allowed to turn left onto 650 to go north. Allow vehicles to turn left into this access road headed north (put in the same physical barrier here that you now have at Colesville, just north of Randolph). This is a safety hazard
- Against 6 lanes of traffic ( 3 southbound, 3 northbound) of cars undecided whether to turn into McDonald's, Office Depot, Office Park area, etc, etc, or just to keep going south. Study what happens here as it is very interesting, but deadly
- Responsible police enforcement is needed
- Concern for safety and traffic in the Burnt Mills Hills. There should be no entry during peak hours, especially evenings, from Mahan Drive traffic. Put signs and enforce that at Schindler, Ruppert, Cresthaven and Michelson where they intersect MD 650. I agree with a single right turn on Lockwood, south to MD 650. I also support a double left from MD 650 at US 29 South. We have many young children as our neighborhood is in transition with serious selling to young families


## Pedestrian Safety

- Sidewalk on west side of MD 650 should extend north to Quaint Acres Drive to provide easy access to the library for people living west of MD 650 and south of US 29 (need pedestrian activated signal)
- Pedestrian safety and access (lack of sidewalks on east side of MD 650 between fire house and commercial area) (Pedestrian safety at golf course entrance, bus stops and along MD 650)
- Need pedestrian or weight plate instead of existing yellow flashing light. Pedestrians using public transportation can't cross street if dropped off on north side of MD 650 at places of worship
- Pedestrian safety at Lockwood/Burnt Mills Avenue
- New left turn entry from MD 650 into White Oak Shopping Center (no light)
- Pedestrians need adequate time to cross wide roadways
- Where are on-road bike lanes on southbound MD 650?
- Don't underestimate pedestrians crossing to White Oak Shopping Center from the Point Community
- Need speed bumps and traffic cameras to protect children walking to school on Lockwood Drive and Burnt Mills Avenue. Sidewalks through this area would be welcomed and would reduce the danger to pedestrian traffic


## Bike paths

- Support for dedicated bike paths
- Bike path on northbound MD 650 needs to be 10 feet according to M-NCPPC guidelines
- Extend bike path from Milestone Drive to Powder Mill Road
- Add bike paths to all major arterials in both directions to help ease congestion and pollution
- Need for bicycle and pedestrian access to FDA facility, concern for those employees walking from buses on MD 650, need for bike paths and walkways all the way to FDA buildings that do not interfere with vehicular traffic


## Traffic

- Northbound traffic on MD 650 at Powder Mill Road
- Southbound traffic at MD 650/US 29, change to three lanes
- Concern about traffic cutting up and down Lockwood Drive from Burnt Mills and Northwest Avenues (Need signs/speed limit bumps/no entrance hour restrictions/hard right turn)
- Traffic at MD 650/US 29, only two lanes go under US 29. Why can't three lanes be made?
- Need traffic signal at MD 650/Quaint Acres Drive
- Reduce traffic at Lockwood Drive from US 29 to MD 650
- Synchronize the traffic lights, especially during rush hour mornings and evenings
- Look at zip codes of present FDA employees to see where they are coming from
- Concern about traffic backing up from US 29 and Lockwood Dr. interchange - past Quaint Acres and Tanley Road (North of these interchanges on MD 650). As it is NOW, during busy times we cannot get out of our side streets to enter MD 650. With more traffic, there has got to be better flow on MD 650. south or we will have grid lock on our streets
- Please plan carefully for the intersection of southbound MD 650 exiting on to the ramp for US 29 south. Currently there are two lights there and signage that says "Proceed on flashing red after stop." The combination of this sign and lights that are sometimes on and sometimes off makes this a dangerous intersection
- Concerned with poor "Level of Service" ratings anticipated for the MD 650 corridor. Given the number of failing intersections, parking spaces and the anticipated peak loads should be confirmed. Some of the numbers used for the projections are re-hashed from very old, preBMI studies


## Speed Limit

- Enforce speed limit of 35 mph under proposed alternate
- Current traffic speeds/speed limit throughout White Oak and Hillandale
- Speed limit/truck provisions on state and local roads
- Will the final design include new signage warning drivers to slow down and/or will at least the new portion of the ramp (southbound US 29 to southbound MD 650) be graded at an angle to help prevent spin-outs by drivers exceeding safe speeds?


## Transit

- Transit riders, need bus stops, coordination with WMATA
- Consistency with the 1997 White Oak Master Plan (references to White Oak Transit Center and FDA 2002 TMP)
- Look at locating possible transit center/metro station at former WSSC sludge processing plant
- There do not appear to be any bus stops. Why?
- Why not place bus stops and turnarounds on FDA property instead of further crowding the MD 650 area and the White Oak Shopping Center?


## Miscellaneous

- Riderwood Village would be glad to host future community meetings
- What are the ramifications at the Shell Station?
- Is existing pole going to be removed when turning left out of White Oak Shopping Center
- Concern for the wildlife at White Oak Golf Course especially during any proposed construction on MD 650. Temporary fencing should be installed if any permanent fencing has to be removed during construction
- Information presented in the Environmental Assessment/Draft Section 4(f) Evaluation does not reflect current status of the White Oak Transit Center and the FDA's Revised Master Plan. The EA/Draft Section 4(f) Evaluation should also mention the status of the Cherry Hill Road back entrance
- The master plan does not address the dangerous commuter traffic that will be taking a shortcut through our neighborhood to go from US 29 to the Schindler Drive entrance by cutting through Lockwood Drive and Burnt Mills Avenue


## Facilitator Notes/Verbal Comments

Facilitators: Karen Arnold, Allison Cauthorn, and Darrell Sacks
Comments: Community comments, suggestions and concerns included the following:

- Signage (such as "local traffic only" or "no through traffic") should be provided to restrict traffic onto Schindler Drive, Ruppert Road, Crest Haven Drive and Michelson Road during peak traffic hours.
- Eliminate cut-through traffic from Mahan Road to Schindler Drive by providing signage ("local access only"); enforcement by police will be necessary.
- Signage should be provided along Lockwood Drive to restrict through traffic.
- US 29 southbound should be widened to accommodate increased traffic double left turn lanes onto US 29 southbound ramp.
- The fourth through lane proposed along MD 650 northbound should begin south of Powder Mill Road.
- Alternate modes of transportation should be explored and encouraged to reduce future FDA traffic. A study should also be completed to determine from where new employees/commuters are coming.
- A new traffic signal should be provided at MD 650 and Quaint Acres Drive, as well as a crosswalk at the library to address pedestrian safety.
- A new traffic signal should be provided at MD 650 and Oaklawn Drive.
- The timing of traffic signals should be investigated and modified, especially at the Hillandale Fire Department.
- Additional bus stops are needed along MD 650.
- The new MD 650 sign blocks the traffic signals at Chalmers Road.
- There is an archeological site on the south side of MD 650 in the residential neighborhood that needs to be identified. Jim Sorenson of MNCPPC will contact Mary Barse of SHA.


## Facilitator: Gabe Makhlouf <br> Comments:

- Mr. Schnackenberg commented on an existing large sign for the office building just north of the existing Michelson Road. The widening of MD 650 will apparently impact this sign and little space would be available to relocate. He was referred to Mr. Ravenscroft of SHA district right-ofway who stated that the sign would either be relocated or compensated for. A determination will be made during right-of-way negotiations.
- One resident questioned the need for a bike path between the fire department and Lockwood Drive. He stated that this bike path will not be used and doesn't have logical termini. He'd like to see funds put to better use. Reference was made to the County Master plan, which shows a planned path.
- Right turn into Cresthaven from the frontage road is too sharp and not lit which caused many accidents in the past. Difficult to make the turn and would like to have widened.
- Through movement from Mahan Road to Schindler should be denied just as proposed for Relocated Michelson/Northwest.


## Facilitator: Pamela McNicholas

## Comments:

- Is the northwest entrance at Cherry Hill Road being considered? How many additional employees will be at the new FDA site? The current plan for entrance to the proposed FDA site overloads MD 650 and overlooks the utility of the Cherry Hill entrance gate. Is there an issue with funding this potential additional entrance to the facility?
- The bike path proposed along the MD 650 widening is not functional in terms of pavement required to construct the path, cost, and origin/destination.
- Northbound traffic out of the MD 650/I-495 interchange bottles up at Powder Mill Road. Has any consideration been given to creating a right turn only lane from northbound MD 650 to Powder Mill Road? This would allow a large volume of cars at this intersection to get out of the intersection and alleviate delays northbound on MD 650 and east on MD 212 (Powder Mill).


## Facilitator; Jessica Brado

## Comments:

Laura McDonald

- Northeast entrance into FDA facility (look for back ways in)
- Pedestrian crossings from high rise into shopping center
- Would like to see pedestrian bridge to Giant/White Oak Shopping Center

Lillie R. Brown, Hillandale resident

- Traffic flow south of Powder Mill and Beltway. Focus of study is currently north of this. Employees coming from the Washington D.C. area on the Beltway need to be considered.

John Sery, Oakview Citizens Association

- The study needs to go further south to Oakview Drive, the first light south of the Beltway. Why is the study cut off at Powder Mill Road?
- Traffic coming off of Adelphi Road. Poor turn lanes between Adelphi and Powder Mill Road.


## Bill Kelly

- Liked typical sections (vertical breakdown)
- Bicycle lane should be 10 feet, not 8 feet, according to M-NCPPC standards.

Elliot Ware

- Traffic coming out of Chalmers Road due to Hillandale Volunteer Fire Department. Concern about traffic light, bus stop and pedestrian crossing.


Ms. Mary Massey
2012 Forest Dale Dr.
Silver Spring MD 20903
Dear Ms. Massey:
Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) Improvements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. The purpose of the project is to improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north of US 29 . The project will consider provisions for improving circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drug Administration (FDA) lacility at the White Oak Federal Research Center. The project will also consider adequate vehicular. pedestrian and bicycle access to existing and planned activity centers, including commercial sites.

Your comment regarding the left turn from New Hampshire Avenue onto Randolph Road has been noted. Thank you for this valuable comment. From the description you have given us, it appears that the re is a legitimate concem that needs to be investigated further. Since the intersection to which you are referring is well beyond the limits of this project, your comment has been forwarded to our District 3 Engineer, Mr. Charlie Watkins

Ms. Mary Massey
Page Two
February 5, 2003

The Maryland State Highway Administration has revjewed all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included on the project mailing list in örder to keep you informed about our progess. Thank you for your interest. If you have any further questions please contact Dana Knight, Project Manager, at 410662-7400 or dpknight@mta.biz.

cc: Darrell Sacks, Project Planning Division, SHA Mr. Charlie Watkins, District Engineer, SHA
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February 5, 2003
Re: Project No. MO900B21
MD 650 from Powder Mill Road to North of US 29
Montgomery County, Maryland
Mr. Paul Dwiggans
St. Stephen Lutheran Church Property Committee 11612 New Hampshire Ave Silver Spring MD 20904

Dear Mr. Dwiggans:
Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) Improvements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. The purpose of the project is to improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north of US 29. The project will consider provisions for improving circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drug circulation of traffic and pedestrians o and from the proposed Food and Drug will also consider adequate vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle access to existing and planned activity centers, including commercial sites.

Your comment regarding the extension of the sidewalk along New Hampshire Avenue to Quaint Acres Drive has been noted. A very preliminary review of the site indicates that this may be a possible addition to the project even though it extends beyond the intended limits of construction. An additional study has been authorized to evaluate possible impacts to right-of-way, utilities, sign locations, drainage flow, added construction costs and safety issues. We will also include the Montgomery County Department of Public Works and Transportation (MCDPWT) in the studies. If the study produces favorable results and additional funding is available, we will attempt to add this feature to the present contract. If problems are encountered, the possibility of adding the sidewalk :vill be deferred to a later date. The MCDPWT can be contacted at 101 Monroe Sidewalk :vill be deferred to a land.


uthumm. whe: Bran: :

Mr. Paul Dwiggans
Page Two
February 5, 2003

The Maryland State Highway Administration has reviewed all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included on the project mailing list in order to keep you informed about our progress. Thank you for your interest. If you have any further questions please contact Dana Knight, Project Manager, at 410-662-7400 ordpknight@mta.biz

cc: Mr. Darrell Sacks, Project Planning Division, SHA Mr. Charlie Watkins, District Engineer, SHA
Mr. Bob Simpson. Montgomery County DPW\& I Mf DanaipKKing McCormick, Taylor, and Associates

## STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

## QUESTIONS AND/OR COMMENTS

## MO900B21
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## $\square$ Please add my/our name(s) to the Malling Lust.

$\square$ Please delete my/our name(s) from the Malling List.

- Persons who have received a copy of this brochure through the mall are already on the project Mailing List
 February 5, 2003

Re: Project No. MO900B21 MD 650 from Powder Mill Road to North of US 29 Montgomery County, Maryland
Mr. \& Mrs. James Cullen
9708 Laconia Dr.
Adelphia MD 20783
Dear Mr. and Mrs. Cullen:
Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) Improvements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. The purpose of the project is to improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north of US 29. The project will consider provisions for improving circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drug Administration (FDA) facility at the White Oak Federal Research Center. The project will also consider adequate vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle access to existing and planned activity centers, including commercial sites

Your comment regarding the speed limit on New Hampshire Avenue has been noted, as has your concern regarding pedestrian safety. The Montgomery County Police Department is responsible for enforcing the speed limit. The pedestrian signal timing will be evaluated by the Montgomery County Transportation Management Center to ensure that the proper timing is given for pedestrians.to cross MD 650 . It should be noted that from Chalmers Road to Lockwood Drive, all bus stops will be relocated to the signalized intersections of Chalmers Road, Mahan Road/Schindler Drive, the new signa signalized intersections of Chalmers Road, Mahan Road Schindier Drive, the new sig at Northwest Drive, and at Lockwood Drial
pedestrian safety especially at bus stops.

## Mr. \& Mrs. James Culle <br> Page Two <br> February 5, 2003

The Maryland State Highway Administration has reviewed all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included on the project mailing list in order to keep you informed about our progress. Thank you for your interest. If you have any further questions please contact Dana Knight, Project Manager, at 410-662-7400 or dpknight@mta.bíz.

- . - Sincerely
cc: Mr. Darrell Sacks, SHA - PPD rembana P-King t, McCormick, Taylor, and Associates

Sincerely,
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* Persons who have received a copy of this brochure through the mail are already on the project Mailing List


## SKA StateHioinin Administration

 February 5, 2003

Re: Project No. MO900B21
MD 650 from Powder Mill Road to North of US 29 Montgomery County, Maryland

Mr. Arthur Corban
641 Concerto Lane
Silver Spring MD 20901
[ear Mr. Corban:
Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) Improvements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. The purpose of the project is to improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north of US 29. The project will consider provisions for improving circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drug Administration (FDA) facility at the former White Oak Naval Ordinance Laboratory. The project will also consider adequate vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle access to existing and planned activity centers, including commercial sites.

Your comment in favor of the No -Build Alternate (Alternate 1) has been noted, as has your concem regarding traffic congestion in the moming rush hour.

Thank you for your comments and opinions. The Maryland State Highway Administration (SHA) is presently improving the US 29 corridor north of the MD 650 interchange, and we recognize the problems to the south. Unfortunately, funding is not available to address those problems at this time. The FDA consolidation will bring far more employees to the White Oak Facility than the Navy workers that formerly occupied the property. This will be a large office complex that will bring approximately 6,000 workers to the site. Planning, traffic analysis: interviews with FDA employees, and coordination with Federal. Slate, and C runty agencies have evolved into the plan presented to accommodate this influx of traffic with the least possible amount of disruption to traffic in the immediate vicinity of the FDA site. Effers have been included in the design io discourage the use of local streets thy commuters



Mr. Arthur Corbin
Page Two
February 5, 2003

The Maryland State Highway Administration has revieived all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included on the project mailing list in order to keep you informed about our progress. Thank you for your interest. If you have any further questions, please contact Dana Knight, Project Manager, at 410-662-7400 or dpknight@mta.biz.

Sincerely,
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CHI CENTER
10501 NEW HAMPSHIRE A VENUE SIL VER SPRING, MD 20903-1197
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* Persons who have received a copy of this brochure through the mall are already on the project Mailing List
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February 5, 2003
Re: Project No. MO900B21
MD 650 from Powder Mill Road to' North of US 29 Montgomery County, Maryland

Mr. William Delaney
1800 Powder Mill Road
Silver Spring MD 20903-1515

## Dear Mr. Delaney:

Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) lmprovements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. The purpose of the project is to improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north of US 29. The project will consider provisions for improving circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Dnug
Administration (FDA) facility at the White Oak Federal Research Center. The project will also consider adequate vehicular, pedestrian and bicyele access to existing and planned activity centers, including commercial sites.

Your comment regarding traffic congestion on Powder Mill Road has been noted s has your question regarding the use of a right-only tum lane from l-495 to Powder Mill Road. During the peak traffic hour, there are 259 right tums being made from Northbound 650 to Eastbound Powder Mill Road. As you suggested, a right tum bay would help, but there is approximately a 200 foot section from Elton Road where there is not enough room to provide the extra lane needed without taking a portion of the shopping center. Therefore, the fourth lane cannot be extended from Elton Road to Powder Mill Road.

## Mr. William Delane <br> Page Two

February 5, 2003

The Maryland State Highway Administration has reviewed all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included on the project mailing list in order to keep you informed about our progress. Thank you for your interest. If you have any further questions please contact Dana Knight, Project Manager, at 410-662-7400 or dpknigh!@mta.biz.

Sincerely

cc: Mr. Darrell Sacks
fMáDanäproght, McCormick, Taylor, and Associates Mr. Charlie Watkins, District Engineer, SHA
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 February 6, 2003

Re: Project No. MO900B21
MD 650 from Powder Mill Road to North of US 29 Montgomery County, Maryland

Ms. Heather Thomas
10202 Lariston Lane
Silver Spring, MD 20903

## Dear Ms. Thomas,

Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) Improvements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. The purpose of the project is $t 0$ improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north of US 29. The project will consider provisions for improving circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drugs circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drug
Administration (FDA) facility at the White Oak Federal Research Center. The project will also consider adequate vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle access to existing and planned activity centers, including commercial sites.

Your comment regarding an increase in traffic exiting the White Oak Shopping Center to Lockwood. Drive once the site is fully occupied by FDA has been noted, as have your comments regarding proposed bike paths and US 29/MD 650 clover leaf.

The proposed MD 650 improvements were based on roadway transportation needs identified in the General Service Administration's (GSA) 1997 Final Environmental Impact Statement. In July 1997, the GSA proposed to consolidate the FDA at the former site of the Naval Surface Warfare Center located in White Oak, Maryland. A "Transportation Improvement Feasibility Study" (BMI, 1999) was prepared Maryland. A Transportation Improvement Feasibility Study" (BMI, 1999) was prep
for Montgomery County to determine the need for transportation improvements. The for Montgomery County to determine the need for transportation improvements. The
study determined that improvements to five intersections/interchanges as well as the study determined that improvements to five intersections/interchanges as well as the -
northbound MD 650 lane would be needed. In March 2000 , a_report entitled "Review of northbound MD 650 lane would be needed. In March 2000, a report entitled "Review of
Transportation Improvements Along New Hampshire Avenue (MD 650)" (GSA, 2000) was prepared for GSA and presented the selected build alternative for further evaluation and review.

 -nv:mantamirnula...umi

Ms. Heather Thomas
Page Two
February 6, 2003

The addition of turn lanes on Lockwood Drive were a part of the original study plans, but local residents voiced strong opposition because they felt that this would encourage additional commuter traffic through local neighborhoods. Further studies resulted in the present modifications designed to encourage commuter traffic to use the US 29 interchange. Based on SHA's traffic evaluation, and the distance involved between the FDA access points and the US 29 interchange, it is anticipated that motorists will be able to safely merge across MD 650 to access the US 29 southbound movement.

Your support of both bike paths has been noted and is appreciated. Your suggestion of adding the fourth leaf to the US 29/MD 650 cloverleaf has been reviewed. At one time, this movement was in place, however, it introduced major weaving problems along the US 29 southbound roadway, and was therefore removed to improve that condition. The double left tum now planned for northbound MD 650 to southbound US 29 is expected to operate at an acceptable level of service.

The Maryland State Highway Administration has reviewed all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included on the project mailing list in order to keep you informed about our progress. Thank you for your interest. If you have any further questions please contact Dana Knight, Project Manager, at dpknight@mta.biz.

cc: Mr. Darrell Sacks, Project Planning, SHA


## MO900B21

JNFORMATIONAL PUBLJC MEETING
MD 650 (NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE)

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 25, 2002, 6:00 P.M. - 8:00 P.M.
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10501 NEW HAMPSHIRE A VENUE
SILVER SPRING, MD 20903-1197
NAME: Heather Thomas
DATE
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rLEASE
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We wish to comment or Inquire about the following aspects of thls project:
$1050 \$$ Lockuood- specifically from White Cak Shopping Conter. Exiting the shapang centor to go Southon 650 (tuming left fromLockuxod) is a lready *peadly difficult. Iraffic regularily backs up on Lackunod. Once the EDA goes in. I would think that around wach the getting -out of whik oak is really going tobe a bear/since that shapaing center has mast of the restaurants in the area. Idon't have an easy answer (maybe noore turn lanes so Lockwoed would help) (or a separate: arcess to Michelson Rad) but 1 wauld appreciatt ${ }^{-}$ it if someone could examine this.

[^2]
RE: Project No. MO900B21
MD 650 from Powder Mill Road in North of US 29 Montgomery County, Maryland

Mr. Barry Wiles
11803 lthica Road
Silver Spring.MD 20904 --...........................................
Dear Mr. Wiles:
Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) Improvements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. The purpose of the project to improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north of US 29. The project will consider provisions for improvine circulation of traffic and pedestrians 10 and from the proposed Food and Drug Administration (FDA) facility at the White Oak Federal Research Center. The project will also consider adequate vehicular, pedéstrian and bicycle access to existing and planned activity centers, including commercial sites.

Your comment regarding a need for a pedestrian activated traffic signal at Quaint Acres Drive and MD 650 has been noted. An analysis was performed last month and we concluded that there'was not enough pedestrian volume to justify a pedestrian signal here.

The Maryland State Highway Administration has reviewed all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included on the project mailing list in order to keep you informed about our progress. Thànk you for your interest. If you have any further questions please contact Dana Knight. Project Manager, at 410662 -7400 or dpknight@mta.biz






Mr. Barry Wiles
Page Two
February 5. 2003
cc: Mr. Darrell Sacks, Project Planning Division, SHA Nor. Däna P. KnighiťMcCormick, Taylor, and Associates

## STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION QUESTIONS AND/OR COMMENTS

## MO900B21

INFORMATIONAL PUBLIC MEETING
MD 650 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE) FROM SOUTH OF POWDER MILL RD TO NORTH OF US 29

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 25, 2002, 6:00 P.M. - 8:00 P.M.
CHI CENTER
10501 NEWHAMPSHIRE A VENUE
SILVER SPRING, MD 20903-1197
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INe wish to comment or inquire about the following aspects ofithls project:
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$\qquad$
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$\qquad$

Lipiease add my/our name(s) to the Malling List.
DPlease delete my/our name(s) from the Malling List.

* Persons who have received a copy of this brochure through the mall are aiready on the project Maling List


# SNA <br> StateHioficion <br> Administration ': 

 Febnuagy 5, 2003

Re: Project No. MO900B2 MD 650 from Powder Mill Road to North of US 29
Montgomery County, Maryland

[^3]
## Dear Mr. Pettis

Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) Improvements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. The purpose of the project is to improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north of US 29. The project will consider provisions for improving circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drug Arculation of (FDA) facility at the White Oak Federal Research Center. The projec will also consider adequate vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle access to existing and planned activity centers, including commercial sites.

Your comment regarding sidewalks, pedestrians and the safety of bus stops along MD 650 has been noted. We have interpreted this comment as referring to stops currently along the commercial area to the north because the commercial area to the south is connected via a continuous sidewalk. As for the connection to the north (towards Lockwood Drive), we are proposing an 8 foot-wide bike path. The intent of the proposed bike path on the east side of MD 650 is for the use of both bicyclists and pedestrians. The bike lane, located between the right-most travel lane and the curb is, in contrast, for the use of commuter biking. Although not shown on the color displays presented at the Public Informational Meeting, a concrete pad will be constructed at each bus stop along this project. This is depicted on the detailed construction plans.




Mr. Hugh Metis
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February 5, 2003

The State Highway Administration has reviewed all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included on the project mailing list in order to keep you informed about our progress. Thank you for your interest. If you have any further questions please contact Dana Knight, Project Manager, at 410-662-7400 or dpknight@mta.biz.

cc: Mr. Darrell Sacks, Project Planning Division, SHA ante Dana Piknight; McCormick, Taylor; and Associates

## STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

 QUESTIONS AND/OR COMMENTS
## MO900B21

INFORMATIONAL PUBLiC MEETING
MD 650 (NEW HAMPSHIRE A VENUE)
FROM SOUTH OF POWDER MILL RD TO NORTH OF US 29
MONDAY, NOVEMBER 25, 2002, 6:00 P.M. - 8:00 P.M.
CHI CENTER
10501 NEW HAMPSHIRE A VENUE
. 5ILVER SPRING, MD 20903-1197
name Hugh s. Metis
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iNv wish to comment or inquire about the following aspects of this project:
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$\square$ Please add my/our names) to the Mailing Lust.
$\square$ Please delete my/our names) from the Mailing List.

- Persons who have received a copy of this brochure through the mail are already on the project Mailing List



February 5, 2003
Re: Project No. MO900B21 MD 650 from Powder Mill Road to . North of US 29
North of US 29
Ms. Janice Gittleson
10918 Lockwood Drive
Silver Spring MD 20901
Uear ivs. Gillieson:
Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) Improvements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. The puppose of the project is to improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north of US 29. The project will consider provisions for improving circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drug Administration (FDA) facility at the former White Oak Naval Ordinance Laboratory. The project will also consider adequate vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle access to existing and planned activity centers, including commercial sites.

Your comments regarding traffic using roads in the Lockwood and Burnt Mills area have been noted, as have your concerns regarding pedestrian safety in your neighborhood.

With regard to Lockwood Drive, it is classified by the local County Master Plan as an arterial road, and therefore, is intended to carry through traffic as well as residential access traffic. With regard to traffic volume restrictions (four-way stops, "Do Not Enter" signs, etc.) on the secondary residential streets mentioned (Burnt Mills Avenue, signs, etc.) on the secondary residential streets mentment of Public Works and Transponation (MCDPW\&T) can initiate a traffic study upon formal request by citizens, Iransponation (Meighborhood associations once a specific problem materializes. This type of study would determine appropriate measures to deal with the specific problem. MCDPW\&I does not implement volume restrictions based on forecasied problems, bu uses actual observed data to install the most effective immediate solution. MCDPW\&T can be contacted at 101 Monroe St., Rockville, Maryland, 20850.




Ms. Janice Gittleson
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February 5, 2003

The Maryland State Highway Administration has reviewed all comments and will continue 10 investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included on the project mailing list in order to keep you informed about our progress. Thank you for your interest. If you have any further questions please contact Dana Knight, Project Manager, at 410-662-7400 or dpknigh!@mta.biz.

Sincerely,

cc:
Mr. Darrell Sacks, Project Planning Division, SHA
 Mr. Bob Simpson, MCDPW\&T

## STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

QUESTIONS AND/OR COMMENTS
$\therefore$ MO900B21
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CHI CENTER
10501 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE
SILVER SPRING, MD 20903-1197
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$\square$ Please add my/our name(s) to the Malling ust $\mathrm{m} / 16$ Aue wov/ $a^{\prime}$,
$\square$ Please delete my/our name(s) from the Malling List. LeLD,

* Persons who have received a copy of this brochure through the mall are already on the project Mailing List


## Sin 1 <br> State Hiopliviail <br> Administration -

 February 5, 2003

Re: Project No. MO900B21
MD 650 from Powder Mill Road
North of US 29
Montgomery County, Maryland
Mr. Millard Barger
807 Burnt Mills Avenue
Siiver Spring MD 2090

Dear Mr. Barger:
Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) Improvements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. The purpose of the project is to improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north.of.US 29. The project will consider provisions for improving circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drug Administration (FDA) facility at the White Oak Federal Research Center. The project will also consider adequate vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle access to existing and planned activity centers, including commercial sites.

