Public Hearings - Betterton - Public Comment 1990 MSA_S1830-72

BEFORE THE STATE OF MARYLAND

CRITICAL AREAS COMMISSION 1 2 IN THE MATTER OF: 3 HEAR PUBLIC COMMENT AS REQUIRED UNDER 4 SECTION 8-1809 OF THE CRITICAL AREA LAW. 5 6 Tuesday, January 30, 1990 7 Pursuant to Notice, the above-entitled hearing 8 was held before KATHLEEN LANGNER, COMMISSION MEMBER for 9 the Critical Areas Commission at Town Hall, 3rd Avenue, 10 Betterton, Maryland 21610, commencing at 7:30 p.m., 11 there being present: 12 CRITICAL AREAS COMMISSION MEMBERS: 13 THOMAS JARVIS, Caroline County WILLIAM CORKRAN, Calvert County 14 ALSO PRESENT: 15 CARLYN C. SORGE, Mayor MARGO TURNER 16 JOHN E. NUNN, III CATHY E. ROBERTS, BOARD MEMBER 17 C. "PAT" MORRIS, BOARD MEMBER DONALD L. OWENS, BOARD MEMBER 18 19 REPORTED BY: MARVIN W. GATZ, NOTARY PUBLIC



20

21

Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Baltimore, Washington and Annapolis

PROCEEDINGS

MS. LANGNER: I'd like to call the hearing to order and welcome you all.

I'd like to introduce Bill Corkran, on my left, who is from Calvert County and Tom Jarvis, on my right, who is from Caroline County. And I'm Kay Languer and I'm from Cecil County.

The purpose of the hearing is to hear public comment as required under Section 8-1809 of the Critical Area Law. The Commission must then make a decision on the amendment within 90 days after it is submitted to the Critical Areas Commission.

A court reporter, on my right, is recording the hearing, which will be used to help the Commission to render a decision.

The record will be held open for one week for any written testimony, and if anyone would like the address, they may see me or Pat and we'll give them that.

Now, if Mr. Nunn would give us a short synopsis of the amendment.



Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Baltimore, Washington and Annapolis

MR. NUNN: Okay.

The amendment to the Betterton Critical Area's Program, which is the purpose of this hearing, was brought about as a result of a review of the current Betterton ordinance and the opinion of the attorney general that there was a problem with the way of Betterton's amendment process and the amendment process for the ordinance and the process for growth allocation, in that what happened under the old ordinance was that the mayor and council were not required to make a decision, either yes or no, on a project before submitting it to the Critical Areas Commission.

The purpose of these amendments dealing with the program, dealing specifically with growth allocation and the amendment process, these amendments were proposed by the Critical Areas Commission staff -- well, the Critical Area staff. They've been reviewed by the planning commission and been recommended by the planning commission in the form that they are in resolution 90-1. And, essentially, what this does is it requires the mayor and council to make a decision on an application



Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Baltimore, Washington and Annapolis

for growth allocation prior to submitting the application to the Critical Areas Commission for their review and for their either approval or disapproval of the project.

This, in effect, closes the problem that was felt by the Critical Areas Commission with respect to the Betterton ordinance, because Betterton, prior to this amendment, did not require a vote by the mayor and council on amendments to the Critical Areas Program or on applications for growth allocation. That's the first part of this resolution.

The second part of the resolution deals with the number of typographical errors that are listed page by page, that were found in the ordinance after review by the staff. And those changes have been made. And the attached pages that would be substituted after the changes have been made are attached to the resolution.

I don't think that it's really -- they're not substitute changes, they're changes that should have been picked up, perhaps, on editorial, and typographical changes.



Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Baltimore, Washington and Annapolis But the main purpose and the main reason for this hearing is to amend our growth allocation and our amendment process, so that there will be a decision made by the mayor and council in each instance, with respect to an application for growth allocation or a process to amend the Critical Areas Ordinance and the zones. So that's what it entails.

And through the cooperation with the Critical Areas Commission, we are holding a joint hearing to kind of try to expedite things in this case.

MS. LANGNER: Is there anyone who has any comments? Anyone who would like to speak?

Well, hearing no comments, I would just like to say again that you do have one week to send any written testimony, if you would like to, to the Critical Areas Commission.

And I guess the hearing is adjourned, unless you have anything?

MR. CORKRAN: I have nothing.

MR. JARVIS: No, I have nothing.

MS. LANGNER: All right. Our hearing is then



Court Reporting and Litigation Support Serving Baltimore, Washington and Annapolis



CERTIFICATE OF NOTARY

I, MARVIN W. GATZ, the officer before whom the foregoing testimony was taken, do hereby certify that the testimony that appears in the foregoing transcript was taken by me by magnetic tape and thereafter reduced to typewriting by me or under my direction; that said testimony is a true record of the testimony given; that I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this testimony was given; and, further, that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.

MARVIN W. GATZ

Notary Public in and for the State of Maryland

My Commission Expires:

