51829-6903

rotile Em

MS 9/4/67

Martin O'Malley Governor

Anthony G. Brown



Margaret G. McHale Chair

Ren Serey
Executive Director

## STATE OF MARYLAND CRITICAL AREA COMMISSION CHESAPEAKE AND ATLANTIC COASTAL BAYS

1804 West Street. Suite 100. Annapolis, Maryland 21401 (410) 260-3460 Fax: (410) 974-5338 www.dnr.state.md.us/criticalarea/

September 4, 2007

Janet Davis, Critical Area Planner Development Review & Permitting One W Market Street, Room 1201 Snow Hill, Maryland 21863

RE: Tax Map 9, Parcel 328 (12507 Collins Road)

Dale and Denise Venable

Dear Ms. Davis:

Thank you for providing the revised plan for the above referenced variance. The site is an 110,663 square foot parcel located within the Resource Conservation Area (RCA) of the Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area. The property is currently developed with a house and paved driveway. There is a tributary stream on the property with a 100-foot Buffer as required by Worcester County Code §NR 3-104 and COMAR 27.01.09.01C.1. The applicant has requested a variance to allow new development within the Buffer. With the revised plan, the applicant proposes to build a new driveway and detached 1,040 square foot garage within the 100-foot Buffer. The revised proposal does not conform to the Critical Area law or the County's Critical Area Program because the County Code and COMAR prohibit new, non-water dependant development in the Buffer.

In 2002 and 2004, the Maryland General Assembly reiterated its commitment to the protection of the water quality and habitat of the Chesapeake and Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area by strengthening and clarifying the Critical Area law, especially emphasizing the importance of the 100-foot Critical Area Buffer. In particular, the General Assembly stated that variances to a local jurisdiction's Critical Area program may be granted only if a zoning board finds that an applicant has satisfied the burden to prove that the request meets each one of the County's variance standards, including the standard of "unwarranted hardship." The General Assembly defined that term to mean that without the variance, the applicant would be denied reasonable and significant use of the entire parcel or lot. The variance to the 100-foot Buffer cannot be granted unless the applicant proves, and the hearing examiner finds, that without the variance, the applicant would suffer an unwarranted hardship, that is "denial of reasonable and significant use of the entire parcel or lot." We do not believe that this standard is met, and accordingly the variance should be denied. I have discussed each one of the County's variance standards below as it pertains to this site:

- 1. Special conditions or circumstances exist that are peculiar to the applicant's land or structure and a literal enforcement of provisions and requirements of the County's Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area Program would result in unwarranted hardship; Currently, the lot is developed with a single family home, driveway, parking space, and deck that are partially within the 100-foot Buffer. As stated above, the General Assembly defined "unwarranted hardship" to mean that the applicant must prove that, without the requested variance, he would be denied reasonable and significant use of the entire parcel or lot. The applicant has use of the deck, house, drive and parking already partially within the Buffer. Based on this information, we do not believe that the County has evidence on which to base a favorable finding on this factor for an additional large detached structure completely within the Buffer.
- 2. A literal interpretation of the provisions of the County's Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area Program and related laws will deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in similar areas within the Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area;

The applicant has a reasonable use of this property for residential purposes including a deck, lawn yard area, house and driveway/parking that are all partially within the Buffer, and therefore, would not be denied a right commonly enjoyed by neighboring properties. From a review of the application we believe that there is opportunity to enjoy the property and construct a garage identical in size and accessibility to the proposed, in a manner that meets the Buffer regulations and remains consistent with the Worcester County Critical Area Regulations. Other property owners do not have a right to construct a new garage and paved driveway in the Buffer. Therefore, denial of a variance for the accessory structure (garage) and additional parking area would not deny the applicant a right commonly enjoyed.

3. The granting of a variance will not confer upon an applicant any special privilege that would be denied by the County's Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area Program to other lands or structures within the Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area;

If the variance is granted, it would confer upon the applicant a special privilege that would be denied to others in this area, as well as in similar situations in the County's Critical Area. To grant a variance to the Buffer beyond what has been established as law by the County would confer a special privilege on the applicant. The applicant has the burden of proof and the burden of persuasion to overcome the presumption that the proposed variance does not conform to the Critical Area Law. We do not believe the applicant has overcome this burden.

4. The variance request is not based upon conditions or circumstances which are the result of actions by the applicant nor does the request arise from any condition relating to land or building use, either permitted or non-conforming on any neighboring property;

In contrast, the need for a variance to allow a large detached garage and additional paved parking area is directly the result of the applicant's proposal, for which there is a reasonable alternative location outside the Buffer.

