Public Hearings - Cecil Country - Critical Area Program (Amendments) 1988 MSA_S1830-27

1	
2	
3	CHESAPEAKE BAY CRITICAL AREA COMMISSION
4	
5	PUBLIC HEARING
6	
7	CONCERNING
8	
9	2 MAP AMENDMENTS TO THE CECIL COUNTY CRITICAL
10	AREA PROGRAM
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	·
16	
17	OCTOBER 20, 1988
18	ROOM 300
19	CECIL COUNTY OFFICE BUILDING
20	ELKTON, MARYLAND
21	
22	

COURT REPORTING • DEPOSITIONS
D.C. AREA 261-1902
BALT. & ANNAP. 974-0947

PROCEEDINGS

MR. ATKINS: Chesapeake Bay Critical Area Commission Public Hearing, declare it open. My name is Ron Atkins. I'm a Commission member representing Somerset County on the Chesapeake Bay Area Critical Commission.

With us tonight is also Victor Butanis who represents Harford County on the Commission. And Kay Langor who you may recognize who represents the sole county, Cecil County on Commission.

The purpose of this specific Public Hearing is to address the two expansion issues of the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area boundaries as with pursuant to Section 81807 of the Critical Area Law. And the amendments that would process that would take place pursuant to section 1809 of that same Law.

Before opening up the Hearing for, for comment I would like to recognize we do have Don Hallogen here from the Cecil County Planning Office representing the county.

And I would also like to recognize that the proceedings themselves would be record and a

transcript as such would be taken of the proceedings.

One last, last item I would like to note before we do open the, the hearing is that I think that it would be appropriate since out Commission Chairman Solomon List did recently pass away on Tuesday and was a member of this panel, could we have a moment of silence in honor of him and in, in the respect to the contribution he has given us. So just a moment of silence please.

Okay first I guess we would like to treat these as two individual cases. And as such I guess we would deal with the first case that was on our agenda, for which was case dealing with Sunset Point subdivision proposing to expand the critical area.

With that I guess we'll, we'll deal with this hearing somewhat differently in a sense we'll allow a presentation on that proposed addition to take place now.

So if you'd introduce yourself for the public record I'd appreciate it.

MR. JONES: My name is Robert Jones I represent the Chesapeake Environmental Association,

private environmental consulting firm here in, 1 excuse me, here in the county. 2 MR. HOLLENSHEAD: I'm Bill Hollenshead the 3 4 owner of Sunset Point. MR. JONES: I'm not sure exactly how much 5 information you've received in or if you've received 6 really anything other than the application that Mr. 7 Hollenshead has completed. 8 So I'll just give you a little bit of a 9 brief, very brief presentation about what we're 10 actually requesting. 11 If I can start I'll just as I go along show 12 you a few pictures here of the project that Mr. 13 Hollenshead has supplied us with. 14 Just a couple of slides I think - - - -15 can everybody see that fairly decently? I think 16 17 it's probably going to start just - - get it. 18 Basically what, what the applicants are requesting is for the inclusion of the balance of 19 the property that they own into the special District 20 1 Critical Area of Cecil County. 21

22

The total property, amount of property that

they're requesting be including is a 119.7 acres. What you're looking at here is, is a blow up of a concept plat for the proposed subdivision, by the name of Sunset Point.

The area outlined in yellow is phase I, it is a recorded subdivision of 10 lots within the critical area. That as achieved the density of 1 unit per 20 acres, we're in a resource conservation area, where the 1 and 20 must be observed.

The overall amount of acreage in the critical area for this property is 210 acres.

Therefore they were allowed 10 lots which have been recorded. The balance of these lots have been located next to an existing subdivision of Bayview Estates which is a fairly high density residential development.

The lots have been clustered and, to the extent practical, they are all, all serviced by private well and private septic areas. Ten thousand square foot of sewage disposal area has been reserved for each lot.

Their accessed by proposed roadway, require

drive and by second road, is that Sunset Point?

The subdivision was recorded in June of 87 and since -- currently under construction. What the applicants are proposing is for phase II which is outlined in red to be included into the critical area, the section that is of course currently not in the critical area.

The critical area line is the hash dashed green, green line that is the current critical area.

They're requesting that property plus the existing property of, of phase I to be included into the critical area which would in essence put the balance, the entire tract of land all within the critical area. Which totals about 320 some acres.

This would give us an overall density of, of 16 units keeping the density at 1 unit per 20.43 acres, that would allow a total of 16 houses to be built on this property. And additional 6 above what's currently approved.

The lots in their design have been clustered basically in two, two area. Of course phase I is, is approved and it is clustered adjacent

to the high density or moderate density development of Bayview Estates. The lots are relatively small I believe 5 or 6 of the lots are under 3 acres in size.

Five of the lots are under 3 acres in size and 11 of the proposed 16 lots are under 10 acres of size. So what this maintains is the several relatively large building lots, where we can preserve the, the existing character of the property.

I'll go ahead and flip through a couple of slides here. This is looking towards the Chesapeake Bay kind of to the south, I guess a little bit to the southwest. You see in the, to the left of the photo there a farmhouse, existing farmhouse that is to be included in one lot and will be renovated. A couple of pictures of that later on.

This is looking at the section 1 that is, that is currently approved, this is where the balance of the, or the majority of the 10 lots will occur. With the buildings built onto the slope facing the Chesapeake Bay.

There is only one lot of the existing subdivision that is to be waterfront. There's

abundant wildlife. There is only one lot that is to have actual waterfront, the balance of the lots are to have community beach and open space.

Okay this is looking now more or less to the north at some of the area that we would have to, that we would have included in the critical area.

The wooded area to your left is I don't know if you've seen the concept plat here in front of you or whatever but it shows more or less the lot layout. That's currently a lot #7 and it contains about 38 acres, all of that out of the critical area. That would be included with our proposal.

This is a couple pictures of the existing farmhouse. This is the community beach area, which would be used by the, by the lots.

You can tell the shoreline is fairly well stabled. There's more or less in this, in this particular area there's very, very low banks, very slight erosion occurring.

And this is looking at Pond Creek which is directly adjacent to the property. The 6 lots that are proposed for the balance of the land in phase

II, excuse me again. Would all front on Pond Creek no more lots would be directly on, on the Chesapeake Bay.

I think this is pretty much right about the point there of where Pond Creek enters the Bay.

Again this same area.

This is looking at Pond Creek, a gorgeous area. An extensive wetlands in Pond Creek there is also a bald eagle nesting sight on POnd Creek that has been documented by the Forest Park & Wildlife Service. It is not located on our property. It's on the adjacent property and to meet the requirements of the Forestry Service we have set up a quarter mile protection zone around the bald eagle nest where no development may occur.

Oops the wrong way. This I believe is looking at part of phase II, the lots that would be fronting on to Pond Creek.

And this is looking at the section that is not in the critical area that would be included, currently farmland.

The majority of this property in and phase

FREE STATE REPORTING INC.

II that is outside of the critical area that we're asking to be included would be contained in one large lot of hundred and, approximately 127, 128 acres. That would only of course have one, one building unit on that.

It would also have a, a small strip that runs down to Pond Creek to give that, that property it's own private access to Pond Creek.

It's certainly our, our hope and the developers interest that these lots be maintained in an agricultural state as long as possible and to the extent possible.

The farm is currently under agriculture production by a lease type arrangement. And it's certainly, they're attempting to continue that lease arrangement. Even after the lots have been subdivided and built.

You know obviously 140 or 130 some acres is a fairly large piece of ground to mow or to have as a lawn so we're certainly hoping that some sort of agricultural practice continue. Whether it be farming or possibly horse, horse grazing or

something along these lines.

And that's, that's it for the photos.

Basically I'll guess I'll just open the floor to any questions you may have unless there's anything that Mr. Hollenshead would like to, like to include with what I said. I'll try to answer any questions.

MS. LANGOR: Can I see the plat - -?

MR. JONES: Sure. This is a concept plat - plat of the entire project. The only thing that's
changed really from that, there's been a more minor
changes to section 1 as it was recorded. I have a
copy of that also in case anybody would like to see
that.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ HOLLENSHEAD: I would like to just say that in dividing --

MR. ATKINS: You want to identify yourself for the record?

MR. HOLLENSHEAD: Yeah, um yeah my name is Bill Hollenshead, the owner of Sunset Point. I would just like to comment that in the break up of the property and the planning of the, of the property, as you see it. There was a great deal of

thought given to the conservation of animal trails. The conservation of existing treed areas and the, attempting to not disturb any of those existing areas.

And as you can see the intensive development occurs in open area and to the extent that we've attempted not to remove one tree or one animal from what we feel is a very, very beautiful, beautiful spot.

MR. ATKINS: Okay I think what we would like to do is to hear any other testimony first and I guess if you have any questions we'll direct them to you.

Is there anyone else here to speak on behalf - -?

MS. O'NEILE: I have a question.

MR. ATKINS: Yes ma'am.

MS. O'NEILE: Is that large parcel, is that going to be on the easement so they, it won't be disturbed?

MR. JONES: Well there's not any easements as far as like any conservation easements in a way -

- except or something of that nature. But there's rather extensive deed restrictions and easements that are included in the sale of each, each property.

Bill could probably give you a little more information about that. I have a copy of a Declaration of Restrictions for Sunset Point. And their rather specific, no vegetation is to be cleared except for what's required from the building envelope.

There is limitations on the types of uses that can occur on the property and of course the type of structures that can be placed. The heights of structures, maybe Bill could elaborate more if you have you know, specific questions. But one of the restrictions that was brought out in the environmental assessment that was completed. Was that no vegetation be removed except where the building envelope is to occur. Including the sewage area an so forth.

MS. O'NEILE: How long are we supposed to, the farmer have that's using the land now?

MR. HOLLENSHEAD: That's just year to year.

MS. O'NEILE: Oh just year to year? 1 MR. HOLLENSHEAD: Hmm, hmm. It's a fellow 2 who's been farming the property and will continue. 3 4 MS. O'NEILE: Does he work under a soil conservation plan? 5 6 MR. HOLLENSHEAD: I think he's the president of the soil conservation district. 7 MS. O'NEILE: Paul? 8 MR. HOLLENSHEAD: Paul. 9 MS. O'NEILE: Oh okay, ALRIGHT. 10 MR. JONES: Very practices you know, 11 utilized on the, as far as the farm is concerned. 12 MS. O'NEILE: That answers my question. 13 MR. ATKINS: Does the Commission Panel have 14 any, any questions? 15 MR. BUTANIS: What was the total number of 16 17 lots your talking about, including the existing subdivision? 18 19 MR. JONES: Sixteen, sixteen lots. 20 MR. BUTANIS: Total of sixteen lots? MR. JONES: Yes that's right. 21 22 MR. BUTANIS: And you already have 10, that

you've got approval on. 1 2 MR. JONES: Right exactly. 3 MR. BUTANIS: So your seeking 6? 4 MR. JONES: Six additional lots. MR. HOLLENSHEAD: What we thought to do on 5 this beautiful piece is to, to seek to intentionally 6 underdevelop it. Keep it the way it was, you know. 7 MR. BUTANIS: Is that, the farmhouse is 8 that on the 100 acre parcel? 9 MR. JONES: No that's on 10 11 MR. BUTANIS: Thirty eight acres? MR. JONES: It's not on the 38 acre 12 parcel. On the concept plan here it's shown that, 13 on, the existing house is shown as part of lot #8 14 which is 15 AUDIENCE: 16 17 MR. JONES: No further down. And I'm 18 AUDIENCE: Oh here. 19 MR. JONES: Yeah right there it is, that's 20 the existing farmhouse. That's to be included on 21 lot #8, well it's already been included on lot #8 22 which is part of the recorded subdivision.

