

Public Hearings - Dorchester County - Chesapeake Bay Critical Area Protection Program 1988 HJH-51830-36

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

IN RE:

CHESAPEAKE BAY
CRITICAL AREA
PROTECTION PROGRAM

DORCHESTER COUNTY,
MARYLAND

PUBLIC HEARING
CAMBRIDGE SOUTH DORCHESTER
HIGH SCHOOL
CAMBRIDGE, MARYLAND

WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 27, 1988
7:00 P.M.

REPORTED BY: SHARON D. DANIELL

I-N-D-E-X

	SPEAKERS	PAGE
1		
2		
3	MR. TRAVERS	3
4	MR. BOSTIAN	3
5	MR. DODD	5
6	MR. DAY	7
7	MR. LAYTON	34
8	MR. PHILLIPS	37
9	MR. DODD	39
10	MR. PHILLIPS	39
11	MR. BOSTIAN	39
12	MR. HEINTZELMAN	42
13	MR. SCHUYLER	45
14	MR. DODD	47
15	MS. METZ	49
16	MR. SCHUYLER	50
17	MR. HEINTZELMAN	51
18	MR. TRAVERS	52
19		
20		
21		

1 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2 MR. TRAVERS: AT THIS TIME I WOULD LIKE TO
3 INTRODUCE BILL BOSTIAN.

4 MR. BOSTIAN: I WOULD LIKE TO INTRODUCE MY
5 COLLEAGUES ON THE COMMISSION HERE TONIGHT, KAY LANGNER FROM
6 CECIL COUNTY, STEEL PHILLIPS FROM DORCHESTER COUNTY, RON
7 HICKERNELL IN BALTIMORE COUNTY, JIM GUTMAN FROM ANNE
8 ARUNDEL COUNTY AND SOME STRANGE FELLOW OUT THERE I AM SURE
9 NOBODY KNOWS, SECRETARY OF AGRICULTURE WAYNE CULLEN.

10 AS YOU ALL KNOW, OR MAYBE IT IS FOR ME TO TELL
11 YOU, THIS IS A JOINT HEARING PUT ON BY THE COUNTY
12 COMMISSIONERS AND THE CRITICAL AREAS COMMISSION. THE
13 PURPOSE IS TO PUT ON A PRESENTATION PUT ON BY THE PLANNING
14 COMMISSION AND THEIR CONSULTANTS, AND THE PURPOSE OF THE
15 COMMISSION PANEL HERE IS TO BE PRESENT AT THE HEARING AND
16 TO WATCH THE PRESENTATION ALONG WITH YOU ALL.

17 WE ARE ALSO HERE TO HEAR PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE
18 LOCAL JURISDICTION PROGRAM AS REQUIRED BY SECTION 8-1809 OF
19 THE CRITICAL AREAS LAW.

20 I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO POINT OUT THAT THE
21 COMMISSION MUST MAKE A DECISION ON THIS LOCAL PROGRAM

1 WITHIN NINETY DAYS OF THE POINT OF SUBMISSION.

2 I ALSO WOULD LIKE TO POINT OUT THAT SHARON
3 DANIELL FROM SCHAFFER REPORTING IS HERE TO MAKE A RECORD OF
4 TONIGHT'S MEETING AND THAT THE RECORD WILL BE KEPT AND WILL
5 BE USED TO HELP THE COMMISSION RENDER A DECISION.

6 I ALSO WOULD LIKE TO POINT OUT THAT THE RECORD
7 WILL BE KEPT OPEN FOR ONE WEEK TO RECEIVE ADDITIONAL
8 WRITTEN TESTIMONY. IF ANY OF YOU WISH TO DO SO, OR KNOW
9 THAT SOMEONE WOULD LIKE TO DO SO, PLEASE TELL THEM TO MAIL
10 THEIR STATEMENTS TO JUDGE SOLOMON LISS AT THE
11 CHESAPEAKE BAY CRITICAL AREAS COMMISSION, 580 TAYLOR
12 AVENUE, D4, ANNAPOLIS, MARYLAND 21401. IF ANY OF YOU NEED
13 THAT ADDRESS, SEE ANY OF THE PEOPLE IN THE PLANNING
14 OFFICERS OR ANY OF US ON THE COMMISSION.

15 I BELIEVE THAT COVERS THAT WHICH I HAVE TO
16 COVER, MR. TRAVERS.

17 MR. TRAVERS: AT THIS TIME I WOULD LIKE TO
18 INTRODUCE THE REST OF THE COMMISSIONERS, LEONARD CHESTER,
19 WILLIAM WINGATE, JACK COLBOURNE, CHARLEY DAYTON.

20 I WOULD LIKE TO SAY THAT THIS HEARING TONIGHT IS
21 NOT A COMPLETE CRITICAL AREAS PROGRAM. THIS IS ON

1 DIFFERENT PARTS OF IT WHICH CONSISTS OF ZONING ORDINANCE
2 AND THE SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS.

3 I ALSO WOULD LIKE TO SAY THAT THE COUNTY
4 COMMISSIONERS ON THE EASTERN SHORE DELEGATION VOTED FOR
5 THIS PROGRAM THAT WE ARE DISCUSSING TONIGHT, BUT IT IS A
6 STATE PROGRAM. WE HAVE A MANDATE. WE ARE GOING TO HAVE TO
7 GO BY THIS PROGRAM AND WE HAVE GOT TO HAVE THIS HEARING AND
8 WE HAVE GOT TO WORK WITH THE PEOPLE IN THIS COMMUNITY. WE
9 ARE HERE, THE DIFFERENT AGENCIES ARE HERE TO SEE IF WE CAN
10 WORK THIS PROGRAM OUT TO THE BEST OF EVERYBODY'S INTEREST.

11 AT THIS TIME I WOULD LIKE TO INTRODUCE STEVE
12 DODD, THE DIRECTOR OF PLANNING.

13 MR. DODD: THANK YOU ALL. I WANT TO INTRODUCE
14 SOME OF THE TECHNICAL PEOPLE WHO HAVE WORKED ON THE ACTUAL
15 WRITING OF THE CRITICAL AREAS PROGRAM DOCUMENT THAT WAS
16 AVAILABLE AT THE DOOR.

17 FIRST, NORMAN DAY WHO IS THE PRINCIPAL
18 CONSULTANT TO THE COUNTY, WHO WAS HIRED BACK IN AUGUST OF
19 1986, I BELIEVE. WE WORKED VERY CLOSELY WITH MR. DAY TO
20 DEVELOP THE PROGRAM THAT YOU HAVE BEFORE YOU TONIGHT.

21 ALSO, IN ASSOCIATION WITH MR. DAY, WE HAVE NANCY

1 KELLY OF COASTAL RESOURCES, OUT OF ANNAPOLIS, WHO DID A LOT
2 OF THE INVENTORY WORK OF THE NATURAL HERITAGE AREAS, THE
3 HABITAT PROTECTION AREAS, THE MAPPING OF THE BALD EAGLES
4 AND THE OTHER ENDANGERED SPECIES, THE TIDAL AND NON-TIDAL
5 WETLANDS. SHE DID A LOT OF THE FIELD VERIFICATION WORK
6 WHICH HAS RESULTED IN THE MAPS YOU SAW OUT IN THE CORRIDOR.

7 WE ALSO HAVE MR. GENE SKINNER FROM THE SOIL
8 CONSERVATION SERVICE.

9 MISS CINDY SLACUM FROM THE SOIL CONSERVATION
10 DISTRICT.

11 JOHN BIDWELL, WHO IS THE BAY WATERSHED FORESTER.

12 SKIP MILLER FROM ANDREWS, MILLER AND ASSOCIATES,
13 WHO DID THE MAPPING WORK FOR THE RESOURCE INVENTORY WORK
14 THAT NANCY HAD DONE. SKIP ACTUALLY CRANKED OUT THE
15 OVERLAYS THAT YOU SAW AN EXAMPLE OF OUT IN THE HALLWAY.

16 FINALLY, BUT NOT LEAST, ED PHILLIPS WHO IS A
17 STAFF REPRESENTATIVE OF THE CRITICAL AREAS COMMISSION AND
18 WHO IS REPRESENTING THE LOWER EASTERN SHORE FROM THAT
19 COMMISSION.

20 AT THIS TIME I WANT TO TURN THE MEETING BACK
21 OVER TO MR. TRAVERS.

1 MR. TRAVERS: THANK YOU, STEVE.

2 FIRST WE ARE GOING TO HAVE A PRESENTATION BY MR.
3 NORMAN DAY. I WOULD JUST LIKE TO EXPLAIN THAT AFTER THE
4 PRESENTATION EVERYONE WILL HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD
5 FROM, COME UP TO THE MIKE, STATE YOUR NAME AND THEN
6 EVERYONE WILL BE HEARD FROM.

7 WE ARE GOING TO GIVE EVERYONE A CHANCE FIRST AND
8 THEN WE WILL GO BACK AND HAVE A SECOND CHANCE. WE WANT
9 EVERYBODY TO HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD FROM.

10 AT THIS TIME I WOULD LIKE TO PRESENT NORMAN DAY
11 ASSOCIATES OF THIS PROGRAM WORKING WITH DORCHESTER COUNTY.

12 MR. DAY: THANK YOU, MR. TRAVERS. I WANT TO TRY
13 TO USE THIS AS A PODIUM, IF I MAY.

14 AS MR. TRAVERS INDICATED, I AM THE PRINCIPAL OF
15 THE FIRM THAT IS THE PRIME CONSULTANT ASSISTING THE COUNTY
16 IN PUTTING TOGETHER THIS PROGRAM BUT WE HAVE BEEN VERY ABLY
17 ASSISTED BY COASTAL RESOURCES, INC. AND ANDREWS, MILLER AND
18 ASSOCIATES IN MANY OF THE TECHNICAL ASPECTS OF THIS. THIS
19 HAS BEEN A TEAM EFFORT. I THINK IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE TO
20 ACKNOWLEDGE THE CONSIDERABLE WORK PUT IN BY THE STAFF OF THE
21 PLANNING AND ZONING OFFICE AND OTHER COUNTY

1 REPRESENTATIVES, INCLUDING THE THREE INTRODUCED HERE A
2 MOMENT AGO, AND THE WORK OF THE TASK FORCE, A BROADLY
3 REPRESENTATIVE GROUP MADE UP OF LOCAL FORESTERS AND FARMERS
4 AND INDIVIDUALS REPRESENTING HOSTS OF THE OTHER SPECIFIC
5 INTEREST GROUPS IN THE COUNTY.

6 THEIR INPUT HAS BEEN VERY VALUABLE IN PUTTING
7 TOGETHER THE TECHNICAL MATERIAL THAT IS IN VOLUME ONE AND
8 VOLUME TWO THAT IS THE SUBJECT OF THE HEARING TONIGHT. I
9 THINK IT WOULD ALSO BE APPROPRIATE TO MENTION THAT WE
10 WORKED WITH THE PLANNING COMMISSION, WHICH WAS CONSIDERABLE
11 OVER LATE SUMMER AND EARLY FALL LEADING UP TO A HEARING
12 THAT WAS HELD IN SEPTEMBER ON THIS MATERIAL WHEN IT WAS IN
13 A LITTLE LESS POLISHED DRAFT FORM.

14 THIS IS VERY MUCH A PROGRAM OF THE COUNTIES AT
15 THIS POINT IN TIME. I WOULD NOT WANT TO BE IDENTIFIED WITH
16 IT. I WOULDN'T WANT ANYONE TO THINK IT WAS MY PLAN. IT
17 WAS RESULT OF A TEAM PLAN. IT WAS VERY MUCH A PLAN OF THE
18 COUNTY. WE ARE HERE PRIMARILY TO ASSISTANT THE COUNTY IN
19 PUTTING THAT TOGETHER, TO FACILITATE.

20 I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO TAKE A MOMENT TO COMMENT ON
21 THE EXHIBITS IN THE HALL, I HOPE YOU HAVE HAD AN

1 OPPORTUNITY TO SEE THOSE TO SOME DEGREE. YOU MAY WANT TO
2 LOOK AT THEM WHEN THE PROGRAM IS OVER.

3 THEY ARE A SERIES OF GENERAL COUNTY WIDE MAPS
4 OUT THERE THAT I WOULD THINK YOU WOULD FIND OF INTEREST,
5 THEY HAVE VARIOUS REPRESENTATIONS OF LAND USE AND FOREST
6 RESOURCES AND SO ON. MORE SPECIFICALLY, THERE ARE A COUPLE
7 DISPLAYS THAT ARE REPRESENTATIVE OF TECHNICAL MAPPING THAT
8 NANCY KELLY AND SKIP MILLER HAVE BEEN INVOLVED WITH, IN
9 PREPARATION OF. THAT TYPE OF MAPPING IS NOW COMPLETED FOR
10 THE ENTIRE COUNTY, SOME 114 DIFFERENT TAX MAP AREAS, OF
11 WHICH WE ONLY HAVE TWO OUT THERE AS EXAMPLES FOR YOUR
12 REVIEW.