5. The granting of a variance shall not adversely affect water quality or adversely impact fish, wildlife or plant habitat within the Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area and the granting of the variance will be in harmony with the general spirit and intent of the County's Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area Program;

In contrast, granting of this variance is not in harmony with the general spirit and intent of the Critical Area Program. The drainageway on the applicant's property has been recognized as a tributary stream by the County and is subject to the one-hundred-foot Buffer. This Buffer is required to be established from the edge of the bank of tributary streams by Worcester County Code §NR 3-104(a). New development and impervious surfaces in the Buffer, along with the associated disturbance to the land, results in lost habitat value and less biofiltration of stormwater by vegetation. New development activities, including new structures and impervious surfaces are not allowed in the Buffer, as stated in the Worcester County Code §NR 3-104(c). Given that the applicant can

6. In reviewing an application for a variance the Board of Zoning Appeals shall consider the reasonable use of the entire parcel or lot for which the variance is requested. Considering the reasonable use of the entire property requires recognition of the fact that the site has adequate space outside of the Buffer to construct a garage and driveway of the same size and accessibility, such as over the existing paved driveway/parking area or over the lawn in the northeast corner of the property.

adequately enjoy outdoor activities without the addition of a garage and additional parking in the Buffer, approval of this variance would not be in harmony with the general intent

7. The Board of Zoning Appeals shall not make a decision relative to a request for such a variance without reviewing the comments of the Department and finding that the applicant has satisfied each of the provisions and standards contained herein In conclusion, it is our position that, unless the Board finds, by competent and substantial evidence, that the applicant has met the burden of proof to overcome the presumption of non-conformance, and the burden to prove that the applicant has met each one of the County's variance standards, the Board must deny the application for variance to the Buffer.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments on this variance request. Please include this letter in your file and submit it as part of the record for this variance. Also, please notify the Commission in writing of the decision made in this case. If you have any additional questions please contact me at 410-260-3479.

Sincerely,

Marshall Johnson

Natural Resources Planner

and spirit of the Critical Area Law.

cc: WC 394-07

Martin O'Malley

Anthony G. Brown Lt. Governor



Margaret G. McHale Chair

Ren Serey
Executive Director

## STATE OF MARYLAND CRITICAL AREA COMMISSION CHESAPEAKE AND ATLANTIC COASTAL BAYS

1804 West Street, Suite 100, Annapolis, Maryland 21401 (410) 260-3460 Fax: (410) 974-5338 www.dnr.state.md.us/criticalarea/

July 17, 2007

Janet Davis, Critical Area Planner Development Review & Permitting One W Market Street, Room 1201 Snow Hill, Maryland 21863

RE: Tax Map 9, Parcel 328 (12507 Collins Road)

Dale and Denise Venable

Dear Ms. Davis:

Thank you for providing information on the above referenced variance. The site is a 110,663 square foot parcel located within the Resource Conservation Area (RCA) of the Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area. The property is currently developed with a house and driveway. There is a tributary stream on the property with a 100-foot Buffer as required by Worcester County Code §NR 3-104(c)(1) and COMAR 27.01.09.01C.1. The applicant has proposed to build a new driveway and detached 1,040 square foot garage within the 100-foot Buffer. The proposal does not conform to the Critical Area law or the County's Critical Area Program, because the County Code and COMAR prohibit new, non-water dependant development in the Buffer. The applicant has requested a variance to allow the driveway and garage in the Buffer.

In 2002 and 2004, the Maryland General Assembly reiterated its commitment to the protection of the water quality and habitat of the Chesapeake and Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area by strengthening and clarifying the Critical Area law, especially emphasizing the importance of the 100-foot Critical Area Buffer. In particular, the General Assembly stated that variances to a local jurisdiction's Critical Area program may be granted only if a zoning board finds that an applicant has satisfied the burden to prove that the request meets each one of the County's variance standards, including the standard of "unwarranted hardship." The General Assembly defined that term to mean that without the variance, the applicant would be denied reasonable and significant use of the entire parcel or lot. The site appears to have adequate space outside of the Buffer to construct a garage and driveway of the same size and accessibility. The applicant is not denied reasonable and

Ms. Janet Davis July 17, 2007 Page 2 of 2

significant use of the entire property without the variance. Based on the information provided, this office would not support this variance request.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments on this variance request. Please include this letter in your file and submit it as part of the record for this variance. Also, please notify the Commission in writing of the decision made in this case. If you have any additional questions please contact me at 410-260-3479.

Sincerely,

Marshall Johnson

Natural Resources Planner

cc: WC 394-07

WC 221-08 7 WC 221-08 7 WC 394-07

RECEIVED

JUL 17 2008

CRITICAL AREA COMMISSION Chesapeake & Atlantic Coastal Bavs

IN THE MATTER OF

HUGH CROPPER, IV, ESQ.

