Public Hearings - Rock Hall - Critical Area Frogram 1987 MJA_51830-23

STATE OF MARYLAND

1	CHESAPEAKE BAY CRITICAL AREAS COMMISSION
2	DOCUMENT OPTIMICAL
3	ROCKHALL CRITICAL : AREA PROGRAM :
4	SECTION 8-1809 :
5	Thursday, October 15, 1987
6	Pursuant to Notice, the above-entitled hearing
7	was held before the Chesapeake Bay Critical Areas
8	Commission, at the Municipal Building, Main Street,
9	Oxford, Maryland, commencing at 7:10 p.m., there being
10	present:
11	MEMBERS OF THE COMMISSION: JUDGE SOLOMON LISS, Chairman SAMUEL TURNER
12	VICTOR BUTONIS DR. SARAH TAYLOR CHARLES DAVIS
13	PETER JOHNSTON
14	Redman and Johnston
15	REPORTED BY: GEOFFREY HUNT, NOTARY PUBLIC
16	
17	
18	
19	

20

21

Hunt Reporting Company

99 McKinsey Road P.O. Box 1407 Severna Park, Maryland 21146 (301) 647-8300



2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

 $\underline{P} \ \underline{R} \ \underline{O} \ \underline{C} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{D} \ \underline{I} \ \underline{N} \ \underline{G} \ S$

CHAIRMAN LISS: Ladies and gentlemen, welcome to the hearing on the Critical Areas Plan as submitted We're delighted to be here this evening. by the town. I want to make sure that we understand that this is not a Planning and Zoning meeting of your town. It is a meeting that has been called by the Critical Areas Commission for the purpose of considering the plans that was submitted to the Critical Areas Commission for its We will be hearing from those of you who want approval. Anyone who does want to be heard, you're more than welcome to say what you want to say. as individual questions concerning property are concerned, those are matters that should be taken up with your local authorities, or if you chose, with the Critical Areas Commission by calling the Critical Areas Commission office which is 974-2418.

We are having a record made of the proceedings today. That record will be available at the office of the Critical Areas Commission which is in the Tawes Office Building in Annapolis at Rowe and Taylor Avenue

Hunt Reporting Company



and will be available to you as soon as it has been typed up by the reporter who is here this evening and is taking the information.

I'd like to introduce to you the members of the commission who are here this evening. To my left is Sam Turner of Dorchester County -- Talbot County, I'm sorry -- of the Critical Areas Commission. Victor Butonis of Harford County. I am Judge Solomon Liss, the Chairman of the Critical Areas Commission, and to the rear is Dr. Sarah Taylor, who is our Executive Director, and Charles Davis, who is in charge of and supervisor of our planning group in the Commission.

There are a number of important people who are here this evening whom you know better than I do, but in all fairness I'd like to introduce them to you so that in case there are any strangers here this evening that you would know exactly who these people are. May I first introduce Mayor Elmer Jones. Mayor Jones, it's a pleasure to be with you this evening. Councilman Robert Tucker. Councilman Tucker, nice to meet you.

Incidentally, I hope you'll forgive me. I had an

Hunt Reporting Company



operation on cateract, and my eyes have not yet completely adjusted so that I sort of have to look cockeyed at these letters in order to make sure that I'm reading them, and if I make a mistake, it's not because I don't know how to read but because I'm not focussing just right.

Members of the Planning and Zoning Commission,
Ed Kurowski and Gerry Smithson. Will those gentlemen -it's a pleasure to see you gentlemen.

Members of the Board of Appeals, Edgar Glenn, Edna Marie Sutton, and Lester Dagenais. Nice to greet you this evening.

The Planning and Zoning Commission attorney

Dean Burt. Mr. Burt reminded me that 20 years ago I

taught him personal property at the University of

Baltimore, but please don't blame all of his mistakes on

me. I was only responsible for part of it.

And the Town Manager, Joseph Mangini, who's been very kind and very helpful in arranging this meeting and helping us out, and we're very grateful to you.

