Public Hearings - Harford County - Critical trea Amendments 1989 MSA_51830-65

CRITICAL AREA AMENDMENTS
FOR HARFORD COUNTY

February 13, 1989 7:00 P.M.

FREE STATE REPORTING INC.

COURT REPORTING • DEPOSITIONS
D.C. AREA 261-1902
BALT. & ANNAP. 974-0947

RECEIVED

FEB 25 1989

DNR CRITICAL AREA COMMISSION

1	APPEARANCES:
2	Bob Perciasepe, CAC
3	Shepard Krech, Jr., CAC
4	Andy Mayer, Harford County P&Z
5	Anne Hairston, CAC Staff
6	Donna Hausmann
7	Helen M. Richick
8	Robert Dillon
9	Gary Strabecki
10	Glen Redman
11	Victor Butanis
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	•
20	
21	
22	

PROCEEDINGS

MR. PERCIASEPE: Today, or this evening, we're going to have a Public Hearing on an Amendment to Harford County's Critical Area Program. There's a three member panel of the Critical Areas Commission here tonight. Victor Butanis from Harford County, myself, Bob Perciasepe, and Shep Krech from which county?

MR. KRECH: Eastern Shore.

MR. PERCIASEPE: Eastern Shore at Large. Who drove 2 and a half hours to be here tonight.

The way we're going to conduct this hearing because of the size, I normally am kind of formal when I do these things, but the way we're going to do this because of the size of the group, the massive size of the group, is I'll have Andy briefly--Andy from the Planning Department in Harford County, go through--Andy Mayer, right?

MR. MAYER: Right.

MR. PERCIASEPE: Go through the--briefly through the amendment to the program, then I'm going to open it up for discussion for 20 minutes, since there are only five people here from the public, rather than

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

limit each person to three minutes, and if there's no discussion of course, we can be done quickly.

But we're going to limit the discussion of the hearing itself to this particular amendment, the purpose of us being here tonight is for us to know whether or not there was any other public concern that we ought to be taking into account when they bring this before the full commission sometime in the near future, and which we as a Panel will have to make recommendations to the full commission.

So any other subject will be fine after the hearing, but during the hearing we're just going to talk about this particular amendment. So with that, I think I'll turn it over to Andy, unless any of the other Panel members have anything to say, and let him take us through the intent and purpose and the scope.

MR. MAYER: Okay. Well, the scope as you can see is actually rather small. What this amendment was designed to address for want of another expression. I guess I'll call it "Clean Up Legislation," at the time that the County approved its critical area program, including its overly desperate staff put into effect the

regulations governing the critical area.

We had in the program a section that would allow the construction of accessory structures on certain lots in IDA and LDA areas, within the 100 foot buffer. The accessory structures which were allowed were small, they were limited to less than 1,000 square feet in size, and there had to be certain water quality protection and enhancement measures that had to be installed along with the construction of any accessory structure in order to maintain water quality, and hopefully enhance water quality in terms of any run off that would come from that structure.

The time that we took that to the Commission as a part of our total package, the Commission and then our council approved that. But when we actually got in to implementing the program, we found that the way that our ordinance code worked, it—and it's one of those things that it's easy to think after the fact we should have seen it, but we didn't at the time. We had deleted the whole class of minor additions.

Anybody who wanted to construct a small deck on the back of their house, within that same kind of

limit as the accessory structures, were essentially required to go get a variance, or if somebody wanted to construct a detached accessory structure, large in scale in fact than a minor addition, they were permitted. And so we ended up getting into a real catch 22 situation and we felt that needed to be addressed by an amendment, that would look at accessory structures and minor additions on an equal footing.

And so what we have proposed is amendments to our overly district dealing with accessory structures that will add a class of approved minor additions that would be allowed within the 100 foot buffer basically from 50 feet to 100 feet out. Anything from 50 feet to the edge of the water is still not permitted.

As long as such additions meet the same requirements as the original legislation in terms of accessory structures, that is that they're limited to no more than an aggregate of 1,000 square feet in total area, and that we have the same type of plantings and landscape plan that be installed along with them to protect against water quality.

And they are limited to IDA, LDA areas. We're

1 not looking to proving those kind of things in forested 2 areas, -- areas within the County's critical area. 3 That's pretty much it. 4 And like I say, it's very much a minor piece 5 of clean up to try and deal with what we felt was an 6 unfair situation that had been created. 7 MR. PERCIASEPE: Just а point of 8 clarification, this is to--these are accessory 9 structures and minor additions to existing--10 MR. MAYER: Primary Structures. 11 MR. PERCIASEPE: --structures in the buffer? 12 MR. MAYER: Correct. 13 MR. PERCIASEPE: Anything else to add? 14 MR. MAYER: That's it. 15 MR. PERCIASEPE: Do you have anything else to 16 add? 17 MR. KRECH: No. 18 MR. PERCIASEPE: All right. Did you have 19 enough of these to hand out? 20 MR. MAYER: I didn't make enough for everybody 21 who was here, but I think that there have been--did you

get a chance to take a look at it?

1	MR. PERCIASEPE: You didn't underline the part
2	about adding on. Was that supposed to be underlined
3	also? The Capitalized minor additions and capitalized
4	add additions to existing structures, and capitalize
5	more additions.
6	MR. MAYER: I guess in a way our legislative
7	format works, I think that should just be dealt with as
8	capitalization.
9	MR. PERCIASEPE: Okay, it's underlined?
10	MR. MAYER: It's underlined further on, you're
11	correct.
12	MR. PERCIASEPE: The B part, the replacement B
13	part is underlined.
14	MR. MAYER: Right.
15	MR. PERCIASEPE: It doesn't really matter, but
16	I want to make sure that those also are
17	MR. MAYER: The capitalized sections are
18	additions in pre-existing legislation.
19	MR. BUTANIS: I think the part that's
20	underlined would have been amended by the County
21	Council, the way that that
22	MP PEDMINA The tree the format realis

MR. REDMAN: The way the format works.

1 MR. BUTANIS: The way the format works, this 2 was not the bill as originally submitted. 3 MR. REDMAN: Underlining indicates language 4 edit by amendment. 5 MR. BUTANIS: Yeah, Council would have amended 6 it. 7 MR. REDMAN: Right. 8 MR. BUTANIS: It indicates they are amended, 9 that's why this isn't underlined. 10 MR. PERCIASEPE: Okay. Are there any other--11 are there any general discussion items or comments that 12 anybody would like to make at the hearing? Since no one 13 signed in to testify, would you identify yourself when 14 you speak? 15 MS. RICHICK: Yes, my name is Helen Richick, 16 and I live in Joppa. My question is you three members, 17 you review this tonight, and then does it go before the 18 complete -- all of the members, and could you tell me the 19 process how it's put into--20 MR. PERCIASEPE: First we have it approved 21 Harford County Program. 22

MS. RICHICK: Right.

MR. PERCIASEPE: And you stop me when I'm wrong. That we already had hearings on and lots of work on with the planning and our group, we went out on site visits, and we've approved a program for the county, and they are implementing it.

Now, whenever they want to amend the language in any of their enabling—any of the enabling legislation or regulations that they enacted in implement the program that we approved, that too must be approved by the Commission, they cannot start amending it without commission approval. And you can see the reasons for that.

adopted include that there will be a public hearing on any of those proposed amendments. What we will do as a panel is hear what public testimony there may be about these amendments, we will then also have staff who is (Anne Hairston) Med 2-27-89 here, who I didn't identify before, and Harrison, from the Critical Areas Commission, he's a staff person who works for the Department of Natural Resources, who will also review these to make sure that they are not in conflict with our regulations, and if they are not—so

with that information, I won't say if anything, but with that information and recommendations of staff, with our knowledge of what we hear at public hearing, we as a panel will make recommendation to the full commission, I think we'll probably planning come down, I'm not sure, on something like this, we may not, Anne may just make the presentation.

And at some future full commission meeting, maybe the next one, or the one after, we'll probably leave the record open on this a little bit. We'll hear either from Anne or from the county, they'll summarize it again, and then the Chairman will turn probably to me as Chairman of the Panel, and say "Well, did you have a public hearing?" I'll say, "Yes, we did." And what do you think? I'll say the staff recommends this, and we heard this, and we recommend that this be either approved or denied or sent back for more information or whatever.

And in which case, after the Commission approves, then if there's any other legislative process that the County has to go through, if they have to take this to the council, they'll have to do that on their

1 own after--2 MR. BUTANIS: Council has already approved it. 3 MR. PERCIASEPE: Right. 4 MR. BUTANIS: The only thing necessary is for 5 the Commission to--6 MR. PERCIASEPE: Right, so then it would 7 become part of the program once we approve it. Now, if 8 we have a problem with any part of it, they'll have to go back to the council and have the council make those 10 amendments. 11 MS. RICHICK: Oh, I see. 12 MR. KRECH: What is the length of time between 13 this hearing and public input that come to the 14 Commission, if any, is it 40 days for an amendment? 15 MR. PERCIASEPE: I'm not sure. 16 MR. KRECH: Is it kept open? 17 MR. PERCIASEPE: Well, I think we typically 18 have kept the record open for public appearance for ten 19 days. 20 MR. KRECH: Ten days it is. 21 MR. PERCIASEPE: That's been our--22