ON THE LANDS OF

DALE VENABLE and

DENISE VENABLE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF ZONING

APPEALS FOR WORCESTER COUNTY, \*

MARYLAND

CASE NO.: 111962

# **OPINION**

A hearing was held before the Board of Zoning Appeals for Worcester County, Maryland, on Thursday, June 12, 2008, upon the application of Hugh Cropper, IV, Esquire, on the land of Dale Venable and Denise Venable, requesting a variance to reduce the one hundred (100) foot Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area Buffer, measured from a blue line steam, to 60.6 feet (a reduction of 39.4 feet), associated with a proposed detached garage in conjunction with an existing single family dwelling, in an E-1 Estate District, A-1 Agricultural District setbacks apply, classified as Resource Conservation Area (RCA) in the Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area, pursuant to Zoning Code Sections ZS 1-116(m), ZS 1-125, ZS 1-201 (b)(2) and ZS 1-201(d)(2) and Natural Resources Article Sections NR 3-104(c)(4) and NR 3-111. The property is located at 12507 Collins Road, approximately 2,500 feet south of the intersection of Jarvis Road and Collins Road, "Parcel A Revised and Resubdivision Plat of James R. Farlow and Denise R. Farlow", Tax Map 9, Parcel 328, in the Fifth Tax District of Worcester County, Maryland.

Kelly Henry, Zoning Administrator, presented the application to the Board.

Denise Venable, Dale Venable, Spencer Rowe, and Layton Bunting, Jr., a land surveyor, testified in favor of the application. Offered as an Exhibit was a copy of the transcript of the testimony presented at the original hearing on this request and given BZA Case Number 107327. Included in the record, and considered by the Board, was the letter from Marshall Johnson, Natural Resources Planner for the State of Maryland Critical Area Commission Chesapeake and Atlantic Coastal Bays, to Janet Davis, dated May 17, 2008.

Mrs. Venable testified that the subdivision was created on November 21st, 1989, which predated the effect of the Critical Area Law. The house on the property was built in 1986, prior to the enactment of the Critical Area Law. She explained that the property contains a blue line stream that essentially divides the property in half, so that almost one-half of the property, even though it is upland in the rear of the property, is not accessible because of the blue line stream.

Mrs. Venable testified that the property has a large septic reserve area that existed prior to the enactment of the critical area law, and that the County's Department of Environmental Programs has explained to her that she cannot build on that area. The area of the proposed garage is currently a grassy area that contains a dog pen, which has a concrete pad. The dog pen, which was built at the same time as the house, extends further into the critical area buffer than the proposed garage would if the variance is approved. The dog pen would be removed if the application is granted.

Mrs. Venable also testified that the proposed location of the garage is the most appropriate place on the property to locate it. This is because any other location is restricted by the blue line stream. The septic reserve area exists with mature trees in the front yard setback. She explained that if the garage is located further away from the house, the driveway would have to be extended, which would result in the creation of more impervious surface.

She testified that if the application is denied, she will be deprived of rights commonly

en joyed by other properties in the neighborhood. She explained that she wants to construct a two-car garage with a small storage area on the property to store vehicles, her husband's motorcycle, and the lawn equipment necessary to maintain the yard, and that the proposed garage is smaller than some of the other detached garages in the area.

Mrs. Venable testified that the property is in an E-1 Zoning District, that is agricultural in nature, because that is what it was when it was subdivided. She stated that the whole area is a more agricultural type area in nature than it is an urban type area in nature. She explained that other properties in the neighborhood typically enjoy detached garages.

Mr. Bunting, a licensed surveyor in the State of Maryland, corroborated the testimony given by Mrs. Venable. He further testified that due to the unique nature of the lot, he revised the plat eight (8) times attempting to locate the garage in the proper spot in the yard. He testified that the proposed location of the garage is the best location for the garage, that the neighborhood is an agricultural type neighborhood, and that people in the subject neighborhood enjoy barns, outbuilding, accessory structures, sheds, and the like. He also testified that from an environmental point of view, it is better to locate the garage where proposed rather than trying to cut existing trees or utilizing the uplands in the rear of the property past the blue line stream. He explained that he and the Mrs. Venable tried placing the garage further towards the front of the lot, but, because of the existing septic system and the setback from the septic system, it would be very difficult to access the garage and would create much more impervious surface than they are actually trying to utilize. He testified that if the application is approved, the Applicant will have to do mitigation by installing planting where there is currently lawn. The addition to those plantings will actually improve the environment.