Approximately 42, 42 acres. 1 2 MR. ATKINS: I guess a point of, of clarification. You were proposing to extend the 3 boundary however, you were not proposing to change 4 the designation in the mid portion of the - -5 MR. JONES: No we're, mor ethan happy with 6 the RCA designation and the 20 acre density. 7 we are seeking would be just the density for the 8 overall tract of land at the, at the RCA 9 requirements. Which is I think what we've 10 calculated out to be about one unit per 20.43 acres 11 12 on this, this property. MR. ATKINS: And I guess one other question. 13 MR. JONES: Sure. 14 MR. JONES: If it is so that you could 15 demonstrate it on this, this plat, give us some 16 17 vicinity as to whether the bald eagles nest 18 protection zone would be. 19 MR. HOLLENSHEAD: It's on there. 20 MR. JONES: Okay, yeah it's shown on the, under phase II. 21

MR. ATKINS: So it is - -

1 AUDIENCE:: It's across the water. 2 MR. JONES: It's across, yes on the 3 opposite side of POnd Creek. 4 MR. ATKINS: Alright, okay. MR. JONES: And we had Lynn Feraz from the 5 6 Forest, Park & Wildlife Service out there with 7 myself and a wildlife biologist and a couple other 8 people, Don - - was there and Janet - - from the county. Everybody's been out there to look at it so 9 it's - -10 MS. LANGOR: Is that on Bank's property or 11 12 MR. JONES: Yeah it is on Bank's property 13 14 across, across Pond Creek. 15 MR. BUTANIS: You referred to I think 16 another, an adjacent subdivision, adjacent property 17 that was - -18 MR. JONES: Yes. 19 MR. BUTANIS: Fairly intensely developed. 20 MR. JONES: Bayview Estates is that what 21 you called them? 22 MR. JONES: Yes Bayview Estates, hmm, hmm.

MR. BUTANIS: Is that, do you know what the 1 designation of that is? Is that an IDA? 2 MR. JONES: I believe that's an LDA. 3 MR. BUTANIS: 4 LDA? 5 MR. JONES: Yeah hmm, hmm. They're predominantly 1/2 acres to 1 acre lots on, and I 6 7 think 1/2 acre lots on, on the waterfront and 3/4 to l acre lots - -8 I think there's a 1/2 acre - -AUDIENCE: 9 MR. JONES: OKay. 10 MR. BUTANIS: The smallest size lot that 11 you have is? 12 MR. JONES: It's approximately one 1 acre, 13 1.2 acres that's in phase I. We've maintained the 14 smallest lots adjacent to Bayview Estates to kind of 15 keep a uniform 16 17 MR. BUTANIS: I see, right. 18 MR. JONES: Cluster the development there you know next to the existing - - areas. And the 19 20 balance of the property we've kept in, you know, rather large lots. 21 22 This property you know, we've looked at

this I've been working with Bill now for over I guess two years on this development. And we've started extensively, we've had numerous site visits and, and collected guite a bit of information.

The property lends itself to development very well. It's currently zoned AR which is in this area a 1 to 5 recommended density.

The soils are generally excellent in the upper reaches of, or upper sections of the property.

The views are just panoramic from just about wherever you want to stand of Pond Creek and the Chesapeake Bay. It lends itself very well to development.

We think viewing all concerns and all things, taking all things into consideration that, you know the proposal we have really mutes the spirit of the Critical Area Program. It maintains the density that Critical Area Program requires and it maintains their overall program objectives and goals for conservation and protection.

MR. ATKINS: Alright any other questions?

I would like to note for the record then the

Commission will be considering all the comments made this evening for this specific portion of the hearing before they'll be making a decision.

A decision will made within 90 days of receipt of, of the the amendment itself. We will be holding the record open for an additional ten days for any written comments that could be received from the general public or from anyone present this evening.

And those comments can be sent to Robert

Price who is the Vice Chairman of the Commission and
would be the Acting Chairman in the death of our

Chairman Solomon List. The address be The

Chesapeake Bay Critical Area Commission Tawes State

Office Building, D-4, Annapolis, Maryland 21401.

With that

MR. BUTANIS: Before you move to the close of this particular segment I wanted to ask the Cecil County Planning Representative what their position is with respect to that. Are you supporting this?