13 ALSO, I HOPE YOU HAVE ALL HAD A CHANCE TO OBTAIN
14 A COPY OF THE HANDOUT WHICH WAS PRINTED UP FOR DISTRIBUTION
15 TONIGHT WHICH I THINK IS A FAIRLY SUCCINCT, USEFUL, BUT
16 VERY BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE PROGRAM, ITS PURPOSES AND
17 INTENTIONS AND APPLICATIONS, AND SOME OF THE DETAILS OF
18 THAT HAVING TO DO WITH THE VARIOUS ORDINANCES BEING
19 PREPARED AND WHO IS GOING TO BE ADMINISTERING THOSE AND
20 ENFORCING THOSE, WHICH IS SOMETHING WE WILL FOCUS ON
21 TOWARDS THE END OF THE PRESENTATION.

1 WHAT IS, IN EFFECT, ON THE TABLE AT THIS
2 HEARING, IS ESSENTIALLY VOLUME ONE, WHICH IS THE BASIC
3 CRITICAL AREAS PROGRAM OF THE COUNTY SETTING FORTH ALL OF
4 THE REQUIRED PROTECTION PLANS AND, IN EFFECT, ESTABLISHING
5 THE BASIC FRAME WORK, THE BASIC POLICIES THAT ARE GOING TO
6 DIRECT THE PREPARATION OF THE WHOLE SERIES OF ORDINANCES
7 WHICH WILL, IN EFFECT, IMPLEMENT THE PROGRAM, PUT THEM INTO
8 VARIOUS FORMS OF LEGISLATION. MUCH OF THE WORK ON THOSE
9 ORDINANCES, I WOULD SAY, HASN'T BEEN COMPLETED BUT -- I WILL
10 BACK UP -- THE ZONING ORDINANCE CHANGES AND SUBREGULATION
11 CHANGES ARE CONSIDERED TO BE COMPLETED TO THE POINT OF A
12 FINAL DRAFT FOR CONSIDERATION AND DISCUSSION TONIGHT.

13 ALL OF THE OTHER NECESSARY ORDINANCES WHICH I
14 WILL BE IDENTIFYING BUT NOT DISCUSSING ARE IN VARIOUS
15 STAGES OF PREPARATION, MOST HAVE REACHED THE FINAL DRAFT
16 STAGE, SOME ARE STILL IN A PRELIMINARY DRAFT STAGE, SOME
17 ARE STILL BEING WORKED ON. WE EXPECT TO COMPLETE THAT
18 ASPECT OF WORK WITHIN THE NEXT 30 DAYS. THAT WILL BE THE
19 RESULT OF A SECOND HEARING IN THE VERY NEAR FUTURE.

20 WHAT I REALLY WANT TO CONCENTRATE ON TONIGHT IS
21 THE OVERALL PROGRAM, OVERALL DIRECTION OF POLICIES AND THE

1 SPECIFICS OF THE ZONING ORDINANCE CHANGES AND SUBREGULATION
2 CHANGES.

3 WITH THAT INTRODUCTION I WOULD LIKE TO GO TO THE
4 SLIDES. I DON'T KNOW WHAT WE NEED TO DO ABOUT LIGHTS. I
5 HOPE THAT IS REASONABLY VISIBLE. TURN THE LIGHTS DOWN,
6 PLEASE. I WILL MAKE A DOUBLE INVITATION TO ANY OF YOU IN
7 THE BACK THAT WOULD LIKE TO GET A LITTLE CLOSER, YOU'RE
8 INVITED TO MOVE UP FRONT, JUST LIKE CHURCH, ANY OF YOU THAT
9 WOULD LIKE TO GET A BETTER VIEW BY GOING DOWN TO THE FRONT
10 ROW, PLEASE FEEL FREE TO MAKE THAT MOVE.

11 WE ARE OBVIOUSLY HERE TO TALK ABOUT THE
12 DORCHESTER COUNTY CRITICAL AREAS PROGRAM. THE FOCUS OF
13 THAT PROGRAM IS, QUITE CLEARLY ON THE BAY, A VERY VERY
14 SIGNIFICANT RESOURCE FROM AN ENVIRONMENTALIST STANDPOINT,
15 RECREATION AND ECONOMIC STANDPOINT FOR THIS AREA. THE BAY
16 QUITE CLEARLY HAS A NUMBER OF PROBLEMS THAT HAVE BEEN
17 RECOGNIZED FOR MANY YEARS. THAT RECOGNITION HAS LED TO THE
18 CRITICAL AREAS LEGISLATION WHICH HAS TRIGGERED ALL THIS
19 ACTIVITY INCLUDING PROBLEMS OF WATER POLLUTION, SHORELINE
20 EROSION, IMPROPER USE OF WATERFRONT AREAS AND SIGNIFICANT
21 LOSS OF NATURAL HABITAT SUCH AS THE EROSION AND IMPROPER

1 BULKHEADING THAT IS RIGHT HERE IN THE CITY OF CAMBRIDGE OR
2 IN MANY SITUATIONS TIMBERING ACTIVITY, AGRICULTURE ACTIVITY
3 THAT HAS PRODUCED A NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE BAY.

4 THE PURPOSES OF THE LEGISLATION ARE VERY CLEAR,
5 PRIMARILY TO IMPROVE WATER QUALITY. SECONDLY TO CONSERVE
6 WILDLIFE HABITAT AS IT SUPPORTS OR HAS INFLUENCED THE
7 QUALITY OF WATER AND AT THE SAME TIME TO ACCOMMODATE A
8 REASONABLE DEGREE OF DEVELOPMENT.

9 I THINK IN DORCHESTER COUNTY THAT COULD ALSO BE
10 EXTENDED TO INCLUDE THE ACCOMMODATION OF AGRICULTURAL
11 ACTIVITY, FORESTRY ACTIVITY, AS WELL AS THE FISHING
12 ACTIVITY. SO, IT IS NOT INTENDED TO STIFLE THOSE
13 ACTIVITIES THAT ARE VERY IMPORTANT TO THE ECONOMY OF THE
14 AREA.

15 THE COMPONENTS OF THE CRITICAL AREAS ARE FOUR,
16 ALL OF THE WATERS OF THE BAY AND THE LANDS LYING UNDER THE
17 WATER, ALL OF THE TRIBUTARY STREAMS AND TIDAL WETLANDS AND,
18 MOST IMPORTANT FOR PURPOSES OF OUR ACTIVITY, IS THE 1,000
19 FOOT BORDER AREA, 1,000 FOOT INLAND FROM THE HIGH TIDE LINE
20 ALL AROUND THE BAY.

21 THE LOCAL PROGRAM ELEMENTS THAT ARE REQUIRED BY

1 STATE LEGISLATION ARE THESE, THE COUNTY IS REQUIRED TO
2 ANALYZE ITS OWN GROWTH PATTERNS AND PROJECT ITS FUTURE
3 NEEDS AND GROWTH SO THE PROGRAM CAN, IN SOME WAY, RESPOND
4 TO THOSE PROJECTIONS.

5 A MAJOR COMPONENT WAS THE MAPPING OF ALL THE
6 IMPORTANT NATURAL RESOURCS AND FEATURES IN THE AREA THAT
7 REQUIRED SOME DEGREE OR TYPE OF PROTECTION.

8 THIRD WAS TO FASHION THE ACTUAL PROTECTION
9 MEASURES OR THE PLANS INTENDED TO PROTECT AGRICULTURAL
10 ACTIVITY, FORESTRY AREAS, WILDLIFE HABITAT AND SO ON, TO
11 THEN TRANSLATE THOSE PROTECTION MEASURES INTO SPECIFIC
12 ORDINANCES AND LAWS WITH SPECIFIC STANDARDS AND
13 REQUIREMENTS AND REGULATIONS.

14 FINALLY TO FASHION A SYSTEM OR METHOD OF
15 ADMINISTERING THIS PROGRAM THAT WOULD BE REASONABLE IN ALL
16 SENSES OF THE WORD.

17 ALL OF THIS IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE
18 CONSULTANT TEAM IN COOPERATION WITH THE VARIOUS COUNTY
19 REPRESENTATIVES TO PUT IN PLACE AS THE CRITICAL AREAS
20 PROGRAM.

21 THERE HAS BEEN HEAVY PUBLIC PARTICIPATION,

1 INCLUDING THE SESSION HERE TONIGHT, AND IT IS BY NO MEANS
2 OVER. THERE HAVE BEEN MANY TASK FORCE MEETINGS. I THINK
3 THEY MET ON THE AVERAGE OF ONCE A MONTH OR VERY CLOSE TO
4 THAT OVER THE PAST 15 MONTHS. THERE WERE FOUR OR FIVE
5 COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION MEETINGS AND ONE PUBLIC HEARING
6 OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION. WE HAVE HAD SEVERAL PUBLIC
7 INFORMATION MEETINGS LEADING UP TO THOSE HEARINGS.

8 THIS, OF COURSE, IS A HEARING TONIGHT, NOT THE
9 FIRST NOR THE LAST. I MENTION THE HANDBOOK HERE ONLY
10 BECAUSE THAT WILL BE AN IMPORTANT END PRODUCT OF OUR
11 EFFORT. WE EXPECT TO PUT INTO THE HANDS OF THE COUNTY
12 ADMINISTRATION, ALL THE INDIVIDUALS AND ORGANIZATIONS THAT
13 WILL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ADMINISTERING SOME ASPECT OF THIS
14 PROGRAM, A HANDBOOK WHICH WILL EXPLAIN THE NATURE OF THE
15 PROGRAM AND ALL OF THE PROCEDURES AND REQUIREMENTS THAT
16 HAVE TO BE MET SO THAT WILL BE TRANSLATED INTO VERY PLAIN
17 ENGLISH AND CLEAR RULES AND REGULATIONS WHEN EVERYTHING IS
18 SAID AND DONE.

19 INCLUDED IN THE SLIDE PRESENTATION ARE JUST A
20 FEW MAPS OF THE COUNTY WHICH INCLUDE MUCH MORE THAN THE
21 CRITICAL AREAS JUST FOR GENERAL INTEREST, ALSO BECAUSE SOME

1 THINGS THAT SHOW UP ON THE SLIDES ARE RELEVANT TO THE
2 CRITICAL AREAS.

3 ONE THING, THE URBANIZED AREA IN THE COUNTY IS
4 HIGHLY CONCENTRATED IN THE AREA OF CAMBRIDGE. THIS AREA
5 RIGHT HERE WITH A STRIP EXTENDING UP TO SECRETARY AND
6 HURLOCK AND A BLOCK DOWN AT THE END OF IT, OTHER THAN THAT,
7 DEVELOPMENT IN THE COUNTY IS VERY WIDELY SCATTERED AND VERY
8 LOW DENSITY.

9 THIS IS A LAND USE MAP OF THE COUNTY EXPRESSED
10 IN SOMEWHAT DIFFERENT TERMS.

11 THE DARKER GREEN AREAS HERE ARE ALL THE FORESTRY
12 AREAS IN THE COUNTY.

13 LIGHT GREEN AREAS ARE ALL THE AREAS UNDER
14 CULTIVATION.

15 THE BULK OF THE AREAS LEFT IN LIGHT BLUE HERE
16 ARE THE TIDAL WETLANDS. THE BULK OF THE LAND AREAS IN THE
17 COUNTY ARE MADE UP OF THOSE CATEGORIES, FARMS, FOREST OR
18 TIDAL WETLANDS.

19 THE URBANIZED AREAS IN YELLOW ARE A VERY SMALL
20 PERCENTAGE OF THE COUNTY AS A WHOLE AND QUITE SCATTERED.

21 THIS IS A MORE DETAILED MAP OF THE TYPES OF

1 FORESTS THAT ARE PRESENT HERE, VARIOUS COMBINATIONS OF
2 HARDWOOD AND SOFTWOOD. WE RECOGNIZE, AS MANY OF YOU DO,
3 THAT THE FORESTS OF THE COUNTY ARE A VERY IMPORTANT
4 ECONOMIC RESOURCE AS WELL AS VERY IMPORTANT FROM AN
5 ENVIRONMENTAL STANDPOINT.

6 ONLY A VERY SMALL PART OF THE COUNTY IS SERVED
7 BY PUBLIC SEWER AND WATER. MASTER PLANS FOR SEWER AND
8 WATER FACILITIES IN THE COUNTY CALL FOR ONLY A LIMITED
9 EXTENSION OF THOSE FACILITIES, AGAIN, PRIMARILY
10 CONCENTRATED IN THE CAMBRIDGE AREA, AROUND SECRETARY AND
11 HURLOCK.

12 IN CONTRAST TO THAT THE ROAD SYSTEM THAT SERVES
13 THE COUNTY, OF COURSE, IS MUCH MORE EXTENSIVE. THE ROADS
14 TEND TO BE A FAIRLY CLOSE-KNIT NETWORK IN THE AGRICULTURAL
15 AREAS AND THEN THEY TEND TO FOLLOW THE RIDGE LINES OUT INTO
16 THE NEXT DISTRICT. A VERY VERY DISTINCTIVE PATTERN. THE
17 POINT IS, DEVELOPMENT COULD OCCUR ANYWHERE IN THE COUNTY
18 BECAUSE YOU DO HAVE ACCESSIBILITY.

19 OF COURSE, THE ENTIRE COUNTY IS ZONED, THE BULK
20 OF THE AREA THAT IS AFFECTED BY THE CRITICAL AREAS PROGRAM,
21 IS ZONED EITHER R1 OR R2, MOST ARE ZONED R2 WHICH MEANS TWO

1 ACRE MINIMUM LOTS.

2 WHATEVER THE CRITICAL AREAS PROGRAM SAYS, THE
3 UNDERLYING ZONING IS ALSO IMPORTANT, AND WHAT DEVELOPMENT
4 IS ALLOWED TO TAKE PLACE IN ANY PARTICULAR LOCATION IS
5 GOING TO BE A FUNCTION OF BOTH THE CRITICAL AREAS
6 LIMITATIONS AND THE UNDERLYING ZONING, SO, IT DOESN'T GO
7 AWAY AND IS NOT SUPPLANTED BY THE CRITICAL AREAS
8 LEGISLATION.

9 A LITTLE CLOSER LOOK NOW, THE MAJOR PART OF OUR
10 WORK, AND A MAJOR COMPONENT OF THE CRITICAL AREAS PROGRAM,
11 WAS THE MAPPING. THIS PREOCCUPIED THE CONSULTING TEAM FOR
12 PROBABLY SEVEN, EIGHT MONTHS AND REPRESENTED A FAIRLY MAJOR
13 PART OF THE COST OF SERVICES. IT REALLY CONSISTS OF TWO
14 DIFFERENT TYPES OF MAPS.

15 THE FIRST CONSISTS OF MAPS TO CLASSIFY THE
16 CRITICAL AREAS INTO ONE OF THE THREE DIFFERENT CATEGORIES.
17 THIS IS ALL TO BE BASED ON EXISTING DEVELOPMENT. ANY AREA
18 THAT WAS DEVELOPED TO A DENSITY OF MORE THAN FOUR UNITS TO
19 THE ACRE WAS AUTOMATICALLY CLASSIFIED AS AN INTENSIVE
20 DEVELOPED AREA.

21 AS IT TURNS OUT, THAT WITHIN THE UNINCORPORATED

1 AREAS OF THE COUNTY THERE ARE ONLY TWO SMALL INTENSELY
2 DEVELOPED AREAS, A LUMBER YARD IN THE SOUTHWESTERN PART OF
3 THE COUNTY AND THE AREA OCCUPIED BY THE VIENNA POWER PLANT.
4 EVERYTHING ELSE IN THE CRITICAL AREAS IN THE COUNTY WAS IN
5 ONE OF THE OTHER TWO CATEGORIES.

6 IF AN AREA WAS DEVELOPED BETWEEN FOUR UNITS TO
7 THE ACRE, OR UP TO FOUR UNITS PER ACRE AND DOWN TO ONE UNIT
8 PER FIVE ACRES, IT WAS CLASSIFIED AS A LIMITED DEVELOPMENT
9 AREA.

10 AGAIN, THIS IS BASED ON DEVELOPMENT THAT WAS
11 ACTUALLY IN PLACE, INCLUDING APPROVED SUBDIVISIONS EVEN
12 THOUGH THEY MIGHT NOT HAVE BEEN YET BUILT.

13 THE LAST CATEGORY WERE THOSE AREAS ESSENTIALLY
14 UNDEVELOPED AND HAD A DENSITY OF LESS THAN ONE UNIT PER 20
15 ACRES. THAT WAS A VERY IMPORTANT PART OF THE EXERCISE
16 BECAUSE THE GROUND RULES, THE REGULATIONS, THEY ARE GOING
17 TO RESTRICT AND GOVERN DEVELOPMENT WHICH WILL BE DIFFERENT
18 FOR THESE THREE TYPES OF AREAS WHETHER AN AREA IS IN LDA OR
19 RCA BECOMES VERY IMPORTANT LATER ON.

20 THE OTHER PART OF THE MAPPING EFFORT WHICH, IN
21 FACT, DID OCCUPY THE BULK OF OUR TIME WAS THE SYSTEMATIC

1 MAPPING OF ALL THE IMPORTANT RESOURCES IN THE COUNTY AT A
2 SCALE ONE INCH EQUALS 600 FEET, USING THE TAX MAP SERIES
3 USED THROUGHOUT THE STATE AS A BASIS. THE CLASSIFICATION
4 OF THE CRITICAL AREAS' 1,000 FOOT BAND AROUND ALL OF THE
5 WATER AREAS RESULTED IN THIS MAP.

6 EVERYTHING WHICH IS SHOWN IN DARK BROWN ON THIS
7 MAP WAS CLASSIFIED AS A LIMITED DEVELOPMENT AREA.

8 OF COURSE, THE CITY OF CAMBRIDGE IS NOT A PART
9 OF THE COUNTY PROGRAM, SO, IT SHOWS UP HERE AS WHITE. THAT
10 WOULD BE A COMBINATION OF INTENSELY DEVELOPED AND LIMITED
11 DEVELOPED AREAS.

12 EVERYTHING WHICH IS IN YELLOW WAS CLASSIFIED AS
13 A RESOURCE CONSERVATION AREA. YOU CAN SEE THIS IS A VERY
14 EXTENSIVE AREA. IT REPRESENTS ABOUT A 159,000 ACRES. A
15 GOOD BIT OF WHICH IS IN SOME FORM OF PARK LAND OR
16 GOVERNMENT OWNERSHIP. THE PORTION OF THE RESOURCE
17 CONSERVATION AREA WHICH IS NOT IS ROUGHLY ONLY 50,000
18 ACRES. YOU CAN SEE THIS IS A MAJOR FACTOR IN DORCHESTER
19 COUNTY.

20 THIS IS A TYPICAL LIMITED DEVELOPMENT AREA. IT
21 IS MEANT TO COVER ANY SORT OF MEDIUM DENSITY SUBDIVISION.

1 THIS IS A TYPICAL RESOURCE CONSERVATION AREA WHERE YOU SEE
2 LITTLE OR NO DEVELOPMENT. THE LAND IS PRIMARILY IN
3 AGRICULTURAL USE OR FORESTRY.

4 INCLUDED IN THE SLIDES HERE ARE ONE
5 REPRESENTATIVE MAP SERIES. IT IS FOR ONE OF THE TAX MAP
6 AREAS. THIS HAPPENS TO BE THE DRAW BRIDGE AREA. THIS
7 SYSTEM OF MAPPING WILL EVENTUALLY BE TURNED OVER TO THE
8 COUNTY PLANNING STAFF AND BECOME A VERY IMPORTANT REFERENCE
9 FOR ANYONE PROPOSING TO DO ANYTHING IN THE CRITICAL AREAS
10 IN THE WAY OF DEVELOPMENT OR EVEN CARRYING ON AGRICULTURE
11 OR FORESTRY PRACTICES.

12 IT BEGAN WITH THE TAX MAPS FURNISHED BY THE
13 STATE AND THE AIR PHOTOS THAT WERE FLOWN ABOUT TWO YEARS
14 AGO TO GIVE US UP-TO-DATE INFORMATION ON THE NATURAL
15 ENVIRONMENT. WE PRODUCED A SERIES OF FIVE MAPS FOR EACH OF
16 THESE 114 TAX MAP AREAS.

17 THE FIRST MAP SIMPLY ESTABLISHED THE CRITICAL
18 AREAS BOUNDRY BASED ON THIS THOUSAND FOOT MEASURE AND WILL
19 EVENTUALLY INCLUDE THE CLEAR DESIGNATION OF THE
20 CLASSIFICATIONS, WHETHER IT'S LDA OR RCA OR WHAT. IT IS
21 NOT ON THE MAPS AT THIS TIME, POINT IN TIME BECAUSE THAT IS

1 SUBJECT TO SOME DISCUSSION.

2 THE SECOND MAP IN THE SERIES IDENTIFIED ALL OF
3 THE TIDAL AND NON-TIDAL WETLANDS. THOSE, OF COURSE, BECOME
4 VERY IMPORTANT IN TERMS OF RESTRICTING DEVELOPMENT.

5 VARIOUS CATEGORIES ARE SHOWN HERE IN DIFFERENT
6 LETTER SYMBOLS.

7 THE SECOND RESOURCE COULD BE MAPS OF SOILS WITH
8 CONSTRAINTS. ALL SOILS THAT ARE CLASSIFIED AS HYDRIC SOILS,
9 THAT IS WITH A SIGNIFICANTLY HIGH WATER TABLE THAT MIGHT
10 INFLUENCE OR MIGHT RESTRICT DEVELOPMENT IS ON THE MAP, ALL
11 THE SOILS THAT WERE SUSCEPTIBLE TO EROSION IS ON THE MAP,
12 ANYTHING WHICH IS REPRESENTATIVE OF SERIES OF STRENGTHS IN
13 VARIOUS CATEGORIES LIKE PUBLIC INFORMATION.

14 THE THIRD SERIES ARE FOREST AND AGRICULTURAL
15 RESOURCES, PUT THEM ON THE SAME MAP BECAUSE THEY TEND TO BE
16 A MUTUALLY EXCLUSIVE AREA UNDER CULTIVATION WHICH IS
17 OBVIOUSLY NOT A FOREST.

18 THE FORESTS WERE CLASSIFIED HERE BY TYPE,
19 HARDWOOD AND SOFTWOOD OR MIXED.

20 FINALLY, WE IDENTIFIED ALL THE FISH AND WILDLIFE
21 HABITATS BASED ON THE EXTENT OF FOREST COVER AND KNOWN

1 LOCATIONS OF RARE AND ENDANGERED SPECIES AND VARIOUS OTHER
2 ASPECTS OF HABITAT.

3 THESE MAPS WERE PREPARED IN SUCH A WAY SO THEY
4 CAN BE COMBINED. IF ANYONE HAS A PROPERTY THAT IS ANYWHERE
5 NEAR THE CRITICAL AREAS, THEY WILL BE ABLE TO GO INTO THE
6 PLANNING OFFICE AND, WITH ASSISTANCE FROM THE PLANNING
7 STAFF, WOULD SYSTEMATICALLY BE ABLE TO GO THROUGH THE
8 RESOURCE MAPS FOR YOUR PARTICULAR AREA BY COMBINING IN AN
9 OVERLAY SYSTEM.

10 THE LAST SLIDE -- WHICH IS PROBABLY NOT VERY
11 LEGIBLE FROM THE AUDIENCE -- IS A COMBINATION OF FIVE
12 DIFFERENT MAPS BASED ON THE PINBAR REGISTRATION SYSTEM.

13 AGAIN, THIS IS A MAJOR PART OF OUR WORK. IT IS
14 ESSENTIALLY COMPLETE. THESE MAPS ARE ALREADY AVAILABLE
15 FROM THE COUNTY STAFF FOR REFERENCE.

16 PARALLEL TO THE WORK TO COMPLETE THOSE MAPS, WE
17 BEGAN TO FOCUS ON THE VARIOUS PROTECTION MEASURES OR PLANS
18 THAT ARE REQUIRED BY THE LEGISLATION.

19 THE FIRST THING WE CONCENTRATED ON WAS THE
20 UNDERSTANDING AND THE FASHIONING OF THE DEVELOPMENT
21 CONTROLS, SPECIAL CONTROLS THAT WILL AFFECT OR LIMIT OR

1 DIRECT URBAN DEVELOPMENT IN THE CRITICAL AREAS.

2 THEN WE WENT ON TO THE PLAN FOR FOREST
3 PROTECTION AND PRESERVATION, TO AGRICULTURAL PROTECTION,
4 MINERAL RESOURCES AND FINALLY HABITAT PROTECTION. THE
5 SPECIFICS OF THIS PART OF OUR WORK ARE PRETTY MUCH SPELLED
6 OUT FOR THE CRITICAL AREAS PROGRAM OR LEGISLATION. WE
7 DON'T HAVE A LOT OF LATITUDE IN TERMS OF DEVELOPING PLANS
8 INTENDED TO PROTECT THESE IMPORTANT RESOURCES, THE DETAILS
9 PERHAPS, BUT NOT THE GENERAL THRUST.