Mr. Rowe, an environmental consultant, corroborated the testimony of Mrs. Venable and Mr. Bunting. He further testified that he is certified to delineate wetlands, and that he has delineated

and non-tidal wetlands, and he is considered a qualified professional under the County's Forest Conservation Act. Mr. Rowe testified that Kathy Phillips, the Coast Keeper, has indicated that she supports the Application before the Board. He testified that, in his opinion, the granting of a variance will not adversely affect water quality or adversely affect fish wildlife or plant habitat. He testified that the combination of removing the dog pen, implementing the storm water management plan prepared by Mr. Bunting, and planting trees to satisfy the mitigation requirements will work to improve the environment over the current conditions on the lot. A summary of Mr. Rowe's testimony and opinions was offered into the record as an Exhibit.

In light of the testimony and other evidence presented, the Board considered the evidence in relation to the standards that need to be met to grant the variance. With regard thereto, the Board found the following:

1) Special conditions or circumstances exist that are peculiar to the applicant's land or structure and a literal enforcement of provisions and requirements of the County's Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area Program would result in unwarranted hardship.

The record indicates that this parcel existed, and was improved by, a single family dwelling and driveway prior to the adoption of the Ordinance. There is a designated blue line stream which runs through the middle of this parcel, upon which the 100 foot buffer is established. Due to the location of this designated stream, the Applicants cannot effectively access the rear one-half of their property. The existing drainfield area and platted septic reserve area are located in the front of this parcel and consumes a large portion of the building envelope outside of the critical area buffer. The property is also improved with several mature trees along the road frontage and directly in front of the home. It is the owners desire not to clear any of the existing vegetation. The enforcement of the Ordinance would deny the Applicants of a significant use of the parcel. For these reasons, the Board

finds that special conditions or circumstances exist that are peculiar to the Applicants' land or structure and a literal enforcement of provisions and requirements of the County's Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area Program would result in unwarranted hardship.

2) A literal interpretation of the provisions of the County's Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area Program and related laws will deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in similar areas within the Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area.

The record reveals that the property is currently improved with a single family dwelling. This request is for an accessory garage. The surrounding neighborhood is primarily agricultural in nature, which is conducive to property owners enjoying accessory structures such as detached garages for additional storage capacity. Other properties in the area enjoy detached garages to store vehicles and the lawn equipment necessary to maintain the property. The proposed detached garage will allow the property owners to enjoy their property, and that the garage planned is smaller than some of the other detached garages in the area. For these reasons, the Board finds that a literal interpretation of the provisions of the County's Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area Program and related laws will deprive the applicant of rights commonly enjoyed by other properties in similar areas within the Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area.

3) The granting of a variance will not confer upon an applicant any special privilege that would be denied by the County's Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area Program to other lands or structures within the Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area.

The Application before the Board is for the construction of an accessory garage to an existing single family dwelling on an existing parcel which predates the Critical Area Law. There is no clearing necessary for the proposed garage. The record indicates that the Applicants' proposal meets all other Critical Area Law requirements with the exception of the 100 foot buffer variance request. The property is 110,633 square feet and is allowed to have 15% of the site (16,595 sq. ft.) in developed impervious surface. The proposed site plan has only 7.13% impervious surface coverage,

which is less than one half of the limits allowed by the Critical Area Law. Therefore, the Board finds that the granting of requested variance will not confer upon the Applicants any special privilege that would be denied by the County's Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area Program to other lands or structures within the Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area.

4) The variance request is not based upon conditions or circumstances which are the result of actions by the applicant nor does the request arise from any condition relating to land or building use, either permitted or non-conforming on any neighboring property.

This is not an after-the-fact request. If the variance is approved, the property's use or zoning classification will not change. The Board notes that the Applicants could have requested a variance to encroach into the buffer and cross the blue line stream to access the rear portion of their property for additional improvements. However, the Board also notes that the rear portion of the property is densely wooded, and the Applicants' desire to not clear the area of trees is reasonable. Therefore, the Board finds that the variance request is not based upon conditions or circumstances which are the result of actions by the applicant, nor does the request arise from any condition relating to land or building use, either permitted or non-conforming on any neighboring property.

5) The granting of a variance shall not adversely affect water quality or adversely impact fish, wildlife or plant habitat within the Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area and the granting of the variance will be in harmony with the general spirit and intent of the County's Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical program.

The Board notes that if the variance is approved, Section NR3-111(e)(1) of the County Code requires a planting agreement to be implemented to mitigate any disturbance within the Buffer. The mitigation required would be triple the square footage of the limits of disturbance within the buffer. The owners propose to plant the mitigation requirement in the area between the proposed garage and the existing woods line, which would enhance the buffer off the stream. The owners have also voluntarily elected to implement a storm water management plan for this project even though one

is not required under the circumstances. Implementing both the mitigation requirement and the storm water management plan will have a positive impact on water quality. Therefore, the Board finds that the granting of the requested variance shall not adversely affect water quality or adversely impact fish, wildlife or plant habitat within the Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area and the granting of the variance will be in harmony with the general spirit and intent of the County's Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical program.