MR. HALLOGEN: In respect to the

MR. BUTANIS: To the expansion of the

FREE STATE REPORTING INC.

1 critical area line and the proposed development? 2 MR. HALLOGEN: Well I believe that, I believe that this application went in front of the, 3 the County Commissioners and they are, they haven't 4 issued an opinion on it as yet. 5 6 MR. BUTANIS: What, what's the position of 7 your office though, your the Planning Office? MR. HALLOGEN: Yes. 8 9 MR. BUTANIS: Must be putting you - -MR. HALLOGEN: Well if, if it fits the 10 intent of the critical area law I think that we're 11 all for it. 12 MR. HOLLENSHEAD: It was unanimous. 13 unanimously I think accepted last week. 14 MR. JONES: Yeah it went through the 15 16 Planning Commission, the local Plan Commission has 17 heard the application and voted for approval. 18 think that was upon recommendation on the Planning 19 Office also. We can check the minutes but I'm 20 pretty sure that Janet recommended approval. 21 MR. ATKINS: The County, County Council has

not taken a position at this point.

MR. HALLOGEN: Not that I know of. 1 MR. JONES: No the Commissioners, that 2 meeting was tabled until we had the comments and 3 advice of the Critical Area Commission. 4 MR. ATKINS: Okay. 5 MR. JONES: For the final Commissioners 6 Hearing. 7 MR. ATKINS: Okay, alright, any other last 8 minute questions before we close this portion of the 9 Hearing? 10 MR. JONES: I'd just like to state or 11 express my condolences to the Commission for the 12 loss of Chairman List. I only met him a couple 13 times personally but you know, his, his 14 contributions are very evident. 15 MR. ATKINS: Thank you. Okay gentlemen 16 17 thank you. I'd like to thank everyone for making 18 the trip from various locations, thank you. 19 MR. BUTANIS: Particularly Mr. Atkins from 20 Somerset County. AUDIENCE: Still on the shore. 21

22

MR. ATKINS: Okay the second item that we

have before us this evening is another application for - - - critical area. that application was submitted to the Commission from Hall Creek, Hall Creek Incorporated. I like to again for the record indicate that this is Panel Hearing, pursuant to Section 81807 of the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area Law that allows for the extension of the initial planning area beyond the 1,000 - - designation. As well as 18, 1809 of that Law that allows for amendments to the individual jurisdictions programs.

I won't go through the procedure of introducing the Panel that's present and other dignitaries. However, for the record we will be having the record taken tonight on tape and the record will be kept open for an additional ten days for written comments.

With that I will follow the same format we just followed for the previous hearing that will allows people that have signed up to make presentations, to make those presentations first.

And if you can introduce yourself for the record so that it can be recorded.

MR. MCCLEARY: My name is Pat McCleary I'm 1 the representative from Hall Creek, Inc. the owner 2 of the property. And we identified an area of the 3 property that's environmentally sensitive and wish 4 to add it to the critical areas protected and - - to 5 us that it will generate an extra one extra lot 6 7 between two existing lots to us. And this is under the, out environmental 8 plan from a very limited presentation. 9 MS. ZUCKER: I'd like to get some maps out 10 here so we can - -properties - -. Of course it's on 11 -- it's going to be hard to - - only one so. 12 MR. BUTANIS: So - - creation of this new 13 14 lot is going to cause you to amend your subdivision or is it being carved out of two existing lots or? 15 MR. MCCLEARY: Being carved out of one 16 existing lot yes. 17 18 MR. BUTANIS: Okay. 19 MR. MCCLEARY: And Liz will speak to that in detail. 20 MS. ZUCKER: Well before I begin I want to 21

say that, well I'm, my name is Elizabeth Zucker.

I'm with the Planning Department at McCrone Incorporated housed in Annapolis.

I'm a biologist with the planning department. And as I a biologist I was pleased to work on this project because the project lends itself to the critical area criteria and there's some really good, very good protective and planning measures that are bing taken. And I think that's also as a result of the fact that Cecil County has worked in a cooperative effort with the developer.