10 THE DEVELOPMENT CONTROLS PORTION OF THIS
11 RECOGNIZES THAT THERE IS GOING TO BE DEVELOPMENT BUT THE
12 THRUST IS TO CONTROL IT IN SUCH A WAY THAT IT WON'T HAVE
13 ANY ADVERSE IMPACT ON THE BAY. THERE IS ALSO A RECOGNITION
14 THAT SOME ACTIVITIES ARE CLEARLY WATER DEPENDENT, THEY MUST
15 BE ON THE BAY OR VERY CLOSE TO THE WATER OTHERWISE THEY
16 COULD NOT EXIST. SO, PART OF THE EFFORT SET DOWN HERE WAS
17 TO DEVELOP SOME GROUND RULES FOR PROTECTING AND CONTROLLING
18 THOSE ACTIVITIES THAT ARE DEPENDENT ON LOCATIONS ON THE
19 WATER BUT THE BROADER SENSE THESE DEVELOPMENT CONTROLS PLAY
20 OUT IN THE FORM OF IMPOSING LAND USE AND DENSITY
21 LIMITATIONS ON ALL TYPES OF DEVELOPMENT IN THE CRITICAL

1 AREAS, THEN GOING ON TO PROHIBIT CERTAIN TYPES OF USEAGE IN
2 THE CRITICAL AREAS ALTOGETHER, SUCH AS SOLID WASTE.

3 THE GENERAL INTENTION OF THIS PART OF THE
4 PROGRAM IS TO DIRECT INTENSE DEVELOPMENT AWAY FROM THE
5 CRITICAL AREAS. THE DILEMMA IN THAT IS THAT IT'S THE WATER
6 FRONT LOCATIONS, THE PROXIMITY TO THE BAY, THAT IS
7 ATTRACTING A LOT OF DEVELOPMENT IN DORCHESTER COUNTY. NOW,
8 IT IS EASY TO SAY DEVELOPMENT SHOULD BE DIRECTED AWAY FROM
9 CRITICAL AREAS AND MORE DIFFERENT TO ACHIEVE.

10 FINALLY THERE ARE SPECIFIC MEASURES TO CONTROL
11 SHORE EROSION.

12 AS I SAID BEFORE, THE SPECIFICS OF THE LAND USE
13 AND DENSITY CONTROLS WILL PLAY OUT A LITTLE BIT DIFFERENTLY
14 IN THE THREE DIFFERENT AREAS IN WHAT YOU ARE PERMITTED TO
15 DO IN LIMITED DEVELOPMENT AREAS IS NOT THE SAME IN RESOURCE
16 CONSERVATION. THESE DETAILS HAVE BEEN BUILT INTO ZONING
17 CONTROL AND SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS AS DRAFTED.

18 AGAIN, WE TURN TO FORESTRY AND THE PROTECTION
19 PLAN NECESSARY THERE, WHICH INCLUDED MINIMIZING FOREST
20 CLEARANCE, REQUIRING REFORESTATION IN A NUMBER OF
21 SITUATIONS, REQUIRING THAT LAND OWNERS AND THE COMPANIES

1 THAT ARE HARVESTING THE TIMBER PREPARE FOREST MANGEMENT
2 PLANS THAT HAVE TO BE APPROVED BY THE BAY AREA FORESTER AND
3 GENERALLY ENCOURAGE THEM TO CARRY ON THE FOREST ACTIVITY IN
4 THE BEST POSSIBLE WAY.

5 FINALLY, AN IMPORTANT SUBCOMPONENT OF THAT IS TO
6 MORE TIGHTLY CONTROL THE PROBLEM OF EROSION SEDIMENTATION
7 AS RELATED TO FOREST HARVESTING ACTIVITY.

8 SIMILARLY IN THE AGRICULTURE AREA, WE HAVE
9 PREPARED A PROTECTION PLAN WHICH, IN EFFECT, PROHIBITS
10 FARMING ALTOGETHER IN CERTAIN VERY SENSITIVE AREAS. IT
11 CERTAINLY DOESN'T ALLOW FARMING TO EXTEND IN SENSITIVE
12 AREAS THAT ALREADY ISN'T IN PLACE. IT IS IN THE AREA OF
13 AGRICULTURE PROTECTION WHERE THE VEGETATED FILTER STRIPS,
14 OR BUFFER STRIPS, SEEM TO BE MOST CRITICAL BECAUSE THE RUN
15 OFF FARMLANDS IN THE FORM OF SEDIMENTATION OR NUTRIENTS
16 FROM FERTILIZER CLEARLY HAS A DIRECT IMPACT ON THE BAY.
17 THE EMPHASIS ON BUFFERS AND FILTERS IS INTENDED TO SLOW
18 DOWN AND MINIMIZE THAT IMPACT ON THE WATER FLOW. AS IN THE
19 CASE OF FORESTRY, THE REQUIREMENT HERE IS FOR BEST
20 MANGEMENT PRACTICE PLANS AND THE FILING OF THAT PLAN, THE
21 APPROVAL OF THAT PLAN, WITH THE SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE.

1 FROM THE FLOOR: WE CAN'T HEAR YOU OUT HERE.

2 (DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD.)

3 MR. DAY: I WILL CONTINUE ON. I HOPE SOMEONE
4 CAN FIND THE VOLUME CONTROL AND TURN IT UP A LITTLE BIT.

5 ANOTHER COMPONENT WAS THE MINERAL RESOURCES
6 PROTECTION PLAN. NOT A LOT OF SURFACE MINING GOES ON IN
7 DORCHESTER COUNTY, PARTICULARLY IN THE CRITICAL AREAS, SO
8 THIS IS NOT A SIGNIFICANT ELEMENT IN THE PLAN BUT A
9 REQUIREMENT, NEVER THE LESS, TO BE FILLED IN.

10 THE LAST COMPONENT I AM GOING TO TOUCH ON IS THE
11 HABITAT PROTECTION COMPONENT. THIS IS OF CONSIDERABLE
12 SIGNIFICANCE IN DORCHESTER COUNTY CONTRASTED TO MINERAL
13 RESOURCES. OF COURSE, THE WHOLE COUNTY CAN BE LOOKED AT AS
14 A SIGNIFICANT HABITAT WITH ALL THE WETLANDS AND MARSHLANDS
15 BETWEEN THE TIDAL AREAS, UPLAND AREAS AND SO ON. THIS
16 HAPPENS TO BE THE HEADWATER OF CHURCH CREEK. THIS IS A
17 FAIRLY TYPICAL NON-TIDAL WETLAND FROM A ENVIRONMENTAL
18 STANDPOINT IS VERY IMPORTANT IN TERMS OF SUPPORTING
19 WILDLIFE.

20 SO, THE HABITAT PROTECTION PROGRAM WAS FAIRLY
21 SPECIFIC, CALLING FOR THE PRESERVATION, ESTABLISHMENT AND

1 PRESERVATION OF THE BUFFER AREAS AND THE GENERAL CONTROL OF
2 THE DISTURBANCE OF LAND IN THE TIDAL AND NON-TIDAL
3 WETLANDS. OF COURSE, THE SPECIFIC PROTECTION OF VARIOUS
4 RARE AND ENDANGERED SPECIES -- MY PROBLEM STANDING UP HERE
5 IS I CAN'T READ THE SLIDES BEHIND ME.

6 ONE COMPONENT OF THE PROGRAM THAT I DIDN'T
7 MENTION BEFORE WHICH IS VERY IMPORTANT, IS THE GROWTH
8 ALLOCATION MECHANISM. THIS IS SORT OF A RELIEF VALUE IN
9 THE PROGRAM.

10 IN GENERAL, THE PROGRAM IS GOING TO PLACE
11 RESTRICTIONS ON DEVELOPMENT IN THE CRITICAL AREAS OF ONE
12 KIND OR ANOTHER. IT WILL NOT AFFECT DEVELOPMENT OUTSIDE OF
13 THE CRITICAL AREAS IN THE BULK OF THE COUNTY, BUT IN THE
14 CRITICAL AREAS IT WILL, PARTICULARLY IN THE RESOURCE
15 CONSERVATION AREAS.

16 IN THE LIMITED DEVELOPMENT AREAS DEVELOPMENT CAN
17 PROCEED MORE OR LESS AS IT IS PROCEEDING NOW. YOU WOULD BE
18 PERMITTED TO FILL OUT THE LIMITED DEVELOPMENT AREAS IN
19 TERMS OF LOW DENSITY DEVELOPMENT WITH SOME NEW AND
20 ADDITIONAL RESTRICTIONS IN TERMS OF PROTECTING ENVIRONMENT
21 BUT DEVELOPMENT CAN CONTINUE IN LDA AREAS.

1 IN THE RESOURCE CONSERVATION AREAS, WHICH MAKES
2 UP AN IMPORTANT SIGNIFICANT PORTION OF THE COUNTY THERE
3 ARE, THERE WILL BE NOW VERY SEVERE RESTRICTIONS.
4 DEVELOPMENT WILL BE LIMITED TO ONE UNIT IN 20 ACRES, WHICH
5 IS PRETTY LOW DENSITY COMPARED TO THE EXISTING ZONING. THE
6 RELIEF VALUE IS THAT UP TO FIVE PERCENT OF THE AREA IN
7 RESOURCE CONSERVATION CLASSIFICATION CAN, OVER TIME, BE
8 CONVERTED TO LDA SO DEVELOPMENT COULD THEN PROCEED IN THOSE
9 AREAS.

10 THE AMOUNT OF GROWTH ALLOCATION IS BASED ON FIVE
11 PERCENT IN THE THE AREA IN THE RESOURCE CONVERSATION
12 CLASSIFICATION, EXCLUSIVE OF THE THE LAND THAT IS IN THE
13 WILDLIFE PRESERVES. THAT TOTAL IS ABOUT 16,000 ACRES.

14 THERE ARE VARIOUS FORMULAS THAT WOULD BE
15 AVAILABLE FOR METERING THAT GROWTH ALLOCATION OVER TIME,
16 WHICH WE ARE WORKING ON IN DETAIL IN THE CONSULTING TEAM
17 RIGHT NOW.

18 THE APPROACH TO THAT AS EXPRESSED IN THE PROGRAM
19 THAT HAS BEEN PUBLISHED, IS THAT THE AMOUNT OF LAND THAT IS
20 AVAILABLE FOR DEVELOPMENT, BASED ON THE COUNTY APPROACH TO
21 THE CLASSIFICATION OF THE RESOURCE CONSERVATION AREAS, AND

1 BASED ON THE PRESUMPTION THAT THE AMOUNT OF LAND THAT WOULD
2 BE USED UP FOR DEVELOPMENT WOULD BE BASED ON ONE ACRE PER
3 DWELLING UNIT, WHICH INDICATES THAT THE COUNTY WOULD HAVE A
4 FAIR SUPPLY OF THE LAND FOR THE FORESEEABLE FUTURE. IT
5 WOULD BE UNLIKELY THAT THE AVAILABLE LAND WOULD BE USED UP
6 IN THE NEXT 20 YEARS.

7 HOWEVER, THERE ARE DIFFERENT WAYS OF CALCULATING
8 HOW MUCH LAND IS USED UP IN A GIVEN DEVELOPMENT AND THERE
9 ARE SOME DIFFERENCES IN OPINION AS TO WHAT METHODS SHOULD
10 BE USED. IN GENERAL, THE GROWTH ALLOCATION IS MEANT TO
11 GIVE SOME LATITUDE TO THE COUNTY IN TERMS OF PERMITTING
12 SOME ADDITIONAL DEVELOPMENT IN THE RESOURCE CONSERVATION
13 AREAS.

14 THIS SLIDE BEHIND ME INDICATES IN LITTLE WHITE
15 AND YELLOW SQUARES -- WHICH MIGHT BE DIFFICULT TO SEE FROM
16 BACK THERE -- THE PERMITTED GROWTH IN THE RESOURCE
17 CONSERVATION AREAS THAT IS BASED ON FIVE PERCENT OF THE
18 YELLOW AREA ON THE MAP. IT INDICATES THAT THE AMOUNT OF
19 DEVELOPMENT WOULDN'T BE TERRIBLY GREAT, WOULD BE SCATTERED
20 AROUND, PROBABLY -- UNLESS SOMETHING HAPPENED IN TERMS OF
21 GROWTH RATE IN THE COUNTY -- IT PROBABLY IS A PRETTY GOOD

1 SUPPLY OF PERMITTED GROWTH, PRETTY GOOD HOLDING CAPACITY IN
2 THAT AREA FOR THE FORESEEABLE FUTURE.

3 I THINK THE NEXT TO LAST SLIDE IS SIMPLY A
4 REMINDER THAT ONCE THESE NEW REGULATIONS ARE PUT IN PLACE
5 THERE ALSO HAS TO BE A MECHANISM FOR ADMINISTERING THESE
6 REGULATIONS AND ENFORCING THEM. IF THERE ARE SOME
7 VIOLATIONS, THERE HAVE TO BE MECHANISMS FOR ENFORCEMENTS,
8 PENALTIES AND SO ON, WHICH WILL SHOW UP IN THESE
9 ORDINANCES.

10 AT THIS POINT I WANT TO SWITCH OVER TO THE
11 OVERHEAD PROJECTOR AND SHOW A HANDFULL OF TRANSPARENCIES
12 FOCUSING MORE ON THE SPECIFICS OF THE ORDINANCE
13 REQUIREMENTS.

14 FOR THOSE OF YOU THAT HAVE HAD A CHANCE TO LOOK
15 AT WHAT I HAVE BEEN REFERRING TO AS VOLUME ONE, YOU MAY
16 HAVE HAD A CHANCE TO GO THROUGH THE TABLE OF CONTENTS OF
17 THAT TO SEE THAT THAT RUNS PRETTY PARALLEL TO WHAT I HAVE
18 BEEN TRYING TO DESCRIBE TONIGHT.