Hunt Reporting Company

99 McKinsey Road P.O. Box 1407 Severna Park, Maryland 21146 (301) 647-8300



Now I'm going to ask Mr. Peter Johnston, who is a member of the firm of Redman and Johnston, to give you a brief outline of what it is we'll be discussing this evening, and then some of you have indicated that you want to be heard, and I will then call on you in accordance with the order in which you signed up. We'll try and answer some questions if they refer to the matters that we're here for.

feeling concerning the critical areas. After this hearing has been held, the record will be kept open for a period of a week, and you may file written testimony with the Commission at any time during that week, and it will be added to the record and will be considerd by the members of the Commission before a final disposition is made. In the event that you have something to say this evening, of course, you're more than welcome to say it. We will consider suggestions that you may have for improving the criteria. Our staff will go over the program as proposed and see whether any adjustments are needed in order to make sure that the criteria in the

Hunt Reporting Company



18

19

20

21

1

law have been complied with, and assuming that there are comparatively minor adjustments and fine tuning to be done, we have every reason to hope that that can be done within the next several weeks. The matter will then be referred to the Commission which is made up of some 26 A majority of that Commission must approve the program as submitted before it can then be submitted to your Town Council and to the appropriate local authorities for final approval, and when it is submitted and approved, it's then the responsibility of the town to proceed to implement the program. The state, as you know, has contributed toward the cost of preparing the program, and the state will also contribute toward the cost of implementing it. With that general outline of what we're here for, I'm going to ask Mr. Johnston to make a brief statement to us as to the information that he has for you.

MR. JOHNSTON: Thank you, Judge. The town of Rock Hall hired our firm to help them prepare the critical area programs over a year ago. For those of you that don't know, the critical area law was adopted

Hunt Reporting Company



in 1984. The Commission that the Judge referred to was charged with the responsibility for developing criteria for the development of local critical area programs that would guide the towns in the preparation of programs to deal with the improvement of water quality and the protection of wildlife habitat in what's known as the critical areas.

We were hired by the town and worked with members of the Planning Commission and elected officials in preparing this program over the last several months. There was a subsequent public hearing held here in the town on the document, which looks like this. Some of you may have the old gray version. We're now into a yellow version. Copies of the critical area maps have been on file here in the town for several weeks. So have copies of this document, and they will be here for several more weeks for those of you who want to come in and take a look at them and want to get a chance to look through this document and see what it holds.

Generally, our responsibility was to prepare maps of the critical area, designate the land uses

Hunt Reporting Company



20

21

within the critical area system with the criteria, not the resource areas and not the areas with development And these have been done. This is an constraints. example of one of the maps we've done. I just want to briefly say that this is the thousand foot critical area within the town boundaries from here to here, so that everything from here to the water is within the thousand food critical area and will be subject to the program elements and the implementation provisions, once they are adopted, that we've recommended. We have classified the town into two of the three development categories that are required of the criteria. The limited development areas and the intensely developed areas -- the limited being the stippled gray, the white areas being intensely developed. There were no resource conservation areas. Again, these maps are going to be They've been here on the available here in the town. wall for several weeks. You can come in and see specifically where your properties fall into those categories.

We have developed the required program

Hunt Reporting Company



elements that were established in the criteria, including programs dealing with future development and growth allocation in the town; habitat protection areas including the hundred-foot buffer which you have probably heard a great deal about, which is this line which runs along here. We have developed programs for the town dealing with water-dependent facilities, agriculture, natural parks. And all of them are contained in here. Generally, the programs have the goals and objectives and the criteria that the town has established for their local critical area program and recommendations on how to implement the program.

In addition, we have prepared recommendations for amendments to the Town Zoning Ordinance, subdivision regulations, and other documents that the town will adopt to implement the provisions of their program.

Included in these are landscape provisions and guidelines and other types of guidance to developers in the process as well as specific requirements to meet the design standards and the other requirements that are established in the critical area criteria. And this is

Hunt Reporting Company



what the criteria look like. As the Judge said, tonight we've come here, the Commission has come here, the committee has come here to hear your comments on the critical area program and take those into consideration as they review this document. Once they have reviewed it, we've gotten their comments, we make the adjustments, the changes that the Commission requires because the program is not consistent with the criteria, we get that straight, there will be a subsequent series of public hearings here in the town for the adoption of the program and the adoption of the specific amendments to the zoning, subdivision, and other ordinances that 13 will implement the program. Thank you. 14 CHAIRMAN LISS: Thank you very much, Mr.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Johnston.

