Public Hearings - Kent County - Text Amendment 1989- MSA\_51830-64



## CRITICAL AREAS COMMISSION

KENT COUNTY TEXT AMENDMENT

This matter came before the public on April 20, 1989, at 7:32 p.m.

# RECEIVED

MAY 10 1989

DNR CRITICAL AREA COMMISSION

## FREE STATE REPORTING INC.



# 

# $\underline{\underline{I}} \ \underline{\underline{N}} \ \underline{\underline{D}} \ \underline{\underline{E}} \ \underline{\underline{X}}$

| Cha | irman Butanis | page | 3  |
|-----|---------------|------|----|
| Ms. | Gough         | page | 4  |
| Mr. | Jones         | page | 5  |
| Mr. | Jarvis        | page | 7  |
| Mr. | Guttman       | page | 8  |
| Mr. | Davis         | page | 11 |

## FREE STATE REPORTING INC.

1

# PROCEEDINGS

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

CHAIRMAN BUTANIS: Are we ready to go? Good evening. We have a latecomer.

This is a public hearing of the Critical Areas Commission. The purpose of this hearing tonight is to open up for public comment, or any testimony anybody wishes to give, anything relative to a text amendment which Kent County is proposing to make to their Critical Areas program. The hearing is held under the auspices of the Critical Areas law found in the Natural Resources Article. My name is Victor Butanis. I'm on the Commission and represent Hartford County. To my far left is Tom Jarvis, who represents Caroline County. To my immediate left, is Jim Guttman, from Anne Arundel County. And to my right is Bill Cochran, from Talbott County. So, we are gathered here, and last but not least, Charlie Davis, who is the staff member for the Critical Areas Commission, and Eleanor Gough, from Kent County Planning Offices here tonight as well.

If anybody has, wishes to make any statements,

I don't know if you've indicated on the sign-up sheet, if

anybody wishes to say anything. But I would ask if Eleanor

#### FREE STATE REPORTING INC.

is prepared to make a brief summation of what this text amendment is all about, for the record, if you would. I would appreciate that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Okay. This text limit, the purpose MS. GOUGH: of it is to provide an option for certain intense uses that are located in the limited development area to the 15 percent impervious surface requirement. In Kent County, we have some intense uses that do not take up 20 acres, so they could not be designated as intense development areas. they were designated as limited development areas. them occupy small lots, and their expansion would be hindered by the 15 percent impervious. A number of them already exceed that. And in order to allow them to expand, we would like to offer them the option of surpassing the 15 percent impervious as long as they reduce pollutant loadings by 15 percent using the Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments method that you would use in the intense development area.

We feel it's necessary because these businesses have been there for a long time, and their needs to expand shouldn't be hindered by the mere fact that they weren't

#### FREE STATE REPORTING INC.

1 with another 15 acres of the same. And that's basically all 2 I've got. 3 CHAIRMAN BUTANIS: Okay. Does anybody in 4 attendance with to make any statements or, if you have any 5 questions about what's being proposed, now would be the time, 6 and barring anything from the audience, I would ask ... MR. JONES: A question about the reduction of 8 the ... 9 CHAIRPERSON BUTANIS: Could you identify yourself 10 for the record, sir? 11 MR. JONES: My name is Jonathan Jones. I'm a 12 resident of Kent County. I was curious about, when you 13 talked about reducing the, improving the water (inaudible) 14 by 10 percent, what administrative body administers, looks 15 at to give that 10 percent? 16 MS. GOUGH: We do. 17 MR. JONES: My impression is that the Kent County 18 Planning Commission does it? 19 MS. GOUGH: Well, no. One of our staff members, 20 usually the Storm Water Management technicians, evaluates

#### FREE STATE REPORTING INC.

21

that.

MR. JONES: So they go out to a pond site or something and take periodic water samples ...

MR. GOUGH: Oh, we make sure that it actually does it?

MR. JONES: Yeah.