Your comment regarding traffic using roads in the Bumt Hills Mills neighborhood for access to US 29 has been noted, as have your concems regarding another entrance :o Cher:

In order to discourage use of local streets by commuter traffic, design and signing elements have been incorporated into the proposed highway design. The General Services Administration (GSA) currently initiating studies for the northwest access from Cherry Hill Road, in which environmental impacts and costs will be evaluated as a part of the overall building plan for the FDA development. Traffic evaluations for the MD $\underline{6} 50$ project have been based on the inclusion of this entrance.




Mr. Mallard Barger
Page Two
February 5, 2003

The State Highway Administration has reviewed all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included on the project mailing list in order to keep you informed about our progress. Thank you for your interest. If you have any further questions please contact Mr. Dana Knight, Project Manager, at 410-662-7400 or dpknight@mta.biz.

cc: Dartell Sacks, SHA - PPD

Mr. Bill Potterton, General Services Administration

## STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

 QUESTIONS AND/OR COMMENTSMO900B21
INFORMATIONAL PUBLIC MEETING
MD 650 (NEWHAMPSHIRE AVENUE) FROM SOUTH OF POWDER MILL RD TO NORTH OF US 29
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## $\square$ Please add my/our name(s) to the Malling Lust:

$\square$ Please deiete my/our name(s) from the Malling Ust.

* Persons who have received a copy of this brochure through the mall are aiready on -the project Mailing List
 January 24, 2003

Re: Project No. M0900B21 MD 650 from Powder Mill Road to North of US 29
Montgomery County, Maryland
Ms. Pat Metz
3110 Bracefield Road
Silver Spring MD 20904

## Dear Ms. Metz:

Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) Improvements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. The purpose of the project is to improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powḍer Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north of US 29. The project will eonsider provisions for improving circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drug Administration (FDA) facility at the former White Oak Naval Ordinance Laboratory. The project will also consider adequate vehicular, pedestrian and bicyele access to existing and planned activity centers, including commereial sites.

Your comment regarding the Riderwood Village meeting facilities has been noted. Thank you for offering to host furure eommunity meetings. We will eertainly consider Riderwood Village as a meeting location for future meetings, if needed.

The Maryland State Highwiay Administration has reviewed all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included on the project mailing list in order to keep you informed about our progress. Thank you for your interest. If you have any questions, please contact me at 410-545 8761, 1-888-228-5003 or kbriggs@sha.state:md.us.





## Ms. Pat Metz <br> Page Two

January 24, 2003
cc: Darrell Sacks, SHA - PPD Anseanaly

## STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION QUESTIONS AND/OR COMMENTS

; MO900B21
INFORMATIONAL PUBLIC MEETING
MD 650 (NEWHAMPSHIRE•A VENUE) FROM SOUTH OF POWDER MILL RD TO NORTH OF US 29
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IWe wish to comment or Inquire about the following aspects of this project:


## $\square$ Please add my/our name(s) to the Maling List

$\square$ Please delete my/our name(s) from the Malling List.

* Persons who have received a copy of this brochure through the mall are already on the project Malling List



Re: Project No. MO900B21 MD 650 from Powder Mill Road to North of US 29 Montgomery County, Maryland

Mr. Clay Tennis
10416 Rodney Road
Silver Spring MD 20903
Dear Mr. Tennis:
Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) Improvements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. The purpose of the project is to improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north of US 29. The project will consider provisions for improving circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drug Administration (FDA) facility at the White Oak Federal Research Center. The project Administration (FDA) facility at the White Oak Federal Research Center. The project
will also consider adequate vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle access to existing and planned activity centers, including commercial sites.

Your comment regarding an extension of the bicycle path/sidewalk south to Powder Mill Road has been noted, as has your concem regarding current speeds of traffic in the area.

The plans for this project do not include widening or additional right-of-way through this area. Unfortunately there are no funds available to accommodate the additional widths necessary for exclusive bikeways in the area. The same night-of-way constraints apply to the development of exclusive bikeways that exclude pedestrian accommodation throughout the project.

Several comments were received relative to traffic speed along MD 650. We are providing the latest standards of signing for traffic control and design standards for the posted speed iimits. Beyond ihose effors, it becomes a matter of enforcement, and we will forward these concems to the local enforcement offices.



-

Mr. Clay Tennis
Page Two
February 5, 2003

The State Highway Administration has reviewed all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included on the project mailing list in order to keep, you informed about our progress. Thank you for your interest. If you have any further questions please contact Dana Knight, Project Manager, at 410-662-7400 or dpknigh!@mta.biz.

cc: Mr. Darrell Sacks, Project Planning Division, SHA
 Mr. Charlie Watkins, District Engineer, SHA

STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION QUESTIONS AND/OR COMMENTS

## MO900B21
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 January 24, 2003

Re: Project No. MO900B21 . : MD 650 from Powder Mill Road to North of US 29 Monigomery County, Maryland

Mr. James Pendergrass
Page Two
January 24, 2003

The reason for realigning the ramp that you described is the need to provide additional area for motorists coming in from US 29 to weave into the traffic on MD 650. A large number of vehicles under the bridge attempt to enter MD 650 from southbound US 29 and, at the same time, exit MD 650 to northbound US 29. The current weave distance between these two movements can no longer accommodate an acceptable level of service because of an increase in traffic volumes over the years. Therefore, the proposed improvement pulls back the nose of the ramp to gain additional weave length and improve the current siruation. As for the bottleneck to the south, this is due to the current traffic signal timing and the heavy left tuming movements. By proposing major improvements to the Lockwood Drive Intersection and providing a median brake for a left tum lane to the shopping center entrance, we anticipate improved traffic conditions.
-Both-the-State Highway Administration(SHA) -and Montgomery County officials share your views on bicycle and pedestrian traffic and attempt to provide for pedestrian and biker facilities wbere feasible.

The SHA has reviewed all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included onthe project mailing list in order to keep you informed about our progress. Thank you for your interest. If you have any questions, please contact me at 410-545-8761, 1-8gg-228-5003, or kbriggs@sha.state.md.us.

cc: Darrell Sacks, SHA - PPD
AdecDamaspering , McCormick, Taylor, and Associates

STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION QUESTIONS AND/OR COMMENTS

## $\therefore$ MO900B21

INFORMATIONAL PUBLIC MEETING
MD 650 (NEW HAMPSHIRE A VENUE) FROM SOUTH OF POWDER MILL RD TO NORTH OF US 29

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 25, 2002, 6.00 P.M. - 8.00 P.M.
CHI CENTER
10501 NEW HAMPSHIRE A VENUE
SILVER SPRJNG, MD 20903-1197
 ADDRESS 11602 Caplonger R1

$$
\text { CITY } \frac{\text { S.S }}{\text { en.llo } 0,0,11}
$$

STATEMD ZIP
$30 \cdot 1245.9227(0)$
iWe wish to comment or inquire about the following aspects of this project:
 t The Colluein o oustres cement.:
 nied kd (an Newt 650 nie)?
2) weel motaint. pracadeng vectt an 650 till aicle $t$ tewr ittanx rudertars prair?
3) At thu carmen (earent 1, 4) fursachthand 2nt


an 295/650s devaleaf. Undeney 29 suat monelicon
rnare ageryerate.
> 4). Tatene chenesit uer crad wrig need tr xevrosly condeler oseleng luts paths to all magor artoribs ir hat deretron t haly lase aito cancertion + pollater

$\square$ Please add my/our name(s) to the Malling List.
$\square$ Please delete my/our name(s) from the Malling Ust.

* Persons who have received a copy of thls brochure through the mall are aiready on the project Malling Ust


# SK1 <br> State Highintiv 

 February 5, 2003

Re: Project No. MO900B21 MD 650 from Powder Mill Road to MD 650 from P
North of US 29 North of US 29 County, Maryland

Ms. Liz Berbakos
11010 Lockwood Drive.
Silver Spring MD 2090

Dear Ms. Berbakos
Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) Improvements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. The purpose of the project is to improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north or US 29. The project will consider provisions for improving circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drus Administration (FDA) facility at the White Oak Federal Research Center. The project will also consider adequate vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle access ic existing and planned activity centers, including commercial sites:.

Your comment regarding traffic using Lockwood Drive, especially in the moming hours, has been noted, as have your ideas for ways to restrict traffic on Lockwood Drive. With regard to Lockwood Drive, it is classified by the local County master plan as an arterial road, and therefore, is intended to carry through traffic as well as residential $\overline{a c c e e s s ~ t r a f f i c . ~ I t ~ d o e s ~ n o t ~ q u a l i f y ~ f o r ~ s p e e d ~ b u m p s-o r ~ ' n o-e n t r a n c e ' ~ h o u r ~ r e s t r i c t i o n s . ~ T h e ~}$ other techniques that you mentioned (signs, hard right tum, etc.) were investigated and studied by the project team. There were several studies performed at the US 291 Lockwood Drive intersection to discourage northbound US 29 traffic from entering Lockwood Drive at this location. The redesign concepts had to consider safety of operation, access to an existing office complex, utility impacts, right of way constraints and environmental issues. To accommodate changes to the intersection, there would be major impacts to a stand of large oak trees and existing utilities. The cost of construction when compared to the possible benefit achieved was prohibitive. Additionally, there was a lack of consensus in the affected community.




Mr. Liz Berbakos
Page Two
February 5, 2003

The Maryland State Highway Administration has reviewed all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included on the project mailing list in order to keep you informed about our progress. Thank you for your interest. If you have any further questions please contact Dana Knight, Project Manager, at 410-662-7400 or dpknight@mta.biz.

Sincerely,

cc.

Mr. Darrell Sacks, SHA-PPD


## STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION <br> QUESTIONS AND/OR COMMENTS

## MO900B21
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$\square$ Piease add my/our name(s) to the Malifing List.
$\square$ Please delete my/our name(s) from the Maling List

* Persons who have recelved a copy of this brochure through the mail are already on the project Mailing List


# SNA <br> StateHidinat <br> Administration <br>  


February 6, 2003
Re: Project No. MO900B21 MD 650 from Powder Mill Road in North of US 29 Montgomery County, Maryland

Mr. John Metz
10506 Truxion Road
Adelphia MD 20783-1122
Dear Mr. Metz:
Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) Improvements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. The purpose of the project to improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north of US 29. The project will consider provisions for improving circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drug Administration (FDA) facility at the White Oak Federal Research Center. The project will also consider adequate vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle access to existing and planned activity centers, including commercial sites.

Your comment regarding the bottleneck conditions at MD 650 and US 29 and the apparent lack of improved conditions for southbound traffic has been noted as has your question regarding widening from two lanes to three.

The project is proposing three lanes of southbound traffic under the US 29 Bridge. The right lane would also serve as a "weaving lane" for traffic exiting southbound US 29 to southbound on MD 650. At the same time, southbound MD 650 traffic desiring to go north would enter the loop ramp on the south side of the interchange. The proposed three lanes under the bridge are through lanes.

Mr. John Meiz
Page Two
February 6, 20 n

The Maryland State Highway Administration has reviewed all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included on the project mailing list in order to keep you informed about our progress. Thank you for your interest. If you have any further questions please contact Dana Knight. Project Manager, at 410-662-7400 or dpknight@mta.biz.

Sincerely,

cc: Mr. Darrell Sacks, Project Planning Division AMr Darialpe Kiüghr, McCormick, Taylor, and Associates Mr. Charlie Watkins, District Engineer, SHA
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## : MO900B21

INFORMATIONAL PUBLIC MEETING
MD 650 (NE HAMPSHIRE AVENUE)
FROM SOUTH OF POWDER MIL RD TO NORTH OF US 29
MONDAY, NOVEMBER 25, 2002, 6:00 P.M. - 8:00 P.M.
CHI CENTER
10501 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE
SILVER SPRING, MD 20903-1197


PLEASE
PRINT

We wish to comment or inquire about the following aspects of this project


ROAD WAY has Always seen only 2 LANES Through. A Real Bottleneck for Morning Tropic Going Soult. The fAitour bass effect 3. LANES TO 2 CANES ANS BACK TO 3 LANES.

Your plan does "Not" Frore this tor" Souse Bound TPAPtic - only 2 LANES go.unden Rr $25!!$

Q-GlY CAN NOT 3 LANES BE MADE? ROOM exist's - and has tore to yeans! Clark you.
$\square$ Please add my/our name (s) to the Mailing List.
guy

## $\square$ Please delete my/our names) from the Maliing.List.

$*$ Persons who have received a copy of this brochure through the mail are aiready on the project Making List
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## February 5, 2003

Re: Project No. MO900B2
MD 650 from Powder Mill Road to
North of US 29
Montgomery County, Maryland
Ms. Ruth Irwin
509 Quaint Acres Drive
Silver Spring MD 20904
Dear Ms. Irwin;
Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) Improvements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. The purpose of the project is to improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north of US 29. The project will consider provisions for improving circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drug Administration (FDA) facility at the White Oak Federal Research Center. The project will also consider adequate vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle access to existing and planned activity centers, including commercial sites.

Your suggestion regarding modifications at the existing yellow flashing light due to traffic speeds has been noted, as has your comment regarding vehicles expenencing difficulty exiting Quaint Acres Drive making a left onto MD 650 due to traffic volumes. Your concem regarding onvers exiling the White Oak Shopping Center has also been noted.

The Honorable Ida Ruben, Maryland State Senator, has requested SHA District 3 Traffic to perform another Signal Warrant Analysis at the MD 650/Quaint Acres Drive intersection. This study is currently underway, and is expected to be completed in April) 2003. The direct contact person at the Maryland State Highway Administration District 3 office, Mr. Charlie Watkins, District Engineer, may be contacted at Maryland State Highway Administration, 9300 Kenilworth Avenue, Greenbelt. MD 20770.
in :nth the ne numbermatifive numina is



$\because$

Ms. Ruth Irwin
Page Two
February 5, 2003

Regarding the White Oak Shopping Center, SHA is aware of the difficult tum out of the shopping cenier onto Lockwood Drive due to the proximity of MD 650. The proposed improvements add an additional left tum lane from westbound Lockwood to southbound MD 650. These improvements along with additional improvements on MD 650 will help to reduce congestion at the intersection improving the access to the shopping center in this area.

The Maryland State Highway Administration has reviewed all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included on the project mailing list in order to keep you informed about our progress. Thank you for your interest. If you have any further questions please contact Dana Knight, Project Manager, at dpknight@mta.biz.

cc: The Honorable Ida G. Ruben, Senate of Maryland Mr. Darrell Sacks, Project Planning Division, SHA Mr. Charlie Watkins, District Engineer, SHA
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 QUESTIONS AND/OR COMMENTS
## MO900B21

INFORMATIÓNAL PUBLUC MEETING
MD 650 (NEW HAMPSHIRE.A VENUE)
FROM SOUTH OF POWDER MLLL RD TO NORTH OF'US 29
MONDAY, NOVEMBER 25, 2002, 6.00 P.M. - 8.00 P.M.
CHI CENTER
10501 NEW HAMPSHIRE A VENUE SILVER SPRING, MD 20903-1197

NAME
please
PRINT

ADDREss Ruth DRwin 509 Quaint Acrea I citr siluesphino statelind zip do90
IWe wish to comment or Inquire about the following aspects of this project:
 such as a pedpy ian orucidt ldee the cussent pellow flasting lidet duexothe inculared pace that will now orcur from this projiet. The community can cot eunrencty olepart quant Acrex oy vuirsin lift due fo the flow wo - unth this probict he inculared pace nowhbound cuill mate this handen to rear inporsible. Also the liglet is yhece it just neldo yo be clanpld to a better functioning light. The citizens alwo have no
option $x 5$ ure public toexproutation if, hag. due dioppod seanthe nowth side retuntrallome. -nom oc - they cent cuoss the srueet dika Tuolune of people ading is FOA (sousthoverod)
thow Congen aw is Appotod wikn ADA expoyed Edmino
$\square$ Please ado my/our name(s) to the Maling ust abtein athe naxt Yo
$\square$ Please delete my/our name(s) form the Mallng ust no ber tual

- Persons who have received a cooy of this brochure throwotine mall are areaze on the project Malling Lst

Trucafic fiom lasdope

## STATE HIGHWAY ADMINSTRATION

 QUESTIONS AND/OR COMMENTS$\therefore$ MO900B21
INFORMATIONAL PUBLIC MEETING
MD 650 (NE WHAMPSHIRE A VENUE) FROM SOUTH OF POWDER MIL RD TO NORTH OF US 29

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 25, 2002, 6.00 P.M. - 8:00 P.M. CHI CENTER
10501 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE SILVER SPRING, MD 20903-1197

PRINT

IRe wish to comment or Inquire about the following aspects of this project:

$\square$ Please add my/our names) to the Milling Lust.
$\square$ Please delete my/our names) from the Mailing List.

* Persons who have received a copy of this brochure through the mall are aiready on the project Mailing List


StateHiditaty Administration

February 5, 2002
Re: Project No. MO900B21
MD 650 from Powder Mill Road to
North of US 29
Montgomery County, Maryland
Mr. Kevin Scrimgeour
11020 Lockwood Rd.
Silver Spring MD 20901
Dear Mr. Scrimgeour:
Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) Improvements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. The purpose of the project is to improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north of US 29. The project will consider provisions for improving circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drug Administration (FDA) facility at the White Oak Federal Research Center. The project Administration (FDA) facility at the White Oak Federal Research Center. The proje
will also consider adequate vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle access to existing and will also consider adequate vehicular, pedestrian and
planned activity centers, including commercial sites.

Your comment regarding the apparent lack of bus stops has been noted, along with your concern regarding potential additional traffic on Lockwood Drive during moming and evening rush hours.

There will be six bus stops and five bus shelters along MD 650 within the limits of the work. They will all be reconstructed to have a concrete pad between the curb and the sidewalk. These bus stops/bus shelters may not have been shown on the color displays presented at the Public Workshop but they are shown in the more detailed construction plans for this project.

With regard to Lockwood Drive, it is classified by the local County Master Plan as an arterial read, and therefore, is intended to carry through traffic as well as residential access traffic.



## Mr. Kevin Scrimgeous
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The Maryland State Highway Administration has reviewed all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included on the project mailing list in order to keep you informed about our progress. Thank you for your interest. If you have any further questions please contact Dana Knight, Project Manager, at 410-662-7400 or dpknight@mta.biz.

cc: Mr. Darrell Sacks, Project Planning Division, SHA Enctanai Knight McCormick, Taylor, and Associates

STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION QUESTIONS AND/OR COMMENTS

## MO900B21

INFORMATIONAL PUBLIC MEETING
MD 650 (NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE) FROM SOUTH OF POWDER MILL RD TO NORTH OF US 29

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { MONDAY, NOVEMBER 25, 2002, 6.00 P.M. - 8.00 P.M. } \\
\text { CHI CENTER } \\
\text { 10501 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE } \\
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pLease
PRINT


AWe wish to comment or Inquire about the following aspects of this project: ,
 $\omega$

(Please add my/our names) to the Mailing List
1 Please delete my/our names) from the Mailing List.

* Persons who have received a copy of this brochure through the mall-are already on the project Mailing List
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MD 650 (NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE) FROM SOUTH OF POWDER MIL RD TO NORTH OF US 29
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CHI CENTER
10501 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE SIL VER SPRING, MD 20903-1197
please PRINT
 arr S.S. state $\qquad$
$\qquad$ state. 21P 2090/

1 Me wish to comment or Inquire about the following aspects of this project:
T Thule that pan Abe
 Eappfic that well cane Latockwoail From 29 To New Hampshire. LOckwood
iso easy cacus the You'll verde 70 Block Lockwood in the AM 1
PM Rush Hours. We Have waugh toggle

cit aver Neychbert

- D lease add my/our names) to the Mailing List.
$\square$ Please delete my/our names) from the Mailing List.
* Persons who have received a copy of this brochure through the mall are already on the project Mailing List
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February 6, 2003
Re: Project No. MO900B21
MD 650 from Powder Mill Road to North
MD 650 f
of US 29
Mont gomery County, Maryland
Mr. Bill Kelly
206 Paducah Road.
College Park MD 20740
Dear Mr. Kelly:
Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) Improvements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. The purpose of the project is o improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north of US 29. The project will consider provisions for improving Chalmers Road to north of US 29. The project with consider provisions for Administration (FDA) facility at the White Oak Federal Research Center. The project will also consider adequate vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle access to existing and planned activity centers, including commercial sites.

Your comment regarding bike lanes on MD 650 has been noted, as have your concerns regarding the width of combined/limited use trail, vertical drawings, and the need for more crosswalks.

With regard to southbound bike lanes, a decision was made early in the project to avoid impacts to the westernmost curb line of MD 650 wherever possible, to preserve as much of the island as possible and thereby protect this existing buffer for the residences on the west side of MD 650. For this reason, southbound MD 650 is not being widened as part of this project, and a bike lane not added. With regard to the bike path width, part of this project, and a bike lane not added. With regard to the bike path wide, since this is a master-planned transportation bikeway, and not a recreational trail, the Montgomery County Department of Public Works and Transportation (MCDPW\&T)
standards apply, not the Maryland National Capital Parks and Planning Commission standards apply, not the Maryland National Capital Parks and Planning Commission
(MNCPPC) standards. MCDPW\&T will be responsible for maintaining and operating (MNCPPC) standards. MCDPW\&T will be responsible for maintaining and operating ny bike pith, wit $M$ NiCPPC. The MCDPW\&T specifies 8 -foot widths for bike paths within road right-of-way, instead of 10 -feet, because the volume of path users will be less since some bicyclists will use the adjacent parallel road instead. Environmental benefits



## Mr. Bill Kelly
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include the reduction of imperviousness, and an increase in planting space for landscaping. Because the road is parallel to the path, access is not a problem: The MCDPW\&T can be contacted at 101 Monroe St., Rockville, Maryland, 20850.

The Maryland State Highway Administration has reviewed all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included on the project mailing list in order to keep you informed about our progress. Thank you for your inierest. If you have any further questions please contact Dana Knight, Project Manager, at 410-662-7400 or dpknight@mta.biz.

cc: Mr. Darrell Sacks, Project Planning Division
Alimbanalar Kinght, McCormick, Taylor, and Associates Mr. Charlie Watkins, District Engineer, SHA
Mr. Bob Simpson, MCDPW\&T

## STATE HIGHWAY ADMINSTRATION

## QUESTIONS AND/OR COMMENTS

MO900B21
INFORMATIONAL PUBLIC MEETING
MD 650 (NEW HAMPSHIRE.AVENUE) FROM SOUTH OF POWDER MLL RD TO NORTH OF US 29

```
MONDAY, NOVEMBER 25, 2002, 6:00 P.M. - 8.00 P.M.
```

CHI CENTER
10501 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE
SILVER SPRING, MD 20903-1197

PLEASE
CITY $\qquad$ Achacal Rd
DATE $14-20^{-02}$ Bill Kelly 21820780

PRINT

IWe wlsh to comment or lnquire about the following aspects of thls project:


## SNA State Hiolivity


February 5, 2003

Re: Project No. MO900B21 MD 650 from Powder Mill Road to North of US 29 Montgomery County, Maryland

Mr. Charles Dilla
11237 Lockwood $\mathrm{Dr}_{\text {r }}$
Silver Spring MD 20901
Dear Mr. Dilla:
Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) Improvements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. The purpose of the project is to improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north of US 29 . The project will consider provisions for improving circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drug Administration (FDA) facility at the former White Oak Naval Ordinance Laboratory. The project will also consider adequate vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle access to existing and planned activity centers, including commercial sites.

Your commént regarding traffic exiting from the McDonalds/Office Depot complex and making an illegal tum onto northbound New Hampshire Avenue has been noted. The State Highway Administration's (SHA) proposed improvements incorporatea channelized median that would prohibit exiting from the McDonalds/Office Depot a channelized median that would.prohis exing also shiffs the median opening further complex onto norinioung median break altogether, this would create hardship and loss of business to the commercial area occupants currently benefiting from that point of access.

Mr. Charles Dilla
Page Two
February 5. 2003

The State Highway Administration has reviewed all comments and will continue investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included on the project mailing list in order to keep you informed about our progress. Thank you for project mailing list in order to keep you informed about our progrs. Kight, Project your interest. If you have any further questions pleas.
Manager, at 410-662-7400 or dpknighi@mta.biz.

cc. Mr Damell Sacks, SHA - PPD

Miz Dana PaKnighi, McCormick, Taylor, and Associates Mr. Charlie Watkins, District Engineer, SHA

STATE HIGHWAY ADMNISTRATION QUESTIONS AND/OR COMMENTS

## - MO900B21

INFORMATIONAL PUBLIC MEETING MD 650 (NEWHAMPSHIRE.AVENUE) FROM SOUTH OF POWDER MILL RD TO NORTH OF US 29

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 25, 2002, 6:00 P.M. - 8.00 P.M
CHI CENTER
10501 NEW HAMPSHIRE A VENUE
SILVER SPRING, MD 20903-1197
name: Cilarins (diun date $11 / 25702$ please

PRINT city Silvan Spreing state mis. zip 20901

INe wish to comment or Inquire about the following aspects of thls project:

$\square$ Please add my/our name(s) to the Malling Ust.
$\square$ Please delete my/our name(s) from the Malling List.

* Persons who have recelved a copy of this brochure through the mall are already on the project Malling Llst


## Cin

StateHo
 February 5, 2003

Re: Project No. MO900B21 MD 650 from Powder Mill Road to MD 650 from P
North of US 29
Montgomery County, Maryland
Mr. Will Hires
S. White Oak Vista Court

Silver Spring MD 20904
Dear Mr. Hires:
Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) Improvements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. The purpose of the project is to improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north of US 29. The project will consider provisions for improving circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drug Administration (FDA) facility at the White Oak Federal Research Center. The project will also consider adequate vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle access to existing and will also consider adequate vehicular, pedestrian and
planned activity centers, including commercial sites.

Your comment regarding synchronized traffic lights, especially during rush hour momings and evenings, has been noted. The Montgomery County Transportation Management Center will be contacted to evaluate MD 650 from the 1-495 off ramp to the on ramp to US 29 South.

The Maryland State Highway Administration has reviewed all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included on the project mailing list in order to keep you informed about our progress. Thank you for your interest. If you have any further questions please contact Dana Knight, Project Manager, at 410-662-7400 or dpknight@mta.biz.

Sincerely,
Kenneth T. Brigga
Chier. Highway Deston Division
Chief, Highway Desgn Division
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cc: Mr. Darrell Sacks, Project Planning Division, SHA AMn

```
        STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION
        QUESTIONS AND/OR COMMENTS
            -MO900B21
        INFORMATIONAL PUBLIC MEETING
        MD }650\mathrm{ (NEWHAMPSHIRE.AVENUE)
FROM SOUTH OF POWDER MILL RD TO NORTH OF'US 29
```

    MONDAY, NOVEMBER 25, 2002, 6:00 P.M. - 8:00 P.M
                    CHI CENTER
        10501 NEW HAMPSHIRE A VENUE
        SILVER SPRING, MD 20903-1197
            name, Will Hires
    please
pint
adDress 5 white $O_{A K}$ Vista Court
CITY Silver Spring STATE MD ZIP 20904
INe wish to comment or inquire about the following aspects of this project:
Synchronize the traffic light, especially during rush ..
hour mornings and eivenings
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\checkmark 1$ Please add my/our name(s) to the Mailing List.