Now the gentleman who signed and said that he wanted to be heard is Mr. Dave Hadom. Did I pronounce that name right? Mr. Hadom?

> MR. HADORN: The name is Hadorn.

CHAIRMAN LISS: I'm sorry. Excuse.

MR. HADORN: Resident of Rock Hall since 1980,

Hunt Reporting Company



West Sharp Street. I'm sorry I signed and checked out that I wanted to be heard, but as long as I'm here I'm going to say something.

First of all, I'd like for you to identify, sir, what percentage of the city limits of Rock Hall are involved with the critical areas. It doesn't have to be answered right away.

MR. JOHNSTON: It's about 58 percent of the town is in the critical area.

MR. HADORN: Fifty-eight percent?

MR. JOHNSTON: Yes, sir.

MR. HADORN: Thank you. The purpose of me checking off "yes" is not to talk about critical areas but to pose a question to the City Council, or specifically the Council's attorney, if they have one, and I understand the man sitting behind me is an attorney, or I think his name is Burke or something of the sort. My question was, I was misinformed that this meeting was to be talking about zoning, and it's not. It's indirectly now. But I'd like the attorney to address this following question at the next zoning

Hunt Reporting Company



meeting available to the public. Is there, I emphasize, is there, a state, a county, or a city ordinance which deals with conflict of interest or ethics? I can assure you having been a past federal employee, there are certainly statutes on the books that prohibit it. That's my question. Thank you, Judge.

CHAIRMAN LISS: All right. Well, obviously that is not one of the purposes of this meeting, and I'll defer, or refer that question to the appropriate local authorities. And you know, what is it that the Bible says, "Sufficient unto the day is the evil thereof." I got enough headaches without looking for any additional ones. So I'm not going to look for that one.

MR. HADORN: Amen.

CHAIRMAN LISS: Now, I have three lovely young ladies, or is it two ladies and a gen -- No, three lovely young ladies who under the question of whether or not they wanted to speak gave me a question mark. I'm going to call on each one of them, one at a time, and now's the time to resolve the question.

Hunt Reporting Company

99 McKinsey Road P.O. Box 1407 Severna Park, Maryland 21146 (301) 647-8300



Betty Saner?

MS. SANER: I just have a question. In the buffer zone, marine-related endeavor is allowed that would be a travel lift for sure, does it include a marine store, marine office, a parking lot, a marina-owned restaurant? How is that defined? Do you define it? I'm really a little confused about what can go into that buffer zone in a couple of spots.

MR. JOHNSTON: I'd have to take a look, Betty.

I'll be glad to answer that for you later if you give me
a chance to look it up here.

What we have recommended for uses in the water-dependent facilities sections are very much the same water-dependent facilities that are listed in the criteria. So it depends on what type of water-dependent facility we're talking about. If you're talking about a private, individual pier for a home, they're permitted. Community piers for --

MS. SANER: I'm talking in a marina operation.

MR. JOHNSTON: We have defined water-dependent facilities as same thing as the criteria, are those

Hunt Reporting Company



4

5 6

7

9

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

facilities that need to be located next to the water because they have to be there, piers, slips, those kinds of things. Anything that doesn't fall within that definition is not water dependent.

CHAIRMAN LISS: Sarah, can you help us with that?

DR. TURNER: Yes, I can. In defining waterdependent facilities, the Commission in developing the criteria basically meant those items that really need the water, such as piers, such as docks, such as travel lifts, 'cause it would be a little hard for a travel lift to be a hundred feet back and have a buffer, but facilities themselves that are not directly water dependent, for example such as a parking lot or a restaurant or a supply store, or an office where you would do your business for boating, that would be behind the buffer.

> MS. SANER: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN LISS: Just to make the point to you that in some of these areas these businesses have already been established in the buffer.

Hunt Reporting Company



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MS. SANER: Oh, I know that. They're grandfathered.

CHAIRMAN LISS: They're grandfathered, and we can't do anything about -- but any new intrusion into the buffer could only be for the actual use of waterrelated business.

> MS. SANER: Thank you.