MR. GOUGH: No, they use the Metropolitan
Washington Council of Governments book which is based on
prior research that shows that these techniques that you use,
do, in fact, improve water quality.

MR. JONES: So, you would recommend to a particular business owner that he apply those techniques to his property?

MS. GOUGH: Usually, what happens is the business owner when they do an expansion has to apply to Storm Water Management in some manner, and their civil engineers to come up with and choose one of the techniques out of the Council of Governments book and do some calculations. It's a long mass of calculations that have to be done, and figure out if it does, in fact, removes the pollutants. We use phosphorous as a keystone pollutant. You don't have to worry about phosphorous, because it's part soluble and part

#### FREE STATE REPORTING INC.

not-soluble. So, it's a good thing. I do believe that the Critical Areas Commission is going to be doing some general water quality studies, but not specific to that.

CHAIRMAN BUTANIS: Does anybody else have any-, before we get to the Commissioner, does anybody else in the audience wish to make any statement or as ask any questions?

Okay. Mr. Jarvis?

MR. JARVIS: Oh, when you refer to "exceeding the 15 percent", where do you plan to go?

MS. GOUGH: Well, some of the sites are already 70 percent impervious.

MR. JARVIS: So, there really would be no limit?

MS. GOUGH: Well, they wouldn't be able to take

down trees still. I mean, they would still only be allowed

to remove 15 percent of the trees of the 20 percent. So that

would limit any expansion beyond that.

MR. JARVIS: Now, these properties, in a certain area, are they actually on the water?

MS. GOUGH: Some of them are and some of them aren't. The ones I can think of, there's an LI-1, outside of Gleenan that's on a ravine, so it is occasionally damp. That's

#### FREE STATE REPORTING INC.

as close to water as it gets now. It's about 60 percent 1 2 impervious. But, most of these properties that MR. JARVIS: 3 4 you speak of are actually really not bordering on, like on the river? 5 MS. GOUGH: The marinas are. The marina 6 7 districts are. 8 MR. JARVIS: Well, some are and some are not. 9 I would guess that the bulk of them MS. GOUGH: 10 The bulk of them aren't. Certainly acreage-wise 11 they are not. 12 CHAIRMAN BUTANIS: Mr. Guttman? 13 MR. GUTTMAN: Would I be correct in saying that 14 the basis for this application is really for the convenience 15 of further economic development? 16 MS. GOUGH: Well, as far as, well, to support 17 the existing businesses, it's not going to cause them any 18 decline since they can remain competitive. 19 MR. GUTTMAN: But the thrust of the documentation 20 is not really to address the objectives of the critical 21 areas as much as try to satisfy the needs of some enterprise?

#### FREE STATE REPORTING INC.

MS. GOUGH: I think we felt that we could meet 1 2 the requirements of the criteria with the 10 percent because 3 it's mostly a water quality issue for those sites. We didn't 4 we realized that the removal of trees, and leaving that in, would limit the amount that they could do. I wouldn't say 5 it's for the convenience. It's just that it's far too 6 restrictive for those kinds of uses. 7 MR. GUTTMAN: How did you arrive at the 10 percent 8 9 number? 10 MS. GOUGH: We checked from the intense develop-11 ment section, since these are uses that could have been 12 designated as intense development areas had they been larger. 13 We chose a regulation from that section of the criteria. 14 MR. GUTTMAN: But you really don't have any 15 studies of any kind to really defend a number, such as 10 16 percent. You just simply saw it used someplace else and ...

MS. GOUGH: Well, no more than the Commission did
I mean, it's in the Metropolitan Washington Council of
Governments.

MR. GUTTMAN: Urban guidelines?

17

18

19

20

21

MS. GOUGH: Right. Yeah. It has been a

#### FREE STATE REPORTING INC.

recommendation of theirs and we chose the Commission's criteria that would apply to those sections.