## $\square$ Please delete my/our name(s) from the Malling Llst.

* Persons who have received a copy of this brochure through the mail are already on the project Maliing List
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Re: Project No. MO900B2 MD 650 from Powder Mịll Road to North of US 29
Montgomery County, Maryland
Mr. Charles Goldman
10715 Gatewood Ave
Silver Spring MD 20903
Dear Mr. Goldman:
Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) Improvements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. The purpose of the project is to improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north of US 29. The project will consider provisions for improving Chal circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drug Administration (FDA) facility at the White Oak Federal Research Center. The projec will also consider adequate vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle access to existing and planned activity centers, including commercial sites.

Your comment regarding the proposed tuming lanes from MD 650 onto US 29 has been noted, as have your concerns regarding traffic restrictions and signage in the Bumt Mills Hills Community.

The Maryland State Highway Administration (SHA) will make a request to Mr. Emil Wolanin. Chief of the Montgomery County Traffic and Parking Services to initiate a sludy for these restrictions. If they are justified, Montgomery County will then contac the citizens of the affected roadways for their concurrence. If everyone is in agreement with the restrictions, SHA will then be contacted to provide the signage.

Page Two
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The SHA has reviewed all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included on the project mailing list in order to keep you informed about our progress. Thank you for your interest. If you have any further questions please contact Dana Knight, Project Manager, at 410-662 7400 or dpknight@mta.biz
cc: Mr. Darrell Sacks, Project Planning Division, SHA
 Mr. Charlie Watkins, District Engineer, SHA
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CHI CENTER
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iNe wish to comment or inquire about the foilowing as pects of this project:
$\qquad$
Commats will Jolliow,
Cipally fre uayos

## STATE HIGHWAY ADMINSTRATION

 QUESTIONS AND/OR COMMENTS
## - MO900B21

INFORMATIONAL PUBLJC MEETING MD 650 (NEWHAMPSHIRE AVENUE) FROM SOUTH OF POWDER MIL RD TO NORTH OF US 29

MONDAY, NOVEMBER $25,2002,6.00$ P.M. - 8.00 P.M. CHI CENTER 10501 NEWHAMPSHIRE A VENUE SILVER SPRING, MD 20903-1197

```
pleASE
```

DRINT


IWe wish to comment or inquire about the following aspects of this project:
1 Keep the $2 x$ hes aton bow thamssh, on to d9c
2 keepthe single right from hock woos outt
Wewlarperne Sout -
3 Thene is a crucial Nees to bentass
Fim cuthing thrugh Beant Mills tede at neaby cixumu of, There shaudd be Do not enter Sign Duny $3{ }^{30} \rightarrow \mathrm{PM}$ on Schimelec Ruppent, Grest havew o H. tekelisn Resposible police eofancement 6 celso neesed
$\square$ Please add my/our name(s) to the Malling List.
$\square$ Please delete my/our name(s) from the Malling Llst.

* Persons who have received a copy of this brochure through the mall are already on the project Mailing Ust


February 5, 2003
Re: Project No. MO900B21 MD 650 from Powder Mill Road to North of US 29 North of US 29
Montgomery County, Maryiand

Ms. Diane Wigle
411 Apple Grove Rd.
Silver Spring MD 20904

## Dear Ms. Wigle:

Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) Improvements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. The purpose of the project is to improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north of US 29. The project will consider provisions for improving circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drug circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drug Administration (FDA) facility at the White Oak Federal Research Center. The projec will also consider adequate vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle access to existing and planned activity centers, including commercial sites.

Your comment regarding increased traffic on MD 650 north of US 29 has been noted, along with your concem regarding the need for a new traffic signal at MD 650 and Quaint Acres Drive.

The Honorable Ida Ruben, Maryland State Senator, has requested State Highway Administration (SHA) District 3 Traffic to perform another Signal Warrant Analysis at the intersection. This study is currently underway, and is expected to be completed in April 2003. The direct contact person, Mr. Charlie Watkins, District Engineer, may be contacted at Maryland State Highway Administration, 9300 Kenilworth Avenue, Greenbelt, MD 20770.

## Ms. Diane Wigle <br> Page Two <br> Febnuary 5, 2003

The Maryland State Highway Administration has reviewed all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included on the project mailing list in order to keep you informed about our progress. Thank you for your interest. If you have any further questions please contact Dana Knight, Project Manager, at 410-662-7400 or dpknight@mta.biz.

cc: The Honorable Ida G. Ruben, Senate of Maryland
Mr. Darrell Sacks, Project Planning Division, SHA Mr. Charlie Watkins, District Engineer, SHA
Steinaina KnlghrMcCormick, Faylor, and Associates
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MONDAY, NOVEMBER 25, 2002, 6:00 P.M. - 8:00.P.M. CHI CENTER
10501 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE SILVER SPRING, MD 20903-1197
please
name Diane Wiglet
DATE $11 / 25 / 02$
PRINT address 4II APPLE GROVE RD CITY $\qquad$ state MD ZIP 20904
inv wish to comment or inquire about the following aspects of this project:
It appears all changes are bluing made with the assumption traffic will not increase on $R+.650$ north of $P_{+}$. 29. Do you have data that till you this,? Hare yous surveyed $D A$ to see where employees will betravelfing from? I'm sure they could An collect zip codes som the priphell to gie e yer a pretty accurate estimate of where troltie Del as traveling rum. It ane you conelsedered teethe from -the Test side s the county may men be to avoid the beltway Ftravel Pasedolfth Pad. That well increase traffic on 650 ofsether mouth thai your plane ebxisider 0
four over a decade the eiturexs have requested a strafe light at 650 and 0 main LC res Alive. It id aver mile NartharSouth $t$ a signalised $\sqrt{n t e}$ -
sector to it is impossible t arfely work astray Please add my/our names) to the Mailing ust.athe Athaet finch the


* Persons who have received a copy of this brochure through the mall already an the project Mailing List the project Mailing List

Mark Seneys
Tuesday. November 26, 2002 5:44 PM
Tuesday, November 26, 20,
kbriggs © sha.state.md.us
kbriggs $\Phi$ sha. sta
Hillandale Shell
ear Mr. Briggs-
ty name ia Mark Sene and I am owner of the Shell station that ia on the ornery of New Hampahire Ave and Powder Mill Road. Unfortunately, I wat mable to attend your presentation at CHI last night.
way interested in finding out what possible ramifications thane could be
it Mr location. In other words, would any of the proposals require idjuitment to the property that the station is located on? or. could traffic terns be changed to the advaritaga or disadvantage to my location?
would appreciate any insight that you could give me to better prepare for. try changes.
sincerely,
UT
$\qquad$
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February 6, 2003
Re: $\quad$ Project No. MO900B21
MD 650, From Powder Mill Road tn North of US 29
Monigomery County, Maryland
Mr. Mark Seney

Hillandale Shell Station
10201 New Hampshire Ave.
Silver Spring, MD 20903
Dear Mr. Seney,
Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) Improvements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. The purpose of the project is to improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north of US 29. The project will consider provisions for improving circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drug circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drug Administration (FDA) facility at the White Oak Federal Research Center. The project will also consider adequate vehicular, pedestrian and bicyele access to existing and planned activity centers, including commercial sites.

Your comment regarding potential impacts of the proposed project on your property (the Hillandale Shell Station) has been noted. This project has no direct impact on your property or the operation of your business. The proposed improvements are mainly for the southern and the eastem legs of the intersection. MD 650 in front of you business will be resurfaced and re-striped, and the sidewalks will be reconstructed in their present locations. This operation will require minimum construction time and access to your property will be maintained throughout construction

Mr. Mark Seney
Page Two
February 6, 2003

The Maryland State Highway Administration has reviewed all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included on the project mailing list in order to keep you informed about our progress. Thank you for your interest. If you have any further questions please contact Dana Knight, Project Manager, at dpknigh!@mta.biz.

Sincerely,

cc: Mr. Darrell Sacks, Project Planing Division, SHA




| --Otohnt Message- |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| From: | Evans, Dod |
| Sert: | Wednesday, Movember 27, 2002 12:52 P4 |
| Te |  |
| Ce <br> Subject | Mr. Seney (Shell Station at Powder MM Roso) |
|  |  |
| Mr. Seney |  |

Thank you for your inguiry relative to the propoaed construction on mb 650. Mr. Briags aaked me to reapond on hia behalf. There ia no direct impact to the Shell Stat
corner of MD 650 and powder Mill Road. MD 650 in the vicinity will be ouerlaid corner of MD 650 and Powder Mill Road. MD 650 in the vicinity will be overlaid.
Northbound MD 650 ahows no improvementa except for the econseruction of the sidewalke at the cornera of the intersection. Southbound MD 650 will widen slightly to the west fouth of Powder Mill Road primarily to accommodate a triple left turn from westbound Powder Mill to aouthbound MD 650. The proposed MD 650 median south of the intersection will be wide with a longer left turn lane. The Powder Mill Road weatern approach will have the same
number of lanea but will add a median and an unmarked bike lane. To accommodate the extera number of
width for the new medion and bike lane. Powder Mill Rd east of MD 650 will be shifted to the south. The northeast corner of the intersection will not change except for replacing the existing sidewalk in kind and providing a continuous aidewalk in front of the church. The northweat corner will add new ai

For additional information, you may contact Dana knight or Richard evana at the adarass below.

Thanks.

Richard M. Evans
McCormick, Taylor \& Associates, Inc.
509 South Exeter Street - 4th Floor
Baltimore, Maryland 21202
Phone 410-662-7400, (FAX - 7401)
Cellular 410-446-5111
Richard

Mr. Donald McKee
Page Two
February 5, 2003

The State Highway Administration has reviewed all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included on the project mailing list in order to keep you informed about our progress. Thank you for your interest. If you have any further questions please contact Dana Knight, Project Manager, at 410-662-7400 or dpkṇight@mta.biz.

cc: Mr. Darrell Sacks, Project Planning Division, SHA Mrydanapr kinghiachormick. Taylor, and Associates
Mr.Bob Simpson, MCDPW\&T

BURNT MILLS CITIZENS ASSOCIATION SILVER SPRING, MD 20901

## officers

## PRESIDENT Donald MCKee 30S North wet Driver

 301 393-4591
secretary Sheila Chandle-Leon 30t 593-6983
25 Nov. 2002 305 Northwest Dr Silver Spring, MD 2090 ii

Mr. Ken Briggs, Project Mgr. Office of Highway Design, Mailstop C-102 Maryland State Highvay.Adm.
Baltimore, MD 21202
Dear Mr. Braga
Ref: MD 650 Study

Our community of 320 home a borders on Lockwood Or. and goes westward across 29 to the Northwest Branch. Our northern border

We attended meeting a year ago (7 Nov 01) wherein. Bob Simpaon of Montgomery Co. heard our thoughts about the FDA project. We did not indicate a nonsupport then nor do we now, but traffic considercations along. our neighborhood street still remain foremost on our minds. These are small residential streets with parking already existent. FDA employees going to and from york will certainly

Northwest Dr.- uS 29 to Nev Hampshire
speed bumps already in place, but
Lock rood Br: - houses become convenient cut-through?
Lockwood $\mathfrak{b e}$ : - houses both aides, $24^{\prime}$ roadway
convenient shortcut for traffic coming north
on 29 turning south on NH. Providing two right turn lanes off of lockwood at N.H. villi just encourage further use of this short cut. And conversely at PM rush hour, providing a double left turn lane off of NA onto Lockwood repeat the process

Our Assn. strongly urges that steps be taken to lessen the use of commuter traffic on these tivo streets not to encourage. it
1.30 P

Very truly yours.
Lase a to tue nita.
L pee you ace usp cunard m. melee
L see yt ace wot gong president
mo the double rijlit tum lanes - (bloc kword to NH south)
rust "left:" (NH to Loekword sw)
Fine, that is solvers- do on neidhtirharel iP. MF

Sur February 5, 2003

Re: Project No. MO900B2 MD 650 from Powder Mill Road to North of US 29
Montgomery County, Maryland
Ms. Susan Mellish Edwards
g11 McCeney Avenue
Silver Spring MD 20901

## Dear Ms. Edwards:

Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) Improvements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. The purpose of the project is improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north of US 29. The project will consider provisions for improving Chalmers Road offic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drug circulation of traffic and pedestrians 10 and from the proposed Food and Administration (FDA) facility at the White Oak Federal Research Center. The project
will also consider adequate vehicular, pedestrian and bicyele access to existing and will also consider adequate vehicular, pedestrian and
planned activity centers, including commercial sites.

Your cumments regutimy iucal use of the study area and pedestrian safety have been noted, as have your concerns regarding the consistency of the proposed improvements with the White Oak Master Plan and Regional Planning.

In response to your first comment regarding pedestrian safety and local access at the west leg of the MD 650/Lockwood Drive intersection, the additional right and left um lanes are not included as part of this project based on response from the community.

Regarding your comment on the White Oak Transit Center Study, additional coordination has revealed that the focus of transit studies has changed in the White Oak coordination he publication of the October 2002 EA/Draf Section 4(f) Evaluation. Montgomery County Department of Public Works and Transportation (MCDPW\&T) completed a two-year study to determine the feasibility of locating a transit center in the White Oak Shopping Center. The study expanded on the 1997 White Oak Master Plan White Oak Shopping Center. The study expanded on trentande transit center locations in the White Oak area. The prototype for technical analysis as the study began was a facility with 500 parking spaces and six bus bays. As the study progressed, a decision was made by



Ms. Susan Edivards
Page Two
February 5, 2003

MCDPW\&T to delay the long-term transit study, including the potential for a large-scale transit center at the White Oak Shöpping Center. MCDPW\&T focused on short-term improvements instead, specifically improvements to heavily used bus stops in the vicinity of MD 650 and Lockwood Drive. The final study report presents a proposed faciltity program for the bus stop improvements. Bus pads will be installed on New Hampshire Avenue at Lockwood. Drive (east and west sides, north of Lockwood Drive). According to MCDPW\&T, there are no immediate plans to reinitiate the transit center study.

In response to your comment regarding the March 2002 Transportation Management Plan (TMP), further coordination with General Services Administration (GSA) has revealed that the NCPC did not approve the 1.5 persons per vehicle. Currently, the NCPC has approved the parking ratio of 1:2 (1 parking space for 2 employees) and requires that NCPC review the parking ratio as the facility is constructed and the parking habits of FDA employees are observed. Thete is limited public transportation to the site, and many of the employees work odd and long hours so carpooling is difficult. GSA and its traffic consultant anticipate that more parking may be needed than what has been approved, as they predict a ratio of 1.2:2 (1.2 parking spaces for 2 employees).

With regard to your final comment, the GSA as a part of their entire building plan is presently studying the Cherry Hill Road entrance to the FDA Facility. The traffic sudies that were performed for the New Hampshire A venue design were done with the understanding that the north entrance would be constructed before the final build out is completed. The GSA, State Highway Administration, (SHA) and Montgomery County Agencies have been and continue to work closely together in the development of this project.

The SHA has reviewed all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included on the project mailing list in order to keep you informed about our progress. Thank you for your interest. If you have any further questions please contact Dana Knight, Project Manager. at 410-6627400 or dpknigh!@mta.biz

Sincerely


Ms. Susan Edwards
Page Three
February 5, 2003
cc: Mr. Darrell Sacks, Project Planning Division, SHA
 Mr.Charlie Watkins, District Engineer, SHA
Mr. Bob Simpson, MCDPW\&T

11 Moceney, Avemus
November 25, 2002
Mi. Denise Kling

Ervironmental Spedarlet
Erwironmental Spedailen
The Roturde - Sutte 220
711 Wost $40^{\circ}$ - Sureet
Ealtmora MD 21211

## Dear Ms. King:

Followe are mo pages, copy of comments submithed to Mr. Ken Bripgs regarding Profect No. MOBOOB21 October 2002 MD 650 Stucy and Enviommontal Assosament Draff for your review.

Please submit es writien testimony for thas project $\{$ appreciste your consideration In this matter.

Sincerdy. Susenmuid fow wos
Suman Mellysh Edwarda Vico-Preationt
Burnt Mits hilt Cuzens Assoctation

811 McCeney Averue
Siver Spring, MD 20901
Novernber 25, 2002
Ms. Cynthia Simpeon
Deputy Director
Office of Planning and Prelliminary Engineering
State Higtway Adminigtration
Man Stop C 301
Baltimore. MD 21202

## Dear Mas. Simpson

Follows are two pages. copy of comments eubmitted to Mr. Ken Briges regarding Proiect No. MO900821 October 2002 MD 650 Study and Emvirormentel Ascessmert Dreft for your review.

Plisase subm in this matter.
lapprecibte your consideration
Sinceredy.
Surson melhil Eduwurds
Susan Mefish Edwards
Vice-Presidem
Bume Mils hills Cikizens Association

Foiect No. Moq00Bel


Kan Arigos. Project Manager
Ofice of Highway Deaign
Mandand State Mighway Administration
Meilstod C-102
07 North Calvart Street

Dear Mr. Bripos:
In review of the MD 850 Study Alternote 2 - Bulld Altematve 1 on warting to express our commuritys concem that the mprovenerte ahown do nod sumicientiy address pede strian safety lasuas and hocal sccesss and 1987 White Oak Master Plan and Regional Prannina

For our community and the edjoining communibes Lochwood Drive serves at
 high pedeatina usage. With the relocation of FDA our communtioe will be feced with algnincam additional congestion that the MD ESOM actwood Ditve corridor cannof euppoit without improvernents thet whll blso allow hocel use.
The Environmental Asceasment for the MD 650 Sludy riates that in is consiater with the 1997 White Oek Master Plimn and the Plen's suppoct for the FOA traclity.
to mitigate tratic impacte from FDA employees, minimize congestion on MD 650 during peek hours and preclude the use of Lochwood Orive, Schinder Ditve and Northwest Drive as commuter thorougtrares ior FDA employees. However, the SHA improverdents to the MD BSOM occhiood Drive west leg of the intersaction include an eddifonal inght tum lane onto wourhbound New Hampares. His location is in an ares that has two bus stops fore on each alde of Lockwood Divive) adjacern to the main antrance for the Berkshire high-rsa apartm enta end the commerciza center with signitcant pedestrian cronalngs of mid-whed
Representatives of our community and the sdjoining comminity of Burn Mula viced these concerns to Bob Simpson In a meeting on $11 / 7101$ regarding wi eddition of e 2 nigh turn and the need inmioed ion an incrossed peveinctuded in the final design of the west leg of mis intersection.
For this rame rasson our communly is in upport of the adoftionat nortibound MD 850 lane and Aingle leftium iane onto Lockwood Ditve which discourages the uee Lockuog Dotre es a cut-trough ond ancourages the use of the US 28 interchange by FDA employeen.
The Emvironmental Assessment for the MD 650 Study states thet the proposed mprovernents support the posesibity of a Tranalt Center af the White Osk Stropping Center and unes 88 a model the "cument concept" for the Transit Conter hiving 500 parking spaces end eix bue beys. The MD 650 Study a/so shown an oxduelvs left-tum lane in the existing medlan of southbound New Hampshire Avo. Io provit access into tha White Ook Shopping Centap. However, twe Tranelt Conter Study idenalized. Relerencice end Juelficition of tmprovements based on the
Tiensil Conter ahould theratore be removed from this dociment
The Enurompentel A seeremmant elso inctudes the 2002 TMP iot the

 by NCPC in 2002 an the perting ratio was in excese of one ispece for evmy

goote. References to to FDA 2002 TMP end parting numbers stould teretore be detetod from this doament end not ined as furiticimion for MD eSO imprevemera. ind Wontoomery and Prince Georpe's County planners thed utitree the NE everence STFDA providen inprovernorts to the supporing cantom entrance roedraye end
 FDA enfloyene woud have the grosted impadit to tis sves. This combined will
 1097 whe ollow locs un to confinuo waisd be dowent to the intert of the



## Sincordy.

Sesemalilifduards
Suman Merish EOwners
Voe Proidert



## February 5, 2003

Rē: Project No. MO900B21
MD 650 from Powder Mill Road to North of US 29
Montgomery County, Maryland
Ms. Elizabeth St. John

10500 Rodney Rd.
Silver Spring MD 20903

## Dear Ms. St. John:

Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) Improvements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. The purpose of the project is to improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north of US 29. The project will consider provisions for improving Chalmers Road to north of US 29. The project will consider provisions for improving
circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drug (FDA) circulation of traffic and pedestnans to and from the proposed Food and Drug (FD
facility at the White Oak Federal Research Center. The project will also consider adequate vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle access to existing and planned activity centers. including commercial sites.

Your comment regarding pedestrian safety and adequate provision for physically challenged persons has been noted, as have your concerns regarding the proposed transit center

All of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) design requirements have been applied to this design. Several agencies have contributed to and accepted the designs for pedestrian accommodation, including: the Maryland National Capitol Parks and Planning Commission (M-NCPPC); Montgomery County Department of Public Works and Transportation (MCDPW\&T), State Highway Administration Office of Traffic and Safety, and the State Highway Administration District 3 Traffic.

With regard to a transit center at the former Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission (WSSC) site, we sppreciate the comment; the MCDPW\&T will further evaluate it when this study moves forward



InN1mmat: vill:
-

Ms. Elizabeth St. John
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The Maryland State Highway Administration has reviewed all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included on the project mailing list in order to keep you informed about our progress. Thank you for your interest. If you have any further questions please contact Dana Knight, Project Manager, at 410-662-7400 or dpknigh!@ma.biz.

cc. Ms. Lisa Choplin, Assistant Division Chief, SHA

Mr. Darrell Sacks, Project Planning Division, SHA Mrem:Daraze Kivighif, McCormick, Taylor, and Associates Mr.Bob Simpson, MCDPW\&T

## Page. $10 \% 3$ 1125102

To: Maryland Department of Transportation, State Highway Administration From: Elizabeth St. John - Hillandale Residem

10500 Rodney Rd
Silver Spring, MD 20903 (name abready on mailing list).
Concerning: Stated improvemerts to MD 650-Comments and Concern Date: November 25, 2002

You have gone to a great deal of trouble, time and expense to distribute detailed mapo showing planned improvememts. However, this is very confusing and perhaps misleading in that the maps do not appear to include some of the maps shared in the last meeting held at CHI.

As an example, the entrance to the Hillandale Park, south of the firehouse and Chalmers, thes not show any changes. Clearly, if the plans for the golf course entrance remain intact, this is a significant oversight. As I have previously discussed with one of your representatives, this must be designed properly and with care. Your representative told 'epresentatives, this must be designed property and with care. Your representative
me that lines of sight as drivers exit the park are already poor and potentially quite riangerous. When coupled with the fact that CH vehicles are not allowed to exit to the teff, those that need to go south almost always come north as far as the park entrance and -nake u-turns at this intersection. You know this, but this has not been addressed in.a satisfactory way.

Also, in reference to this golf course entrance, I have repeatedly stated my concerns about medestrian safety. I was told that this had not even heen considered but that it would be addressed. This golf course is supposed to appeal to younger golfers who are not yet of driving age. Many in the area would want to walk into the park. The pedestrian access is rurrenthy limited to the Chalmers intersection. In view of the County's new, long overdue rmphasis on pedestrian safety, this entrance must be carefully planned. A pedestrian rmphasis on pedestrian safety, this entrance must be carefully planned. A penestrian 'rath occurred at this intersection in February of 2002 and it would be an inexcusable 'ragedy if such a thing were to occur again. When this area is redesigned, lighting will ilso be an issue. It is the understanding of the neighborhood that there will
ange on the golf course, so traffic will be coming in and out at after dark.

The issue of bus stops and safety must be addressed in this corridor as well. All "he issue of bus stops and safety must be addressed in this corridor as well. All -ansportation system. When New Hampshire Avenue work is completed on this stretch, $\therefore$ is essential that you coordinate with WMATA to ensure that the bus stops are in safe incations witb safe pedestrian access. It would also be extremely helpful if you could help rmphasize the need for bus shelters, rather than ignoring the issue and assuming that it is ikay for vehicles 10 pass within a few feet of unprotected waiting riders while driving at reeds that are more in line with posted beltway limits.
' have also previously expressed my concern for the physically challenged individuals ho cross this stretch of New Hampshire Avenue five days a week, of en during peak rffic hours, in order to access their workplace at CHI. THIS AREA MUST BE KEPT
$\triangle A F E$ AND ACCESSIBLE FOR ALL. It is not a superhighway. Just as you have

responsibility for the safe movement of vehicular' traffic, you should also be responsible for planning for pedestrian safety:

It is also evident from your plans that New Hampshire Avenue is still being emphasized as the only way in and out of FDA. I recognize that the Nov 25 meeting is in reference to New Hampshire Avenue, but you must also recognize the importance of planning for the entrance on Cherry Hill Road. There are environmental concerns within the confines of the U.S Government property that will have to be addressed, but the neighborhood deserves full disclosiure regarding the entrances and efforts made to bring traffic through the area in a more balanced manner.

Lockwood Drive is also an ares of serious concern for area roadways. Many pedestrians are crossing that road at numerous spots up and down its length. Traffic is too fast and too heavy already. It appears that your lane change plan on northbound New Hanpshire Avenue intentionalty encourages more vehicles to use Lockwood. This is inapproprinte and unnecessary. If this is indeed your interition, then you must address pedestrian safety at EVERY POINT along Lockwood. Considerations that must not be overlooked are the presence of a day care facility, numerous bus stops and the presence of those, who must, for religious reasons, walk to their places of worship. Perhaps the last issue is considered unimportant, but it should not be. These individuals have a Sabbath that specifically dictates arriving on foot prior to sundown at the start of the Sabbath and High Hoty Days. This automatically means the presence of many pedestrians who MUST cross at dusk on Fridays, overlapping rush hour for much of the year.

It is also my understanding that your studies do not justify a four wey stop at Lockwood and Burnt Mills Avenue. If this is the case, your studies are faulty. This intersection is already extremety hazardous. The inevilable increase in traffic will make it even more so Who will bear the responsibility for the accidents that will occur at this intersection?

I have also expressed my concern in the past for the safety issues involved in placing a new left turn entry fom New Hampshire Avenue southbound into the White Oak Shopping Center. Apparenty, no traffic light is intended to control this new turn. If this is the case, I trust that you have very carefully considered the placement of all bus stops and all currently used pedestrian routes. I'm aware that these pedestrian routes are, in many cases, illegal, but that doesn't stop the fact that they exist. Perhaps some sort of safety breakaway fencing needs to be installed along this corridor to prevem pedestrians from making bad decisions. Obviousty, anything of that nature that is installed must be safe in case of vehicle impact and must be difficult for pedestrians 10 navigate.

I also suggest that the right hand needs to communicate with the left. We have been on the receiving end of very mixed messages in regard to the existence or non-existence of the transit center. Is your plan correct? Who makes the decision? How do we know what is really in the works?


You also should be aware of Doug Duncan's Go Montgomery plans and how those impact this corridor. Let's not tear up this entire corridor just to see it undone and tom up again within a few short years.

1 have a concern which I will also share with the Go Montgomery team - if a transit center and/or a metro station are in the works for sometime in the next decade, please look at the possibility of locating it on the site of the former WSSC sludge processing plant off of 29 in the Tech Road area $1 t$ is my understanding that that area is planned as the next high tech area of the County, If a transin center could be placed there, it could. quite efficiently channel traffic coming south from Howard County, it would be close to the Cherry Hill comidor for access to the Routes I and 95, and it has the potential to be an appropriate site for access to the thousands of FDA employees who are expected within the next several years. This site is much more appropriate for a transit center and/or a metro station.

And, please, no more meetings that are slated to begin at 6 p.m. With work schedules as they are and existing traffic, it is clearly impossible for many individuals to be present at such an early bour.