MR. JOHNSTON: I found the definition. "those structures or works associated with industrial, maritime, recreational, educational, or fisheries activities that require location at or near the shoreline within the required buffer. An activity is water dependent if it cannot exist outside the buffer and is dependent on water by reason of the intrinsic nature of the operation. These activities include but are not limited to ports, the intake and outfall structures of power plants, water-use industries, marinas and other public water-oriented recreation and fishery activities."

> MS. SANER: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN LISS: The Chairman and Administrator

Hunt Reporting Company



1 of the Planning and Zoning Commission of Rock Hall came 2 in, Mr. James R. Englebach, and I'd like him to stand 3 and take a bow please. Mr. Englebach, nice to greet 4 you. 5 Now Sue Santa Maria. Did you want to say 6 something? 7 No, Judge, thank you. MS. SANTA MARIA: 8 just signed in. 9 CHAIRMAN LISS: All right. Edna Hubbard 10 Sutton. 11 MS. SUTTON: I would ask how far back would it 12 be required that these facilities such as restaurant or parking lot be from the water for the buffer, to be 13 14 outside of the buffer zone. MR. JOHNSTON: The buffer is defined as a 15 hundred feet back from mean high water or the landward 16 side of tidal wetlands, so the criteria require that 17 only water-dependent facilities are permitted to be 18 located in that buffer, so everything else would have to 19 be located outside of the buffer. So we're talking 100 20

Hunt Reporting Company

feet.

21



2

MS. SUTTON: At least. Then it could be 100 feet. It wouldn't have to be 110.

3

MR. JOHNSTON: No. It could be right at the 100 foot, certainly.

Now that's all that indicated

I'm Mrs. French, and I live just

4 5

MS. SUTTON: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN LISS:

MS. FRENCH:

6

that they might want to be heard, but we're prepared to

7 8

answer any other question germane to the issue that I

9

outlined for you at the beginning of this hearing;

10

if there's anyone else that has a question to ask, we're

11

pleased to try and answer it for you. Yes ma'am.

12

outside the town, but I'm in the area that is, one of

14

the two areas that is to be annexed, and that is from

15

the town line north up to Carter Avenue, and then

16

there's a Green Lane below. Now from looking at this

17

map, it would appear that you have not included those in

18

your planning. Will they be in the county plan, and has

19

there been any coordination in the planning with the

20

county and the town which may have different plans for

21

those areas?

Hunt Reporting Company



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MR. JOHNSTON: When we did the program with the town, we talked about areas outside of the town that they may grow into and wanted to be sure that we coordinated what's known as the growth allocation process with the county so that when these areas were annexed there would be, it would be feasible to develop them at the densities that made sense on sewer and water, rather than the one per 20. The areas we looked at were Green Lane and on up here to Spring Cove and on up to Carter Avenue. This area has already been designated by the county as a limited development area. That's kind of moderate density residential type of development, the kind of development you see out here along here in some places now already. The town's policy is that any areas they annex in the critical area will only be designated limited development. They will not be designated the intensely developed category. This area was already designated, so we didn't have to deal with that. Up here, this area that's being annexed, we identified where the county had already designated it limited development, which is most of it,

Hunt Reporting Company



but we found that there were a few places that were designated resource conservation. In anticipation of annexation, we requested that the county set aside growth allocation so that when these areas were annexed they could be put into the limited development category. So, yes there was. And right now, though, they're under the county program.

CHAIRMAN LISS: I might say, incidentally, that I don't want you to think that the Commission has just given this a cursory look. We were here earlier today, and we've spent two hours with Mr. Johnston going over the program, and one of the questions that were raised was the very question that you raised, and as it turned out, we made a suggestion to Mr. Johnston and to the local authorities that while there has been a tentative agreement between the county and the city for the inclusion of these areas in the growth area, that there really is nothing in writing. And we suggested that this would be an appropriate time to get something in writing so that nobody really knows when that growth is going to take place, in maybe ten years from now,

Hunt Reporting Company



maybe five -- who knows? And memories are short, so that at least there ought to be some kind of commitment so that if it does happen ten years from now and the question is raised, "Well, we didn't agree to this," there ought to be an inclusion in the program itself of a commitment from the county and from the city as to how this thing is to be handled. We recognize that it could create a kind of argument or contention between the county and the city, and we want to avoid that if it's at all possible.

Yes, ma'am.