The Butts Landings property occurs at the - of Copin Creek with the Sassafras River. It's a,
as you can see, it's an agricultural area consists
mainly of open agricultural fields.

The forested resources occur as perimeter growth around the Sassafras River shoreline and along the shoreline of Copin Creek.

AUDIENCE: Is this the Copin Creek in here?

MS. ZUCKER: Copin Creek is in there.

Let's get something else in here to help.

Most of the more sensitive resources on the site do occur in the area along the western boundary

line, along the Copin Creek area.

There's extensive tidal marsh, you can see at the mouth of Copin Creek and it extends about 2 feet, 2,000 feet upstream to a forested tidally influenced area and then into a non tidal wetland area that is fed by perennial stream from the northern part of the property.

And the wetland areas in conjunction with the, the wooded growth does provide a good, a very good diversity of wildlife habitat.

There's even though the wooded growth is small in area there's, there's a lot of abian and mamanin species that utilize the area.

It serves as you can see as an important link in the wildlife corridor, the local wildlife corridor as well as a regional wildlife corridor that occurs all along the Sassafras River. If we had all the maps laid out you could easily see that.

You have to excuse me I have a cold.

Copin Creek also has some - - is noted as an annamous fish spawning area. There's also a beaver pond in the area too. So there are, the

sensitive areas do, on the property really are mostly on that, that western boundary line.

There's 134 acres of the property within the critical area. It's designated resource conservation. And under the proposed subdivision there are six dwelling units permitted. And these dwelling units have been clustered along the, the southern shoreline along the Sassafras River.

There is a community docking facility that will be located in the eastern corner of the property and again that is located away from Copin Creek and the, the more sensitive resources.

MR. BUTANIS: The six lots does that include the one that your

MS. ZUCKER: No, that's - -

MR. BUTANIS: What already is on - -

MS. ZUCKER: Right that's on the, that's been approved by the county. The critical area will, most of the critical area will be retained as open space, either as a community area to the north, which you can see. Or as residential open space on that very large lot 1.

The community area in particular we developed a wildlife enhancement program in conjunction with the county. In particular the Bay Watershed Forester. And the wildlife enhancement plan includes such things as enhancement of the forested buffer particularly through natural, letting areas go unmowed and natural regenerate forest. As well as planting of native vegetation. This is, the plan occurs mostly in the community, community area.

In addition to the plantings, the community, the enhancement plan includes strategic placing of fencing and foot paths to direct human pedestrian traffic into the open areas of the community area away from the more sensitive areas, such as the, the wetland area and the forested resources along Copin Creek.

The subdivision also has a series of restrictive covenants that include such things as restricting the use of motorized vehicles in the open space areas as well as restrictions on the, the letting domestic pets run freely throughout the

subdivision.

And we came tonight to propose that the critical area boundary be extended to the north to include approximately 6.6 acres to the north of the existing boundary. Along the forested buffer the northern end of the forested buffer of the wetlands and to Copin Creek that feed into Copin Creek.

No a fourth of that. Maybe this will help.

AUDIENCE: North of the open space?

MS. ZUCKER: Right. By including this area in the critical area it would be forever protected under the county's critical area implementation program. There would be no, there would be no further subdivision. It would be included under the wildlife enhancement plan that's been developed for the other community areas for the subdivision.

And generally protected as a forested buffer for the head waters of Copin Creek. As well as making sure that there's an uninterrupted, that, that link in the wildlife corridor remains under, remains uninterrupted.

And as a result of the inclusion the, the

area of the property within the critical area would be increased and the developers have proposed an additional homesite in the residential cluster along the Sassafras River shoreline.

And that homesite will be created as a result of subdividing the large lot #1. In effect that means that the aerial extent of the, the residential cluster will not be expanded because that lot will be located two platted lots.

In addition because the community docking areas has been clustered away from Copin Creek, away form that, that sensitive area. The use of the critical area and water dependant facilities will be concentrated at the community docking area, docking area.