Let's do this thing right.
CC: Arthur Holmes, Go Montgomery
．Bobbino．
hank you for your intereat in the proposed inprovement to hD650 fram Jwder M111 Road to North of US29

3e widening elong MD650 in linited to the ere deacribed．in the rochure，at you noted．There are
yond these limite in this axa．

## iank You

ien 日rigọ
unieth，Brigges
daf，Hightey Desion Diviaion
，State Highway Adminiatration
17 \％．Calvart stredet
leimore R10， 21202
in Free 1－888－228－5003

－Kbriggaesha atate．md．us
＞Martha 日obbino
12／31／02 02：26PM＞＞＞
ar Mr．Briget：
received the notice regarding the informational workebop about
bject but was unable to attend on Nov． 25 ．：I have reed through the ofect but was unsble to attend on Nov．${ }^{25}$ ．i 1 have reed through estions．
1ive on New Hampshire Avenve（nD 650 ）Jint a little bit north of the tersection with US 29．Fron what $I$ can gether in the brochure，it $t$ ，ot least an of the present time；there are no definite plane to dem beyond the project area deacribed in the brochure．If thie correct？ are plans to wden 650 beyond what is deacribed in the brochure．
a．olve me more apecifica about this and e time frame wien chis ansion
obt be itartied？
no you for your aapiatance
tha Bobbino

# SNA Stateriedivis Administration ．－ 

$\qquad$

RE：Project No．MO900B21 MD 650 from Powder Mill Road to North of US 29
Montgomery County Maryland
Mr．Howard Bell Jr．
800 McCeney Avenue
Silver Spring MD 20901
Dear Mr．Bell：
Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 （New Hampshire Avenue） provements from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29．The purpose of the project is 10 mprover Chalmers Road to north of S 29 The project will consider provisions for improving circulation of traffic and pedestrians US 29．The project will consider provisions（FDA）facility at the former White Oak Naval o and from the proposed Food and Drug（FDA）facility atequate vehicular，pedestrian and bicycle Ordinance Laboratory．The pred activity centers，including commercial sites．

Your comment regarding the proposed turning lanes has been noted，as have your concems regarding the use of Lockwood Drive by FDA employees，and consistency of the proposed plans with the White Oak Master Plan．At this time，there is no indication that an additional right turn lane from Lockwood Drive onto southbound MD 650 would be considered the near future and defiantly not in this design project．

The operation of the southbound left tum lane from MD 650 into the White Oak hopping Center，you have concems with，has been analyzed．Traffic studies have indicated that號 650 provides sufficient breaks in traffic flow to he signal phasing a Lockted left turn traffic into the parking lot．It has been agreed that this accommodate the anticipated left turn traffic into the parkinglet． he installed in the future．

Mr. Howard Bell Jr.
Page Two
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The Maryland State Highway Administration has reviewed all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included on the project mailing list in order to keep you informed about our progress. Thank. you for your interest.

cc: Mr. Darrell Sacks


800 MeCeney A ivenue Silver Spring. MD 20901 December 18. 2002

Mr. Ken Briggs, Project Manager
Maryland State Fighway Administration
Mailstop C-102
707 North Calvert Stree
Bahimore, MD 21202

## Dear Mr. Briggs:

After reviewing the improvernents shows in the MD 650 Study Aternate 2-Build Ahernative, I have a number of commens. Aceording to the Environmental Assessment for the Study it ia consistert with the 1997 White Oak Master Plan and the Plan's support for the FDA facirity. to mitigate traffe impacts from FDA employees, minimize congestion on MD 650 during peak hours and prechude the use of Lockwood Drive. Sehindler Drive and Northwest Drive as commuter. thoroughfares for FDA èmployees.

Given the above, I am in suppon of the northbound MD 650 single left-rum lane onto Lockwood Drive, which discourages the use of Lockwood Drive as a cut-through and encourages the use of the US 29 interchange by FDA employees.

I am also in support of a single right turn lane onto southbound New Harmpshire Ave. as in was presented at the November 25, 2002 eommunity meeting. Should the inpprovements to the MD 650/Loekwood Drive west leg of the intersection be revised again to include an additional right turn lane onto southbound New Hampshire Ave. it would not be eonsistent with the 1997 White Oak Master Plan and Regional Planning since it would in fact encourage the use of Lockwood Drive by FDA employees in an area that has two bus stops (one on each side of Loekwood Drive) adjacent to the main entrance for the Berkshire high-rise apartments and a commercinl center with signifieant pedestrian erossings at mid-street.

The MD 650 study also shows an exelusive lef-turn lane in the existing median of southbound New Hampshire Ave. to provide access into the White Oak Shopping Center. However, the Transit Center Study at the White Oak Shopping Center identified operational eonstraimts with this location and the use of a left turn if it is not signalized. Hence, I am opposed to this left turn lane unless it is signalized.

Thank you for this opportunity to eomment on MD 650 Study Ahemate 2-Build Ahernative



Howard W. Bell JT. Residers of BMHCA

-

## SNA <br> State Hiplicitic Administration


February 5, 2003
Re: Project No. MO900B2 MD 650 from Powder Mill Road to North of US 29
Montgomery County, Maryland
Mr. Bruce White
6724 Baltimore Ave.
University Park MD 20782

## Dear Mr. White:

1
Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) Improvements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. The purpose of the project is to improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north of US 29. The project will consider provisions for improving circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drug Administration (FDA) facility at the White Oak Federal Research Center. The projec Administration (FDA) facility at the White Oak wensider adequale vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle access to existing and planned activity centers, including commercial sites.

Your comments regarding additional weave provisions at the ramp from southbound MD 650 to northbound US 29 have been noted, as has your concem regarding new signage.

The final design for the MD 650 improvements will include new signage. A new sign will be placed in the gore (the area between the ramp and MD 650) that will read. US 29 NORTH right arrow, 20 MPH . The 20 MPH will be black with a yellow background so that it will be highly visible; the remaining portion of the sign will be white on a green background. At this time, there will be no re-grading of the ramp.



- 0 :


## Mr. Brace Whit
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The Maryland State Highway Administration has reviewed all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included on the project mailing list in order to keep you informed about our progress. Thank you for your interest. If you have any further questions please contact Dana Knight, Project Manager. at 410-662-7400 or dpknight@mta.biz.

cc: Mr. Dartell Sacks, Project Planning Division, SHA nMr.Daña:R. Knighin, McCormick, Taylor, and Associates Mr.Charlie Watkins, District Engineer, SHA
$\qquad$
$\square$ Please add my/our name(s) to the Malling Lst
$\square$ Please delete my/our name(s) from the Malling List.

* Persons who have recelved afcopty of this brochure through the mall are already on the project Mailing Lust


## Sin 1 <br> State H ofintir <br> administration

 February 5, 2002

Re: Project No. MO900B21
MD 650 from Powder Mill Road to
North of US 29
Montgomery County, Maryland
Ms. Mary Crincenti

9621 Dilston Rd.
Silver Spring MD 20903
Dear Ms. Crincenti:
Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) Improvements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. The purpose of the project is o improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north of US 29. The project will consider provisions for improving circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drug Administration (FDA) facility at the White Oak Federal Research Cend Drug will also consider adequate vehicular pedestian and bicycle access to planned activity centers, including coimmercial sites.

Your comment regarding the current Beltway interchange conditions has been noted, as have your concerns regarding safety and increased traffic in the study area. The project has been designed to increase capacity on New Hampshire Avenue at the local intersections between US 29 and 1-495 and to improve access to and from the FDA site. The State Highway Administration (SHA) is aware of the rush hour overload along US. 29, as well as many other major highways and interchanges in the Washington Metropolitan Area. A major undertaking such as rebuilding the US 29/New Hampshire Avenue Interchange has been studied by SHA in the past and the existing interchange was deemed to be functional for the foreseeable future. Your concerns have been noted and will be forwarded to the Project Planning Division (PPD) of the Maryland State Highway Administration for consideration.
 Aric, m, Lu.

Ms. Mary Crincenti
Page Two
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The State Highway Administration has reviewed all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included on the project mailing list in order to keep you informed about our progress. Thank you for your interest. If you have any further questions please contact Dana Knight, Project Manager, at 410-662-7400 or dpknight@mta.biz

Sincerely

c: Mr. Darrell Sacks, Project Planning Division. SHA MIRDañ Mr. Charlie Watkins, District Engineer, SHA

## STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

 QUESTIONS AND/OR COMMENTS
## MO900B21

INFORMATIONAL PUBLIC MEETING
MD 650 (NEW HAMPSHIRE AVE)
FROM SOUTH OF POWDER MIL RD TO NORTH OF US 29
MONDAY, NOVEMBER 25, 2002, 6.00 P.M. - 8:00 P:M.
CHI CENTER
10501 NEW-HAMPSHIRE AVENUE
.SILVER SPRING, MD 20903-1197

'We wish to comment or inquire about the following aspects of thls project:
I. cannat belirue that you are doing this. aroject inithout changing the Beltuxiy intercliange I houe beer rearended $\bar{z}$ timer petfing of $\bar{S}$ tie Beltwoy (Sonx 1 ). Cifety is a maiout cancern whth ixcresind. Traffic in the area. $\qquad$
_ـ_
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
-
$\qquad$

1 7 Please add my/our name(s) to the Malling List.
$\dagger$ Tplease delete my/our name(s) from the Malling Ust
rersons who have received a copy of this brochure through the mail are already on the project Mailing List

 February 5, 2002

Re: Project No. MO900B21
MD 650, From Powder Mill Road to
North of US 29
Montgomery County, Maryland
Ms. Laura McDonald
11555 Michale Ct.
Silver Spring MD 20904
Dear Ms. McDonald:
Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) mprovements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. The purpose of the project is o improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from Chalmers Road 10 north of US 29. The project will consider provisions for improving circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drug Administration (FDA) facility at the former White Oak Naval Ordinance Laborator The project will also consider adequate vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle access to existing and planned activity centers, including commercial sites.

Your comment regarding potential routing into FDA from the northeast has been noted, as have your concems regarding where FDA employees are coming from. The Zip Code analysis has been a part of the traffic studies for the development of the MD 650 design.

With regard to access via Industrial Boulevard and from US 29 directly to Michelson (perhaps via Stewart Lane), these ideas were studied when the County updated its master plans for Fairland and White Oak in 1997. The concepts were dropped from consideration due to the negative impacts they would have on the natural environment.

Pedestrian movernents crossing MD 650 in the vicinity of the Lockwood Drive intersection has been carefully studied and is reco enized as a major pedestrian route between the Berkshire Towers (also known as The Point) and the surrounding communities including Bumt Mills. The design includes landscaping and fencing in the median to encourage pedestrians to use the signalized crosswalks at the intersection.





Ms. Laura McDonald
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February 5,2003

The State Highway Administration has reviewed all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included on the project mailing list in order to keep you informed about our progress. Thank you for your interest. If you have any further questions please contact Dana Knight, Project Manager, at 410662-7400 or dpknight@mta.biz.

Sincerely,

cc: Mr. Darrell Sacks, Project Planning Division, SHA


## STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION QUESTIONS AND/OR COMMENTS

## - MO900B21

INFORMATIONAL PUBLLC MEETING MD 650 (NEW HAMPSHIRE AVE) FROM SOUTH OF POWDER MRL RD TO NORTH OF US 29

> MONDAY, NOVEMBER 25, 2002, 6:00 P.M. - 8:00 P.M.

CHI CENTER
10501 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE .SILVER SPRING, MD 20903-1197
name Loura McDonald date $12.2-02$
address 11555 michale $c t$.
city Silver Spring state MO ZIP 20904-2704

IWe wish to comment or Inquire about the following espects of this project:
Thank you for holding the public meting
(1) I on concerinad that you look at woys to route people into FDA. frem the north/east. I realize the Cherry Hill/pourder mill intersection has an entraince .. but how aboit thre industrial Blud or something to access michelsom?
(2) Please look at zip codes for presint FDRC employees and see where they are actually coming from. It will give a good look at where to put your emphasis.
(3) Don't under astimate pedestrians crossing Qplease add my/our name(s) to the Malling Llst. to white OaK shopping $\square$ Please delete my/our name(s) from the Malling List. center from The forin-

* Persons who have received a-copy of this brochure through the mall are aiready on the project Maliing Lst


## Qrin <br> 

Administration -


## January 24, 2003

Re: Project No. HCOCOB 21 MD 650, From Powder Mill Road to North of US 29
Montgomery County, Maryland
Mr. Ed Driscoll
11239 Legato Way Silver Spring MD 20901

Dear Mr. Driscoll:
Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) Improvements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. The purpose of the project is to improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north of US 29 . The project will consider provisions for improving circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drug Administration (FDA) facility at the former White Oak Naval Ordinance Laboratory. The project will also consider adequate vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle access to existing and planned activity centers, including commercial sites.

Your comment regarding wildlife protection at the FDA site has be en noted. This issue has been addressed in coordination with the General Services Administration (GSA) the Golf Course Management, and Maryland National Capital Park and Planning (GSA), 3 (MPPC) The State Highway Administration's (SHA) proposed design Commssion (he contractor install temporary. 8 -foot high chain link fencing at the limits of construction within the golf course property prior to removal of fencing at the limis of This temporary fence must remain in place until the new fencing near the proposed sidewalk/bike path is complete and secure.

Mr. Ed Driscoll
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January 24, 2003

The SHA has reviewed all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included on the project mailing list in order to keep you informed about our progress. Thank you for your interest. If you have any questions, please contact me at 410-545-8761, 1-888-228-5003 or kbriggs@sha.state.md.us.

Sincerely,

cc:
Darrell Sacks, SHA - PPD


## STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

 QUESTIONS ANDIOR COMMENTS- MO900B21


## INFORMATIONAL PUBLIC MEETING

 MD 650 (NEW HAMPSHIRE AVE) FROM SOUTH OF POWDER MIL RD TO NORTH OF US 29
## MONDAY, NOVEMBER 25, 2002, 6:00 P.M. - 8:00 P.M.

CHI CENTER
10501 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE .SILVER SPRING, MD 20903-1197
pleasé
PRINT
NAME
aooness Ed Driscoll


IWe wish to comment or Inquire about the following aspects of this project:

Iam concemed about the Wildlife at White Oak Golf Course especially during any proposed constriction on New Hamps hire Ave. It is my concem that temporary fencing in this area for over 35 years and amg has to be removed during construction. I have lived in this area for over 35 years and am very aware of the Deer, Ground Hogs, Geese, etc... that graze on the golf course and many times right by the fence facing New Hampshire Ave. If any of the current fencing is removed during construction and no temporary fencing is put in its place, it is a guarantee some of the Wildlife will wander on to New Hampshire Ave. and not only endanger their lives but the lives of motorists driving on New Hampshire Ave. This is not a small detail to be overlooked sol thank you for allowing me this opportunity to bring it to your attention if you have not already considered the matter.

## Sincerply, <br> Cdrand Ruail <br> Ed Driscoll <br> 11239 Legato Way <br> Silver Spring, MD 20901

## $\square$ Please add my/our name(s) to the Mailing List.

$\square$ Please delete my/our name(s) from the Mailling List

* Persons who have received a copy of this brochure through the mall are already on the project Malling List


## SKA <br> State HingTMT:N <br> Administration:-

 $\qquad$ - Trenn M. Killulwunn. Intioul Serrefury

January 24, 2003
Re: Project No. MO900B21
MD 650, From Powder Mill Road to North of US 29
Montgomery County, Maryland
Ms. Florence R. Morgan 10403 New Hampshire Ave.
Silver Spring MD 20903
Dear Ms. Morgan:


Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) Improvements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. The purpose of the project is to improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north of US 29 . The project will consider provisions for improving irculation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drug Administration (FDA) facility at the former White Oak Naval Ordinance Laboratory The project will also consider adequate vehiculat, pedestrian and bicycle access to existing and planned activiry centers, including commercial sites.

Your question regarding potential impacts to houses located on New Hampshire Avenue between the FDA and Powder Mill Road has been noted. Houses along the east side of MD 650 (opposite the FDA property) will not be impacted. The closest work will ere landscaping of the area that divides southbound New Hampshire Avenue from the Frontage Road.

The Maryland State Highway Administration has reviewed all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is continue to investigate the feasibist of ader to keep you informed about our progess. included on the project maling list in order to keep you informed about our progess. 8761, 1-888-228-5003, or kbriggs@sha.state.md.us.
Sincerely,





-


Ms. Florence Morgan
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Darrell Sacks, SHA - PPD


## STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION QUESTIONS AND/OR COMMENTS

## 'M0900B21

INFORMATIONAL PUBLIC MEETING - MD 650 (NEW HAMPSHIRE AVE) FROM SOUTH OF POWDER MILL RD TO NORTH OF US 29

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 25, 2002, 6:00 P.M. - 8:00 P.M.
CHI CENTER
10501 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE SILVER SPRING, MD 20903-1197


PLEASE
PRINT

We wish to comment or Inquire about the following aspects of this project: Will thisplan thare any impact on tha houses located on hew Hampohire avenue wetwean th FDA and Pourder smill Rad? O line on the east pide.

## (2) Please add my/our name(z) to the Malling List

## $\square$ Please delete my/our name(s) from the Malling List

*Persons who have recelved a copy of this brocture through the mall are already on the project Mailing List

## Sin <br> State Hidinativ Admulastration


Febnary 5, 2003

Re: Project No. MO900B21 MD 650, From Powder Mill Road to - North of US 29 Montgomery Counny, Maryland
Mr. Leonard Friedman \& Ms. Randi Passamaneck
11824 Gordon Road
Silver Spring MD 20904
Dear Mr. Friedman \& Ms. Passamaneck:
Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) Improvements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. The purpose of the project is to improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north of US 29. The project will consider provisions for improving circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drug Administration (FDA) facility at the White Oak Federal Research Center. The project will also consider adequate vehidular, pedestrian and bicycle access to existing and planned activity centers, including coñoercial sites.

Your comment regarding traffic along New Hampshire Avenue has been noted, as has your concem regarding traffic on local streets.

The Honorable Ida Ruben, Maryland State Senator, District 20, has requested the Maryland State Highway Administration (SHA) to perform another Signal Warrant Analysis at Quaint Acres Drive. The results of this study are expected in early April 2003. This sluuiy will be managed out of SiHd s Eistrict 3 office. We have not had any requests for a Signal Warrant Analysis regarding Tanley Road. However, an evaluation of Tanley Road can be initiated by writing to Mr. Charlie Watkins, District Engineer, Maryland State Highway Administration, 9300 Kenilworth Avenue, Greenbelt, MD 20770.




Mr. Friedman © ins. Passialiauiecin
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The Maryland State Highway Administration has reviewed all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is
included on the project mailing list in order to keep you informed about our.progress. Thank you for your interest. If you have any further questions please contact Dana Knight, Project Manager, at 410-662-7400 or dpknighı@mta.biz.

cc: The Honorable Ida G. Ruben, Senate of Marylañ Mr. Darrell Sacks, Project Planning Division, SHA Mr. Darrell Sacks, Project Planning Division, SHA Charlie Watkins, District Engineer, SHA

## STATE HIGHWAY ADMINSTRATION QUESTIONS AND/OR COMMENTS

MO900B21
NFORMATIONAL PUBLIC MEETING - MD 650 (NEW HAMPSHIRE AVE) FROM SOUTH OF POWDER MIL RD, TO NORTH OF US 29

MONDAY, NOVEMBER. 25, 2002, 6.00 P.M. - 8.00 P.M. CHI CENTER 10501 NEW HAMPSHRE AVENUE .STILVER SP̄RING, MD 20903-1197

|  | NAME' |  |  | DATE |  | $1 / 29 / 02$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nlease | ADDRESS | Mr. Leonen Triedmen Ms. tandimecomena |  |  |  |  |
| MRINT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CITY | 11824 Gordon tomad Sifer Spring, IfD 2050 S | $E$ | ZIP | - |  |

I/We wish to comment or inquire about the following aspects of thls project:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { and loct mood or contuchmes } 9 \text {-part Qnant leves. } \\
& \text { and Tarley Rod (Noth of thene anterchanger on } \\
& \text { New thmphesi Ane). At it no Now, duny } \\
& \text { busy trmes we cannot get out of oun cuelestrects } \\
& \text { to inth NATHe. With mose thorgeic, these has } \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { got to be hethes flow on whare south or } \\
\text { we well haie gud lock on om cticets }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\square$ Please add my/our name(s) to the Malling List.

- DPlease delete my/our name(s) from the Malling Ust.
- Persons who have recelved a copy of this brochure through the mall are already on the project Malling List


Febnuary 6, 2003
Re: Project No. MO900B21
MD 650, From Powder Mill Road to
North of US 29
Montgomery County, Maryland
Mr. Maxwell Keeler
10600 Shedy Circle
Silver Spring MD 20903


## Dear Mr. Keeler:

Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) Improvements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. The purpose of the project is to improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north of US 29. The project will consider provisions for improving circulation of traffic and pedestrians 10 and from the proposed Food and Drug Administration (FDA) facility at the White Oak Federal Research Center. The project will also consider adequate vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle access to existing and planned activity centers, including commercial sites.

Your comments regarding access along New Hampshire Avenue has been noted The State Highway Administration's (SHA) proposed improvements incorporate a channelized median that would make it difficult to exit from the McDonalds/Office Depot complex onto northbound MD 650. The design also shifts the median opening further south. As for closing the median break altogether; this would create hardship and loss of business to the commercial area occupants currently benefiting from that point of access.

Mr. Maxwell Keeler
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The Maryland State Highway Administration has reviewed all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included on the project mailing list in order to keep you informed about our progress Thank you for your interest. If you have any further questions please contact Dana Knight, Project Manager, at 410-662-7400 or dpknight@mta.biz
cc: Mr. Darrell Sacks, Project Planning Division, SHA



Sincerely,




## STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION QUESTIONS AND/OR COMMENTS

## MO900B21

INFORMATIONAL PUBLIC MEETING MD 650 (NEW HAMPSHIRE AVE) FROM SOUTH OF POWDER MIL RD.TO NORTH OF US 29

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 25, 2002, 6:00 P.M. - 8:00 P.M. CHI CENTER
10501 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE .SILVER SPRING, MD 20903-1197
$\qquad$ DATE $\qquad$ 1123102

PLEASE
PRINT. ADDRESS citr Silver Spaing STATE ZIP 20903-1236

 oftrif $(35,3 N)$ I.F, putin the sene phasice barmorene thex
 in To MeDS, office




 Denar $F$ I will claseasculets to sornor if ysur dont initzes thin requated pliguicia barmion.

## $\square$ Please add my/our name(s) to the Malling List.

$\left\{\begin{array}{l}B S-2 \sim \\ \text { AT~ Mga }-33+4 \cap\end{array}\right.$
$\square$ Please delete my/our name(s) from the Maling List.

* Persons who have recelved a copy of this brochure through the mall are already on , at the project Malling List


$\square$ StateHiolnnidv Adminkstration

Re: Project No. MO900B2 MD 650, From Powder Mill Road to North of US 29
Monigomery County, Maryland
Ms. Deanna Okrent
10715 Gatewood Ave
Silver Spring MD 20903

## Dear Ms. Okrent:

Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) Improvements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. The purpose of the project is oo improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north of US 29. The project will consider provisions for improving circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drug Administration (FDA) facility at the White Oak Federal Research Center. The project will also consider adequate vehicular, pedestrian and bic vele access to existing and planned activity centers, including commercial sites.

Your comment regarding safety, traffic, and signage in the Burnt Mills Hills area has been noted, as has your concem regarding children in the area.

With regard to traffic volume restrictions on Schindler Drive, Ruppert Road, and Cresthaven Drive where they intersect New. Hampshire Avenue the Montgomery County Department of Public Works and Transporation (MCDPW\&T) can initiate a traffic study upon formal requests by citizens, homeowners, or neighborhood associations once a specific problem materializes. This type of study will determine appropriate measures to deal with the specific problem. MCDPW\&T does not install volume restrictions based on forecasted problems, but uses actual observed data to design the most effective solution. With regard to Northwest Drive opposite Mahan Road at MD 650, appropriate signing will be installed as part of the project to prohibit direct movements to and from he Federal Research Center property. MCDPW\&T can be contacted at 101 Monroe St., Rockville, Marvland, 20850

## Ms. Deanna Okren <br> Page Two

Febnary 5, 2002

The State Highway Administration has reviewed all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included on the project mailing list in order to keep you infermed about our progress. Thank you for your interest. If you have any further questions please contact Dana Knight, Project Manager, at 410-662-7400 or dpknight@mta.biz.

Sincerely,
Kermet
Kenneth T. Brigg
Chief, Highway Besign Division

Mr. Darrell Sack
Mninanias Piknight McCormick, Taylor, and Associates Mr. Bob Simpson, MCDPW\&T


## STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

 QUESTIONS AND/OR COMMENTS
## MO900B21

INFORMATIONAL PUBLIC MEETING MD 650 (NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE) FROM SOUTH OF POWDER MILL RD TO NORTH OF US 29

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 25, 2002, 6:00 P.M. - 8:00 P.M: CHI CENTER
10501 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE
SILVER SPRING, MD 20903-1197
okrerr
cout


IWe wish to comment or Inquire about the following aspects of this project:
I. am concewed aboit safty an vorte: m Bunt Mils Hills, There shiulolhe no Entry into. oun one a duening peale houng espeeially eoving, from Mahan Drure tro 促 Put Suns a enfonce that at Schindlen R upporit Crestharen of MilCRena, aihare they intenocet Newtlanfshite. I ugree with a single. peght turis on Lockwo- of, south th pewthangstreit atso Suppoct a dauble. lesintom Beithapstine at it 2 SSouth We havte nuivy Gows chikdras as oen verehbonhol is. in trowntion with soulas seling to young fonnte,
$\square$ Plèzese add my/our name(s) to the Mailling Lst.
$\square$ Please delete my/our name(s) from the Malling List.

* Perrsonis who have received a copy of this brochure through the mall are aiready on the project Malling List


# SKA <br> Stateinionmidiv <br> administration 



- February 6,2003

Re: Project No. MO900B21
MD 650, From Powder Mill Road to
North of US 29
Montgomery County, Maryland
Ms. Charlotte Hennessy
9708 22nd Ave
Adelphi, MD 20783
Dear Ms. Hennessy:
Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) Improvements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. The purpose of the project is to improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from to improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from
Chalmers Road to north of US 29 . The project will consider provisions for improving Chalmers Road to north of US 29. The project will consider provisions for improving
circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drug circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drug
Administration (FDA) facility at the White Oak Federal Research Center: The project Administration (FDA) facility at the White Oak Federal Research Center: The project
will also consider adequate vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle access to existing and planned activity centers, including commercial sites.

Your comment régarding a night-tum only bay from Hillandale-Shopping Center onto Powder Mill Road has been noted. Because this is outside the limits of State or County jurisdiction (on Hillandale Shopping Center Property), a request needs to be made to the owners and operators of the White Oak Shopping Center. It would then be the responsibility of the Shopping Center to contact the Chief of the Montgomery County Traffic and Parking Services Division to initiate a study for this access change to Powder Mill Road.



Ms. Charlotte Hennessy
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The Maryland State Highway Administration has reviewed all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included on the project mailing, list in order to keep you informed about our progress. Thank you for your interest. If. you have any further questions please contact Dana Knight, Project Manager, at 410-662-7400 or dpknight@mta.biz.

cc: Mr. Darrell Sacks, Project Planning Division, SHA Mri

## STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

 QUESTIONS AND/OR COMMENTS:M O900B21
INFORMATIONAL PUBLIC MEETING : MD 650 (NEW HAMPSHIRE AVE) FROM SOUTH OF POWDER MIL RD TO NORTH OF US 29
MONDAY, NOVEMBER 25, 2002, 6:00 P.M. - 8:00 P.M.

CHI CENTER 10501 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE .SILVER SPRING, MD 20903-1197
please
PRINT


We wish to comment or Inquire about the following aspects of this project:


Cars pulling our ante Powder

- Mill are in great danger
especially from cores making
[D please add my/our names) to the Mailing List alreealy on
$\square$ Please delete my/our names) from the Mailing Lust
* Persons who have received a copy of this brochure through the mall are already on the project Mailing LIt

$\qquad$



## January 24, 2003

Re. Project No. MO900B21
MD 650, From Powder Mill Road to North of US 29 Montgomery County, Maryland

Mr. Dan Wilhelm
. 904 Cannon Rd.
Colesville MD 20904

Dear Mr. Wilhelm:
Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) Improvements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. The purpose of the project is to improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder. Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north of US 29. The project will consider provisions for improving circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drug Administration (FDA) facility at the former White Oak Naval Ordinance Laboratory. The project will also consider adequate vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle access to existing and planned activity centers, including commercial sites.