MS. SANER: I have another question. Betty
Saner again. I notice on the gray area up there, what I
call Maynes Point, that big hunk toward the western
side, it's LDA if it's shaded, but it's proposed IDA.
Who's proposing and why?

MR. JOHNSTON: Actually, this is one of our older maps which we worked off of, and we were going along and trying to identify areas which we'd done. A number of areas within the town limits that are currently designated as LDA that the town might want to

Hunt Reporting Company



1 upgrade to a more intense classification, IDA. 2 was one of the areas that we identified as a potential 3 growth area within the town limits, and we used as the basis for determining how much -- we tried to quantify 4 our growth allocation. We wanted to look at potential 5 growth areas in and potential growth areas out to give 6 the county a number, and that's one of the areas we 7 looked at. 8 MS. SANER: Was there a reason that that 9 should be intensely developed? 10 AUDIENCE: Where are you talking about? 11 MR. JOHNSTON: We're talking about this area 12 right here, this parcel right in here. 13 MS. SANER: That's all nice woodsy right now. 14 I'd hate to see that intensely developed. 15 MR. JOHNSTON: Well, it's not developed now. 16 MS. SANER: I know. 17 MR. JOHNSTON: And the town looked at it and 18 said, you know, this is a potential growth area because 19 it's not developed now, and we included that in our 20

Hunt Reporting Company

quantification of growth area, and that's our notes.

21



CHAIRMAN LISS: Of course, it's all subject to planning and to zoning and to a decision by the local people as to whether or not they want to do that.

MR. JOHNSTON: You see, I think we have some more notes down in this area in here.

CHAIRMAN LISS: Any other questions?

What is it the minister says? "Speak now or forever hold your peace." Are there any other questions. Yes ma'am.

MS. SANTA MARIA: Excuse me, Judge. Sue Santa Maria. If the outer line represents the 1,000-foot critical area and the line just inside the coast is the buffer zone --

MR. JOHNSTON: Yes, ma'am.

MS. SANTA MARIA: -- why does the buffer zone vary so much in depth?

MR. JOHNSTON: Because of a couple of reasons. The criteria require that the hundred-foot buffer be drawn from mean high water or the landward side of tidal wetlands, so in some cases the wetlands are not showing up on this map, but in some places you'll see where that

Hunt Reporting Company



line bulges there is a wetland area in that's bulging it out. It's moving out to maintain that hundred feet around that wetland area. In addition, the criteria require that we modify that buffer in cases where there are adjacent soils that have certain development constraints where they may be threatened by development or there may be an impact by development in those soils. So where there are soils that are adjacent to the buffer that are either hydric or steep slope, highly erodable, that's the categories of soils with development constraints, the buffer is sometimes modified to take in those adjacent areas. So that's why you don't see a paralleling hundred foot. Most often in the case of Rock Hall, it's going to be because of tidal wetlands.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MS. SANTA MARIA: Then in areas where it does duck in -- I can see at least three or four up there, one very near my own property -- that means that that is not developable property because of one constraint or another put on it by Critical Areas Commission?

MR. JOHNSTON: If it's wetlands, it's not developable because of State wetland laws, because it's

Hunt Reporting Company



titled wetlands.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MS. SANTA MARIA: But do you think you should explain that a registered, if you will, single family lot of record can be developed within the buffer?

MR. JOHNSTON: Yes. I think it should clarify that. And most of you that are familiar with zoning know that whenever a new regulation is adopted, it must also take into effect that certain development patterns have occurred prior to the adoption of the ordinance, and certain building lots in the town that were platted prior to the adoption of this ordinance will not be able to comply with the criteria in every respect. They will not be able to comply with the hundred-foot buffer if the lot's very shallow to begin with. We have provided in the document what we call grandfather provisions. These grandfather provisions define certain lots that were created prior to the adoption of the program that get certain kinds of special considerations under the ordinance because nobody had knowledge of what the ordinance was going to require at that time, and we've also given the town guidelines for dealing with getting

Hunt Reporting Company



compliance on those lots to the extent that they
possibly can and still be able to get some relief from
the buffer requirements and some of the other
requirements, and they're set forth under what we call
our modified buffer provisions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