19 IF YOU HAVE HAD A CHANCE TO LOOK AT VOLUME TWO
20 AND SEEN THE TABLE OF CONTENTS, YOU WOULD HAVE SEEN THIS
21 LIST OF WHAT IS CONTAINED IN VOLUME TWO RIGHT NOW IS

1 PRIMARILY A AND B ON THIS LIST. THE ZONING ORDINANCE
2 REVISIONS, WHICH WOULD CREATE A SPECIAL OVERLAY DISTRICT
3 FOR THE CRITICAL AREAS, THAT WOULD IMPOSE AN ADDITIONAL SET
4 OF REGULATIONS IN ADDITION TO THE PRESENT ZONING
5 REGULATIONS.

6 THE REST OF THESE ITEMS ON THIS LIST, C THROUGH
7 J, AS I SAID, ARE BEING WORKED ON, ARE IN VARIOUS STAGES OF
8 PRELIMINARY OR FINAL DRAFT AND WE HOPE TO COMPLETE ALL THE
9 WORK ON THESE OTHER COMPONENTS WITHIN THE NEXT MONTH.

10 THERE IS ONE PAGE IN THE SUMMARY HANDOUT WHICH I
11 THINK IS PARTICULARLY USEFUL IN TERMS OF SUMMING UP WHAT
12 THIS PROGRAM IS REALLY ALL ABOUT. OVER IN THE LEFT-HAND
13 COLUMN YOU SEE A SERIES OF HEADINGS WHICH REPRESENT THE
14 ACTIVITIES THAT ARE, IN EFFECT, GOING TO BE REGULATED BY
15 THIS PROGRAM SUCH AS URBAN DEVELOPMENT, FORESTRY,
16 AGRICULTURE, SURFACE MINING AND THE HABITATS. MAYBE
17 REGULATION IS THE WRONG EXPRESSION THERE. THEY ARE
18 RESOURCES' SPECIAL CONCERN.

19 SO, THE THRUST OF THE PROGRAM IS TO REGULATE
20 THESE ACTIVITIES. TO TRANSLATE THAT INTO SOME KIND OF
21 MEANINGFUL TOOL, TO ME MEANS THAT IN TERMS OF URBAN

1 DEVELOPMENT, THE COUNTY MUST CREATE THIS OVERLAY ZONE WHICH
2 WOULD BECOME IN ADDITION TO THE ZONING ORDINANCE. IT HAS
3 TO REVISE THE SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS, REVISE THE SEWER
4 WATER MASTER PLANS FOR THE COUNTY, AND ANYTHING ELSE THAT
5 IS RELATED TO THE REGULATION OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT.

6 FOR FORESTRY, WE ARE IN THE PROCESSES OF
7 CREATING A WHOLE NEW ORDINANCE WHICH IS INTENDED TO
8 REGULATE FORESTRY MANAGEMENT AND THE HARVESTING OF THE
9 FOREST PRODUCTS.

10 SIMILARLY UNDER AGRICULTURE, WE ARE UNDER THE
11 MIDST OF DEVELOPING A WHOLE NEW ORDINANCE WHICH IS SIMPLY
12 INTENDED TO REGULATE THE ACTIVITY OF AGRICULTURE IN THE
13 CRITICAL AREAS.

14 SAME FOR SURFACE MINING.

15 THEN, UNDER THE HABITAT PROTECTION CATEGORY, THE
16 PROTECTION OF THESE IMPORTANT HABITATS HAS TO BE WOVEN BACK
17 INTO THE OTHER ORDINANCES, INTO THE ORDINANCE REGULATING
18 FOREST, THE ONE REGULATING AGRICULTURE AND THE ONES FOR
19 URBAN DEVELOPMENT.

20 THE NEXT TWO COLUMNS, RIGHT-HAND TWO COLUMNS,
21 YOU SEE THAT WE HAVE INDICATED, AT LEAST TENTATIVELY, WHAT

1 AGENCY IN THE COUNTY IS GOING TO BE RESPONSIBLE FOR
2 ADMINISTERING THAT PROGRAM.

3 FOR INSTANCE, ANYTHING HAVING TO DO WITH
4 DEVELOPING ACTIVITY WOULD BE ADMINISTERED BY THE COUNTY
5 PLANNING COMMISSION OR PLANNING STAFF AND THE ENFORCEMENT
6 OF THAT WOULD ALSO BE A RESPONSIBILITY OF THE COUNTY
7 PLANNING OFFICE.

8 FOR FORESTRY, THE GENERAL ADMINISTRATION WOULD
9 BE IN THE HANDS OF THE BAY WATERSHED FORESTER, COUNTY
10 FORESTRY BOARD, AND THE SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE.

11 ENFORCEMENT, IN TERMS OF ANY VIOLATIONS, WOULD BE IN THE
12 HANDS OF THE COUNTY HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT OR STATE DEPARTMENT
13 OF NATURAL RESOURCES.

14 FOR AGRICULTURE THE ADMINISTRATION WOULD BE WITH
15 THE COUNTY SOIL CONSERVATION DISTRICT. ENFORCEMENT -- THIS
16 IS PROBABLY A LITTLE CONTROVERSIAL -- WOULD BE IN THE HANDS
17 OF THE COUNTY PLANNING AND ZONING OFFICE AND STATE
18 DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES.

19 SURFACE MINING WOULD BE ADMINISTERED BY COUNTY
20 SOIL CONSERVATION DISTRICT AND ENFORCEMENT WOULD BE IN THE
21 HANDS OF THE COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT AND SO ON DOWN THE

1 LIST.

2 I GUESS THE POINT IS, IF YOU HAVE TALKED ABOUT
3 THESE SORT OF PROGRAMS IN GENERAL TERMS SOONER OR LATER
4 THAT HAS TO TAKE THE FORM OF LEGISLATION. THE LEGISLATION
5 THEN REQUIRES AN ACTIVE ADMINISTRATION AND SOME MECHANISM
6 FOR ENFORCEMENT.

7 WHEN WE ARE ALL DONE WE WOULD HAVE WORKED OUR
8 WAY THROUGH THIS ENTIRE CHART AND IT'S AT THAT POINT THE
9 CONSULTANT TEAM LEFT BEHIND WHAT WE THINK WOULD BE
10 APPROPRIATE ORDINANCES TO ADMINISTER THE PROGRAM.

11 THAT IS THE END OF MY PRESENTATION. I WILL TURN
12 IT BACK OVER TO MR. TRAVERS.

13 MR. TRAVERS: AT THIS TIME WE ARE GOING TO CALL
14 ON THOSE THAT HAVE SIGNED UP TO SPEAK AND AFTER WE GO
15 THROUGH THE LIST WE WILL OPEN UP TO ANYONE WHO WISHES TO BE
16 HEARD.

17 FIRST JOSEPH LAYTON COME TO THE MIKE UP FRONT
18 HERE, PLEASE.

19 MR. LAYTON: GOOD EVENING. I AM JOE LAYTON,
20 JUNIOR. I AM CHAIRMAN OF THE DORCHESTER CHAMBER OF
21 COMMERCE AND AGRICULTURE COMMITTEE. I WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK

1 ON HOW THIS ORDINANCE AFFECTS AGRICULTURE.

2 FIRST, I ALSO SPOKE IN SEPTEMBER WHEN WE HAD THE
3 PREVIOUS HEARING. I BROUGHT UP SEVERAL SPECIFIC ISSUES
4 THEN. IN GOING THROUGH THIS ORDINANCE, I SEE THAT ALL THE
5 ISSUES I BROUGHT UP AT THAT TIME WERE ADDRESSED AND WERE
6 CHANGED AND I SINCERELY APPRECIATE YOUR EFFORT ON THE
7 THINGS THAT I HAVE BROUGHT UP BEFORE.

8 NOW, FIRST, ON PAGE 44 IN VOLUME ONE UNDER THE
9 AGRICULTURE PROTECTION PLAN POLICIES, IN THE SECOND
10 PARAGRAPH WE HAVE A SENTENCE WHICH READS, "LAND WHICH MET
11 AGRICULTURAL USE CRITERIA AND WAS PLACED IN THE U.S.
12 DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE ACREAGE REDUCTION PROGRAM BEFORE
13 DECEMBER 23, 1985 WILL BE CONSIDERED TO BE IN TILLAGE FOR
14 THE PURPOSES OF THESE REGULATIONS", AND I WOULD LIKE TO
15 PROPOSE THAT WE DO AWAY WITH THE DATE.

16 I THINK THE PURPOSE OF THIS SENTENCE IS TO SAY
17 THAT LAND THAT IS IN THE SET ASIDE PROGRAM IS REALLY
18 TILLABLE AGRICULTURAL LAND WHICH IS TEMPORARILY OUT OF
19 PRODUCTION. I DON'T THINK THERE IS ANY DIFFERENCE IN LAND
20 THAT WAS PUT IN THESE PROGRAMS BEFORE DECEMBER 23, '85 OR
21 AFTER THAT. LAND THAT HAS BEEN PUT IN AFTER THAT, WHETHER

1 '86 OR '87, OR THIS COMING YEAR, IS STILL TILLABLE
2 AGRICULTURAL LAND AND SHOULD CONTINUE TO BE CONSIDERED
3 AGRICULTURAL LAND.

4 SO, I WOULD LIKE TO PROPOSE THAT WE TAKE THE
5 DATE OUT AND JUST HAVE IT READ, LAND WHICH HAS MET
6 AGRICULTURAL USE CRITERIA AND WAS PLACED IN THE U.S.
7 DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE ACREAGE REDUCTION PROGRAM WILL BE
8 CONSIDERED TO BE TILLABLE FOR THE PURPOSE OF THESE
9 REGULATIONS.

10 THE SECOND THING I WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS IS,
11 PAGE 74 WHICH IS THE CHART WHICH MR. DAY WAS DISCUSSING
12 BEFORE, IMPLEMENTATION, ACTIONS AND RESPONSIBILITIES. THE
13 PART THAT I WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS IS PROGRAM ENFORCEMENT.

14 IF WE TURN TO THE PAGE AFTER THAT PAGE, WHICH
15 WOULD BE 75, IT STARTS READING, "THE CRITICAL AREAS LAW
16 STIPULATES THAT ENFORCEMENT OF LOCAL PROTECTION PROGRAMS IS
17 A LOCAL RESPONSIBILITY." HOWEVER, ON THIS CHART IT SHOWS
18 SEVERAL OF THE AREAS, INCLUDING FOREST AND AGRICULTURE WITH
19 ENFORCEMENT RESPONSIBILITY WITH DNR AND NOT COUNTY
20 AGENCIES.

21 I BELIEVE THAT THE PROGRAM ENFORCEMENT SHOULD BE

1 DONE BY THE COUNTY IN ALL OF THE PROGRAMS. I THINK, AS A
2 LANDOWNER, IT WOULD BE EASIER TO DEAL WITH LOCAL AGENCIES
3 AND, I THINK, ALL OF US IN THE COUNTY WOULD BE BETTER ABLE
4 TO SOLVE OUR PROBLEMS IF WE KEPT THEM WITHIN THE COUNTY. I
5 THINK THAT, ACCORDING TO THE STATEMENT ON THE SUCCEEDING
6 PAGE, IS WITHIN THE LAW.

7 IF WE MAKE THOSE TWO CHANGES, I THINK THE
8 PROGRAM WILL BE A BETTER PROGRAM FOR AGRICULTURE. I CAN'T
9 SAY THAT IT IS ONE I WOULD SUPPORT. I SINCERELY BELIEVE
10 THAT THIS IS A PROGRAM WHICH OVER TIME WILL STRANGLE MY
11 ABILITY TO MAKE A LIVING IN FARMING.

12 HOWEVER, I ALSO THINK THAT THOSE OF YOU ON OUR
13 COUNTY AGENCIES AND OUR VARIOUS COUNTY GOVERNMENTAL PEOPLE
14 HAVE DONE THE BEST YOU CAN TO PROVIDE A PROGRAM WHICH IS
15 LIVABLE FOR AGRICULTURE, AND FOR THAT, I THANK YOU.

16 MR. TRAVERS: THANK YOU, MR. LAYTON, YOUR
17 COMMENTS WILL BE TAKEN INTO CONSIDERATION.

18 NEXT GENTLEMEN IS MR. PAUL PHILLIPS.

19 MR. PHILLIPS: PAUL PHILLIPS, WOOLFORD ON LITTLE
20 CHOPTANK. I WANT TO THANK ALL OF YOU THAT HAVE WORKED ON
21 THIS. YOU HAVE DONE AN EXCELENT JOB.