MR. GUTTMAN: Well, I think I'd like to say at this point, I believe that there is an underlying problem here that was pointed out in a letter to Mr. Davis from Bob Price, who is another member of the Commission and has been Acting Chairman. There's really a question of whether we can arbitrarily make some exception to a segment of the Critical Areas criteria that's been approved by the legislature. And I'm not sure, but, Mr. Chairman, whether we might want to read into the record, and have as an exhibit, the letter from Mr. Price to Mr. Davis, since it gives, I think, the benefit of some legal ...

CHAIRMAN BUTANIS: Well, certainly ...

MR. GUTTMAN: ... perspectives on the matter.

CHAIRMAN BUTANIS: I would certainly believe that that letter is part of the Commission's file at this point, and would be taken under consideration prior to any action by the Commission, relative to this amendment. So, I really don't think it's necessary to read it into the record, or to formally take it as an exhibit at this time.

#### FREE STATE REPORTING INC.

Because I think it's already a part of the record. I would note that the Commission, the Commission's deadline for acting on this amendment is May 31st, barring any unforeseen special meeting, it looks like the Commission's going to have to act on it at its next scheduled meeting of May 3rd.

Anyone wishing to submit any written comments, testimony, information, what have you, should do so in 10

days of today, so that would be by the end of this month,

April 30th.

Does anybody else have anything? If you intend to do that, please send that to the Chesapeake Bay Critical Areas Commission. The new, it used to be in the Talles Building. The new address is 275 West Garrett Building ...

MR. DAVIS: 275 West Street.

CHAIRMAN BUTANIS: ... West Street in Annapolis.

And that's 21401. Does anybody else have anything they wish to add?

MR. JARVIS: How many properties are involved? Roughly, I mean just ...

MS. GOUGH: I could probably count them if, I would guess between 10 and 16.

#### FREE STATE REPORTING INC.

MR. DAVIS: I think I might also point out that the panel, the panel should meet on this before the Commission meeting, and I have reserved a time at the Commission offices between 12 and 12:30, so it would be before the Commission meeting. So, that's a time that everybody can get together and make some decisions if additional information comes in.

CHAIRMAN BUTANIS: Normally, for the benefit of those in attendance, the panel, those of us whom you see in front of you now, will meet at the time that Mr. Davis just specified, to formulate a recommendation to the full Commission as to how we think the Commission should act with respect to this change in Kent County's program.

So, there being, anybody have anything else?

There being nothing further, I would declare that the public hearing is now closed.

Thank you all for attending and have a nice evening.

(Whereupon, at 7:46 p.m. on Thursday, April 20, 1989, the hearing adjourned.)

#### FREE STATE REPORTING INC.

| 1  | $\underline{C} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{R} \ \underline{T} \ \underline{I} \ \underline{F} \ \underline{I} \ \underline{C} \ \underline{A} \ \underline{T} \ \underline{E}$ |  |  |  |
|----|---|--|--|--|
| 2  |   |  |  |  |
| 3  | This is to certify that the foregoing transcript  |  |  |  |
| 4  | in the matter of: CRITICAL AREAS COMMISSION HEARING   |  |  |  |
| 5  |   |  |  |  |
| 6  | BEFORE: VICTOR "VIC" BUTANIS, Chairman  |  |  |  |
| 7  | DATE: Thursday, April 20, 1989  |  |  |  |
| 8  | PLACE: County Commissioners Hearing Room Chestertown, Maryland  |  |  |  |
| 9  | Chestertown, Maryland   |  |  |  |
| 10 |   |  |  |  |
| 11 | represents the full and complete proceedings of the afore-  |  |  |  |
| 12 | mentioned matter, as reported and reduced to typewriting.   |  |  |  |
| 13 |   |  |  |  |
| 14 |   |  |  |  |
| 15 | Kerin K. Klpplnhagen  |  |  |  |
| 16 | Kevin Richard Reppenhagen   |  |  |  |
| 17 | FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.  |  |  |  |
| 18 |   |  |  |  |

# FREE STATE REPORTING INC.

19

20

21