Your comment regarding sight lines and proposed improvements to New Hampshire Avenue has been noted. Thank you for supporting this project and providing us with suggestions to consider. The State Highway Administration (SHA) will provide sufficient sight distance at the movernent you mentioned (New Hampshire Southbound at US 29 Southbound Ramp).

Also, in response to your comment regarding the left tum into the White Oak Shopping Center from southbound New Hampshire Avenue, a signal would not be necessary because the current signal at Lockwood Drive will provide a break in traffic. This break in traffic would allow queued cars in the newly proposed left tum lane to enter the shopping center without major delays.

Finally, your recommendation for three left turn lanes westbound from Lockwood Drive to southbound New Hampshire Avenue has also been noted. This movement is proposed to accommodate a triple left movement including one shared through/left lane. This is reflected in the final design plans.




Page Two
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The SHA has reviewed all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included on the project mailing list in order to keep you informed about our progress. Thank you for your interest. If you have any questions, please contact me at 410-545-8761, 1-888-228-5003 or kbriggs@sha.state.md.us.

Sincerely,

cc: Darrell Sacks, SHA - PPD Eirinstiantantigit, McCormick, Taylor, and Associate

## STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

QUESTIONS AND/OR COMMENTS

- MO900B21

INFORMATIONAL PUBLC MEETING
MD 650 (NEW HAMPSHIRE AVE) FROM SOUTH OF POWDER MLL RD TO NORTH OF US 29

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 25, 2002, 6:00 P.M. - 8:00 P.M.
CHI CENTER
10501 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE
,SLLVER SPRING, MD 20903-1197

> PLEASE
> PRINT

IWe wish to comment or inquire about the following aspects of this project:
SUPPORT PROTECT TND ALMOST MLL CHANGES
$\qquad$

## SUG6GSTED MMPAOVETENTS

 BAMP, MAKE SURI LANASCAPING DOES NOT BLORK - OAVIBS VIEK OF RAS MAKAKG LEFT NNTO SANE aAMP.
 NH nicommiend it have a signtl
(3) WESTBOUND FROM LOEKWOOD, NEEA THAEE LEFT TUAN LONES $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
© Please add my/our name(s) to the Malling Llst.
$\square$ Please delete my/our name(s) from the Malling Lst.

* Persons who have received a copy of this brochure through the mall are aiready on the projed Malling list.


# SNA <br> State Hionthaty <br> Adüninstration <br>  


Re: Project No. MO900B21
MD 650 , From Powder Mill Rioad to
North of US 29
Montgomery County, Maryland
Mr. \& Mrs. Norman Holmes
1709 Edgewater Parkway
Silver Spring MD 20903
Dear Mr. and Mrs. Holmes:
Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) Improvements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. The purpose of the project is to improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north of US 29. The project will consider provisions for improving circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drug Administration (FDA) facility at the White Oak Federal Research Center. The project will also consider adequate vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle access to existing and planned activity centers, including commercial sites.

Your questions regarding the New Hampshire/Colesville underpass, ingress \& egress at White Oak Shopping Center, potential road widening, speed bumps, and bus stop locations have been noted.

With regard to speed bumps, they are not allowed on Powder Mill Road because of its functional classification as an arterial road intended to carry through traffic as well as property access traffic. Speed bumps can be considéred to other neighiborhood streets in east Hillandale, through a process administered by the Montgomery-County
Department of Public Works and Transportation (MCDPW\&T). A formal request shoui Department of Public Works and Transportation (MCDPW\&I). A formal request sh
be submitted to that agency. Regarding to the issue of bus stops and tum arounds on be submitted to that agency. Regarding to the issue of bus stops and tum around
FDA property, the local transit agencies (Metrobus and Ride-On) are actively coordinating with the FDA currently to implement that objective as part of the FDA site design. MCDPW\&T can be contacted at 101 Monroe St., Rockville, Maryland, 20850.
 $\therefore \quad \therefore \quad$ and Inatmory un sishati

 $\qquad$

Mr. \& Mrs. Norman Holmes
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The Maryland State Highway Administration has reviewed all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included on the project mailing list in order to keep you informed about our progress. Thank you for your interest. If you have any further questions please contact Dana Knight, Project Manager, at 410-662-7400 or dpknight@mta.biz.

cc:
Mr. Darrell Sacks, Project Planning Division, SHA
 Mr. Bob Simpson, MCDPW\&T

STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION QUESTIONS AND/OR COMMENTS

## MO900B21

INFORMATIONAL PUBLIC MEETING
'MD 650 (NEW HAMPSHIRE AVE) FROM SOUTH OF POWDER MIL RD TONORTH OF US 29

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 25, 2002, 6.00 P.M. - 8:00 P.M.
CHI CENTER
10501 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE SILVER SPRNG, MD 20903-1197
please


IN wish to comment or inquire about the following aspects doff this project:
 Traffic?
 Sos klilluidalt 7 dice Cur rags traffic --purely tronsitiry-fran tountermill
5 libby $n T$ place bus stops of turnarounds on FDA property sinistrad of furTher concluding Newtimpistione Arexend the White Oak Shaping Center?

## 1 P Please add my/our names) to the Mailing List.

$\square$ Please delete my/our names) from the Mailing List

* Persons who have received a copy of this brochure through the mall are already on the project Mailing List



## February 5, 2003

Re: Project No. MO900B21
MD 650, From Powder Mill Road to MD 650, From
North of US 29 North of US 29
Montgomery County, Maryland
Ms. Cathy-Martin Urbanck
11333 Classical Lane
Silver Spring MD 20901
Dear Ms. Urbanek:
Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) Improvements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. The purpose of the project is to improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north of US 29. The project will consider provisions for improving circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drug circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drug Administration (FDA) facility at the White Oak Federal Research Center. The project
will also consider adequate vehicular, pedestrian and bicyele access to existing and will also consider adequate vehicular, pedestrian and
planned activity centers, including commercial sites.

Your comment regarding safety at the intersection of New Hampshire Avenue and the ramp for US 29 south has been noted. When entering the northbound left turn bay and approaching the flashing red signal, vehicles should come to a complete stop and wait for an acceptable gap in traffic before proceeding with the tum. The sign that is associated with the signal explains what the motorist must do in this situation ("Proceed on Flashing Red Afier Stop"). This signal operation was implemented to minimize the delay to motorists using the ramp to head south on US 29, and it has operated as intended.
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The Maryland State Highway Administration has reviewed all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included on the project mailing list in order to keep you informed about our progress. Thank you for your interest. If you have any further questions please contact Dana Knight, Project Manager, at 410-662-7400 or dpknight@mia.biz.

cc: Mr. Darrell Sacks, Project Planning Division, SHA Masmana:Pe:Kngh, McCormick, Taylor, and Associates Mr. Charlie Watkins, District Engineer, SHA
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## February 7, 2003

Re. Project No. MO900B21
MD 650, From Powder Mill Road to North of US 29
Montgomery County, Maryland
Ms. Eileen Finnegan
10404 Sweetbriar Parkway Silver Spring, MD 20903

Dear Ms. Finnegan
Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) Improvements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. The purpose of the project is to improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north of US 29. The project will consider provisions for improving cisculation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drug circulation of (FDA) facility at the White Oak Federal Research Center. The project will also consider adequate vehicular. pedestrian and bicycle access to exist ing and will also consider adequate vehicular. pedestian and

Your comments regarding the Environmental Assessmemt Document (EA) recently made available to the public have been noted, as have your concerns regarding levels of service and pedestrians crossing New. Hampshire Avenue. A response is provided after each of your comments below.

Comment:
The White Oak Transit Center and the FDA's Revised Master Plan status have not been described with the most current information available, and the northeast entrance from Cherry Hill Road is not mentioned.

Response:
Since the publication of the October 2002 EA/Draf Section 4(f) Evaluation, additional coordimation has revealed that the focus of transit Evaluation, additional coordmation has revealed that the focus of
studies has changed in the White Oak area. Monigomery County Department of Public Works and Transportation (DPWT) completed a two-year study to determine the feasibility of locating a transit center in the White Oak Shopping Center. The study expanded on the 1997 White Oak Master Plan recommendation to look at other potentially feasible transit center locations in the White Oak area. The prototype for technical
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analysis as the study began was a facility with 500 parking spaces and six bus bays. As the study progressed, a decision was made by Montgomery County DPWT to delay the long-term transit study, including the potential for a large-scale transit center at the White Oak Shopping Cemer Montgomery County DPWT focused on short-term improvements instead, specifically improvements to heavily used bus stops in the vicinity of MD 650 and Lockwood Drive. The final study report presents a proposed facility program fon the bus stop improvements. Bus pads and streetscape improvements will be installed on Lockwood Drive east of New Hampshire Avenue, as well as on New Hampshire Avenue (east and west sides, north of Lockwood Drive). According to Montgomery County DPWT, there are no immediate plans to reinitiate the transit center study

Comment: The reference to FDA's March 2002 Revised Master rlan should be completed with the National Capital Planning Commission's (NCPC) Action of June 2002. In that action, NCPC did not approve the 1:1.5 parking requested, but held to the standard of $1: 2$ parking spaces for the FDA project. NCPC is requiring General Services Administration (GSA) to resubmit a Transporation Management Plan (TMP) within 9 months of full occupancy of the CDER office building (Phase 2).

Response: The October 2002 EA/Draft Section 4(f) Evaluation stated that, as one of its transportation management strategies, the March 2002 TMP for the FDA Consolidation identified a goal of developing a program to increase the $A$ verage Vehicle Occupancy ( $\mathrm{A} \vee \mathrm{O}$ ) to 1.5 persons per vehicle. Further coordination with GSA has revealed :lt the NCPC did not approve the 1.5 persons per vehicle. Currently, the NCPC has approved the parking ratio of $1: 2$ (1 parking space for 2 employees) and requires that NCPC review the parking ratio as the facility is constructed and the parking habits of FDA employees are observed. There is limited public transportation to the site, and many of the employees work odd and long hours so ride-sharing is difficult. GSA and its traffic consultant anticipate that more parking may be nesded than what has been approved, as they predict a ratio of 1.2:2 (1.2 parking spaces for 2 employees).

Comment: Informally, many associated with tite project have been advising citizens that the "northeast gate" will be the solution to the anticipated gridlock of New Hampshire Avenue. The "promise" is (contrary to the BMI study) that Montgomery County residents will travel from Bethesda, Rockville and east along Randolph Road - which becomes Chenry Hill - to enter the GSA property from this entrance at the Montgomery County line. Some mention of this entrance, and promised relief should be made - if indeed :is going to happen as described."
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Response: GSA is cursently initiating studies for the noriheast access from Cherry Hill Road to the FDA facility. Environmental impact and cost estimate fo this potential entrance are presently being conducted as part of the overall FDA development plan. Traffic evaluations for the MD 650 project have been based on the inclusion of this access entrance; however, the actual planning study is being undertaken by others.

Comment: Second concern raised is the poor "Level of Service" (LOS) ratings anticipated for the New Hampshire corridor. "Given the number of failing intersections, a confirmation of parking spaces and the anticipated peak loads ( 1800 p.m from 6400 employees?) should be done. Some of the numbers used for the projections are re-hashed from very old. pre-BMI studies."

Response: This project has been developed to address the projected traffic generated by the FDA build out. The planned improvements have been scaled back o accommodate local citizen concerns about the potential for increased raffic along Lockwood Drive. While we agree that existing traffic flow is less than desirable during peak hours, the purpose of the project is to accommodate additional traffic generated by the build out of the FDA site. Regarding the number of parking spaces, the SHA has no jurisdiction over the determination of internal GSA site design. By providing a copy of this letter to a GSA representative, we are alerting them of your concerns.

Comment: Third concern raised is regarding pedestrians crossing New Hampshire Avenue. "The plans do not adequately address issues of pedestrian safety. The cominercial areas of White Oak and Hillandale need safe and relevant pedestrian focus. The Montgomery Blue Ribbon Panel on Pedestrian and Traffic Safety has produced a significant document which has raised the level of understanding of the three E's - Education, Enforcement, and Engineering. Now is the time for Engineering for pedestrians along New Hampshire Avenue."

Response: Pedestrian safety is a very serious concern for ci.j project. Close coordination with the Montgomery County DPWT, the Maryland National Capital Park and Planning Commission (M-NCPPC), and the State Highway Administration Office of Traffic Safety has been maintained throughout the design process. From Chalmers Road to Lockwood Drive, all bus stops will be located at signalized intersections (all of which have pedestrian signals and marked crossings) which include: Chalmers Road, Schindler Drive/Mahan Road the proposed intersection at Northwest Drive/Relocaled Michelson Road, and at Lockwood Drive. The current bus stop $=$ Ruppen Drive will be abolished. Fencing will be provided slong the median from the curremt Michelson Road location to the
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driveway entrance into the shopping center along MD 650 as a way to encourage pedestrians to use the crosswalks at the intersection.

The Maryland State Highway Administration has reviewed all comments and will contimue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included on the project mailing list in order to keep you informed about our progress. Thank you for your interest. If you have any further questions please contact Dana Knight, Project Manager, at dpknigh!@ma.biz.


Cc: Därrell Sacks
Mr. Dana P. Knight, McCormick, Taylor, and Associates

Mr. Ken Briggs, Project Manager
Office of Highway Design
Maryland State Highway Administration
707 North Calvert Street
Baltimore, MD 21202

Subject: New Hampshire Avenue (MD 650) from Powder Mill Road to North of US 29 Environmental Assessmen/Draf̂ Section 4 (f) for Project:M0900B21

Dear Mr. Briggs,
In accordance with the mailing from Maryland SHA, I arn writing to you to express concern regarding several aspects of the Environmental Assessment Document recently made available for public comment.

First, within the text of the Environmental Assessmient, the White Oak Transit Center and the FDA's Revised Master Plan status have not been described with the most current information available, and the much-touted northeast entrance from Cherry Hill Road is not even mentioned.

- As a participant in the White Oak Transit Center Citizens Advisory Committee, 1 can report to you that as early as last spring, it was determined that the 500 -car, 6 -bay plan was not feasible or desirable. Consequently, plans now call for "improved bus stops" and pedestrian safety measures along New Hampshire Avenue and Lockwood Drive.
Enclosed is a set of drawings from September 2002, showing the project.
- The reference to the FDA's March 2002 Revised Master Plan should be completed with the National Capital Planning Commission's Action of June 2002. In that action, NCPC did not approve the $1: 1.5$ parking requested, but held to the standard of $1: 2$ parking spaces for the FDA project. NCPC is requiring GSA to resubmit a TPM within 9 months of full occupancy of the CDER office building (Phase 2),
- Informally, many associated with the project have been advising citizens that the
"northeast gate" will be the solution to the anticipated grid-lock of New Hampshire
Avenue. The "promise" is (contrary to the BMI study) that Montgomery County
residents will travel from Bethesda, Rockville, and east along Randolph Road - which
becomes Cherry Hill - to enter the GSA property from this entrance at the Montgomery
County line. Some mention of this entrance, and promised relief should be made - if indeed it is going to happen as described.

A second concern is the poor "Level of Service" ratings anticipated for the New 'ampshire corridor. Given the number of failing intersections, a confirmation of parking spaces - id the anticipated peak loads ( 1800 p.m. from 6400 employees?) should be done. Some of the 'imbers used for the projections are re-hashed from very old, pre-BMI studies.

A final area of concern is for pedestrians who need to cross New Hampshire Avenue. The plans do not adequately address issues of pedestrian safety. The commercial areas, of White Oak and Hillandale need safe and relevant pedestrian focus. The Montgomery County Blue Ribbon Panel on Pedestrian and Traffic Safety has produced a significant document which has raised the level of understanding of the three E's-Education, Enforcement and Engineering. Now is the time for Engineering for pedestrians along New Hampshire Avenue.

Thank you for your consideration.

Enclosures: White Oak Transit Center Dwgs 2002 WOTC CAC letters of May and Sept 2002

- NCP'C Action on FDA Master Plan June 2002
ce: Ms. Cynthia Simpson, Deputy Director, OPPE, SHA
Ms. Denise King, Environmental Specialist, FHA Albert. J. Genetti, Jr., Director, Montgomery County DPW\&T

| Projected | LEVEL OF <br> For Build | SERVICE |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection | NOW (2001) | 2007 | 2025 |
| Powder Mill | F am/F pm | F am/f pm | F am/F prn |
| Schindler | E am/D pm | F am/C pm | F am/F pm |
| Northwest | D am/D pm | F am/D pm | F $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{F} \mathrm{pm}$ |
| Michelson | E am/C pm | F am/B pm | F am/f pm |
| Lockwood | F am/F pm | F am/F pm | F am/F pm |
| Turn to 29 SO. | E am/C pm | E am/ Apm | Fam/E pm |
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February 5, 2003
Re: Project No. MO900B21
MD 650 from Powder Mill Road to
North of US 29
Montgomery County, Maryland
Mr. Brian Beard
10600 Lilac Place
Silver Spring MD 20903
Dear Mr. Beard:
Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) Improvements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. The purpose of the project is to improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north of US 29. The project will consider provisions for improving circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drug Administration (FDA) facility at the Wihite Oaik $\bar{r}$ rederal Research Center. The project will also consider adequate vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle access to existing and planned activity centers, including commercial sites.

Your comments regarding bicycle and pedestrian access to the new FDA campus at White Oak have been noted. For this project, the Maryland State Highway Administration (SHA), Montgomery County personnel, and representatives of the General Services Administration (GSA) have been in constant contact for every detail of planning and design. The internal roadway and bikeway system is being studied by GSA and is not a part of this project. The SHA/GSA team has worked closely to assure that proper connection from New Hampshire Avenue will be provided to the FDA Site. Your concems have been brought to the attention of the GSA site developers.
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The Maryland Stale Highway Administration has reviewed all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included on the project mailing list in order to keep you informed about our progress. Thank' you for your interest. If you have any further questions please contact Dana Knight, Project Manager, at 410-662-7400 or dpknight@mta.biz.

cc: Darrell Sacks, SHA-PPD
AMrismanalymkngurMcCormick, Taylor, and Associates
Bill Potterion, General Services Administration

## STATE HIGHWAY ADMINSTRATION

 QUESTIONS AND/OR COMMENTSINFORMATIONAL PUBLIC MEETING MD 650 (NEW HAMPSHIRE AVE) FROM SOUTH OF POWDER MILL RD TŌ NORTH OF US 29

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { MONDAY, NOVEMBER 25, 2002, 6:00 P.M. - 8:00 P.M. } \\
& \text { CHI CENTER }
\end{aligned}
$$

10501 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE
, SILVER SPRING, MD 20903-1197
nlease
PRINT
namé Brian Beard
address 10600 Lilac Place
atry Silver Spring_state MD

WWe wish to comment or Inquire about the following aspects of this project:
I am concerned about bicycle and pedestrian access to the new EDA compus of White dak. Many employees will be exiting buses on New Hampshire and walking onto the FDA campus. Also, many FDA employees live in Hillandale and will be walking or biking to work. There need to be pedestrian and bicycle paths all the way to the EDA buildings. These paths should not interfere with vehicular traffic.
$\qquad$ -
$\qquad$

## $-$

XPlease add my/our name(s) to the Mailing List
$\square$ Please delete my/our name(s) from the Mailing Lust

* Persons who have recelved a copy of this brochure through the mall are already on -the project Malling List
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Re: Project No. MO900B21
MD 650 from Powder Mill Road to North of US 29 Montgomery County, Maryland

Mr. Hugues Sicotte
10803 Blossom Lane
Silver Spring MD 20903
Dear Mr. Sicotte:
Thank you for your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) Improvements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. The purpose of the project is $t 0$ improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north of US 29. The project will consider provisions for improving circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drug Administration (FDA) facility at the former White Oak Naval Ordinance Laboratory The project will also consider adequate vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle access to existing and planned activity centers, including commercial sues.

Your comment regarding traffic on Lockwood Road and Burnt Mills Hills Road has been noted, as has your concern regarding the safety of children and other pedestrians in the neighborhood

With regard to "shortcut" traffic through the neighborhood, the Montgomery County Department of Public Works and Transportation (MCDPW\&T) can initiate a traffic study upon formal requests by citizens, homeowners, or neighborhood associations once a specific problem materializes. This type of study will determine appropriate measures to deal with the specific problem. The MCDPW\&T does not implement cutthrough volume restrictions based on forecasted problems, but uses actual observed data to install the most effective solution. With regard to speed bumps or traffic cameras, they to install the most effective solution. With regard to speed bumps or traffic cameras, they
can be considered through processes administered by the MCDPW\& $T$. A formal request can be considered through processes administered by the MCDPW\& T. A formal requ
should be submitted to that agency. MCDPW\&T can be contacted at 101 Monroe St., should be submitted to that agency. MCDPW\&T can be contacted at 101 Monroe St. Rockville, Maryland, 20850
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The Maryland State Highway Administration has reviewed all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing these comments. Your name is included on the project mailing list in order to keep you informed about our progress. Thank you for your interest. If you have any further questions please contact Dana Knight, Project Manager, at 410-662-7400 or dpknight@mta.biz

cc: Mr. Darrell Sacks, Project Planning Division, SHA ans Bob Simpson, MCDPWT

STATE HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION QUESTIONS AND/OR COMMENTS
. . MO 900B21
INFORMATIONAL PUBLIC MEETING MD 650 (NEW HAMPSHIRE AVE)
FROM SOUTH OF POWDER ML RD TO NORTH OFF US 29
MONDAY, NOVEMBER 25, 2002, 6:00 P.M. - 8:00 P.M.
CHI CENTER 10501 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE .SILVER SPRING, MD 20903-1197
$\qquad$

ctr Silver Sprong__state MD ${ }^{\text {zIP }} 20903$
We wish to comment or Inquire about the following aspects bi this project:
This master plan does rot adders the: dangerous commuter traffic that will be taking a shatcut through $h$ ans nugh baked to ge from Rte as to the Schlendles drive entrance by culling through blackwood \& Burnfmilh.
We meed speedbumpo I traffic comezasto putted the chilchen walking to school. Sidewalks through that the would be ivelcome too and would seduce the danger lo perdition traffic
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\square$ Please add my/our names) to the Mailing List.
$\square$ Please delete my/our names) from the Mailing List

* Persons who have received a copy of this brochure through the mall are already on the project Mailing List
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Maryland Department of Transportation State Highway Administration

October 19, 2001

Paris N. Glendening
Gowem John D. Porcari Secremery Parkel F. Williams Adrinistralor

Re: Project No. MO900B2
MD 650 from l-495 to US 29
Montgomery County, MD
USGS Belisville 7.5" Quadrangle
Mr. J. Rodney Little
State Historic Preservation Officer
Maryland Historical Trust
100 Community Place
Crownsville MD 21032-2023

## Dear Mr. Litle

Introduction and Project Description
This lenter serves to inform the Maryland Historical Trust (MHT) of proposed Project No. MO900B21, and to request your concurrence in our no adverse effect determination for this project. The project area includes a small portion of the U.S. Naval Ordnance Laboratory (NOL) Historic District (M: 33-25), which is eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP).

The project involves the widening of MD 650 from approximately Acres Drive to Chalmers Drive, a distance of 7,100 feet, to provide an additional through lane in the northbound direction. Widening will also occur on Powder Mill Road, Mahan Rosd, and Lockwood Drive, 10 accommodate tum lane improvements. Concomitant with the widening, the project proposes the installation of two stormwater management ponds, an access road, iniets and oufalis: landscaping, milling and resurfacing of existing pavement, and constuction of medians, curb and gutter, bike paths, and sidewalks. The project also proposes to relocate Micheison Road to a new ocation across from Northwest Drive. The relocated Michelson Drive will include two estbound and eastbound lanes with a median. The original location of Michelson Drive will be econstucted to an eight-foot bike path/sidewalk facility. Finally, the project will include the elocation and reconstruction of the US 29 southbound on ramp, and the widening of the US 29 northbound on ramp. Soil borings will be required to complete design aspects of the project.

Widening and intersection improvements will require new right of way. Permanent easements will be required for drainage improvements and slope maintenance. Temporary construction easements will also be required for grading within the golf course and construction access. Project plans are included for your review as Atlachment I.
$\qquad$
,
Marytand Retay Service lor mpaited Hearing of
$1.800-735-2258$ Sialewide Toll Fiee
 Sreel Adotress: 707 North Caiven Streel - Bantmore, Marytand 21202
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## Funding

Federal funds are anticipated for this project.

## Identification of Area of Potential Effects

We have examined the project area in light of any changes that might be introduced that would have the potential to affect characterisuics qualifying historic properties for inclusion in the NRHP. We have developed the area of potential effects (APE) in consideration of both architecture and archeology. The APE has been drawn broadly enough to accommodate aln possible construction impacts and the extent of viewsheds from the roadway, as indicated on the attached State Highway Administration (SHA) GIS quadrangle map for Beitsville, MD (Attachment II, showing the APE and the NOL Historic District boundary).

Identificallon Methods and Results
Potentially significant architectural and archeological resources were bbth researched as part of the historic investigation instigated by the proposed widening and intersection improvements on MD 650 between 1-495 and US 29.

Architecture: SHA Architectural Historian Liz Buxion consulted MHT files, historic mapping including the SHA-GIS quadrangle mapping, and visited the project area on August 9, 2001.

MD 650 is characterized by mid to late $20^{\boldsymbol{d}}$ century residences interspersed with public religious and office buildings as well as commercial strip development. The NOL Histonic District (M: 33-35) is the only previously identified historic resource within the APE. Located on the east side of MD 650 at 10901 New Hampshire Avenue, the NOL Historic District is ( approximaley 732 acres. The NOL Historic District was determined eligible for the NRHP in encompasses 732 acres. The NOL Historic District was determined eligible for the NRHP in 1997 under Criteria A, B and C. The district includes 372 contributing resources as wel] golf course that serves as a buffer along the western
(Attachment IIM, MHIP form and eljgibility letter).

The APE is confined to the area of direct consuruction impacts along the east side of MD 650, and also includes a portion of the NOL Historic District- approximately 1200 feel from the center of New Hampshire Ave to the front of the closest building within the NOL Histonic District (Area 100, also known as the Front Area). The only histonic resource in the NOL Historic District located within the APE is the 9 .hole White Oak Golf Course, a convibuting element dating to 1952; there are no contributing buildings located in the APE.

Archeology: SHA archeologist Mary F. Barse assessed the archeological potential of the project area through consultation of the SHA GIS site and survey database, historic mapping, prior archeological studies, planning documents, and modern land use mapping, and eonducted a field visit on August 16,2001. The APE for archeology is defined by the limits of existing and
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proposed right of way and easements in which all gound disturbing activities will take place (A ttachment J). With the exception of the planned relocation of Michelson Road, and stormwater management facilities on the NOL property, the APE closely follows the existing alignments of MD 650 and its intersecting roadways.

There are no previously reported archeological sites in or near the project's. APE (Altachment IV, Inventoried Archeological Sites and Surveys). A portion of the APE at the intersection of US 29 was included in a prior survey by Ballweber (1988) for transportation improvements on US 29 between 1-495 in Montgomery County and US 40 in Howard County. No archeological resources were identified during that survey within the current APE Thenty General Services Administration (GSA) prepared a summary of previous cultural resources surveys within the NOL facility as part of the environmental compliance for the consolidation of the U.S. Food and Drug Administrauion (FDA) headquancrs. The current APE was not subject to actual testing during any of the prior surveys conducted within the facility (Greenhorne \& O'Mara 1992; Cissna et al. 1982; Rosenzweig 1995). Although se veral archeological sites were identified during these surveys, none is situated in or near the current APE.

The Phase I archeological identification survey (Rosenzweig 1995) sponsored by GSA for the FDA consolidation project resulted in the determination that no archeological sites were present within the 23 acre area tested (A thachment IV). The remaining 107 acres within the 130-acre APE were not tested. Approximately 99 of the 107 remaining acres were reported by Rosenzweig (1995) to have low archeological potential by virtue of prior disturbance from grading, flling, constuction, and landscaping activities. An eight-acre tract that was previously considered to have high archeological posential (Greenhome \& O'Mara 1992) was reported to be relatively undisturbed. However, this tract is located well to the east of the current APE for widening and intersection improvements on MD 650, and will be avoided by the undertaking.

The project area is situated on the drainage divide between the Northwest Branch and Paint Branch; tributaries of the Anacostia River drainage. For the most part the APE occupies a rolling upland plateau dissected by the headwater valleys of several low order tributaries that now-c ast to Paint Branch. This represents a somewhat marginal ecological setting wherein shorterm resource procurement camps would be the most likely represented prehistoric archeological site type. The results of previous surveys within the NOL validate this expectation, as all six sites that have been identified to date are characterized as low-density lithic scatters. 11 is also likely that prehistoric populations may have made transient use of thie area in crossing berween the larget, resource rich drainages of Paint Branch and Northwest Branch; howe ver, archeological evidence of this behavior would be ephemeral at best, and expressed as occasional isolated flakes or point finds.
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Examination of selected historic maps (Martenet and Bond 1865; Hopkins 1878; USGS 1926, 1949) reveals that MD 650 has been present in its current alignment at least as early as 1865. A few structures are shown at this time, primarily near the current MD 650/US 29 interchange, and on the west side of MD 650 nonth of Chalmers Road. Very litlle change in the number or ownership or these structures is evident through the first quarter of the $20^{(t)}$ century. Several new structures do appear on maps between 1878 and 1926 on the NOL property, south of Mahan Road. By 1945, it is apparent that the MD 650 roadway has been improved and realigned. Subsequent development and road construction in the laner half of the $20^{\circ}$ century has destroyed all the locations of these historic map indicated stuctures.

A field visit was conducted to ascertain current conditions and land use within the APE on August 16, 2001. All areas of the APE have been disturbed. Widening north of US 29 will take place on the east side of existing MD 650. Although unde veloped tracts of land remain, the US 29 interchange areas previously disturbed by road constancion. Widening on MD 650 at the areas previously disturbed by road constre existing interchange will also occur entirely within areas previously disturbed by road construction. South of the US 29 interchange to Michelson Drive, the APE has been disturbed by commercial de velopment. including the massive White Oak Shopping Center on MD 650 at Lockwood Road.

The character of de velopment along MD 650 changes south of Michelson Drive from commercial to primarily residential on the west side of the roadway. The NOL facility occupies a major portion of the APE on the east side of MD 650 between Michelson Drive and the Hillandale Recreation Area. All work in this section of MD 650 will take place on the cast sid of the roadway in areas disrurbed by construction of the White Oak Golf Course, or within the footprints of the existing roadways. The Powder Mill intersection has been completely distrubbed by commercial and institutional de velopment.

Although background research would suggest that the project area is sensitive for archeological resources, there has been extensive disturbance from previous road building, drainage and utility installation, as well as commercial, residential, recreational, and institutional de velopment. Consequently, it is not likely that significant archeological deposits remain within the APE, and no further ärcheological investigations are recomenendè':

## Determination of Effect

The project would involve the relocation of Michelson Road, improvements io Mahan Road, the installation of two stomwater management ponds and a new access road within the boundaries of the NOL Historic District. In addition, soil borings as pan of the project design, landscaping, bike paths, sidewalks and milling and resurfacing of the existing pavemem will occur. A total of 5.46 acres is required from the Front Area of the NOL propery for right-ofway. Only 1.52 acres is needed for perpetual easement and 0.05 acre for slope easement.
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We have considered the potential for this project to affect the NOL Historic District and have determined that the impacts would not constitute an adverse effect since they occur in the Front Area of the property which was designed to be a buffer between New Hampshire Avenue (MD 650) and the NOL complex. The proposed changes will be beneficial to the property by providing better access and enhancing the buffer area. We do not believe that the proposed changes will diminish the overall integrity of the location, design, setting, materials. workmanship, feeling, or association of the NOL Historic District.

Although there will be some alteration of the landscape in the Front Area, the golf course and campus-like plan will remain intact. In fact, ponds (storm management) are ofien incorporated into the design of golf courses and appropriately "fit" into the existing landscape. It is also worth noting that the NOL golf course has undergone many physical changes since it was built in 1952. According to the MIHP survey form, Edmund Ault, a registered golf course architect, provided a long-range renovation plan in 1964, and many of those changes have been implemented over the past thisty years. Within the context of the district, we believe the proposed changes will not significantly alter the Front Area that was crealed to provide a physical and natural buffet that preserves the visual character of the main complex. In conclusion, we ascertain that the proposed project will not introduce elements that would adversely affect characteristics of the district as a whole that would preclude the NOL Historic District for inclusion in the NRHP. We believe the proposed project will have no adverse impact on the NOL Historic District (Altachment V, Effect Table).

Additionally, as pan of the documentation process for federal-aid projects, SHA mus determine if the reguirements of Section $4(\Omega)$ apply to the use of land from the NOL. Historic District. The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) has determined that the requirements of Section $4(f)$ do not apply, however, to the temporary use of the land, when the officials with jurisdiction over the resource indicate their agreement with the following five criteria.

Therefore, SHA seeks your concurrence that the temporary use of land with the NOL Historic District for grading within the golf course and construction access will not permanently impact the NOL Historic District and that:

- The duration of the use will be temporary and less thon the time needed for construction of the project.

The temporary use of the NOL golf course for grading will be completed prior to final completion of the MD 650 improvements.

- The ownership of the property will not change or result in the retention of long term or indefinite interests in the land for tronsportotion purposes.
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The ownership of the NOL golf course outside of existing and proposed SHA right-ofway will remain with GSA, leased by M-NCPPC, and will continue to be maintained by MNCPPC.

- The scope of the work will be minor, in which the nature and magnitude of the changes,to the resource will be minimal

Temporary use of the NOL golf coūrse propenty will be required due to grading within the vicinity of the proposed stormwater management ponds, bike paths, sidewalks, and access roads. This will require an approximately 1.3 acre temporary easement from GSA within the NOL Historic District.

- There will be no anticipated permanent adverse physical impacts, nor will there be interference with the activities or purposes of the resource, on eilher a temporary or permanent basis.

The temporary grading will not adversely impact the Front Area that was created to provide a physical and natural buffer that preserves the visual character of the main complex Overall, it is anticipated that there will be no interference with the activities or purposes of the NOL golf course; on either a temporary or permanent basis.

- The land being used will be fully restored, in that the resource will be returned to a condition, which is at leart as good os that which existed prior to the project.

The areas where temporary use is proposed will be restored to an acceptable condition upon completion of the grading. Mature trees will be avoided to the exient possible. If any mature trees require removal, they will be properly mitigated with re-planting of the appropriate tree species upon approval by GSA and M-NCPPC.

Please note that your concurrence with the above five criteria is only for determining if Section $4(1)$ applies to this temporary use of NOL Historic District property. SHA's noed for the temporary construction easement will be arranged with members of GSA's staff and their right-of-way officials prior to the construction.

## Review Request

Please examine the attached maps and plans. We request your concurrence by November 21,2001 that proposed Project No. MO900B21 will have no adverse effect on the NOL Historic District (M: 33-25), the only historic resource located within the APE. We additionally request your concurrence with the temporary use criteria pertaining to the proposed grading within the NOL golf course.

By carbon copy, we invite the Montgomery County Historic Preservation Commission and Montgomery Preservation, Inc., to provide comments and participate in the consultation process. Pursuant to the requirement of the implementing regulations found at 36 CFR Part 800 ,

Mr. J. Rodney Little
MD 650 from J-495 to US 29
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SHA seeks their assistance in identifying historic preservation issues as they relate to this specific project (see 36 CFR 800.2 (c) (4) and (6), and 800.3 (1) for information regarding the identification and paricipation of consuljing parties, and 800.4, and 800.5 regarding the identification of historic properies and assessment of effects). For additional information regarding the Section 106 regulations, see the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation's website, www.achp.gov, or contact the Maryland Stale Highway Administration or the Maryland Historical Trusi.) If no response is received by November 21, we will assume that these offices decline to participate. Please call Ms. Liz Buxton at 410-545-8698 with questions regarding standing structures for this project. Ms. Mary F. Barse may be reached at 410-545-2883 with concerns regarding archeology.

Very truly yours,
Cynthia D. Simpson
Deputy Director
Office of Planning and
Preliminary Engineering
by: BM
Bruce M. Grey
Depury Division Chief
Project Planning Division

## Allachments:

J)
II)
I)
III)

Maryland State Histonc Sites Inventory Form/ MHT lener
Surveys
V) Effect Table
cc: Ms. Mary F. Barse, (w/ Antachments ID through V)
Ms. Liz Buxton, (w/ Altachments II through V
Ms. Allison Cauthom (w/ Anachments $\mathbf{D}$ through V)
Ms. Pamela McNicholas
Ms. Maria Hocy (w/ Atlachments I through V)
Mr. Parrick Schmitt (w/ Altachments $\mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{V}$ )
Ms. Cynthia D. Simpson
Mr. Donald H. Sparklin

Mr. J. Rodney Litte
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Ms. Gwen Marcus Wright, M-NCPPC (w/ Attachments I through V) Ms. Denise Winslow, FHWA (w/ Altachments I through V) Ms. Gail Rothrock, PGHPC (w/Attachments Ithrough V)

## Mr. J. Rodney Little <br> MD 650 from 1-495 to US 29 <br> Page Nine

## Concurrence with Determination of Effec

Project No. MO900B21
MD 650 from I-495 to US 29
Monigomery County, MD
October 19, 2001

The Maryland Historical Trust (MHT) concurs with the State Highway Administration's (SHA) determination of no adverse effect for the referenced undertaking as documented in the SHA's correspondengy, dalyd October 19, 2001.

By: $\qquad$ $01 / 14 / 02$ Date Maryland Historical Trust

MHT Log Number: 200103760

Comments on the determination, if any, or conditions for its acceplance by the MHT:

| MARYLAND <br> 'ISTORICAL |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Offce of Preervation Services

## Ma. Andrea Monea-O'Hars <br> Historic Preservation officer <br> General Services Administration <br> Washington, D.C. 20407

> .- Re: Naval Surface Warfare Center (Naval Ordnance Laboratory) Determination of Eligibility Section 106 Review

Dear Ma. Mones- $0^{\prime}$ Hara:
The Maryland Historical Trust has reviewed the submitted Most Historic sitea Inventory Forn and photographa for the Navel Ordnance Laboratory, received 26 March 1997. Our office had previously concurred with the U.S. Navy's determination that there were no National Regiater eligible properties at the Naval Ordnance Laboratory; Thia determination was based on the evaluation of only eleven (11) structurea st the laboratory. The submitted reviaed documentation provides sore complete identificstion and
evaluation of the entire laboratory complex including 372 resources. Based on this information, we concur with your determination thet the Naval ordnance Laboratory is eligible for the National Regiater as an Historic Diatrict under Hacional Regiater Criteris $A, B$ and $C$ and that the district meets Netionsl Register Criteria Consideration G. regarding propertiés leaf then notional level as first-generation Cold-war-period naval weapons research facility.

The Naval Ordnance Laboratory achieves significance under Criterion as the firct, and until the late-1960's, the only comprehensive Cold-Har-period naval weapons research and development facility in the United States. The properity achieves significas.aE employment of seversi of the country'a top ecientista, and hose research at the aite resulted in major acientific advances. woth in Naval weapons development and science generally. Onder Criterion C, the property is aignificant for its architectursl

100 Commening Mexe •Croenvilin. Margliadd 21032 - ©410) 9
.... .... . *...... -...1-..... nume 年

Ms: Andrea Mones-O'Hara*
Jure 6. 1997
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character and design, with several buildings designed by Eggers Higgins, one of the largest firms in the country, receiving contracts for a variety of govermment builrings, military facilities, hospitals, and university buildings in the post-war period. Most important under Criterion $C$ ie the engineering significance of many facilities where opecialized ordnance development and testing occurrad, including several ordnance buildings and structures. For the same three criteria ( $A, B$, and C), the complex achieves exceptional significance under ivational Register Criteria Consideration $G$, at the national level for its pivotal role as a first-generation Cold-War-period defense weapons 1970, the foremost facijity of its kind in the United states about

Our office is concuring with your determination of eligibility for the historic district as a whole. We are not concuring with your determination concerning which individual buildinga do or do not contribute to. the district. Only a few photographs showing representative examples of the resources contained in the district were included with the lnvenction order to make an evaluation of individual properties, we would need photographs of building played during the district's period of aignificance, and an evaluation of its integrity.

We understand that this identification and evaluation has been performed as part of GSA's compliance with Section 106 of the conjunction with he Environmental Act of 1966 (as amended) O. S. Food and Drug Administration Consolidation. Our office has received a copy of the EIS for review through the Maryland has clearinghouse. The EIS explains that the white Oak site will be used to provide new, consolidated, state-of-the-art facilities for the headquarters component of FDA on one location in Mont gomery County, Maryland. The. EIS indicates that GSA prepared a detailed evaluation of the existing buildings and aystems for their potential renovations/reuse in the new development scheme, or their demolition. The findinge indicated that it would not be cobt effective to rehabilitate and reuse the majority of the existing buildings. All buildinge within a 170 acre area will be demolished with the exception of the Building 1 the Main Adminiatration Building) and Building 100 .

The EIS correctly states that, if the SHPO concurs with the determination that the Naval Ordnance Historic District is eligible for the National Register, the proposed action will have an adverse effect on historic properties, and that GSA will need to consulf

Mn. Andrea Mones-O'Hara
June 6. 1997
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with the shPo, the Advisory Council, and involve interested persona to determine ways in which GSA will minimize or mitigate adverse iumacts.

To date, GSA has not informed the SHPO or the Advisory Council of the proposed undertaking. alternatives considered, or the effect of the project on historic, properties. We await this information to initiate Section 106 consultation concerning the effects of the project on historic properties. Should you have any questions. please contact Ms. Jo Ellen Freese at (110) 514-7630.

- Sincerely.

J. Rodney Little Maryland State Historic Preservation Officer


## TRL/JEF/jef <br> W9603243

cC: Hon. Gilbert Gude
Mr. Charles Edson
Mr. Charles Edson
Ms. Mary Gardin
Ma. Marie-Regine Charleb-Bowser

Us. Genjeal Services admanistaninon

MAY 242000

Ms. Betsy Bretz
LABQUEST Chelmerson
10733 Kinloch Road
Silver Sping. MD 20903
Dear Ms. Bretz:
Thenk you for your letter of support regarding the consolldellon of the Fock and Drug Administration (FDA) at the Federal Reeemrch Center AI White Oek Wh look forward to complething the prolect through tis remaining thee phieses and eppreclate oUI continuing dialog with LABDUEST end the local community.

As montioned in your ietter, the FDA complex will not occupy the entire While Oak slie. Now that the funding for the FDA complex has begun. we will begin the mastor planing process for the remaining 530 acres. As rteted in our pubic meetinga, for the eake of convenlence, we have Identifed the FDA complex a Parcel $A$ and the rempinder of the sile as Parcel $B$.
Our steff ataled $h$ a LABOUEST meeting on Aprli 28, that we plan to begin the meater planning process of Parceli by the end of this year. Al that time, we informed the group inal this precess will difter from the Fop mastor plan in that harely will not be e pien for a specinc agency, but withe size and location of developablo arizes, and will adcress ahematlve usee, inchoding approiphato densiles. We steted that we would coordinate with the axisting useo ea wel as
 ond seciutly. Addilonally, wo stated thet wa woutd follow the Soction 105 process regerding historic preservation. Finelly, we emphaszed that public participetion will be 0 major element in the procese.

We are aware of LABOUESTs aspirefions for the site, and will seriously consider them in the planning process. I have requestod that Mr. Thornas Jomee, Director, Portollo Managernent Ohiston, of my staft meet wah you to discuss your concems. Mi. James win be contacting you early next weak to sot up a meeling.
We appreciate youn contrued paricipation in the developmem of thit important assen.

Sinceroly,
amint Evento

## Fomolson B. Alcalde

Reqhonal Administrator

## January 6, 2000

Jean Chair, Project Manager
Monk gomery County Deparunent of Transportation
101 Monroe Street
Rockvile, MD 20850
Re: FDA Consolidation Transportation Improvement Feasibility Study of March 30, 1999
Deas Ms. Chrie
In the absence of any public forums, informational programs or presentations by cither the County or local citizen associations on this trabic study in the past year, I have chosen to submit written comments on the projed directly to you.

Ido monderrand the "fluid narure" of the project and that traflic mumbers are being reviewed along with the questions associsted with a second New Hampshire Avenue entrunce. I also quastion the seemingly-segonerted planning approach which is being used on the trafic aspect of the FDA consolidation. However, the fact that lochnical re-evaluations are currenlly bappening provides an opportunity for broader public inpur fonums be held w. I bope that your offroc, in conjumetion with Uhe Maryland State Highway Administration, will do so.

In the spirit of constructive inpul, here are iny specific commerts on the original study.
CONCERN FOR PEDESTRJANS: Given that the task is to move mort trafic on New Hampshire A venue by widening the road and re-timing the trafic lights, one unintended result will be additional pressure on pedestrian safery. Curenty, walking acioss New Hampshire Avenue at cither business centa (White Oak and Hillandale) is hazardous and will become more so. Given the nature of the pedestrian trafic (encion citizens fon HOC's Holly Hall Apartments to the Safeway in Hillandale and lots of foot uaflic in White Oak) provisions for safe travel must be made. This should include on-dimand walk lights of sufficient kingth for eldety and safe-havens in known foor. toffic areas. One spocific safe-haven which doods to be maintained is the median on New Hampshire A venue south of Powder Mill Rosd. (FY): Holly Hall is mis-labeied as the Goorge Meary Center on figure 4-9.)

BUS STOPS: Bus stops and shelters along New Himpshire Avenue need to be upgraded as a part of this project (or even sooner). For example, the bus stop on south-bound New Hampshire at the beltway needs a shelter. Many bus-using shoppors patronize the Hillandale Shopping Center and are len (with hesvy grocery begs) in the rein and mud at this stop. Also, the sheller al the Shell Station in White Oat needs serious improvements including expansion, connocting sidewalks, and a floor.

TRACTOR-TRANLER TURNS: The tuming radii of tractor trailers, and the new lager 33 -food-traile trucks which enter the business areas, need to be accommodated ai the Powda Mill Road Eton Road and Lockwood intersections. For example, the Hillandsle Shopping. Centa requires trucks to use the Powder Mill Road entrance. Large trucks making the two right turns from New Hampshive Avenue, rouninely to ivel'or the sidewalks and require opposing taffic on Powda Mill Rosd to back-up in order to negotiate the turn.

SPEED. The modifications to inciease the uaffic volume during rush-hours and business-hours means that New Hampshise Avenue will become even more of a speeding zone in the off-hours. Since these is no ability to make an elastic rood, what methods (closing off the additional lane, ligh-timing changes, ete.) will be used to give elastic rove, what methods (ciosing off ine additional lane, light-liming changes, ete.) will be used to give
homeowners (New Hampshire A venue has single.family homes) and pedestrians a measure of sakery when $u$ affic is lighta?

GOLF COURSE: The conlinued use of the golf course by MNCPP is a bencfit to the greate White Oak community and preserving this recieation facility is important. I uge the County and the Stite to protect this resource as the final plans are developed.

Beyond these spocifics, I have three issues utich are part of the greate picture of the area, the FDA onsolidation and eventual largo use of the ertive Fodenal Research Cemter. These issues do not include the "Purple ince," since this particular solution will not be quickly decided, funded, or implemented- and in the end, may not even include White Oak)

NEGOTLATED CEILING: Evaluate and potentially reduce the on-site parking available for the FDA (curremty requested $\omega$ be 4,500 [or more?], but subject to final approval by NCPC), thereby requining greater improvements in TDM plans. Also, negotiate trafic maximums with GSA on the total site as was done with the Amry al Forest Gen Negotiste soone type of podestian mocess for employees to go to work or to go to the shopping center without requiring acm. (Back in Janury 1999, hicn-involved developar Lloyd Moore actually commented that "If sómióne wanted to walk to work, they stould take their cas.")

TRANSIT CENTER: Curontly in the Facility Plaming Phase with the Montgonery County Depariment of Public Works and Trassportation is a CLP project for a White Oak Transil Center. Although I do not tnow all the details urderstand that the concept is for. a 250 -car park-and-ride lot with a 5 -bus-bry tansit center located at (or mear?) the White Oat Shopping Cente. Given the tratie pressures which exist at that location and addivional pressure from the FDA consolidation, I suggest that this be re-evaluated in light of the geater whole.

Firs, can these 250 cass be better handled in Fairland, Spencervilk, Colesville, or Howad County instead of adding to the congestion of White Oak? Second, will FDNFRC employees (limited by TDM) find this a corvenient place io park, theroby defeating a primary goal of reducing cars? Could a transil cente (withouk a park-and-ride) be bether located on the Foderal Research Center property? Given the amount of land and the pressure which the development of the FRC adds to White Oak, having the Federal government contribute to the solutions makes sense. Of course this transin contar neads to be accessible by the public. A model for this approsch is an NH.

NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE SOUTH OF THE BELTWAY: The trafic study reporis that intersections in his wea operate at a failing level of sorvice ( "LOS"), yet the report makes no recommendations or cost evaluations for improveneris. Aldrough expedien, vis is very shon-sighted. Montgomery, Prince George's and the State need to include improvements in this area also.

The ulimate solution is to redirect the University of Maryland-bourd traffic to the proposed beltway-idUniversity Boulevard access road. This requires political will to authorize a new beliway evit and gain access hrough the BARC property, but it is the only way to improve many of the over-taxed roads of Adelphi and reduce Whe incrassing neighborhood aut-thru (via Elton to Riggs Road and Oakview to Mount Pisgah). Furthermore, this would alleviate the problem of backups on the 650 -south-bound-belnway exit and stacking at ken-tum lanes which contribure to traffe accidents on New Hampshire Avenue. Although the new road is considered to be a Prince George's issue, it is not. It is an important component to. Uhe whole picture of traflic now in the corridor.

I hope that the County will be eoger to open this process to all eitizens in the neas furture.


```
Semover lal Rubero
    Counri Membo Brorpe
    Womm Hurmmen Chici, MONC
    Bruce Romer. MC.CAO
```

Mory Amen, GSA
Ein Ponerion. GSA
E. Lensford, FDA
totn Cbile. MC.DPWAT

Ken Jourth, Chai ECC̄AB Ken bowph, Chain. ECCAB Milandek Criarens' Assoristion
$\square$

## Maryland Deparmeon of Nalur al Resorites ENVRONMENTAL REVIEW Towes Sure Ofico Buildine B.3

## August 10, 200

Mr. Donald H. Sparklin
Project Planning Division
Maryland Department of Transporiation
Siate Highway Administration
P.O. Box 717

Balimore, Maryland $21203-0717$

## Dear Mr. Sparklin:

This lentel is in response to yout letter of request, dated August 6, 2001, for information on the presence of finfish species in the vicinity of the Maryland Department of Transporiation's Project No: MO900B21: MD 650 from I-495 to US 29 (Intersection Improvements) in Mongomery County.

From a review of the informalion plovided with your iequess in does not appeas that the proposed work will impact streams where anadiomous fish spawn. However, any diainages within the work area would be classified as Use I waters (Water Conlaci Recreanion and Protection of Aquatic Life). Generally, during any year. Spawning periods for any resident fish species that may be within your project area will be adequately prolected byihe Usel inistream work restriction period referenced above, sediment and erosion
contröl methods, and other Besi Management Practices typically used for protection of siream resources.

If you have any questions conceming these comments, you may coniact me al 410-260-8331.

$$
\text { . } \quad 1
$$ no instream work is permined in Use 1 streams during the period of March 1 though June IS, inclusive,

$\xrightarrow[\substack{\text { Kay } \\ \text { Ray C. Diniaman, Ji, Direcior } \\ \text { Environmental Review Unit }}]{\text { Sincerely, }}$

RCD

United States Department of the Interior

## FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

Chesapeake Bay Field Office
177 Admiral Cochsane Drive Annapolis, MD 2140

September 12, 2001

Ms. Cynthia D. Simpson
Deputy Director
Office of Planning and Preliminary Engineering
State Highway Administration
P.O. Box 717

Baltimore, MD 21203-0717
ATTN:
Mr. Donald Sparklin
RE: Pıject No. MO900B21 MD 650 from I-495 10 US 29 Intersection Improvements Monigomery County, MD

Dear Ms. Simpson:
This responds to your Augusi 9, 2001, request for information on ihe presence of species which are federally listed or proposed for listing as endangered or threatened within the vicinity of MD 650 , from 1.495 to US 29. We have ieviewed the information you enclosed and are ploviding comments in accordance with Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act ( 87 Stat. 884, as amended; 16 U.S.C. 1531 et seg.)

Except for occasional transient individuals, no federally proposed or listed endangered or Exceptened species are known to exist within the project impact area. Therefore, no biological assessment or furiher Section 7 consultation is required with the U.S. Fish and Wildife Service. Should project plans change, of if additional information on the distribution of listed or proposed species becomes available, this determination may be reconsidered.

This response relates only 10 federally protected threatened or endangered species under our jurisdiction. II does not address the Service's concerns pursuant 10 the Fish and Wildlife Cooldination Act or other legislation. For information on the presence of other sale species, you should contact Ms. Lori Byme of the Maryland Hejitage and Wildlife Division at
(410) 260-8573.

We appreciate the opportunity to provide information relative to fish and wildife issues, and thank you for your interest in these resources. If you have any questions or need further assistance, please contact Charisa Morris at (410) 573 -4550.

## Sincerely,

Mlay Katras wamy Mary J. Ratnaswamy, Ph.D.
Program Leader
Endangered Species
Chesapeake Bay Field Office


Maryland Depariment of Natural Resourres
3. Charles Fox Seerriary
-Stanley K. Arhus Depwno Serreciory

Fores, Wildife and Heriage Senio
Taws Slate Office Building. E.I
Annapolis, Maryland 21401
September 10, 2001

Kithleen Kennedy Townsend
LS. Governor

## Ms. Cynthia D. Simpson

Maryland Depariment of Transporation
State Highway Administration
State Highway
P.O. Box 717
P.O. Box 717
Bahimore, MD 21203-0717

RE: Environmental Review for Project No. Mo900B21, MD 650 from 1-495 to US 29, Intersection Improvemeris, Movigomery County, Maryland.

Dear Ms. Simpson
The Wildife and Heritage Division has no records for Federal or State rare, threatened or endangered plants or animals within this project site. This statement should not be interpreted as meaning that no rase, thseatened or endangered species are presem. Such species could be present but have not been documented because an adequate survey has not been conducted or because survey results have not been repored to us.

However, the forested area on or adjacent to the project site contains Forest Imerior Dwelling Bitd habitat. Populations of many Forest Interior Dwelling Bird species Imenor Dwelling Eird habitat. Populations of many Forest Interior Dwelling Bird (FIDS) are decining in Maryland and throughout the eastem United States. The
conservation of this habitat is strongly-encouraged by the Department of Natural conservation of
Resources. The following guidelines will help minimize the project's impacts on FIDS Resources. The following guidelines will h
and other native forest plants and wildife:

1. Avoid placement of new roads or related construction in the forest interior. If Avoid placement or new roads or related construction in the forest intenor. If
forest loss or disturbance is absolutely unavoidable, restrict development to the perimeter of the forest (i.e., within 300 feet of the exisiting forest edge), and avoid load placement in areas of high quality FIDS habiat (e.g., old-gowh forest). Maximize the amount of remaining contiguous forested habilat.
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2. Do not remove or disturb forest habitat during May-August, the breeding season for most FIDS. This seasonal restriction may be expanded to February-August if certain early nesting FIDS (e.g., Barred Owi) are presemt.
3. Maintain forest habitat as close as possible to the road, and maintain canopy closure where possible.
4. Maintain grass height at least $10^{*}$ during the breeding season (May-August).