1 MY QUESTION IS TO THE TECHNICAL PEOPLE. I WANT
2 TO KNOW IF YOU HAVE A UNIT OF MEASURE. FOR EXAMPLE, JUST
3 ABOUT EVERYTHING HAS A UNIT OF MEASURE, WHEN YOU ARE GOING
4 TO TRY TO MEASURE ANY ACTIVITY, CORN WE SELL BY THE BUSHEL.
5 YOU DON'T SELL IT BY THE EAR, YOU DON'T SELL IT BY THE ROW.
6 WAGES, THE MINIMUM WAGES LAW SET UP A UNIT OF MEASURE, YOU
7 CAN WORK PIECEWORK OR ANYTHING YOU WANT BUT IT HAS TO PAY
8 THE MINIMUM WAGE BY THE HOUR.

9 IF YOU HAVE A UNIT OF MEASURE, I HAVEN'T SEEN
10 ANYTHING ABOUT THIS. IN OTHER WORDS, IF X WOULD BE THE
11 MAXIMUM AMOUNT OF THIS UNIT, THIS UNIT OF MEASURE THAT YOUR
12 ACTIVITY WILL ALLOW, THEN X MINUS ONE WOULD BE VERY NEAR A
13 VIOLATION. X MINUS 100 WOULD BE PRETTY NEAR IN THE CLEAR.
14 IF YOU DID A CERTAIN ACTIVITY AND THIS WENT TO X MINUS 50,
15 THEN YOU KNOW THAT YOU ARE DOING SOMETHING WRONG. WHAT IS
16 YOUR UNIT OF MEASURE?

17 MR. TRAVERS: MR. BOSTIAN, WOULD YOU LIKE TO
18 ADDRESS THAT?

19 MR. PHILLIPS: I THINK THE TECHNICAL PEOPLE
20 SHOULD DO THIS, THESE ARE THE ONES THAT HAVE BEEN WORKING
21 WITH THIS.

1 MR. TRAVERS: MR. DODD, CAN YOU MAKE ANY COMMENT
2 ON THIS?

3 MR. DODD: UNIT OF MEASURE OF WHAT?

4 MR. TRAVERS: YOUR QUESTION, THEY WOULD LIKE TO
5 KNOW WHAT YOUR QUESTION ON MEASURING, WHAT ARE YOU TRYING
6 TO MEASURE?

7 MR. PHILLIPS: WE ARE TALKING ABOUT PUTTING
8 POLLUTANTS IN THE CHESAPEAKE BAY AND THE TIDAL TRIBUTARIES,
9 RIGHT? THEN HOW DO YOU MEASURE THIS? HOW DO YOU MEASURE
10 WHAT IS PUT IN THESE UNDESIRABLE POLLUTANTS INTO THE TIDAL
11 WATER?

12 MR. BOSTIAN: MAYBE THERE IS A MISUNDERSTANDING.
13 THIS IS NOT, AT LEAST AS I UNDERSTAND IT, NOT A QUESTION
14 AND ANSWER SESSION. IF YOU HAVE A SPECIFIC QUESTION THAT
15 YOU NEED ANSWERED, WHAT I WOULD SUGGEST, I SUGGEST THAT YOU
16 TALK TO MR. DODD AND MR. DAY SPECIFICALLY ABOUT A QUESTION
17 THAT YOU MAY HAVE IN THEIR OFFICE AT A DIFFERENT TIME.

18 I THINK THE PURPOSE OF THIS HEARING IS TO
19 COMMENT ON WHAT YOU HAVE SEEN. IF YOU THINK THERE IS A
20 PROBLEM WITH THE WAY THEY ARE MEASURING THINGS, YOU SHOULD
21 SAY, I BELIEVE THERE IS A PROBLEM MEASURING, HOW YOU GO

1 ABOUT DOING SOMETHING AND SAY WHAT YOU THINK MAYBE OUGHT TO
2 BE THE MEASURE AND TALK TO THEM IN THEIR OFFICE.

3 MR. PHILLIPS: LET ME CHANGE MY QUESTION. I
4 SUGGEST THAT INTO THIS REPORT WE HAVE A UNIT OF MEASURE SO
5 THAT WE WILL KNOW WHAT WE ARE DOING, OKAY.

6 MR. TRAVERS: MR. PHILLIPS AND MR. DODD WILL
7 TAKE YOUR QUESTION INTO CONSIDERATION AND SEE IF ANY
8 INFORMATION CAN BE BROUGHT BACK TO YOU OR HE WILL CALL YOU
9 IN AN EXPLAIN IT TO YOU.

10 MARK EBERPARKER.

11 MR. EBERPARKER: FIRST, I WOULD LIKE TO SAY
12 THANKS FOR HAVING US HERE AND GIVING THIS OPPORTUNITY FOR
13 PEOPLE TO COMMENT ON, IF THEY HAVE SOMETHING ON THEIR
14 CHEST, THEY OUGHT TO SAY IT TONIGHT.

15 THE FIRST THING I WOULD LIKE TO SAY IS, I AGREE
16 WITH EVERYTHING MR. LAYTON SAID. I MAKE MY LIVING AS A
17 FARMER, ALSO AND I THINK HE WAS RIGHT ON TARGET. A COUPLE
18 OTHER THINGS I WOULD LIKE TO ADD IN THAT REGARDS, THE FOCUS
19 OF MY COMMENT IS MY INVOLVEMENT AS A TAXPAYER IN THE
20 COUNTY. I'M LOOKING AT THIS REPORT, SIMILAR TO MR. LAYTON
21 DID, I AM NOT SURE THAT I AGREE WITH IT ALL BUT IT IS

1 PROBABLY THE BEST THING WE ARE GOING TO HAVE BUT IN TERMS
2 OF THE COUNTY GIVING ITS ENDORSEMENT TO IT AS IT IS
3 WRITTEN, I WOULD LIKE FOR THEM TO CONSIDER A COUPLE THINGS
4 IN THE FUTURE.

5 SPECIFICALLY AS A TAXPAYER, I AM WORRIED THAT I
6 AM GOING TO HAVE TO PAY FOR THIS, NOT THE STATE OF
7 MARYLAND. THE STATE OF MARYLAND SHOVED THIS DOWN THE
8 EASTERN SHORE'S THROAT BUT THERE IS GOING TO BE AN AWFUL
9 LOT OF FINANCIAL RESPONSIBILITY DIRECTED AT THE COUNTY.

10 WE ARE GOING TO HAVE TO HIRE A WHOLE NEW
11 BUREAUCRACY OF PEOPLE TO ENFORCE THIS AS IT IS WRITTEN.

12 WE ARE GOING TO HAVE AREAS IN THE COUNTY WHICH
13 MAY BE DESIGNATED AS NATURAL HERITAGE AREAS.

14 THERE MAY BE AREAS IN THE COUNTY WHICH UNDER THE
15 GUIDELINES IN THIS REPORT MAY BE GIVEN EASEMENTS ON AND
16 THESE AREAS WOULD BE TAKEN OFF THE COUNTY TAX ROLLS.
17 SOMEBODY'S GOING TO HAVE TO PICK UP THE SLACK. I DON'T
18 THINK DORCHESTER COUNTY, NOT WANTING THIS ORDINANCE SHOULD
19 HAVE TO PAY IN THIS REGARD. I THINK THE STATE OF MARYLAND
20 SHOULD HAVE TO PICK UP SOME OF THE FINANCIAL TAB ON THIS.
21 I HOPE IF YOU ARE GOING TO GIVE AN ENDORSEMENT TO THIS

1 BOOKLET, THAT DOWN THE ROAD YOU HAVE THE STRENGTH TO TELL
2 THE STATE, LOOK, YOU ARE GOING TO HAVE TO PICK UP THE TAB
3 ON IT IF YOU WANT IT.

4 THE LAST THING I WOULD LIKE TO SAY IS, IS THAT
5 THIS NEW BUREAUCRACY IS GOING TO BE CREATED TO ENFORCE
6 THIS, I HOPE THAT YOU PEOPLE CAN MAKE IT SO THAT THINGS CAN
7 BE DONE WITHOUT A LOT OF RED TAPE. SIMILAR TO WHAT MR.
8 LAYTON SAID, IF WE CAN COMMUNICATE ON A LOCAL LEVEL, NOT
9 HAVING TO BE DOING A LOT OF LETTER WRITING, THINGS WOULD BE
10 SMOOTHER FOR EVERYONE CONCERNED. THANK YOU.

11 MR. TRAVERS: THANK YOU. WE KNOW EXACTLY WHAT
12 YOU ARE SAYING ABOUT THE FUNDING. THE COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
13 HAVE ADDRESS THIS, AND THE COMMISSION AND THE DELEGATION,
14 THAT WE FEEL THAT SHOULD BE CONTINUED TO BE FUNDED THROUGH
15 THE STATE AND NOT LOCAL GOVERNMENT.

16 OUR NEXT SPEAKER IS DONALD HEINTZELMAN.

17 MR. HEINTZELMAN: MY NAME IS DON HEINTZELMAN. I
18 LIVE IN WOOLFORD, MARYLAND. BEING I CAN'T ASK ANY
19 QUESTIONS THIS EVENING, I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A COUPLE
20 STATEMENTS ABOUT THE COST AND I TOTALLY AGREE WITH
21 MR. EBERPARKER.

1 ONE PART THAT HE DIDN'T BRING UP, THAT IS THE
2 ECONOMIC IMPACT TO THE COUNTY. NOWHERE IN THE STUDY AND
3 NOWHERE IN THE DOCUMENTS THAT YOU HAVE PASSED OUT HAS
4 ANYBODY ADDRESSED THE ECONOMIC IMPACT TO THE COUNTY, NOT
5 ONLY IN WHAT IT IS GOING TO COST US IN DIRECT COST IN
6 DOLLARS AND CENTS TO INCREASE THE TAXES AND SO FORTH,
7 WHAT'S IT GOING TO COST OUR INDUSTRIES SUCH AS AGRICULTURE?
8 WHAT'S IT GOING TO COST OUR INDUSTRIES SUCH AS FARMING?
9 WHAT'S IT GOING TO COST OUT INDUSTRIES IN THE WATER? THESE
10 ARE THREE PRIMARY INDUSTRIES IN THIS COUNTY. NOBODY'S PAID
11 ANY ATTENTION TO THE ECONOMIC IMPACT OF THIS.

12 I THINK BEFORE ANYTHING IS SIGNED, BEFORE
13 ANYTHING IS A BILL THAT'S FORCING THIS TO HAPPEN IN THIS
14 COUNTY THIS DEFINITELY NEEDS SOME ATTENTION AND A LOT OF
15 ATTENTION NEEDS TO BE PAID TO THIS. IT IS NOT ANYTHING WE
16 CAN JUST PASS OFF, THIS IS A TREMENDOUS DOLLAR FIGURE WE'RE
17 TALKING ABOUT. I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE DOLLAR FIGURE IS, AND
18 I HAVE ASKED AND NOBODY ELSE SEEMS TO KNOW OR EVEN
19 ATTEMPTED TO APPROACH THE ANSWER TO THAT.

20 MR. TRAVERS: DON, YOU'RE RIGHT AND YOU HAVE
21 ASKED THIS QUESTION MANY TIMES AT COUNTY COMMISSION

1 MEETINGS AND ALSO THE CRITICAL AREAS COMMISSION HAS BEEN
2 ALSO UNABLE TO ADDRESS THIS QUESTION. THEY DO NOT HAVE A
3 FIGURE ON IT. THEY DON'T KNOW WHAT THE COST IS GOING TO
4 BE. WE KNOW IT IS GOING TO BE A LOT OF COST INVOLVED BUT
5 TO ANSWER YOUR QUESTION, WE HAVE NOT GOTTEN AN ANSWER AND
6 THE COMMISSION ON THE CRITICAL AREAS HAS NOT COME UP WITH
7 AN ANSWER.

8 MR. HEINTZELMAN: JUST TO FOLLOW UP WITH THAT, I
9 DON'T UNDERSTAND HOW WE CAN PROCEED ANY FURTHER THAN WHAT
10 WE HAVE DONE WITHOUT AN ANSWER TO THAT QUESTION. THANK
11 YOU.

12 MR. TRAVERS: WE DON'T HAVE ANY CHOICE. THE
13 STATE MANDATED IT.

14 MR. HEINTZELMAN: I HAVE TO COME BACK ON THAT.
15 I THINK THE COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AND OUR COUNTY GOVERNMENT
16 HAS STARTED TO SAY, WE DON'T HAVE ANY CHOICE AND THERE IS
17 NO FURTHER ACTION, THIS IS WHAT WE HAVE GOT TO DO.

18 I HAVE BEEN TAUGHT IN LIFE THAT THERE IS NO
19 ABSOLUTE. THERE'S THINGS WE CAN DO ABOUT IT AND I AM
20 REQUESTING YOU, AS THE COUNTY COMMISSIONERS, TO DO THOSE
21 THINGS AND TO MAKE PROGRESS TOWARDS THAT IN OPPOSING THESE

1 SITUATIONS AND GETTING THESE ANSWERS TO THESE QUESTIONS.
2 SAYING THIS IS WHAT WE HAVE GOT TO DO, I DON'T BELIEVE WE
3 HAVE GOT TO DO IT. NOBODY IS STANDING OVER ME WITH A WHIP
4 AND TELLING ME I CAN'T CUT THE GRASS IN MY BACKYARD. DON'T
5 TELL ME I HAVE GOT TO DO IT OR WE HAVE TO DO IT. THIS IS
6 SOMETHING THAT CAN BE WORKED OUT AND I THINK IT CAN BE
7 WORKED OUT BUT TO TAKE A COP-OUT IN SAYING THE STATE IS
8 MANDATING THIS, THAT IS NOT A REALLY GOOD ANSWER, I FEEL,
9 FROM THE COUNTY OFFICIALS.