6) The Board of Zoning Appeals shall not make a decision relative to a request for such a variance without reviewing the comments of the Department and finding that the applicant has satisfied each of the provisions and standards contained herein.

In rendering its decision, the Board considered the application (including all attachments thereto and the various County staff reports) the testimony presented at the hearing, and the comments issued by the State of Maryland Critical Area Commission Chesapeake and Atlantic Coastal Bays to determine whether the standards set forth herein had been met prior to rendering a decision for this variance request.

After duly considering the application, and the testimony and other evidence offered and presented in connection therewith, the Board concluded that the Applicant had met the burden of proof imposed upon him by Sections ZS 1-116(m), ZS 1-125, ZS 1-201 (b)(2) and ZS 1-201(d)(2) and Natural Resources Article Sections NR 3-104(c)(4) and NR 3-111. Accordingly, upon a Motion made by Ms. Gismondi, which was seconded by Mr. Taylor, the Board unanimously passed the following resolution:

BE IT RESOLVED, that the requested variance be GRANTED, with the conditions that 1) the storm water management plan prepared by the Applicants be implemented, and 2) that the mitigation will all be in the area of the garage and swale.

7/10/08 Date	Jerre F. Clauss, Chairperson
2/10/08	Beth Gismondi, Vice Chairperson
Date	$\mathcal{A}$
7-10-08 Date	Louis Taylor
7-10-08 Date	Joseph W. Green, Jr.
7.16.08 Date	Rodney C. Belmont
7-10-08	Joe Fehrer, Jr.
Date 7/10/08	July
Date	James E. Clubb, Jr.

11/13/2007 10:42

4106321410

WOR CO HEALTH HR

PAGE 03/05

WORCESTER CO REVIEW & PERMIT

07:38:43 11-13-2007

2/4

IN THE MATTER OF

DENISE VENABLE

CASE NO.: 107327

BEFORE THE BOARD OF ZONING

APPEALS FOR WORCESTER COUNTY, \*

MARYLAND

#### **OPINION**

A hosting was held before the Board of Zoning Appeals for Worcester County, Maryland, on Thursday, October 11, 2007, upon the application of Denise Venable, requesting a variance to reduce the one hundred foot (100.0) Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area Buffler, from a blue line stream, to 57.6 (a reduction of 42.4 feet), associated with a proposed detached garage in conjunction with an existing single family dwelling, in an B-1 Estate District, A-1 Agricultural District setbacks apply, pursuant to Sections ZS 1-116(m)(1), ZS 1-125, ZS 1-201(b)(2), ZS 1-201(d)(2), NR 3-104(c)(4), and NR 3-111, located at 12507 Collins Road, approximately 2,500 feet south of the intersection of Jarvis Road and Collins Road, "Parcel A Revised and Resubdivision Plat of James R. Farlow and Denise R. Farlow", Tax Map 9, Parcel 328, in the Fifth Tax District of Worcester County, Maryland.

Kelly Henry, Zoning Administrator, presented the application to the Board.

The Applicant, Denise Vensble, and Spencer Rowe testified before the Board. There were no protestants to the application, though the Board did take into consideration the letter of Marshall Johnson. Natural Resources Planner for the state of Maryland, under date of July 17th, 2007. Mrs. Vensble testified that she is seeking a variance to reduce the one hundred foot (100°) Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area Buffer so that she may install a 2 car garage on the property. As proposed, the garage will be placed in the same area currently occupied by a dog pen with a concrete floor that

1/13/2907 10:42

4105321410

WOR CO HEALTH HR

PAGE 04/05

WORCESTER CO REVIEW & PERMIT

07:38:54 11-13-2007

3/4

would be removed from the property. Mrs. Venable testified that no large trees on the property will be removed that to the construction of the garage. She also testified that this is the only reasonable location for the driveway. While it may be possible to build a garage outside the buffer area, doing so would cause the Applicant to make a driveway that extends over the buffer area and cross over a ditch on the property, neither of which is permitted by law or advisable. The Applicant further testified that the dog pan on the property extends into the buffer area further than the proposed detached garage will extend. The Applicant profilered to the Board that if the variance was granted, she would include a storm water management agreement and incorporate into her planning the recommendations made by the Worcester County staff. The Board accepted the Applicant's proffer in rendering its decision.

After duly considering the application, and the testimony and other evidence offered and presented in connection therewith, the Board concluded that the Applicant had met the burden of proof imposed upon her by Sections Z8 1-116(m)(1), Z8 1-125, ZS 1-201(b)(2), Z8 1-201(d)(2), NR 3-104(c)(4), and NR 3-111. Accordingly, upon a Motion made by Mr. Taylor, which was accorded by Mr. Fehrer, the Board unanimously passed the following resolution:

BB IT RESOLVED, that the requested variance be GRANTED.

Desk

11/8/07

Date

Duty /

Jerre F. Clause, Chairperson

Beth Ciamondi, Vice Chairperson

Louis Taylor

11/13/2007 10:42 4105321410 4100323000

WOR CO HEALTH HR

PAGE 05/05

WORCESTER CO REVIEW & PERMIT 07:39:06 11-13-2007

4 /4

ABSENT James B. Clubb, Jr.

1	Worcester County Board * Members present: Of Zoning Appeals, held * Jerre Clauss,
2	October 11, 2007 in the * Chairman; Beth
3	County Government Center * Gismondi; Lou Taylor; Snow Hill, Maryland. * Joseph Green, Rodney * Belmont, and Joe
4	* Fehrer.
5	/
6	
7	DEDODEED LG OFFICIAL EDINGGDIDE OF DEGEEDING
8	REPORTER'S OFFICIAL TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
9	IN RE: BZA CASE NO. 107327 In the matter of Denise Venable.
10	In the matter of Denise vehable.
11	PRESENT: On behalf of the Board of Zoning Appeals:
12	CHRISTOPHER WOODLEY, ESQUIRE
13	On behalf of the Applicant:
14	HUGH CROPPER, IV, ESQUIRE
15	Also Present:
16	Kelly Henry, Zoning Administrator Chris McCabe, Natural Resources Administrator
17	Bonnie Merritt, Development, Review & Permitting Specialist I
18	John Azzolini, Development, Review & Permitting Specialist I
19	·
20	Stenographically Reported By:
21	Melinda J. Cody

### PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN CLAUSS: Call the next case, please.

MS. HENRY: Case No. 107327, on the application of Denise Venable.

CHAIRMAN CLAUSS: All concerned please step forward and be sworn in.

(Whereupon, the applicant was duly sworn.)

MS. VENABLE: Denise Venable, 12507 Collins Road, Bishopville, Maryland.

CHAIRMAN CLAUSS: Please read Case No. 107327.

MS. HENRY: Requesting a variance to reduce the one hundred foot Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area Buffer, from a blue line stream, to 57.6 feet (a reduction of 42.4 feet), associated with a proposed detached garage in conjunction with an existing single family dwelling, in an E-1 Estate District, A-1 Agricultural District setbacks apply, pursuant to Sections ZS 1-116(m)(1), ZS 1-125, ZS 1-201(b)(2), ZS 1-201(d)(2), NR 3-104(c)(4), and NR 3-111, located at 12507 Collins Road, approximately 2,500 feet south of

1 the intersection of Jarvis Road and Collins Road, "Parcel A Revised and Resubdivision Plat of James R. 2 3 Farlow and Denise R. Farlow", Tax Map 9, Parcel 328, in the Fifth Tax District of Worcester County, Maryland. 4 CHAIRMAN CLAUSS: Any additions or 5 6 corrections? 7 MR. CROPPER: No, sir. CHAIRMAN CLAUSS: Please tell us why you're 8 here. 9 MR. CROPPER: Thank you. For the record, my 10 name is Hugh Cropper, I represent the Applicant. 11 is a variance to the 100 foot buffer as you know, to 12 authorize construction of a 26 by 40 detached garage in 13 the 100 foot buffer which exists by virtue of this blue 14

name is Hugh Cropper, I represent the Applicant. This is a variance to the 100 foot buffer as you know, to authorize construction of a 26 by 40 detached garage in the 100 foot buffer which exists by virtue of this blue line stream. Just a little preface, you should know that the area is open and grassy, this does not involve any clearing and it's in the area of an existing dog pen which we propose to remove. I'm going to go through the criteria with Mrs. Venable who's here.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Now, Denise, this lot was platted long before the Critical Area Law; isn't that right?

1 Α. Yes. 2 Okay. And the lot for the record is Q. 3 2.54 acres? 4 Α. Yes. 5 Ο. And approximately how long has the house been 6 there? 7 Since 1986, I mean an addition was put on in Α. 2002. Okay. And you've lived there the whole time? 9 Q. Α. Yes. 10 And the driveway and the septic and 11 Q. 12 everything, that has all existed for the past 20 years? 13 Α. Yes. Now, what Mr. Bunting on his survey shows as 14 Q. a ditch, it's your understanding that that's actually a 15 blue line stream as shown on the critical area map; is 16 that right? 17 18 Α. Yes. Okay. Do you have any pictures of that, of 19 what the stream looks like? 20 The actual stream? 21 Α.

1 Q. Yes.

- A. That's going north, that's more going north.
- Q. We'll mark these Exhibits 1, 2, and 3 when they get to Mr. Woodley, but we'll just go ahead and send them around for now. And this summer because of the dry conditions it was essentially dry most of the summer?
- A. It's been dry since March, April, it pretty much stays dry.
- Q. Okay. And you have a picture that shows the grassy area where you want to put your garage?
  - A. Here.
- Q. Perfect. Okay. So this -- we'll mark this as No. 4, this is the dog pen that you want to -- and there's one with the dog -- these two pictures that we'll mark as 4 and 5, this is the dog pen that you propose --
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. -- to remove?
- A. Yes.
  - Q. But again, the garage will be what's your

lawn right now? 1 2 Α. Yes. 3 Q. You don't have to cut any trees? 4 Α. No. 5 Q. We'll mark these as 4 and 5. And Mr. Bunting's plat that shows the tree line, that's 6 actually the outside canopy of the tree, the actual 7 8 stumps of the trees are back a little further than that; is that right? 9 Yes, that's the actual drip line you were 10 calling it. 11 Okay. Now, to describe for the record so 12 that it's clear for the record, you currently have a 13 house with a garage with an existing driveway and a 14 platted septic reserve area? 15 Α. Correct. 16

17

18

19

20

21

Q.

house.

some time and you want to keep?

Okay. And you have several large trees on

Yes, they've been there longer than the

your property currently which have been there for quite

Q. Okay. So it's really not an option, you don't want to cut down some of those mature trees in order to build your garage?

- A. No.
- Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that you -- that this is really in your opinion the only reasonable. location to put this garage?
- A. Yes, it's where I had planned in the future to build a garage not being aware of all of this, but I had actually planted crepe myrtles so they would kind of be in between it.
- Q. And you would agree that the reserve area, the mature trees, and the hundred foot buffer greatly restrict the building envelope where you can put this garage?
  - A. Absolutely.
- Q. Now, this is sort of residential, sort of agricultural community? That is if I drive to your house we see a lot of accessory structures, storage buildings, and that sort of thing?
  - A. Uh-huh.

- Q. Okay. So it's not unusual for anybody in this neighborhood to have a detached garage or storage building or that sort of thing?
  - A. No.
- Q. I just point out for the record that you're allowed to clear up to 15 percent and with the proposed site plan you have a little over seven percent impervious surface, so you're well within the impervious surface.
  - A. Okay.
- Q. And it's your understanding you comply in every other respect with the Critical Area Law?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And obviously this isn't an after-the-fact variance, this is something that you've come to the staff and asked them about?
  - A. We've been working on it since last April.
- Q. Okay. To get your permit, and you've actually sent Mr. Bunting out there and revised your site plan a couple of times?
  - A. Yes. And I've worked with Janet and moved it

again to try and get over the 50-foot mark that we're up to, the 58 feet.

- Q. Yeah, 57.6?
- A. Yes.

- Q. And you understand that if the variance is granted that you will have to do mitigation essentially on a 3 to 1 basis?
  - A. Of planting trees.
- Q. Right, and you're willing to put supplemental planting between the back of the garage and the existing woods line which would actually increase the buffer from what exists right now?
  - A. Yes, absolutely.
- Q. Now, one thing that the staff talks about here is a storm water management plan. We met earlier with Mr. Woody Bunting who did your survey and even though you're under 5,000 square feet and would be exempt from getting a storm water management approval you would be willing to make a condition of approval that you would get the storm water management approval for this?

A. Yes.

- Q. So you'd hire a surveyor or whoever would be required to do a storm water management plan, that would be a condition of approval?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And again, for the record, this isn't a condition -- this is not an after-the-fact variance, you've not constructed any of this?
  - A. No, absolutely not.
- Q. Okay. And you've worked every way you can, and you've got electrical transformers, you've got an existing deck, you've got a well, you've got mature trees, your existing drain fields, you've got a platted reserve areas, you've got side yard setbacks all of which really leave this as the most logical place to put your detached garage?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Now, the -- you have substantial -- your property is a triangle, in the rear of the triangle you actually have substantial upland in the rear?

- A. Uh-huh.
  - Q. That's usable for some purpose presumably?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And your lot is bisected by the blue line stream, so to get to the rear of your property I want to state this clearly, you could put the garage in the rear of your property and be outside the buffer, but you would have to have a road through the buffer and cross the blue line stream to get to it?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. So in your current proposal you're not going to remove any trees, in fact, you're going to plant a lot of trees. If you put the garage outside the buffer and in the rear of the property you'd have to clear a road and make a bridge across the ditch?
  - A. Right.
- Q. Okay. And that's something you've discussed with the staff as well and the staff felt that putting the garage in the front would be better than --
  - A. It would have the least environmental impact.
  - Q. Right, because you wouldn't be across the

ditch, you'd actually be -- you would actually be -- although the code requires 100 feet, you would actually in practicality be increasing the buffer that's there now by planting more trees?