CHAIRMAN LISS: I might say that all of that came about as a result of almost a year and a half of study. What happened was that people came to us and said to us, "Look, we are in an area where because of the wetlands we're not able to give you a hundred feet of buffer, because if we do we won't be able to build on our lot." Well in all fairness, it was never intended to take people's property away from them provided they did what they could so far as possible to comply with the law. And so we created that grandfathering clause, put it in the law as we suggested it be adopted and required that the local subdivisions include the grandfathering in their program. So it's been a cooperative effort in which we set up the law as it ought to be to protect the Bay and to protect the public, and then we said to the locals, "Here, you do

Hunt Reporting Company



1 this to protect your own local people and draw your own 2 local program to reflect those things," and I must say 3 that in spite of all of the fuss and feathers that we've 4 had about it that generally the cooperation has been 5 good and it's worked out very well. 6 All right. I'll ask one more time. Yes, sir. 7 Judge, would it be out of order MR. HADORN: to ask, I'm asking you first before we ask the question 8 9 -- would it be out of order to ask what the recommendations are of the study since --10 CHAIRMAN LISS: What the recommendations are --11 MR. HADORN: -- the recommendations that the 12 committee has been asked to come up with on the wetland 13 study for the Town Council's consideration. 14 MR. HADORN: An abstract of it, please. 15 CHAIRMAN LISS: The wetlands law is a state 16 law that --17 MR. HADORN: No, but I mean the 18 recommendations to modify those existing ordinances or 19 new ordinances that are covering it. 20

Hunt Reporting Company

CHAIRMAN LISS: We have not made any

21



1 recommendations to modify them. 2 MR. HADORN: Oh, I misunderstood the 3 gentleman then. 4 CHAIRMAN LISS: No. The Commission has not concerned itself with wetlands except to realize that 5 they exist and to suggest to the local people that when 6 they draw their maps that they recognize that they 7 exist, and as a matter of fact the law specifically says 8 that in deciding how you're going to handle the land use 9 in your area you must look to the -- I think it's 1972, 10 11 was it Sarah? 12 DR. TURNER: Wetlands law? 13 CHAIRMAN LISS: The wetlands map. 14 DR. TURNER: And the maps as well as the law. CHAIRMAN LISS: The wetlands maps and law were 15 drawn in 1972, and it must be addressed to that law and 16 to those maps in deciding how the particular area is 17 going to be used. 18 MR. HADORN: Very clear. I understand that. 19 Would you pose this question to the study gentleman. 20 failed to catch his name. 21

Hunt Reporting Company



MR. JOHNSTON: Johnston.

MR. HADORN: What were the recommendations you were referring to?

MR. JOHNSTON: Okay. Judge, he's asking about ordinance amendments to their zoning and subdivision that I mentioned earlier. I think that's what he's trying to get at.

MR. HADORN: Maybe this is not the place for it.

MR. JOHNSTON: Okay. I'll give you a quick overview, if that's possible.

MR. HADORN: Very abstract.

MR. JOHNSTON: Okay. First of all, we've recommended that the Town adopt an overlay zone. And that overlay zone will correspond to this thousand foot boundary, and within that thousand foot, all of the existing zoning classifications, whether you're residential, commercial, or maritime, or whatever, will remain the same. We're not changing those at all. So that the land use pattern will continue to develop the same.

Hunt Reporting Company



3

4 5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

The criteria establish a number of development standards and guidelines and those things for new development, things like areas that are designated limited development, a new development cannot have any more 15 percent impervious surface on the site. our overlay zone we said areas that are LDA, no more than 15 percent impervious surface. So we just kind of incorporated those, and they're based on each of these -- this LDA or IDA classification. We've also included in here, as I said earlier, grandfather provisions. There are provisions in here for the variance for the Board of Appeals, so that if the program creates a true hardship on someone because of some peculiarity of their property, they can get relief through a variance process.

There are in our implementation recommendations site plan review requirements for new development, so that the developer coming in for large-scale project must address the criteria or the standards in show at any site plan. "This is where I'm going to take trees out. This is where I'm going to put trees

Hunt Reporting Company



19

20

21

back. This is where my buffer is, and this is how I'm staying out of the buffer. I'm showing you where it is on the site plan, and you see that I'm not putting anything in it." Those kind of things will be shown on the site plan, and there are site plan standards that they must comply with. There are site plan and siting standards for new marinas which a marine site plan would have to apply to. We've given them check lists for the developers so that the Town -- that's a tool for the town so that when someone comes in they check off whether they're a major, minor, or insignificant project and whichever of those categories they tell them what your submission requirements are, whether you have to submit an environmental impact assessment, whether you have to go out and collect this kind of data and submit with your project. Generally, it lays out a process, it lays out standards, and it lays out a process in the development process to ensure that those standards are met through a review process. So that's basically what they are.