10 MR. TRAVERS: THE NEXT ONE IS NATHAN SCHUYLER.

11 PASS? OKAY.

12 THE NEXT ONE IS NORMAN SCHUYLER.

13 MR. SCHUYLER: MY NAME IS NORMAN SCHUYLER FROM
14 CROOKEDS NECK. I'VE GOT TWO OR THREE THINGS I WOULD LIKE TO
15 SAY. I AM 24 YEARS OLD. I STARTED FARMING ON MY OWN. I
16 FARM, I TRAP, I FISH, I RUN HUNTING GUIDE, NOW EACH YEAR
17 YOU ALL ARE TAKING MORE AND MORE AWAY FROM US, THE
18 DO-GOODERS OR WHOEVER IT IS.

19 NOW THE AREA THAT I LIVE IN, YOU GOT TO BUY 20
20 ACRES TO LIVE. I'M NOT RICH AND A LOT OF PEOPLE MY AGE
21 AREN'T RICH. HOW CAN WE AFFORD TO BUY 20 ACRES TO PUT A

1 HOUSE ON. HOW CAN WE DO THAT? THERE IS NOBODY IN HERE
2 THAT IS GOING TO HELP US. IF YOU AIN'T GOT A FATHER OR
3 MOTHER THAT'S GOT A LOT OF LAND OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT WHO
4 CAN GIVE YOU COLLATERAL, THERE IS NO WAY THAT A BANK OR ANY
5 OTHER PLACE CAN HELP YOU OUT. SO WHAT DO YOU DO?

6 ANOTHER THING, ON THE POLLUTION OF AGRICULTURE
7 IN THE BAY, I FARM A FARM DOWN THE HUDSON. IF I DIDN'T
8 TURN MY TRACTOR I WOULD RUN IT RIGHT IN THE BAY. IT'S JUST
9 THAT CLOSE. EACH SUMMER THERE IS GRASS IN THE BAY NEAR TO
10 YOUR WAIST. YOU CAN'T WALK WITH IT. YOU CATCH SOFT CRABS
11 JUST AS HARD AS YOU CAN DIP THEM. I SPRAY CHEMICALS ON THE
12 GROUND TO KILL THE WEEDS LIKE EVERYBODY ELSE. HOW COME IT
13 DOESN'T KILL THAT.

14 SENATOR MARCUS SAID THE EASTERN SHORE'S POLLUTES
15 THREE PERCENT OF THE BAY. THE WESTERN SHORE IS 53 PERCENT.
16 YOU'VE GOT THESE BIG CHEMICAL PLANTS PUSHING CHEMICALS INTO
17 THE BAY FROM BALTIMORE, HOW COME THEY'RE EXEMPT FROM IT?
18 IS IT FAIR SHOIVING THIS DOWN OUR THROATS. THREE PERCENT
19 VERSUS 53 PERCENT.

20 STOP THEM THEN WE WILL WORK WITH YOU. YOU'RE
21 SHOIVING IT DOWN THE WRONG PEOPLES THROATS. THERE IS TOO

1 MUCH MONEY ON THAT SIDE OF THE BAY INSTEAD OF THIS SIDE.

2 THAT'S ALL I HAVE TO SAY. THANK YOU.

3 MR. TRAVERS: I THINK OUR SENATOR HAS GOT A BILL
4 IN NOW IN THIS SESSION TO MANDATE THAT ALL COUNTIES IN THE
5 WHOLE STATE BE INCLUDED. WHETHER IT'S PASSED OR NOT -- BUT
6 IT IS IN THERE.

7 MR. DODD: I WANTED TO ADDRESS THE FIRST
8 STATEMENT, THAT GENTLEMEN POSED AND MADE THE STATEMENT THAT
9 HE WOULD HAVE TO BUY A 20 ACRE PARCEL TO BUILD A HOUSE ON.
10 THAT IS A COMMON MISCONCEPTION ABOUT THE CRITICAL AREAS
11 PROGRAM. WE ARE TALKING ABOUT A DENSITY ISSUE HERE, IN
12 OTHER WORDS, TALKING ABOUT THE NUMBER OF DWELLING UNITS ON
13 A PARTICULAR PARCEL OF LAND.

14 IF, FOR EXAMPLE, IF YOU WERE LOOKING TO CREATE,
15 SUBDIVIDE A NEW PARCEL OF LAND IN THE RESOURCE CONSERVATION
16 AREA, YOU WOULD NOT BE REQUIRED, NOR WOULD WE ENCOURAGE YOU
17 TO CREATE A 20 ACRE PARCEL OF LAND. I THINK THAT IS A
18 PRETTY INEFFICIENT SIZE, TOO BIG FOR SOME, TOO SMALL FOR
19 OTHERS. BASICALLY WHAT WE ARE LOOKING AT IS A DENSITY
20 WHERE YOU COULD SUBDIVIDE A TWO ACRE PIECE OR THREE ACRE
21 PIECE, WHATEVER SIZE THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT AND ZONING WOULD

1 ALLOW YOU TO HAVE.

2 WHAT WE ARE LOOKING AT IS THAT THE REMAINDER OF
3 THE LAND OR THE PARCEL THAT YOU ARE CREATING, THE REMAINDER
4 WOULD BE SUCH THAT THE NUMBER OF HOMES ON IT DIVIDED BY THE
5 TOTAL SIZE WOULD NOT EXCEED ONE UNIT PER 20 ACRES.

6 DON'T TRY TO GO OUT TO BUY A 20 ACRE PARCEL
7 BECAUSE YOU FEEL YOU HAVE TO. YOU DON'T HAVE TO.

8 FROM THE FLOOR: THE DOCUMENT STATES ONE HOUSE
9 IN 20 ACRES.

10 MR. DODD: THAT IS A DENSITY SIZE, NOT PARCEL
11 SIZE.

12 LET'S SAY YOU OWN A FARM IN THE CRITICAL AREAS
13 THAT IS 100 ACRES, A 100 ACRES FARM, THERE ARE NO HOMES ON
14 IT RIGHT NOW. IF YOU HAD COME IN AND WANTED TO SUBDIVIDE,
15 YOU WOULD NOT BE REQUIRED TO CREATE FIVE 20 ACRE PARCELS.
16 YOU WOULD BE ALLOWED TO CREATE, DEPENDING ON THE ZONING,
17 FIVE PARCELS WITH ONE ACRE OR FIVE PARCELS WITH TWO ACRES
18 ON WHICH YOU COULD BUILD HOMES. THE REMAINDER OF THAT
19 PARCEL MUST BE LEFT IN UNIMPROVED OPEN SPACE, CONTINUE TO
20 FARM IT OR FORESTRY, WHATEVER USE IS ON THERE RIGHT NOW.

21 FROM THE FLOOR: HE IS STARTING WITH NOTHING.

1 HE WANT'S TO BUY LAND TO BUY A HOUSE. IN ORDER TO DO THAT
2 HE'S GOT TO BUY 20 ACRES OF LAND BEFORE HE CAN PUT ONE
3 HOUSE ON IT.

4 MR. DODD: HE DOES NOT HAVE TO CREATE A TWENTY
5 ACRE PARCEL IN ORDER TO BUILD A HOME IN THE CRITICAL AREA.

6 MR. TRAVERS: THIS IS ALL WE ARE GOING TO HAVE
7 ON THE LIST. IF ANYONE WANTS TO COME UP AND BE HEARD JUST
8 RAISE YOUR HAND AND COME TO THE MIKE.

9 PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME.

10 MS. METZ: MY NAME IS JULIE METZ AND I AM A
11 RESIDENT OF THE TOWN OF CAMBRIDGE. I AM SPEAKING ON BEHALF
12 OF THE CRITICAL AREAS COMMISSIONER. I THINK IT IS A VERY
13 GOOD SET OF ORDINANCES THAT THEY ARE PASSING IN THE STATE
14 TO PRESERVE WATER QUALITY IN THE CHESAPEAKE BAY. I
15 UNDERSTAND THAT CERTAIN COUNTIES, NAMELY DORCHESTER COUNTY,
16 ARE DEFINITELY TAKING A BEATING BECAUSE PROBABLY 90 PERCENT
17 OF THIS COUNTY IS DESIGNATED RCA.

18 WHAT YOU PEOPLE MUST REMEMBER, IF YOU WANT TO
19 CONTINUE WITH THE RESOURCES THAT YOU MAKE YOUR LIVING OFF
20 OF, NAMELY THE WATER AND THE AGRICULTURE, YOU ARE GOING TO
21 HAVE TO GIVE A LITTLE BIT BECAUSE THE BAY IS SUFFERING VERY

1 BAD. YOU CAN'T EXPECT THE WATER IN THE BAY TO STAY THE
2 SAME AS IT WAS 50 YEARS AGO WITH THE POPULATION GROWTH THAT
3 HAS HAPPENED IN THE CHESAPEAKE BAY WATERSHED. THANK YOU.

4 MR. SCHUYLER: NORMAN SCHUYLER, AGAIN. THE LADY
5 JUST UP HERE BACK ON THIS THING WITH THE CRITICAL AREAS,
6 WHATEVER YOU WANT TO CALL IT, I AM IN THE MARSH EVERY DAY.
7 I DON'T SEE NO CHANGES. PEOPLE HERE LOVE THEIR PLACE. WE
8 DON'T WANT TO TEAR IT UP OR DESTROY IT. THE LAST MEETING
9 WE HAD THE THING ABOUT THE EAGLES, THEY'VE INCREASED.

10 NOW THE COUNTY OR THE STATE OF MARYLAND HAS GOT
11 THIS BRAINSTORM ABOUT HOW THEY ARE GOING TO DRAIN THE
12 MARSHES AND KILL THE MOSQUITOES. GOD GOT IT RIGHT FIRST.
13 HE'S THE BEST. YOU DON'T HAVE TO IMPROVE ON HIM. THEY
14 HAVE GOT MACHINES DIGGING TRENCHES IN THE MARSH TO LET THE
15 MINNOWS IN TO GET THE MOSQUITOE LARVAE AT HIGH TIDE AND
16 WHEN THE TIDE GOES IN IT'S SUPPOSED TO HOLD WATER. YOU CAN
17 GO DOWN THERE TO ANY ISLAND AND SEE ALL THEIR EFFECTS WHICH
18 IT DON'T WORK, THE WATER STAGNATES. THAT'S THE STATE OF
19 MARYLAND PROTECTING US FOR YOU.

20 WE ARE REGULATED TO THE EYEBALLS RIGHT NOW ON
21 FISHING, CRABBING, TRAPPING, HUNTING, YOU NAME IT, THE

1 STATE OF MARYLAND HAS GOT THEIR FINGERS IN IT. WHAT WE ARE
2 SAYING HERE DOESN'T MATTER BECAUSE IT NEVER DOES AT ANY OF
3 THESE MEETINGS. WHEN THEY PASS THIS, WHAT IS THE NEXT
4 WAIVE THAT COMES IN? WHAT'S THE NEXT WAIVE OF REGULATIONS
5 AND STUFF BECAUSE WITH THE FISHING THEY ASK US, WELL, GO
6 ALONG WITH THE REDUCTION OF THE NETS WE WON'T CLOSE YOU
7 DOWN. SO, WE DO THAT, WHAT DO THEY DO, THEY CLOSE US DOWN.
8 WHAT'S THE NEXT THING? WHAT ARE THEY GOING TO DO NEXT? I
9 DON'T MEAN TO GET UP HERE AND RUN MY MOUTH OFF AT
10 EVERYBODY, BUT I HAVE TO LIVE HERE. I WON'T BE ABLE TO
11 DRIVE DOWN THE ROAD. THEY ARE GOING TO REGULATE US TO
12 DEATH. THANK YOU.