MR. KRECH: And I doubt if there will be any

1 public comments from this. 2 MR. PERCIASEPE: Well, that's what we--I mean I 3 should state here for the record that the record will be 4 kept open for ten days, if somebody wants to write to 5 the--6 MR. KRECH: To the Commission. 7 MR. PERCIASEPE: -- the Commission with their 8 written comments, if they don't have any tonight. 9 that answer the question on the process? 10 MS. RICHICK: Yes. 11 MR. PERCIASEPE: Did I get it right? 12 MR. REDMAN: -- any better, well schooled. 13 MR. PERCIASEPE: Beaten into submission more 14 like it. 15 MR. PERCIASEPE: Yeah. Are there any other 16 comments? Yes, make sure you identify yourself. 17 MR. CARSON: I'm Robert Carson from Edgewood. 18 This, as I understand, is the same bill that passed in 19 early December, and was to go into effect tomorrow? 20 MR. MAYER: Under the existing county 21 ordinances, the County Executive -- well, the ordinance 22

would go into effect, in most instances, 60 days after

the County Executive signs it. After Council has approved it. So you're correct in saying that it was signed back in December. And theoretically under the normal process, it would go into effect as of tomorrow. But because the Critical Area Commission needs to approve it, that will essentially hold things up until such time as they deal with it. But as soon as they deal with it and pass it, then it will become law.

MR. PERCIASEPE: I'm not going to beat a dead horse here, but does anyone else have any other--

MR. DILLON: I've got a question, it's really not pertaining to this particular piece of amendment here, but it does pertain to an area that is now being considered by the State of Maryland as a Rubble Fill. And we've--Andy knows pretty well the story on this.

MR. PERCIASEPE: Well, we could talk about that after this hearing if you want.

MR. DILLON: Okay.

q

MR. PERCIASEPE: I mean it really--I don't think this has any effect on the rubble fill, or anything else. But we'd be happy to hear some of your thoughts for a few minutes after the meeting.

MR. DILLON: Sure.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

minute.

Commission.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Your address is, a new address?

22

MS. HAIRSTON: The new address is 275 West

MR. PERCIASEPE: Okay, if there are no other

With that, I will close--wait a minute, wait a

MR. CARSON: Well, I didn't finish to say that

MR. PERCIASEPE: Oh, well, thank you very much

comments from people who have come tonight, I want to

thank everybody for coming tonight. I know that

sometimes these things seem short, but they are

necessary and we must have, you know, an opportunity for

people to comment on them. Some of us have driven a

long way to be here, and we do appreciate you all

for letting us know. With that I will close the formal

hearing on this proposed amendment and the public

hearing part, the books will be open for ten day, and

correspondence that anybody may want to send to the

Anne will be the recipient of any letters

coming, coming to give us your thoughts on it.

I was here in favor of the changes.

1 Street, Suite 320, that's the West Garrett Building, 2 Annapolis, Maryland, 21401. 3 MS. RICHICK: I have a question, is it too 4 late to ask? 5 MR. PERCIASEPE: Go ahead. 6 MS. RICHICK: I would be interested in reading 7 the plan that you have okay'd from Harford County on 8 Critical Area. Where can I locate that? 9 MR. PERCIASEPE: This is the girl. 10 MS. RICHICK: Do you have a copy of that that 11 I can comment, review and read? 12 MR. PERCIASEPE: We have copies that we'll 13 sell you. There's copies available in the library, we 14 had draft copies available when the thing was being 15 But we've now gone into the phase of, you know, done. 16 it's no longer draft, so we have to reproduce it at 17 cost. 18 MS. RICHICK: Okay. 19 MR. PERCIASEPE: But anyway, we have copies 20 available in the Overly District though, and some of the 21 other definitions that we can give you, but in terms of

the overall program, we have to sell them to you.

(not correct speaker) ABH 2-27-89

MS. HAIRSTON: Anything in Harford County costs you.

MR. PERCIASEPE: Well, then this hearing will be concluded in this phase, and like I said, the comments can come in for ten more days, if anybody wants to. And so I'm going to close this part of the hearing. thank you all for coming. Particularly the Recorder.

(Whereupon, the public hearing adjourned.)

CERTIFICATE This is to certify that the foregoing transcript in the Matter of: Public Hearing, Critical Area Amendments Harford County BEFORE: Critical Area Commission Panel. DATE: February 13, 1989, 7:00 p.m. represents the full and complete proceedings of the aforementioned matter, as reported, and reduced to typewriting. Alice Wehner FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21