I'd also like to mention that that shoreline along the Sassafras is very high bluff like and it really precludes any human pedestrian traffic in that area to begin with. So there wouldn't be, there'd be very negligible use of the shoreline by pedestrian traffic to begin with so

MR. BUTANIS: Is there any erosion along

1 there? 2 MS. ZUCKER: No there was, we worked with 3 the county, our engineers are I guess working, there 4 was one ravine that needed some stabilization. 5 MS. LANGOR: It's very steep along here but 6 it's mostly wooded. 7 Right, it's heavily vegetated. MS. ZUCKER: 8 MR. MCCLEARY: That's all vegetated. 9 There's, there's two actively eroding ravines that 10 we're putting drop structures in to stabilize. But their not on that line. 11 MS. ZUCKER: The shoreline itself is, is 12 13 pretty well stabilized there's, the vegetation is MS. LANGOR: It's farmed right up to where 14 it starts to drop off and then it's, it's 15 16 MS. ZUCKER: It's a very steep bluff. 17 MS. O'NEILE: Yeah well in some places 18 along the Sassafras the erosion, the ravines were 19 the result of farming practices that that - -20 MS. ZUCKER: Right, right. 21 MS. O'NEILE: And they were under a state

program for correction of that three or four years

ago.

MR. MCCLEARY: These two ravines were actively eroding when we purchased the property so this will be the first measure taken to stop the erosion, there on lots #3 and #4.

MS. ZUCKER: I guess what we'd like to do is summarize by saying that. We feel that the environmental benefits gained from including this, the head waters of this area, this link of, with, of important wildlife corridorunder the protection plan of the community space. The environmental benefits derived from that will greatly out weigh any of the potential effects that might occur from an additional homesite along, with that, within the already existing residential cluster along the Sassafras shoreline.

MR. ATKINS: Okay thank you. Again we'll follow the same format, was there any other comment you'd like to make?

AUDIENCE: No that's okay.

MR. ATKINS: We, we had two other people sign, however they had not indicated they would like

to speak. I'll just ask if there's anyone here who would also like to make a presentation, either in favor or opposition of the, the proposal?

Okay hearing none then I'll ask if there are any questions from the panel members that are present?

MR. BUTANIS: Just one question I can think of off the top of my head. The area that your proposing to include as part of the critical area north of the community open spaces plat, is that any different in character from the rest of the property. I guess you call it the remaining land up in here.

MR. MCCLEARY: Right, it most certainly is and that's, that's why we thought it was legitimate to do. I've done four or five developments in the critical areas and this area that we propose to add enhances water quality and wildlife habitat.

If it were an open field I wouldn't be here, so Liz, Liz can describe it more technically better than I can, but that's the whole idea.

MS. ZUCKER: That's right, it's the, what

it would, what it is it's the forested buffer that, that runs along the headwater stream that feeds into Copin Creek. It also would include a nontidal area that, that comes, that transects along here but this is a power utility line area so that's --

MR. BUTANIS: Area adjacent to that is in the fields and, and farmland?

MS. ZUCKER: Right, right as you see it, as you see it.

MR. ATKINS: I have one, one or two questions I'd like to ask. The whole premises that the area needs to be protected. I guess the question I need to ask then is does the county have any protection measures outside of the critical area to cover this specific parcel or this type of land cover?

MR. MCCLEARY: Well the twenty five foot buffer only - -, - - no nontidal wetlands here, but other than that, no we couldn't under current zoning since your developed in here and wooded homesites as Kay knows are very valuable.

MS. ZUCKER: The actually, the stream

1 itself and the nontidal wetlands are mostly off site. 2 MR. ATKINS: Okay that's what I, that's why 3 I was asking if there were any other measures. 4 MS. ZUCKER: Right, right. 5 MR. ATKINS: That the county had not that was mandated by the critical are law. What the 6 7 county had as an ordinance. 8 MS. ZUCKER: Right. 9 MR. ATKINS: It appears that a portion, half of your subdivision is, that exists now is in 10 the critical area and half is not. When was it 11 12 approved and is there any density - -, - - doesn't show. 13 14 MR. MCCLEARY: That's, the subdivision that's there is what is approved and I don't know if 15 it has the date on it. 16 17 MS. ZUCKER: June of 80. 18 MR. ATKINS: Does the portion that is 19 outside have the same restrictions for development 20 as the portion that tis in? 21 MR. MCCLEARY: The ones that we oppose, 22 impose?