13 MR. TRAVERS: ANYONE ELSE?

14 MR. HEINTZELMAN: I WOULD LIKE TO STEP BACK TO
15 THE ONE IN 20 ACRES DENSITY. I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU ARE
16 SAYING, IS THAT IT IS A DENSITY, IF WE HAVE A 100 ACRE FARM
17 WE CAN BUILD FIVE HOUSES. THE KEY IS, THIS FARMER HAS A
18 100 ACRE FARM AND PUTS THE FIVE HOUSES ON IT AND NOW HE HAS
19 LOST CONTROL OF THE REMAINING 80 ACRES. HE NO LONGER HAS
20 CONTROL, THE GOVERNMENT OR THE STATE OF MARYLAND HAS
21 CONTROL. YOU ARE GOING TO SAY HE CAN FARM IT. HE HAS LOST

1 THE INTEREST OF THAT PROPERTY. ARE THEY GOING DO
2 DEPRECIATE IT AS FAR AS TAXES BECAUSE NOW IT IS NOT WORTH
3 AS MUCH TO HIM BECAUSE HE CAN'T SELL IT? HE CAN SELL IT
4 AGAIN FOR AGRICULTURE BUT THAT'S IT. YOU ARE GOING TO TAKE
5 AWAY A PIECE OF THE ZONING ON THAT. THESE ARE
6 MISCONCEPTIONS ON BOTH SIDES SAYING ONE IN 20, YOU ARE
7 SAYING IT IS NOT ONE IN 20. THE AMOUNT OF DENSITY IS ONE
8 IN 20 BUT WE STILL HAVE 80 ACRES THAT THE STATE CONTROLS.
9 IT IS A LITTLE OF BOTH SIDES THAT DON'T UNDERSTAND WHAT WE
10 MEAN.

11 MR. TRAVERS: ANYONE ELSE?

12 OKAY. THERE IS NO ONE ELSE.

13 WOULD ANYONE ON THE PANEL LIKE TO MAKE ANY
14 COMMENT AT THIS TIME? IF NOT, THE DORCHESTER COUNTY
15 COMMISSIONER WOULD GIVE ANYONE 45 DAYS FOR WRITTEN
16 COMMENTS, THEY CAN SEND THEM INTO THE COUNTY COMMISSIONER'S
17 OFFICE OR THE PLANNING ZONING OFFICE. WE WILL TAKE THE
18 WRITTEN COMMENTS AND GO OVER WHAT'S BEEN SAID HERE TONIGHT
19 AND PRESENT IT TO THE CRITICAL AREAS STATE COMMISSION.

20 THANK YOU ALL FOR COMING. I APPRECIATE IT.

21 LIKE I SAID BEFORE, THE COMMISSION DID VOTE THIS

1 PROGRAM. THANK YOU.

2 *****

3 I, Sharon D. Daniell, a Notary Public in and
4 for the State of Maryland, County of Wicomico, do hereby
5 certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate record of
6 the proceedings indicated.

7

8



9

10

Notary Public

11

12

13



14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

SIGN IN SHEET

NAME & ADDRESS

Do You Wish
To Testify?
YES | NO

<p>• Donald Heintzelman 258 Creighton Rd Woolfed MD, 21677</p>	←	
<p>• Mary Lou Heintzelman 258 Creighton Rd Woolford Wsd 21677</p>		✓
<p>• Mr and Mrs. Timothy L. Brooke 202 Robbins St. Cambridge, Md 21613</p>		✓
<p>• Scott Daniels RFD 3 BOX 178 CAMBRIDGE, MD. 21613</p>		✓
<p>• ROBERT W PARKS PO BX 157 WOOLFORD MD 21677</p>		✓
<p>• Allen D. Stettin 416 Md Ave. Cambridge, Md 21613</p>		
<p>• Harold L. Willey RT # 2 Box 420C Cambridge, Md. 21613</p>		
<p>• Johnson Shufelt Po Box 38 Secretary, md 21664</p>		
<p>• Eugene L. Skinner 5442 Tates Bark Rd Cambridge, MD 21613</p>		

SIGN IN SHEET

NAME & ADDRESS

Do You Wish
To Testify?
YES NO

Joy Rank (Luci, inc) 503 Edlow PK Cambridge, Md		X
LARRY L. MILLS 311 MILL ST. CAMBRIDGE, MD		X
LOUIS C. PRAHL 108 OAK ST. Cambridge MD 21613		X
Charles C PRAHL 213 Willis St Cambridge		X
Chat Woodward, 508 Md Ave Cambridge Andrews, Miller & Assoc		X
Ralph W. Jackson III Rt 4 Box 183 Cambridge, Md 21613		X
Juanita Schuyler Rt #2 Box 456 B Camb. Md.	✓	X
Jim Willey, Jr Andrews Miller & Assoc.		X
Bennett M. 266 404 Linden Ave Cambridge MD		X

SIGN IN SHEET

NAME & ADDRESS

**Do You Wish
To Testify?**
YES | **NO**

• Ray Stevens

511 Maryland Ave

Cambridge, Md 21613

✓

• Harold Travers

Rt. 4 Box 374

Madison, Md 21648

✓

• Chris Smith

1 Hatoaway Rd

Cambridge Md. 21613

✓

• J. A. Ault

Rt 4 Box 390 A

Cambridge Md 21613

• Tom Merryweather

RFD #3 Box 39

Cambridge Md 21612

X

• Geo. Nabbits

Rt. #2 Box 470

Cambridge Md. 21613

✓

• GERALD B. TESTERMAN

Box 109

HOOPERS ISLAND, Md 21634

✓

• Mark Spengler

10 Willis Street

Cambridge, Md. 21613

✓

SIGN IN SHEET

NAME & ADDRESS

Do You Wish To Testify? YES | NO

• David Lewis Rt # 3 Box # 160A Cambridge		✓
• William E. Seward Jr. Rt # 3 Box 177 AA Cambridge Md		✓
• Paul L. Phillips Box 9 Woolford Md 21677	✓	
• S. Herbert Asplen church creek Box 113		✓
• Arthur K. Spicer		✓
• Kathryn D. Langner Critical Areas Commission		✓
• Peggy L. Higgins Swan Harbor Church Creek, Md.		✓
• Wang Higgins Swan Harbor Church Creek, MD		
• Mc Coffield / C.C. Field P.O. Box 580 Oxford Md. 21659		

SIGN IN SHEET

NAME & ADDRESS

Do You Wish
To TESTIFY?
YES | NO

Joseph H. Layton Jr. RT1 Box 141 Vienna Md 21864	X	
M. Fred Tidwell P.O. 6 E.N.M. 21631		X
Donna S. Lloyd 111 Belvedere Ave. Cambridge Md 21613		X
Robert K. Lloyd 16 Buena Vista Ave. Cambridge, Md. 21613		✓
Bill Markus RT1 Box 131 Cambridge, Md 21613		
Jack Colbourne Co-Comm, Secretary		✓
Dorothy Pe Windsor R.F.D.#2 Box 355 Cambridge Md 21613		
Scott Willey R.F.D.#1 Vienna md		
Irma Phillips		X
Doug Scott Hillock, Md		X

SIGN IN SHEET

Do You Wish
To Testify?
YES | NO

NAME & ADDRESS

• Robert Lee Sumner RFD I Box 84 EAST NEW MARKET 21631 MD		✓
• Lynn B. Thomas Jr. Rt. 1 Box 77B Rhodesdale, MD 21654		✓
• Bradley K Bradford Star RT Box 91 Church creek, Md 21622		✓
• George Messick RD 4 Box 193 CAMBRIDGE		✓
• JOSEPH B. GAULICK PO Box 6 E. NEW MARKET MD 21631	✗	✗
• JAMES A BLUMENAUER RT 3 Box 133-A CAMBRIDGE MD 21613		
• Roger F. Adams RT2 Box 492 Cambridge, Md. 21613		
• Sheldon Windsor R.T 2 Box 53 Cambridge, Md		
• Patsy J. Carpenter Jays's Island, MD 21669		✓

SIGN IN SHEET

NAME & ADDRESS

Do You Wish
To Testify?
YES | NO

Robert S Ruark	—	—
ANN C. GROSS RFD 2, Box 72 CAMBRIDGE, MD 21613		✓
M. Yvonne Moore Route 2, Box 72 Cambridge, Md. 21615		
MIKE DUBUQUE Box 34 SECRETARY, MD. 21664		↓
Jessie M Elliott in address Md		X
Thomas Weiss Box 183 Salisbury, MD 21811		✓
Thomas J Spicer Box 654 Church Creek, Md Lemuel Chester		✓ ✓
Ron Hickernell 208 Park Drive Baltimore Md 21228		✓
Ray J. Warner Rt 1 Box 165 E. New Markt, 21631		✓

SIGN IN SHEET

NAME & ADDRESS

Do You Wish
To TESTIFY?
YES | NO

• Emerson Elenspacher RFD 2 Cambridge MA 02138		✓
• Joan Goodhart (The Daily Worker) 309 W. End Av Cambridge		✓
• Ann Wilson Box 82 Rt 3 Cambridge Md		✓
• Javi O. Pallate Rt. 16 Church Creek Md		✓
• Kenneth B Gentry 3407 Delaney St Clinton, Md. 20735		✓
• Lehman Adams Fishing Creek, Md. 21634		
• Dick Kaufman Dorchester Co		✓
• May Schorr Jr Cambridge		✓
• Ray L. Percomb Rt 1, Box 625 Church Creek, Md Golden Hill		✓

SIGN IN SHEET

NAME & ADDRESS

Do You Wish
To TESTIFY?
YES | NO

Cecilia Olson
Fishing Creek, Md.

✓

Jack Brodie
P.O. Box 811 Easton MD 21601

✓

William Spencer
ST RT Box 636
Church Creek, Md 21622

✓

Sherry Pike
5315 Ragged Pt. Rd.
Cambridge, Md 21613

✓

Jill Metz
Cambridge Md 21613

Robert M. Ford
313 Belvedere
Cambridge, Md 21613

✓

Mrs Chas N Spence
101 Taylor Ave
Heerlock, Md 21643

✓

Mrs + Mrs. Grace S. Murphy
100 Oak St
Cambridge, Md 21613

SIGN IN SHEET

Do You Wish
To Testify?
YES | NO

NAME & ADDRESS

• Milford F. Craghton

RT. 1 East New Market Md. 21631

Box 84

✓

• Michael Mowbray

1034 Hudson Rd

Cambridge Md 21613

✓

• Elsie P. Edmunds

P.O. Box 724

Cambridge

• Luci Grant Kauffman

417 Edlow Park

Cambridge

X

• W. Allen Jones, Jr / Parker Forestry

P. O. Box 2171 Services

Salisbury, MD 21801

X

• Curtis Bright

P.O. Box 201

Taylor Island Md 21665

• Dave Windel

Cassons Neck Rd

Cambridge Md

X

• NATHAN L. SCHUYLER

RT 2 BOX 4268

CAMB, MD

✓

SIGN IN SHEET

NAME & ADDRESS

Do You Wish
To TESTIFY?
YES | NO

• GREG LOHSE
5315 RAGGED POINT ROAD
CAMBRIDGE MD

• Jeanne L. Bunkley
HCR Box 672
Church Creek MD 21622

• Jey Bunker
5095 Stone Bawrd Rd.
Cambr. Md.

• Michael M. Redman
Box 98
Lanwood 21835

• Susan D. Rubin
Box 98
Lanwood Md 21835

• Wilda Gray
408 FFD Lo RR

• Gail Dean
P.O. Box 176
Cambridge

✓

✓

✓

SIGN IN SHEET

NAME & ADDRESS

**Do You Wish
To Testify?**
YES | NO

<p>William Boston (Commission Member) 10 Derwood Rd Salisbury Md</p>		
<p>Charles Langston</p>		✓
<p>Chempunko City Md.</p>		
<p>James E. Hartman 217 Wiltshire Lane Severna Park, Md 21146</p>		✓
<p>G. Stuck Phillips Vienna, Md</p>		✓
<p>JOE RUARIC RT 3 Box 164 CAMBRIDGE</p>		✓
<p>Calvin Malkus R.#1 Box 127-1 Cambridge, Md. 21613</p>		✓
<p>Robert Corder RFD #2 BOX 66 CAMD MD</p>		
<p>Colleen Lilly The Daily Banner Linda J. Jordan</p>		✓
<p>Rale Price 1430 TOWN PT. ROAD 21617</p>		✓

SIGN IN SHEET

NAME & ADDRESS

Do You Wish
To TESTIFY?
YES | NO

• Dora Slacum

412 Pa. Ave.

Cambridge, Md. 21613

• Charles Wiley

Bonnie Brook

Cambr. Md. 21613

✓

✓

SIGN IN SHEET

NAME & ADDRESS

Do You Wish
To Testify?
YES | NO

William C. Lewis
Stanley Kowalcy 1168 ROSS NECK RD.

✓

William J. Huff

✓

Doris Messick
RD4 Box 193
Cambridge

✓

Nancy Kelly, Consultant
Coastal Resources, Inc.

Mark D. Adams
Rt. 2 Box 494
Cambridge, Md. 21613

Ann Muhlizzi
Box 54
C. Elliott Md

✓

SIGN IN SHEET

NAME & ADDRESS

**Do You Wish
To TESTIFY?
YES | N'O**

M. S. McLAUGHLIN
PRESTON MD



SIGN IN SHEET

NAME & ADDRESS

Do You Wish
To TESTIFY?
YES | NO

George R. Ingham
P.O. Box 911
Cambridge, Maryland 21613

YES NO

Ronald Wade
PK 1000 Rd
Coast road

YES NO

YES NO

YES NO

YES NO

YES NO

YES NO

YES NO

YES NO