If you should have any further questions regarding conservation of these species, please contact David Brinker, Central Regional Ecologist for the Wildife and Heritage Division, at (410) 744-8939.

> Sincerely,
> ore $C$. Byre

Lori A. Byme,
Environmental Review Specialist
Wildife and Heriage Division

DEPARTMENT OF POLICE
Douglas M. Duncan
County Erecuifue

Charies A. Moose, Ph.D. Cbief af Police

January 29, 2002

Cynthia Simpson, Deputy Director
Office of Planning and Preliminary Engincering
Maryland Department of Transportation
Siare Highway Administration
Share Highway
P.O. Bar 717
Baltimore, Maryland 21203-0717

> Re: Projecr Na. M0900B2I
> MD 650 from J-495 to US 29
> Montgamery Caunty, Maryland

## Dear Ms. Simpson:

Thonk you for yaur letter of Januory JS, 2002, requesting input regarding the effects of the State Highvay Administration's (SHA) study alternatives on response times for emergency services olong the New Hampshire Avenue corridor.

Sergeant Daniel C. Mayer, of my staff, look ed into the proposed alternatives and advised Alhernative 1 could result in increased troffic congestion. We foresee no negarive impacts from the improvements that you propose in Alternarive 2 for New Hampshire Avenue between 1-495 and US 39. The proposed changes should result in a welcome improvement of traffic Jlow in the area.

Again, thonk you for your letter. Please be assured of our continued cooperarion in maners of mutual concern and interest


Commonder Drew J. Tracy god District, Silver Spring

DJT:Ic


3rd District Station
_ 801 Sligo Avenue - Silveg Spring, Martland 20910 - 301/565-7740, FAX 301/565-5860

## Ms. Cynthia Simpso

February 25, 2002
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Again, thank you for the opportunity to comment. I hope the concerns raised will help with the sate completion of this needed project. If my staff or I can provide any additional assislance, please do not hesitate to contact me.


RWSAd
cc: Mr. Gordon Aoyagi, Fire Administralor

Maryland Department of Transportation State Highway Administration

March 25, 2002
Re: Project No. MO900B2 MD 650 from l-495 to US 29 Widening and Intersection Improvements Montgomery County

Mr. Bill Gries
Maryland-National Capital
Park and Planing
9500 Brunett A venue
Silver Spring, MD 20910

## Dear Mr. Gries:

The Maryland State Highway Administration (SHA) is proposing to widen MD 650 from approximately J-495 to US 29, in Montgomery County (See Attachment) to provide an additional through lane in the northbound direction. Widening will also occur on Powder Mil) Road, Mahan Road and Lockwood Drive to accommodate tum lane improvements. The 7roposed scope of work includes the installation of two stormwater management ponds, an ccess road, inlets and outfalls, landscaping, milling and resurfacing existing pavement, and consuruction of medians, curb and gutter, bike paths, and sidewalks.

The project also proposes to relocate Michelson Road. The relocated Michelson Road will include two westbound and eastbound lanes with a median. The original location of Michelson Drive will be reconstructed to on eight-foot bike path/sidewalk facility. The projec will include the relocation and reconstruction of the US 29 southbound on ramp, and the widening of the US 29 northbound on ramp. The proposed improvements would require 7.81 acres of the White Oak Golf Course property, which is within the NOL Historic District and owned by the General Services Administration, for a perpetual easement. The proposed improvements would also temporarily impact 1.40 acres of the golf course property.

The measures proposed by the SHA to minimize hamm and to mitigate for permanent use of the golf course include the following:

- To enhance the visual quality of the proposed stormwater management facility (located south of Mahan Drive), proposed landscaping would be incorporated into the pond design. A well-designed stormwater management pond with colorful landscape plantings that change through the seasons can become an amenity feature to the golf course, enhancing the course for both players and passers-by. Flowering trees and shrubs along with perennials and omamental grasses will be important features of the pond landscaping.-

1.800-735-2258 Starewide Toll Free

Mziling Actress: P.O. Scx 717 - Qatimore, MD $21203-0747$ Sueel Address: 707 North Calven Sireel - Baßlimore, Marytono 21202
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- Street trees planted between MD 650 and the bike/pathways will provide a visual buffer between the pedestrian and bikepath/sidewalks and MD 650. The trees will also provide shade along the path for pedestrians and cyclists.
- Perennial/shnubsomamental grasses will be planted in a number of beds belween the • bike/pathways and the golf course providing color, seasonal interest and visual vaniety for both the golf course patrons and those using the sidewalk.
- Large evergreen trees within a well-designed landscape area will provide a gareway feature to enhance the entrance to the golf course. Further planting with nowering troes and ground cover in the median at the entrance to the golf course will complete the landscaped gateway feature.

As part of the documentation process for federal-aid projects under the Deparment of Transportation Act of 1966, SHA must also determine if the requirements of Section 4(1) apply 10 the temporary use of the land from the White Oak Golf Course (that is, the I. 40 acres that wil] be affected over and above the perpetual easement). The Federal Highway Administration FHWA) has determined that the requirements of Section 4(i) do not apply to the temporory use f the land, when the officials with jurisdiction over a resource indicate their agreement with the following five criteria.

Therefore, SHA seeks your concurrence-on the signature line (at the end of this correspondence) that the temporary use of land from the White Oak Golf Course for grading and consuruction access will not permanently impact the. White Oak Golf Course and that:

- The duration of the use will be temporory and less than the time needed for construction of the project.
The temporary use of the golf course/historic district for grading in the vicinity of proposed stormwater management ponds, bike paths, sidewalks, and access roads will be completed prior to the final completion of the MD 650 improvements.
- The ownership of the property will not change or result in the retention of long term or indefinite interests in the lond for tronsportotion purposes.
The ownership of the golf course outside of existing and proposed SHA perpetual easement will remain with the General Services Administration (GSA), Jeased by the Maryland-National Capital Parks and Planning Commission (M-NCPPC), and will continue to be maintained by M-NCPPC.

Bill Fries
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- The scope of the work will be minor, in which the noture and magnitude of the changes to the resource will be minimal
Temporary use of the golf course property will be required due to grading within the vicinity of the proposed stormwater management ponds, bike paths, sidewalks, and access roads. This will require an approximately J.40-acre temporary easement from land owned by GSA, but leased by M-NCPPC for the golf course area.
- There will be no annicipased permanent adverse physical impacts, nor will there be interference with the activities or purposes of the resource, on either a temporary or permanent basis.
The temporary grading will not adversely impact the front area that was created to provide a physical and natural buffer that preserves the visual character of the golf course. Overall, in is anticipated that there will be no interference with the, activities or purposes of the golf course.
- The land being used will be fully restored, in that the resource will be returned to a condition, which is at least as good as that al which existed prior to the project. The areas where temporary use is proposed will be restored to an acceptable condition upon completion of the grading. Mature trees will be avoided to the extent possible. If any mature trees require removal, they will be properly mitigated with re-planting of the appropriate tree species upon approval by GSA and M-NCPPC.

Please note that your concurrence with the above five criteria is only for determining if Section $4(f)$ applies to this temporary use of the golf course property. SHA will coordinate the temporary construction easement with GSA and M-NCPPC staff and right-of-way officials prior to the construction. The Maryland Historical Trust previously indicated their agreement with the temporary use criteria relevant to that NOL Historic District.

Should you have any further questions or concerns, please contact Mr. Darrell Sacks a (4 10) 545-8527 or dsacks@sha.state.md,us.

Very inly yours,
Ms. Cynthia D. Simpson
Deputy Director
Office of Planning and
Preliminary Engineering
-•. Bill Cries
J 650 from 1-495 to US 29
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- By:
 Mr. Donald H. Sparkling Assistant Division Chief Project Planning Division

$\qquad$


## Attachment

ce: Mr. Ken Briggs, SHA -PPD Mr. Darrell Sacks, SHA -PPD Mr. Donald Sparklin, SHA -PPD Mr. Frank Thomas, GSA



August 29, 2002

Mr. Neil Pedersen
Deputy Administrator for 'Planning \& Engincering
Maryland Department of Transporation
State Highwiay Administration
707 North Calver Street
Ballimore, Maryland 21202

## Dear Mr. Pedersen:

This letter is 10 notify you of the status of our coordination with the Maryland State Highway Administration (SHA) on the MD 650 project from 1-495 to US 29. Commission staff has been attending SHA's monthly coordination meetings on the project, and all issues elated to the White Oak Golf Course are being resolved to our satisfaction through these meelings. To date, all issues related 10 the stom waler management ponds, slopes, proposed mandscapine construction impacts and construction schedules have been coordinated and resolved to the best of our knowledge. Ongoing coordination is reguired with respeet 10 construction sequencing and utility relocation work to ensure that the golf course can remain open during construction.

The Commission is pleased with the level of cooperation and coordination that has been provided by the Maryland State Highway Administration during the course of this project. We look forward to consinued cooperation as the project proceeds into the construction phase.


Copy: Jerry Bush

## Maryland Deparment of Transportstion State Highway Administration

Partis N. Glendenting Gowrine John D. Porceat secrever Parker F. Willam

Re: Project No. M0900B2
MD 650 from 1-495 to US 29
Widening and intersection Improvement
Montgomery Coumty

## Mr. Omas N. Beysh

Assistanl Manage
US General Services Administration
National Capital Region
$3017^{*}$ Strees, SW
Washington, DC 20407-0001

## Dear Mr. Beynhe

The Maryland State Highway Administration (SHA) is proposing to widen MD 650 from approximstely $1-495$ to US 29 , in Montgomery County to provide an additional through-lane in the noribbound direction. Widening will also occur on Powder Mill Road, Mahan Road, and Lockwood Drive to accommodate turn lape improvementr. The proposed scope of work includes the installation of two stompater mangement ponds, an acceas road, inleta, and ouffall, landscaping, milling and jefurfacing existing pavement, and construction of medians, curb and gutter, bike patbe, and sidewalle.

The project also proposes to relocate Michelson Rond The felocaled Micheison Road will include two wertbound and eastbound lanes with a modian The original location of Michelson Rond will be reconstructed to be an cigh-foot bire path/zidewall facility. The project will include the relocation and reconstruction of the US 29 soutbbound on-rump, and the widening of the US 29 northbound oin-ramp. The proposed improvemente would require righ-of-way from the White Oak Golr Course property, which is within the NOL: Firtoric Dircrict and of-wed by the General Services Administration (GSA). The proposed improvements would also requirs lemporary impact to 1.89 acces of the golf course property for fine grading and require lemporary

As part of the documentation process for foderal-nid projectu under the Depertiment of Treporiation Act of 1966, SHA , on behalf of the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) must determine if the requirements of Section $4(\delta)$ apply to the semporary use of the land from the White Oak Golf Course. (Thal ia, the 1.89 acres that will be lempornily affected over and the we tequired right-of way.) The FHWA has determined that the roquirements of Section abore the requird to the remporany use of the land, when the officials with juriadiction over a A() do nol apply to the remporary use of the land, when the ofric Therefore, SHA socka your resource indicate the sigasture line (at the end of thia correspondence) that the temportivy use of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { My tolephone nuter } \\
& \text { Margland Relay Service for inpaliod Hoarng or speecen } \\
& \text { 1-600-788-22se sintuwide Toll Froe }
\end{aligned}
$$
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1.89 acces of land from the White Oak Golr Course for gading and construction access will not permanently impact the White Oak Golf Course and that:

- The duration of the use will be temporary and less than the time needed for construction of the project
The lemporary use of the golf coursehistoric district for grading in the vicinity of proposed stormwates management ponds, bike pathe, sidewallen, and access rosdis will be completed prior to the final completion of the MD 650 improvements.
- The ownership of the property will not change or recult in the retention of long term or indefinite interests in the land for tronsportation propares.
The ownership of the golf course outside of existiog and proposed SHA right-of-way will remain with the General Services Adminitration (GSA), used and maintained by the Meryland-National Capital Parke and Planning Commission (M-NCPPC).
- The scope of the work will be minot, in which the noture and magnimde of the changes to the resowree will be minimal
Temporary use of the golf course property will be required duc to prading within the vicinity of the proposed stormwater management ponda, bite paths, sidewalle, and access rosid. This will require ạn approximately 1.89 -acre of temporary casement from land owned by GSA, but used by M-NCPPC for the golr cowne aree
- There will be no anficipaied permanent adverse physical impocts, nor will there be interference with the activifies or purpases of the resowre, on either a temporary or permanent barts.
The emporary grading will not adversely impact the frond area that was crented to provide a physical and natural buffer thal preserves the virall character of be golf course. Overall, it is antieipated that there will be no interference with the activitien or purposes of the golf course.
- The land being used will be fully restored, in that the resource will be renurned to a condirion, which is at least as good as thor which existed prior to the project The areas where lemporary use is proposed will be restored to an seceptable condition upon completion of the grading. Mature trecs will be avoided to the extent poscible. If any mature trees require removal, bey will be properly mitigated with re-planting of the appropriate tree species upon approval by GSA and M-NCPPC.


## Mr. Omer N. Beyab
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Should you bave any further questions of eoncerns, please contact My. Dariell Sacks at (410) 545-8527 or dsacks@sha.siate.md.us

## Very truly youm,

Ms. Cyuhia D. Simpson
Deputy Director
Office of Planning and
Prcliminnry Engineering

By:

concurrencr:

ce: Mr. Max Axizi, FHWA Mr. Ken Briggr, SHA-PPD Mr. Bill Gries, M-NCPPC
Mr. Christian C. Lasson, SHA-ORE
Mr. J. Rodney Litue, MHT
Mr. Darrell Sacka, SHA-PPD
Mr. Donald Spatlin, SHA-PPD


Parris N. Glendening Gowinat

Maryland Department of Natural Resources
ENVIRONMENTAL REVEW

Chartes Foi
Searary
Karen M. White Dupry Sacreany 4 Gownor

Annapolis, Maryland 21401

January 10, 2003

Ms. Cynthia D. Simpson
Depury Director
Office of Planning and Preliminary Engineering
Mailsop C-301
State Highway Administration
707 North Calven Streel
Baltimore MD 21202
Dear Ms. Simpson:
This lenter is in response to the distribution for comment of the Environmental Assessmeni/Drafi Section 4(1) Evaluation for the MD 650 Project from Powder Mill Road to North of US 29, Montgomery County (Project No. MO 900B21). The Department has reviewed the subject document and has the following comments:

1. The project area includes headwater drainage ways leading to tributaries 10 the middie/lower section of Paint Branch, a regionally important natural uout stream. Paint Branch and iss tributaries are classified as Use 17 (Nanural Trout) waters above the Capital Behway, and wild trout are found at times as far down as several hundred yards downsuream of the Beliway. The Deparmem is very interested and involved in the protection and improvement of the Paint Branch trout resource. Our environmental review and fisheries staffs are available to provide general and specific information and recommendations on the protection of this sensitive resource during the furthet planning and construction of this project.
2. In the first paragraph on Page IV-16, some very imponant information is found regarding stream classifications. The referenced general classifications of Paint Branch to the east and Northwest Branch to the west are correct. We are recommending several minor edining changes: Paint Branch Branchibularies are Use 111 streams, and Northwest Branch and iribularies are Use JV streams, so the phrase "and tributaries" should be added to the rext, especially since these aie rout stream systems and special water quality protection standards will apply. The stated instream work restriction period is correct for Use II waters ( $n 0$ instream work allowed from October I through April 30 , inclusive). For Use IV waters, the restriction period is instead "no instream work from March I ihrough May 31, inclusive". The intermittent stream referenced in the text will have a Use

## ynthia Simpson <br> January 2

classification if it is a jurisdictional stream. It is our understanding that it flows to Paint Branch, so it is a Use III waterway.
3. Various review guide lines for protection of natural troun streams apply 10 both mainstem trout waters and their tributaries. One of the primary protection goals related to trout streams is to preserve the coldwater temperature regime. In general, stormwater ponds or other ponds with permanent pools are strongly discouraged im Use III watersheds. Small wet forebays and micropools are allowed in some cases for stormwater facilities, but in general the proposed stormwater facilities should be some cases for stormwater facilities, but in general the proposed slommater facinites should be preference in these watersheds.
4. Also on page JV-16, the first paragraph states that "There are no listed Wild or Scenic Rivers in the study area." Note that the Anacostia River is a designated State Scenic and Wild River, and at least one general map for the program highlights several of the major Anacostia tributaries as par of the designated Anacostia River. In any case, tributaries are referenced in the Scenic and Wild River regulations, so perhaps this paragraph should indicate that the waterways draining to bouh Paint Branch and Norhwest Branch are tributaries to the Anacostia River, a State Scenic and Wild River.
5. In the Environmental Consequences section we note that impervious surface area increases are referenced on page V.9. We strongly support minimization of new impervious sur faces in these trout water sheds. In some cases where development and associated infrastructure is desired and targeted for sensitive watersheds, it can be beneficial to consider mitigation that addresses loss of perviou's area (those areas capable of infiltrating rainfall), e either through innovative tieatment of stomwater runoff, or through creation/restoration of new pervious areas in areas which were previously impervious due to older development.
6. In Chapter 7, there is a letter dated August 10,2001 from the Environmental Review Unit which indicates thal drainages within the work area would be classified as Use ] waters. Further review has determined that the drainages would be classified either as Use m (if they drainto Paint Branch) or Use $\boldsymbol{N}$ (if they drain to Northwest Branch). Therefore, the correct information on stream classifications is as stated in the document on page $\mathrm{JV} \cdot 16$, and as clarified regarding tributaries in our item \#1 above.

If you have any questions conceming these comments, you may contact Greg Golden of my staff at 410-260-8334.

Sincerely,
Koy, Distamm, b
Ray C. Dintaman, Jr., Directo
Environmental Review Unit


Robert D. Douolass
Defurty Chief Engineer
Highway Development
State Highway Administration Baltimore, Maryland 21202

Dear Mr. Douglass:


At its regular meeting of October 25, 2001, the Monigomery County Planning Eoard reviewed the State Highway Administration's plans for improvements to New Hompshire Avenue (MD 650) between Fowder Mill Road and Colesvile Road (US 29)

The Flanning Ecard approved the project with the following comments:

1. Prepare final lendscape plans in coordiriation with staff, with perticular attention to these specific concerns:
a. Compatibility with the oofl course desion.
b. Incorporate improvement to landscaping on the west side of New Hampshire Avenue.
c. Landscape medians which are six feet in width or oreater.
d. Provide landscape panels with street trees between proposed sidewalks and the roadway wherever possible.
2. Prepare final plans in coordination with the golf course design process, including final delermination of its access road location.
3. Coordinate transit stop locations, crosswalks, and curb ramp locations in final Coordinate transit stop locations, crosswalks, and curb ramp locations in final median reluge areas, and ensure that there are sufficient pedestrian crossings.
4. In the commercial areas along New Hampshire Avenue, sidewalks should have a 3 -foot offset from the curb or be a minimum of $8^{\prime}-3^{\circ}$ wide. To the north of the White Oak Shopping Center entrance, where more right-of-way exists. the proposed sidewalk should be ofiset from the curb by eight to fourieen feet.
5. Provide sutficient lighting to meet the recommendations of the lluminating Engineering Society of North America.
6. All intersections, including -T" intersections, should be made ADA compliant.

In making trese comments the Plomning loard is seeking the integration of specific project elements, such as the lendsceping, fencing, crosswalks, lighting, transit facilities, and sidewalks to enhance the local pedestrian experience (convenience and salety). The area, carticularty in the Lockwood Road vicinity, has significant pedestrian traffic and transit dependency. The County is currently evaluating implemenlation of a new Iransit station to serve this area. With all this in mind. the Flanning Goard suggests that pedestrian facillites receive the highest priority in this project.

If you have any questions, please contact Joe Anderson with M-NCPPC Environmental Planning at 301-4650-4873.

Sincerely,

Arthur Holmes,
Chairman

AH:JA: Ss TOKChsimen LettersiLuDouglass.doc Attachment

Please Complete Your Review 8 Recommendation Before December 12， 2002
Completed Form To：Linde C．Janey，J．D．．Oirector，Maryiand State Clearinghouse lor intergovernmenitil Assastence， Maryisnd Depariment of Pianning， 301 West Presion Streat，Room1 104，Ealffitioia，MD 21201－2305 Phone：410－767－4450 Fax：410－767－4480

on：Mongornery County
ant：State Highway Administration
 through lanes intarsection \＆inerchanga improvements：raquires temporar）

Based on a Revlaw of the Intormation Piovidad，We Hava Checked $(\sigma)$ the Appropriate Determination Below

1 Ins Cons is lent with out plans，progrems，and objectives
II 1 Consisteni with the policics contained in Exacutive Order 01．01．1992．27（Maryland Economic Growth．Re source Protection， 2 and Pranning Act of 1992）．Executiva OIdel 01．01．1998．04（Smari Growth and Neightoritood Conservation Policy），and our pians，programs，and objectives．
（MHT ONLY it has been detarmined thal the project will have＇no etloc＇on hisloric properfies and that the tederal andor Siale histionk preservalon requirements have been mel． Zone Managamem Program．
IMDP ONLY $\boldsymbol{M}$ is consistent with the requid aments of Slole Finance and Procirement Aricle 5－70－02；03； 04 and 05 sman 7 Growth and Neighbortood Consemation（Prority Funding Ayess）．


In is Consistemt with out plans，prograim，and objecres．
 $6 \begin{aligned} & \text { Proceramen } \\ & \text { objectives．}\end{aligned}$
䦔者
 21 GENERALLY CONSISTENT WTH OUALIFYING COMME NTS：in in generaily
 CONTINGENT UPON CERIAIN ACTIONS：His penerair Cona）
NOT CONSISTENT： $\boldsymbol{n}$ risises problems concerning compatibility with Oun plans，programs．objectives，of Plansing ACe
visionstpolicies；of in may duplicate existing prognam acivties，as indicated in the athached commerd（s）．It a meeting whit the visions／policies；os in may duppicate existing prognam
applicant is requested．please check here：
ADDTTONAL INF ORMATION REOUESTED：Addthional intormation $k$ required to complete the review．The informaton needed is heentified below．$H$ an extension of the review period is requested，pleasa check here：
FURTMER NTEREST：Due to Aurhet ImaresVquestions conceming thin project，we lequest that the Clasinghouse set up a contarence with the applicant．
SUPPORTS：Suppons＂Smen Growh＂and F ederal Executive Order 12072 （Federal Space Managament）．which divects tederal R6 agencies to locate lacitities in urban areas．
n additional comments it necessary OR use theses spacas：PLEASE FINO ATTAEntevo
 D．AN HARD？：！

SMune spering mp zcsec

## PROJECT STATUS FORM

se complete this form and relurn it to the State Clearinghouse upon receipl of nolification that the project been approved or nol approved by the approving authority．

> Maryland Stata Clearlingho uae Martand Department of Pienning 301 West Presion Street Room 1104 Bollimore, MD $21201.230 S$

2M：
 Gownen 1 Gomer

（Name of persion completing this form．）
PHONE： （Nas Code i Pithe number）
Siate Ap plicatlon Identifiar：MD20021115－1324
Projeci Descrlpilon： Draft EASSection 4 （ 29：build allemative $=1$ 日dditional 10 ：MD 650 from Powder Mill Road to North of US 29：buite alliernative $=1$ additional north ituough ane o inversection a inierchange
improvements：requites temporary construction a shope easememm，ingh－ot－way acquisition

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 projectiplan was： | $\square$ Approved | Approved with Modificalion | $\square$ Dleapproved |


|  <br>  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a tunding（H applicable）has been approved for the period of： |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 200 |
| deral 5： | Local S： | Slate \＄： | Oiher 3： |




mL Entrat, j.
Gowner
L. Gomerwor

Maryland Department of Planning

Ma nthia D. Simpso
lanuary 28, 2003
Page

The Maryland Deparment ot Housing and Communiry Devclopment, including the Maryland Historical Toust the Trusi) found this project to be generilly consisteni with their plans, programs, and objectives. but included cerlioin qualing comments. The Trust has detcrmined that the project will have "no adverse effect" on historic properties,
aneme have heen mel.
The Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission in Montsomerty Counn (the Commission) suggested that planning coordination would be appropriate between that the Applicant and the Conmmission stop locations, crosswanks, and curb ramp locationa. See the atrached letter following: final landseape plans, transit he anached jetres

Any stalement of consideration given to the commentsts) aherld be submited to the approving a uthority, corre spondence peraining to this project. The Sutre Aplelication Identifer Number mur be placed on ary authority eannot accommodate the recommendation.

Please remember, you must conply with all applicable state and locel lewn aid or have questons, coniact he Slate Cleainghouse staff person noted above 1410.767 4490 you need assistance brosenhushemdp.state.md.us. Also plessec complete pe and noted sbove or 410.767 -4ngo or trough e-mail al Clearinghonse as soon as the status of the project is known
eApplication Jlentifier Number. Thls will edspre that ony Nives are complete.
Thank you for your cooperation with the MIRC process.

LCJ:BR

- indicmea enclosequs
ar: Joree Valladeres-MNCPPCM Kubym Omain - MRT Knbym Oroar - DHCD
Scon Reilly - MTGM

James Ging - DBED Roane Muelile - MDE
Ronald SplCing - MDOT:

02./339.ces.der

Review comments were requested from the Maryland Departments of Busincss and Economic Developpent Housing and Communix Development, includine the Marvland Historical Truss, Natural Resources, the Envinonment. Iransporiation, the Maryland Military Department, Monisomer Counc Marviand. . . ananal Capita date, the Maryland Deparment of the Envitonment has not submined comments. This recommend is contingent upon the Applicant considering and addressing nyy problens or conditions than may be their review. Any comments received will be forwarded any problerns or conditions thal may be idemified by
re Maryland Deparments of Business and Eennomic Development, Nonoral Resources, and Transponarion; ot
 Commisgipn in Montromety Country and be Mandand Deparment of Plannine found thia project to be consistent with thei plans, programs, and objectives.

January 28, 2003

Ma. Cynthia D. Simpson
Depury Director
Offree of Planning and Preliminary Engineering
State Bighway Administration
Msilstop C-301
707 North Calven Streel
STATECLEARINGROUSERECOMMENDATION
Statc Appllestion Jdedifiser: MD20021115-1324
Applleant: State Highwoy Adminisuation
necr Description: Drafi EASection 4(f) Evaluation: MD 650 from Powder Mill Road to North of US 29 build aletmative $=1$ sdditional north itrough lane \& intersection \& interchange improvements: requires iemporary construction \& slope casements, \& right-of-way acquisition
Project Loeation: Monigomery County
Approving Authority: U.S. Department of Transpornation
CFDAN Alar. None
(Hedse Federa): \$16,671,517.00 State: $\$ 0.00$ Local: $\$ 0.00$ Other: $\$ 0.00$

Des Ms. Simpson:
In accordance with Presidential Executive Order 12372 and Code of Maryland Regulation 14.24.04, the State Clearinghouse has coordinated the integovernmental review of the referenced project. This lette, with . Hochments, constinues the State process review and recommendation based upon cormenens received to date. This commendation is valid for a period of thece years fom the date of this lever.



United States Deparment of the Interior

Ms. Cynthla Simpson
Deputy Director
Office of Planning and Preliminary, Engineering
Mail Stop C-301
State Highway Administration
707 North Caiven Street
Baltimore, Maryland 2:1202.

## Dear Ms. Simpson:

The Department of the Interior (Department) has reviewed the Environmenta) Assessment (EA) and Draft Section 4() Evaluatlon for MD 650 Widening, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29, Montgomery County, Maryland. The Department offers the foliowing comments for your consideration.

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The proposed project is 10 improve fraffic operations for vehlcles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road from Chalmers Road to north of US 29. The project will include provlsions for improving clrculation of vehicles and pedestrians to and from the Food and Drug Administration headquarters facility, which is currently under construction The Section 4 (f) property is the White Oak Public Goif Course.: The golf course is the Secion (i) pr for public use through a lease agreement between the Genera Services Administration and the Maryland-Natlonal Capital Park and Planning Commission.

## ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENF COMMEṄTS

Wetiands will not be affected by either the Build or the No Build Alternatives. Wetiands wiil not be affected by einer ine Buid of the site, and therefore will not be Threatened or endangered species do not exist at the site, and therefore will not be affected by either the Build or No Build Alternatives. The No Build Altemaive would affect aquatic wildiife. The Build Afternaitive would add. The quality and quantity of storm water discharged would not have any adverse effect on the exlsting streams, or aquatic wildlife.

## -2-

SECTION 4(f) EVALUATION COMMENTS
The project will permanently take approximately eight acres of public park land that is currently used for active recreation and convent that land to road right-of-way. However, the golf course wili be redesigned so that it continues to function es a ninehole golf course. Project mitigation includes the addition of trees and shnubs within the golf course and around the storm water management ponds. The goll course is a contributing eiement in the Naval Ordinance Laboratory Historic District. The State Historic Preservation Otricer (SHPO) has concurred with the State Highway
Administration's (SHA) determination that the proposed project will not adversely affect the Historic District. The SHPO has also concurred that the two acress of temporary construction impacts are not subject to the provisions of Section 4 ( $)$.

The Section 4(i) Evaluation does not include a determination by the SHA regarding feasible and prudent aitematives. Perhaps this is an oversight. Nevertheless, the Department would concur with a determination by SHA that there are no,teasible and prudent athematives to the proposed project, if project objeotives are to be met. The Department would also concur that ali possible pianning needed to minimize harm to the Section $4(f)$ resource has been employed within Aiternative 2, the Build Ahemative.

We appreclate the opportunity to provide these comments.


Wille R. Taylor
Dlrector, Office of Environmental Policy and Compliance

APPENDIX A. FEASIBILITY AND REASONABLENESS WORKSHEET SUMMARY

| A |  | NSA 1 |  | NSA 2 |  | NSA 3 |  | NSA 4 |  | NSA 5 |  | NSA 6 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Y | N | $\bar{Y}$ | N | Y | N | Y | N | Y | N | Y | N |
| 1 | Noise levels can be reduced by $7-10 \mathrm{dBA}$ (minium 3 dBA at impacted residences. | Not Calculated |  | Not Calculated |  | Not Calculated |  | Not Impacted |  | Not Calculated |  | Not Calculated |  |
| 2 | Construction of a sound barrier will restrict pedestrian or vehicular access. | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 3 | Construction of a sound barrier will cause safety or maintenace problems. |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 4 | Sound barriers can be constructed given topography, drainage, utilities, etc. | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 5 | There are non-highway noise sources that would reduce barrier effectiveness. |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |
| REASONABLENESS CRITERIA |  | NSA 1 |  | NSA 2 |  | NSA 3 |  | NSA 4 |  | NSA 5 |  | NSA 6 |  |
|  |  | Y | N | Y | N | $Y$ | N | Y | N | Y | N | Y | N |
| 1 | Majority of impacted receptors will receive a 7 dBA or greater noise reduction. | Not Calculated |  | Not Calculated |  | Not Calculated |  | Not Impacted |  | Not Calculated |  | Not Calculated |  |
| 2 | $75 \%$ or more of impacted and benefited residents approve of the proposed noise abatement. ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | A 3 dBA or greater change in design year build noise levels over design year no build noise levels is expected to result from the proposed action. |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 3a | The cumulative effects of highway improvements in the design year build noise levels, at receptors that existed when prior improvements were made is equal to or greater than 3 dBA. |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ | Not Calculated |  |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 3b | Noise levels equal or exceed 72 dBA at impacted receptors. |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 4 | The cost of noise abatement is equal to or less than $\$ 50,000$ per residence, impacted and benefited. | Not Calculated |  | Not Calculated |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | Not Calculated |  | Not Calculated |  |
| 5 | Noise barriers will have a negative visual/aesthetic impact (size and appearance). |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 6 | Noise barrier will have an adverse impact on Section 4(f) resource. |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |  |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |  | $\checkmark$ |
| 7 | There are local controls on noise sensitive development adjacent to state highways. | $J$ |  | $\sqrt{ }$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |
| 8 | There are special circumstances, i.e. historical/cultural significance at this NSA. |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  | $\checkmark$ |

${ }^{1}$ To be determined during final design.

## APPENDIX A. ISA PROPERTIES - ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERNS AND POTENTIAL CONTAMINATION VALUES

| ISA Properties | Reason for Concern | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Potential Contamination } \\ \text { Values } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | High | Medium | Low |
| Site 1 <br> 1,500 feet South of I-495 at Building 502 | - Emergency Response Notification System <br> - No other information found <br> - Unknown quantity and substance | X |  |  |
| Site 2 <br> ADEC White Oak <br> 10905 New Hampshire Ave <br> Silver Spring, MD 20904 | - Small Quantity Hazardous Waste Generator <br> - No reported violations <br> - Unknown nature of business | X |  |  |
| Site 3 <br> Adelphia Laboratory Center 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphia, MD 20783 <br> Harry Diamond Laboratory 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphia, MD 20783 | - State Hazardous Waste Site (MD-068) <br> - 13 violations reported <br> - Large Quantity Hazardous Waste Generator <br> - Transport, Storage, Disposal Facility <br> - Six reported UST closures <br> - It is required that UST removal/abandonment be conducted according to COMAR 26.10.10.02 and COMAR 26.10.10.03 <br> - Two LUSTs with monitoring well sampling <br> - Unknown nature of material in UST <br> - No MDE file exists for these facilities, additional inquiry is warranted | X |  |  |
| Site 4 <br> Amoco Station \#766 <br> 10226 New Hampshire Ave <br> Silver Spring, MD 20903 | - Four 10,000 gallon gasoline USTs currently in use <br> - Currently used USTs are about Five years old <br> - One 10,000 gallon gasoline UST Removed <br> - One 8,000 gallon gasoline UST removed <br> - Two 6,000 gallon gasoline USTs removed <br> - One 550 gallon heating oil UST removed <br> - Verification with MDE records confirmed no contamination and proper closure on 6-12-95 <br> - It is required that UST removal/abandonment be conducted according to COMAR 26.10.10.02 and COMAR 26.10.10.03 |  |  | X |
| Site 5 <br> Bell Atlantic <br> 11300 Columbia Ave <br> Silver Spring, MD 20904 | - Unknown size UST for heating oil removed/abandoned <br> - It is required that UST removal/abandonment be conducted according to COMAR 26.10.10.02 and COMAR 26.10.10.03 |  |  | X |
| Site 6 <br> Brookview Elementary School 1100 Corliss Street Silver Spring, MD 20903 | - 8,000 gallon heating oil UST currently in use <br> - 6,000 gallon heating oil UST permanently out of use <br> - It is required that UST removal/abandonment be conducted according to COMAR 26.10.10.02 and COMAR 26.10.10.03 |  |  | X |


| ISA Properties | Reason for Concern | Potential Contamination Values |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | High | Medium | Low |
| Site 7 <br> Center for the Handicapped 10501 New Hampshire Ave Silver Spring, MD 20903 <br> Hillandale Elementary School 10501 New Hampshire Ave Silver Spring, MD 20903 | - 6,000 gallon Heating Oil UST <br> - Tank was cleaned, pumped and filled with cement, no signs of contamination in down gradient monitoring well <br> - Verification with MDE records confirmed no contamination and proper closure on 6-29-95 <br> - It is required that UST removal/abandonment be conducted according to COMAR 26.10.10.02 and COMAR 26.10.10.03 |  |  | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| Site 8 Chateau Apartments 9727 Mount Pisgah Road Silver Spring, MD 20903 | - 8,000 gallon heating oil UST currently in use <br> - Two 20,000 gallon heating oil USTs permanently out of use <br> - It is required that UST removal/abandonment be conducted according to COMAR 26.10.10.02 and COMAR 26.10.10.03 |  |  | X |
| Site 9 Coca Cola Bottling Company 1710 Elton Road Silver Spring, MD 20903 | - Reported release from UST, no other information about release found <br> - 5,000 gallon UST permanently out of service <br> - One 8,000 gallon diesel UST permanently out of service <br> - Two 4,000 gallon gasoline USTs permanently out of service <br> - It is required that UST removal/abandonment be conducted according to COMAR 26.10.10.02 and COMAR 26.10.10.03 |  | X |  |
| Site 10 <br> Continental Petroleum 11526 Stewart Lane Silver Spring, MD 20904 <br> Montgomery White Oak Apartments 11400 Stewart Lane 11526 Stewart Lane 11530 Lockwood Drive Silver Spring, MD 20904 <br> Graey Management 11525 Stewart Lane Silver Spring, MD 20904 | - Documented release and cleanup <br> - No other information available <br> - Information inconsistency with' Montgomery White Oak Apartments <br> - 10,000 gallon heating oil UST at each location <br> - 8,000 gallon heating oil UST at 11400 <br> - Closed UST <br> - No other information available <br> - It is required that UST removal/abandonment be conducted according to COMAR 26.10.10.02 and COMAR 26.10.10.03 | X |  |  |
| Site 11 <br> Cresthaven Elementary School 1234 Cresthaven Drive Silver Spring, MD 20903 | - 10,000 gallon heating oil UST out of service <br> - It is required that UST removal/abandonment be conducted according to COMAR 26.10.10.02 and COMAR 26.10.10.03 |  |  | X |
| Site 12 <br> Dale Cleaners <br> 10216 New Hampshire Ave <br> Silver Spring, MD 20903 | - Conditionally Exempt Small Quantity Generator <br> - No recorded violations |  | X |  |



| ISA Properties | Reason for Concern | Potential ContaminationValues |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | High | Medium | Low |
| Site 18 <br> George Meany Center for Labor Studies 10000 New Hampshire Ave Silver Spring, MD 20903 | - 15,000 gallon, 6,000 and 1,500 gallon heating oil USTs currently in use <br> - 500 gallon heating oil UST permanently out of use <br> - It is required that UST removal/abandonment be conducted according to COMAR 26.10.10.02 and COMAR 26.10.10.03 |  | X |  |
| Site 19 <br> Hillandale Fire Station 10617 New Hampshire Ave Silver Spring, MD 20903 | - Reported release and cleanup of oil/water separator overflow case closed on 2-18-93 <br> - Unknown capacity UST currently in use <br> - It is required that UST removal/abandonment be conducted according to COMAR 26.10.10.02 and COMAR 26.10.10.03 <br> - Verification with MDE records indicate proper Closure of USTs on 6-15-99 |  |  | X |
| Site 20 <br> Hillandale Shopping Center 1701 Elton Street Silver Spring, MD 20903 | - Unknown UST <br> - No records found at MDE for this facility | X |  |  |
| Site 21 <br> Interstate 495 and MD 650 <br> Silver Spring, MD | - Reported release to the Emergency Response Notification System <br> - No Records found at MDE for this incident | X |  |  |
| Site 22 <br> Oak Hills Apartments 11497 Columbia Pike Silver Spring, MD 20904 | - Heating oil UST <br> - Documented release and cleanup <br> - 15,000 and 20,000 gallon USTs documented as abandoned <br> - It is required that UST removal/abandonment be conducted according to COMAR 26.10.10.02 and COMAR 26.10.10.03 |  | X |  |
| Site 23 <br> Outer loop of I-495 exit to South MD 650 | - Emergency Response Notification System <br> - No other information found <br> - Unknown quantity and materials <br> - No information found at MDE for this incident | X |  |  |
| Site 24 <br> PEPCO Spill <br> 11207 Lockwood Drive <br> Silver Spring, MD 20901 | - Documented release and cleanup <br> - No other information available <br> - No information found at MDE for this incident | X |  |  |
| Site 25 <br> Safety Kleen Corporation 12164 Technology Road Silver Spring, MD 20904 | - 27 reported violations at the site <br> - Small Quantity Hazardous Waste Generator <br> - No information found at MDE | X |  |  |




| ISA Properties | Reason for Concern |  | Potential Contamination |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Values |  |  |  |
|  |  | High | Medium | Low |  |
| Site 38 <br> Custom Tailor and Dry <br> Cleaning <br> 11201A Lockwood Drive <br> Silver Spring, MD 20904 | $\bullet$ No information in database search | X |  |  |  |
| Site 39 <br> 11215 Oak Leaf Drive <br> Silver Spring, MD 20901 | $\bullet$ |  |  |  |  |
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## RECORD OF CONVERSATION

CALL MADE TO:

| Mr. | Bill | Barron |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Firstle | Last |

REPRESENTING: | Maryland-National Capital Park and Planning Commission |
| :--- |
| (M-NCPPC) |

FROM (Caller): Jessica Brado, MT/A

PHONE:
(301) 495-4556

| Area |
| :--- | :--- |
| Code |

DATE:
March 12, 2002
TIME: $\underline{\text { 2:00 p.m. }}$

## Summary of Conversation:

Ms. Jessica Brado spoke to Mr. Bill Barron about Holly Hall, the potential elderly, low-income community. He verified that it is run by the Housing Opportunities Commission (HOC), and that it probably would be considered low-income compared to the rest of Montgomery County, although it is not your typical low-income community. He suggested that it still be included as an environmental justice (EJ) community.

Mr. Barron identified a minority and low-income community in White Oak behind White Oak Shopping Center on both sides of Lockwood Drive (which includes the White Oak Apartments that are located within the study area). This community, as a whole, is referred to by the County as the April/Stuart Lane Community and is sometimes called the Garden Apartments. There are about ten different developments within this area. It consists of three or four, three-story buildings, and has both an African-American and Hispanic population, but mainly Hispanic according to Mr. Barron. He said that there are approximately 3600 units with a population estimated at 6,000 people. The April/Stuart Lane Community is well outside the proposed alternative's right-of-way.

Mr. Barron also provided Ms. Brado with another community to add to the community inventory, other than the above identified as minority and low-income. This community is not an EJ community, and is called "North White Oak". It consists of single-family detached homes, and is located in the middle of the new development is going in north of US 29 (former Heartfields Retirement Community...now bought out by Sunrise).

RECORD OF CONVERSATION

CALL MADE TO:

| Ms. | Vanessa | Floyd |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | First | Last |

REPRESENTING: $\qquad$
Holly Hall
FROM (Caller): Jessica Brado, MT/A

PHONE: $\quad \frac{301-439-0900}{$|  Area  |
| :--- |
|  Code  |$\quad \text { Number }}$

DATE:
11-06-02
TIME: 1:45pm

## Summary of Conversation:

I provided Ms. Vanessa Floyd with the reason for my call, which was to notify various communities along New Hampshire Avenue from south of Powder Mill Road to north of US 29, about the upcoming Informational Public Meeting on November 25, 2002. She referred me to Ms. Ursela Brinkley, Resident Counselor for Holly Hall (301-439-8652). I informed Ms. Brinkley that we would like to encourage her and residents of the community to attend the meeting. I asked Ms. Brinkley if she thought it would be helpful for SHA to provide a presentation to them or set up an information stand in the lobby for residents to visit. She suggested that she look at the brochure first to see if it would spark enough interest for a presentation. I gave her my name and phone number to call back if she would like to request a presentation.

Ms. Brinkley called back to request a presentation based on interest from the residents of Holly Hall. A meeting was scheduled for January 22, 2003.

Mabil.and Difpalztament of Transportation
Robert L. Ehrlich. Jr., Governor - Michael S. Steele, Lt. Governor - Trent M. Kittleman, Acting Secretary

## MEMORANDUM

TO:
Ms. Cynthia Simpson
Deputy Director
Office of Planning and
Preliminary Engineering
FROM:
Darrell Sacks


Environmental Manager
Project Planning Division
DATE:
February 6, 2003
SUBJECT:

RE:
Project No. MO900B21
MD 650 from Powder Mill Road to North of US 29
Montgomery County, Maryland
Holly Hall Community Meeting Minutes - January 22, 2003

Ms. Pamela McNicholas from McCormick Taylor and Associates Inc. (MT/A), thanked everyone for attending and gave a brief introduction about the purpose of the meeting, which was to present the Maryland State Highway Administration's (SHA) MD 650 improvements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29. She introduced MT/A staff members, Mr. Dick Evans and Ms. Jessica Brado. Ms. Ursela Brinkley, Resident Counselor, along with several residents of Holly Hall, attended the meeting.

Mr. Dick Evans began the PowerPoint presentation by providing an overview of the MD 650 project. A map of the entire study area displaying the improvements to MD 650 was posted on the wall for reference. In addition, copies of the November 25, 2002 Informational Public Meeting brochure and the Environmental Assessment/Draft Section 4(f) Evaluation were available at the meeting. Mr. Evans covered the following topics: purpose of the project, project need, public involvement/LABQUEST Community Partnership, and alternatives considered.
$\qquad$

Mr. Evans explained improvements at each of the following areas:

- Northbound MD 650
- MD 650/Mahan Drive Intersection
- MD 650/Powder Mill Road Intersection
- MD 650/Relocated Michelson Road Intersection
- MD 650/Lockwood Drive Intersection
- MD 650/White Oak Shopping Center
- US 29/MD 650 Interchange

Mr. Evans proceeded to discuss pedestrian amenities and landscaping. Ms. McNicholas then reviewed the environmental impacts associated with the project and referenced the environmental documentation prepared. Mr. Evans concluded the presentation by summarizing the project schedule, including the upcoming construction schedule to begin in summer 2003. Following the presentation, Holly Hall residents shared their comments about the project. The following is a summary of the comments received:

## General Comments:

- Vehicles traveling on northbound MD 650 often make U-turns at the Powder Mill Road intersection to get onto southbound MD 650 (and the ramps to I-495).
- Large trucks often use the Holly Hall entrance driveway from Powder Mill Road as a turn-around. Trucks heading northbound on MD 650 turn left onto Powder Mill Road (into the Holly Hall driveway), turn around in the driveway, and head onto southbound MD 650. The trucks realize they cannot make a U-turn at that location so the Holly Hall entrance driveway is used as a turn-around to get onto southbound MD 650. (The project team noted that traffic signage might help 10 alleviate this problem as well as local law enforcement.)
- It is difficult to turn left out of Powder Mill Road from the Holly Hall complex. The traffic signal is not long enough, and cars often must sit through multiple traffic signals.
- There is a signalization problem at MD 650 and Powder Mill Road. The light is not timed long enough for pedestrians to cross MD 650 at Powder Mill Road. This crosswalk is very dangerous. Longer pedestrian walk times are needed. (The project team will forward concerns 10 the traffic engineers regarding lighting for pedestrian crosswalks. Signal timing comments will be forwarded on 10 Pete Campanides at SHA, District 3.)
- An issue was raised regarding the buses picking up and dropping off residences at the Holly Hall complex. There is not enough room around the perimeter of the concrete island for buses to turn around. The buses consistently are forced to drive on the outer edge of the concrete island because the turing radius is not large enough to accommodate buses. (The team will contact Bob Simpson at Montgomery County about bus issue.)


Mabliando Department of Transiohitation
Robert L. Ehrlich, Jr., Governor - Michael S. Steele, Lt. Governor - Trent M. Kitleman, Acting Secretary

February 7, 2003

Re: Project No. MO900B21
MD 650 from Powder Mill Road to
North of US 29
Montgomery County, Maryland
Ms. Ursela Brinkley
Resident Counselor
Holly Hall Apartments
10110 New Hampshire Ave.
Silver Spring MD 20903

Dear Ms. Brinkley,
The Maryland State Highway Administration (SHA) would like to thank you and the residents of Holly Hall for attending the Holly Hall Community Meeting on January 22, 2003. SHA appreciates your comments regarding the MD 650 (New Hampshire Avenue) improvements, from Powder Mill Road to north of US 29.

As discussed at the meeting, the purpose of the project is to improve traffic operations for vehicles using MD 650 at Powder Mill Road and from Chalmers Road to north of US 29. The project will consider provisions for improving circulation of traffic and pedestrians to and from the proposed Food and Drug Administration (FDA) facility at the former White Oak Naval Ordinance Laboratory. The project will also consider adequate vehicular, pedestrian, and bicycle access to existing and planned activity
$\qquad$

centers, including commercial sites. Your comments have been noted and are summarized below. A response is provided following each comment.

Comment: Vehicles traveling on northbound MD 650 often make U-turns at the Powder Mill Road intersection to get onto southbound MD 650 (and the ramps to I-495).

Response: This situation has been discussed with a traffic engineer at SHA who works in the SHA-District 3 Office of Traffic. It appears that confusing signs south of the MD 650/1-495 interchange cause the problem. Vehicles desiring to go south on I-495 often miss the signed turn from northbound MD 650 and must perform the U-turn at Powder Mill Road to access the southbound I-495 ramp. Because the problem is beyond the limits of this design project, it has been brought to the attention of the SHA District Engineer for corrective action.

Comment: Large trucks often use the Holly Hall entrance driveway from Powder Mill Road as a turn-around. Trucks heading northbound on MD 650 turn left onto Powder Mill Road (into the Holly Hall driveway) turn around in the driveway and head onto southbound MD 650. The truck drivers realize they cannot make a U-turn at that location, so the Holly Hall entrance driveway is used as a turn-around to get onto southbound MD 650.

Response: The project team noted that traffic signage as well as local law enforcement might help to alleviate this problem. The team will contact Montgomery County Department of Public Works and Transportation (DPW\&T) about this issue.

Comment: It is difficult to turn left out of Powder Mill Road from the Holly Hall complex. The traffic signal is not long enough, and cars often sit through multiple traffic signals.

Response: By copy of this letter to the Montgomery County DPW\&T - Division of Traffic and Parking Services, SHA is informing them of this concern. The address is 101 Monroe Street, $11^{\text {th }}$ Floor, Rockville, Maryland 20850.

Ms. Ursela Brinkley
MD 650
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Comment: There is a signalization problem at MD 650 and Powder Mill Road. The light is not timed long enough for pedestrians to cross MD 650 at Powder Mill Road. This crosswalk is very dangerous. Longer pedestrian walk times are needed.

Response: The project team will forward these concern to SHA's District 3 traffic engineers regarding lighting for pedestrian crosswalks. Signal timing comments will be forwarded to Pete Campanides at SHA, District 3 and the Montgomery County DPW\&T. For more information on pedestrian signals, visit http://www.dpwt.com/ped.

Comment: An issue was raised regarding buses picking up and dropping off residents at the Holly Hall complex. There is not enough room around the perimeter of the concrete island for buses to turn around. The buses consistently are forced to drive on the outer edge of the concrete island because the turning radius is not large enough to accommodate buses.

Response: This should be discussed with the management and ownership of Holly Hall because the circle is on private property.

The SHA has reviewed all comments and will continue to investigate the feasibility of addressing your comments. We look forward to keeping you informed about our progress. Thank you for your interest.

Very Truly Yours,

Donald H. Sparklin
Assistant Division Chief
Project Planning Division

## By:


cc: Mr. Kenneth Briggs, SHA, Highway Design
Mr. Peter Campanides, SHA District 3 Office of Traffic
Montgomery County DPWT, 101 Monroe Street, $11^{\text {th }}$ Floor, Rockville, MD 20850
Mr. Dana P. Knight, McCormick, Taylor, and Associates
Ms. Vanessa Floyd, Holly Hall Apartments
Mr. Charlie Watkins, SHA District 3

## RECORD OF CONVERSATION

## CALL MADE TO:

| Ms. | Michele | Schively |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | First | Last |

REPRESENTING: White Oak Apartments

FROM (Caller):
Jessica Brado, MT/A

## PHONE:

$\frac{301-622-4048}{\substack{\text { Area } \\ \text { Code }}}$

DATE:

$$
11-06-02
$$

TIME: 1:30 pm

## Summary of Conversation:

I provided Ms. Schively (goes by Mickey) with the reason for my call, which was to notify various communities along New Hampshire Avenue from south of Powder Mill Road to north of US 29, about the upcoming Informational Public Meeting on November 25, 2002. She asked what the meeting was about, and I explained that SHA is proposing transportation improvements needed on New Hampshire Avenue for the FDA consolidation at the White Oak site. Ms. Schively had not received anything in the mail, nor did she see anything in the paper. So, she gave me her address to confirm with our mailing list. I informed her that we would like to encourage her and residents of the community to attend. She would like us to verify that mailouts/brochures/meeting announcements, etc. are sent to her boss at Grady Management who also runs the Montgomery White Oak, Paint Branch, Villa Nova, and Yorkshire Communities, along with White Oak Apartments. Ms. Schively would also like to receive these mail-outs directly. I have confirmed that the following addresses are on our project mailing list:

Michele Schively
11434 Lockwood Drive
Silver Spring, MD 20904

Bert Thompson
Grady Management
Montgomery Center Building
8630 Fenton Street, Suite 625
Silver Spring, MD 20910

I asked Ms. Schivley if she thought it would be helpful for SHA to provide a presentation to them or set up an information stand in the lobby for residents to visit. She suggested that she look at the brochure first to see if it would spark enough interest for a presentation. I gave her my name and phone number to call back if she would like to request a presentation. Extra copies of the brochure were hand-delivered to Ms. Schively before the public meeting, at which time she confirmed that she had previously received the brochure via mail.

## RECORD OF COORDINATION

E-MAIL MADE TO:

| Ms. | Jennifer | Martin |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | First |  |

REPRESENTING: McCormick, Taylor \& Associates (MT/A)

FROM: Mr. Rob Klein
REPRESENTING: Montgomery County Department of Public Works \& Transportation (DPW\&T)
EMAIL: rob.klein@co.mo.md.us

DATE:
01-03-2003 TIME: 9:11 a.m.

## Summary of Coordination:

I coordinated with Montgomery County Department of Public Works and Transportation (DPW\&T) regarding the proposed White Oak Transit Center. The following information was obtained from Montgomery County DPW\&T's consultant, DMJM Harris Consultants, and was confirmed by DPW\&T, Mr. Klein:

The Montgomery County DPW\&T confirmed that they completed a two-year study to determine the feasibility of locating a transit center in the White Oak Shopping Center. The study expanded on the 1997 White Oak Master Plan recommendation to look at other potentially feasible sites in the White Oak area. The prototype for technical analysis as the study began was a facility with 500 parking spaces and six bus bays. As the study progressed, a decision was made by Montgomery County DPW\&T to delay study of improvements to meet longer term transit needs, including the potential for a large-scale facility in the White Oak Shopping Center, and instead focus on improvements to meet short term needs, specifically improvements to heavily used bus stops in the vicinity of New Hampshire Avenue and Lockwood Drive. There are no immediate plans to reinitiate a study of longer term transit needs. The transit study changed in scope because of community opposition to a long term facility, anticipated opposition by the White Oak Shopping Center owner, the need to coordinate with plans on the FDA campus and the strong need for short term improvements. The final study report presents a proposed facility program for the bus stop improvements.


[^0]:    * With the exception of the White Oak Shopping Center, the impacts presented for SHA's Selected Alternative are the same as those presented in the EA/Draft Section $4(f)$ Evaluation. The impacts presented in the EA/Draft Section $4(f)$ Evaluation were $2.41,0.11$ and 0.65 acres for temporary easement, slope easement and ROW, respectively.
    ** These numbers refer to violations of the State and National Ambient Air Quality Standards.
    *** Costs have increased since submittal of the EA/Draft Section $4(f)$ Evaluation. Costs as presented in the EA were $\$ 2.21$ million (ROW), $\$ 1.5$ million (Engineering) and $\$ 12.96$ million (construction), for a total of $\$ 16.67$ million.

[^1]:    - Alternative 1 (NoBuild)

[^2]:    No matter what others say 1, I LIKE the BIKEPATH; Bothof Them!!
    Pernaps anding the 4 Hh lenf to the $29 / 650$ clowerleaf could helo
     DPlease add my/our name(s) to the Maling tist. foct that ED 4 pecopt are çoing to hare
    $\square$ Please delete my/our name(s) fom the Malling Ust. Lefy on 29 South)

    - Persons who have received a copy of this brochure through the mall are already on
    --t the project Malling List

[^3]:    Mr. Hugh S. Pettis
    10260 New Hampshire A venue
    Silver Spring MD 20903