MR. HADORN: I understand. Thank you very

Hunt Reporting Company



much.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

CHAIRMAN LISS: Anyone else? If not, we'll close the meeting. I want to thank all of you for We're grateful to you. I want to thank you, too, for the information that we've gotten from you and the questions you've asked. We'll get those typed up and have them before the Commission when the Commission considers the program, and we would hope that you'll find that the program when it's finally adopted is one that you can live with peaceably and that it will improve the Bay and make this place which is certainly a beautiful place -- driving down here this afternoon and driving around this afternoon trying to find a place where we could get a sandwich before the meeting started, we realize how lucky you are living in areas of this kind, but it's not going to be lucky if you don't keep it the way that it is or if you don't see that it grows the way it ought to grow, and that is under control so that people can enjoy it and people can have their children and their children's children enjoy it as their predecessors did in the years that went by. To all

Hunt Reporting Company



1	the officials of the Town, we thank you for your
2	cooperation, and we're grateful to you for making it
3	easy for us. Thank you very much.
4	(Whereupon, at 7:45 p.m., the hearing
5	concluded.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	

21

Hunt Reporting Company



PUBLIC HEARING. OCTOBER. 15, 1987

CRITICAL AREA commissiON
ROCK HALL

Name + address.	Do yo Speak	ne wish to
1. B. Gatch	Yes	No.
T. S. Name		
	Contracting the second	
	A STERNA STAN	

V want to speak? Betty Saner-Law for Ave-RH Sue Santa maria 4. Moras William Dreen Kane Rock Hall Edna Hubbard Sutton Sharp St. Rock Hall Mc. Julia Mayer Brusside mu Halmulk Rock Hall, MD 21661 no thunks. Lorraine T. Janoche Barpede Ave Rock Hall, MD 21661 Melinda Carl Fred Jones Fol Kakelall, Md. 21661 Diorego F Fendall Baepide ave. Rock Hell Joseph al Margo Park Hall, Mas 21661

PUBLIC HEARING OCT. 15, 1987 CRITICAL AREA COMMISSION

ROCK HALL

NAME & ADDRESS	Do you w	DO YOU WISH TO SPEAK?	
	YES	NO	
FRANCIS J. SMITHSON			
Rt. 1 BOX VOVA			
RockHALL, MD.			
Mis Elmi Dan D.		A SUNGERS SEE	
Mes Elmer O. Janes, Jr.			
Rock Hall, Md. 21661			
Belew & Phallerow			
Rt. 2- Box			
Roch Wall Md. 2166	A T SUPPLIE		
Sylva M. Bothe DZ Box 53	TA THE STATE OF	Contract to	
ROCK Hall Mg 2/661			
Buile C. Finch			
PSB 469			
Rock Hall MD 21661			
Dave Hadom		3/	
P.O. Box 242		Z	
Rock Hall			
LIZ Mayne			
Swan Creek Maina	1000 1000 1000		
Rock Half	CAR CA		
Edgar Glen			
Chisoprake are			
Rock If all	THE THE THE		
Roll years			
Haven Rd.			
Rock Hall			
Cliff Simus			
900000		0	
Shoep Al.		STATE OF THE PARTY	
& Robert Turker	Fr Je Julione Co.		
		./	
I main Stal Into			
I VOY N NICO O II I II I	The second of th		
1000	The Add to the Control of the		

Zoning AdminisTrisTOR J CHAIRMAN, Planning / Zoning COMMISSION

JAMES R. Englebach

Mayor Climes Janes COUNCILMAN POBERT TYCKER MEMBLES OF PLANNING /ZOWING Commission ED TYROWSKI JERRY Smithson MEMBER OF THE BODRD OF APPEALS Edgas Glenn Lester Dagenuis Edna Marie Seitten Planning / Zowing Commission Attaches Dean Bust Joun Monostik Joseph Mangini