MR. ATKINS: Yes or the county may have imposed.

MR. MCCLEARY: No we, well we did it through the deed restrictions.

MR. ATKINS: I see.

MR. MCCLEARY: We imposed and, the same deed restrictions on the three lots outside the critical areas is the ones in and we will impose the same restrictions on all the lots that we ever develop on the property, there will never be a lot smaller than 5 acres and Liz said we restricted motorized vehicles in the community and we restricted in a sense that we prohibit it.

You can walk there or you could ride a horse there, but that's, that's all you can do. And we opted instead of making lot #1 a hundred lot we opted to make half of it a wildlife area.

MR. ATKINS: I was just interesting, interested as to why you may not have chose to also include the critical area line for these other lots then.

MR. MCCLEARY: No one ever brought it up.

1 MR. ATKINS: You were, were talking about -2 - one way, why, you know, you didn't include the rest of that, that subdivision. 3 4 AUDIENCE: Lots #7 through #10 are not in the critical area. 5 6 MR. ATKINS: Is that right? 7 MS. ZUCKER: Right. MR. MCCLEARY: It, it never, never seemed 8 9 to be an issue there, there open areas, their not 10 environmentally sensitive in the sense that they have any, their open or treed or anything such as 11 So their under the same deed restrictions, 12 but no one at planning or anything ever suggested to 13 14 us that we do it, if they had we would of, because 15 it didn't mean anything to us that way. MR. BUTANIS: Is the road going into this 16 17 Bud Landing Road extended is that basically the 18 1,000 foot? MR. MCCLEARY: yes. 19 20 Thousand foot line from the MR. BUTANIS: Sassafras? 21

22

MR. MCCLEARY: Yes, yes and what we did is

we cut, put the road outside the critical area to keep the - - - -, or - - -.

MS. ZUCKER: I'd like to reinforce the fact that the county working with, with Mr. McCleary and myself we developed, which for me was a real fun thing to do because I don't get a chance to do it. But an enhancement program for the communities, community area which was, which really wouldn't be required under the critical area law. But which we have developed because we would like to see that the community area be enhanced for wildlife habitat and not necessarily stay as mode open space, which is biologically is just not very productive.

MR. ATKINS: Okay any other questions?

Just one technical question would this be treated and, and you said you would be breaking off a portion of the lot of an amendment to an existing subdivision? Is that how the county plans to treat the action itself?

MR. HALLOGEN: Yes.

MR. MCCLEARY: Yes. It would be what the resubdivision of an existing lot - - be a major

subdivision because it's, there's already more than the minimum number.

MR. ATKINS: Okay hearing no other questions and having no one else to indicate they would like to speak. Again I'd like to note for the record that the record will be held open for a period of ten days form the date of this evening and that any written comments can be sent to Mr. Robert Price who's the Acting Chairman for the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area Commission, that would be at Tawes State Office Building, D-4, Annapolis, Maryland 21401.

With that I would conclude the evening's hearings and I thank everyone for attending.

AUDIENCE: Thank you.

1	CERTIFICATE
2	This is to certify that the foregoing
3	transcript in the matter of:
4	CHESAPEAKE BAY CRITICAL AREA COMMISSION
5	
6	BEFORE: CHESAPEAKE BAY CRITICAL AREA COMMISSION
7	PANEL
8	
9	DATE: OCTOBER 20, 1988
10	
11	PLACE: CECIL COUNTY OFFICE BUILDING, ROOM 300
12	ELKTON, MARYLAND
13	
14	represents the full and complete proceedings of the
15	aforementioned matter, as reported and reduced to
16	typewriting.
17	
18	
19	Sode X. Wood
20	Jodee L. Wooster
21	FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
22	·