- A. Right.
- Q. Okay. And we've had the discussion of trying to move the garage further to the front, but in the front you're also constrained by your existing drain field and your front yard setback?
  - A. Right.
- Q. And you've been told by the environmental program folks this piece on the right is actually the installed drain field and you have to be -- they want you to be a certain distance away from that drain field as it's installed?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. Okay. So --
  - A. I can't drive across it.
- Q. Right, and you can't drive across it to get to your garage?
  - A. Right.

A. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. And it currently extends further into the buffer than the proposal that you have now?
- A. The dog pen was built when the house was built.
- I would point out that the local staff comments I think are favorable in every respect. I think the local staff comments say that the Applicant has met the burden of proof in each instance, they do in consideration of the State's comments, they said that we could either downsize the accessory structure or incorporate a storm water management plan and the applicant is voluntarily proposing to do that. I would point out that as it relates to Mr. Marshall Johnson's comments, as this Board knows I dispute what he says sometimes, particularly in this case I find it unique that Mr. Johnson comes out against -- is against us on every single point, he says we don't meet any of the criteria, even the criteria that talks about whether or

not it's an after-the-fact variance because we don't even meet that criteria. So I don't know why he says that, but that's what your -- you've got your local staff that's worked with the Applicant, has been out to the property, looked at it, they give you a favorable recommendation on every single point, probably the most favorable -- this is probably the most favorable staff report that I've seen on a Critical Area variance yet.

Mr. Johnson -- Mr. Marshall Johnson who's never been to this property has given you unfavorable on every point.

That would really be our case. It is -- I think it's a perfect Critical Area variance situation and it certainly is a net environmental benefit because you're making a buffer bigger, you're making a bigger wooded buffer.

That would be our case.

CHAIRMAN CLAUSS: Board, questions?

Mr. Fehrer?

MR. FEHRER: Yes. Mr. Cropper, for the sake of the Board, would you define a blue line stream, please?

/

1 MR. CROPPER: To me a blue line stream --2 We hear this term a lot, just MR. FEHRER: 3 for the sake of the Board. 4 MR. CROPPER: I don't know, to me, a blue line stream is, you know, an estuarine stream that's 5 designated as such on a map. Quite frankly, I've walked 6 across this ditch a bunch of times and to me it's not a 7 blue line stream because it doesn't really have any 8 connection to the river. 9 10 MR. FEHRER: And well, not specifically this case and I may defer --11 MR. ROWE: Well, I think I can answer that. 12 13 Blue line comes from the USGS quad maps --14 MS. GISMONDI: Why don't we let Chris answer that. 15 16 MS. HENRY: Mr. Rowe will tell you, but the blue line stream as defined in the Critical Area Law is 17 the dashed lines. A blue line stream on the USGS code 18 map is how it's defined. Actually we looked at -- when 19 it was geometrically put onto the critical area map it 20

was pulled off of the USGS which is what the federal

1 government uses. So that's what we define it, it's 2 shown as a blue line stream and we have to apply it as a blue line stream unless otherwise disputed and the Corp 3 -- if you dispute it, the Corps comes out and looks at 4 it and says, no, we don't think it's a blue line stream. 5 MS. GISMONDI: But it's been on the maps for 6 7 years and years and years. MR. FEHRER: It's delineated. 8 MR. ROWE: And sometimes there's some that 9 run right through the center of Lincoln Harbor, maps are 10 generated. 11

CHAIRMAN CLAUSS: Yeah, if you're going to start to testify we're going to have to swear you in.

MR. ROWE: I'm done.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MR. FEHRER: No, I just wanted -- this term comes up before the Board and I wanted for the Board's sake to have a definition of blue line, where they came from. So thank you.

Is there any -- in looking at the plat, is there any way that that garage could be moved slightly towards the -- it would still be within the 100 foot

critical area, part of it, but could it be swung and moved around towards the existing drain fields slightly, is that a possibility?

MS. GISMONDI: You said there was a setback requirement, what is that?

MS. VENABLE: Eight feet or ten feet. The question before the Board was where the actual drain field ends.

MS. GISMONDI: Well, Mr. Bunting platted it for you, so I'm assuming he's accurate, but it's right there.

MR. FEHRER: Do you understand what I'm --

MR. CROPPER: I understand clearly and I think what Denise is saying, Mr. Bunting probably took his existing drain field area off the permit back when it was installed in 1987 or 1988, the actual site might not be in the location of this and there's a 10-foot -- they want to keep a 10-foot setoff, I understand what Mr. Fehrer's saying. You know, it's a reasonable use of the property, you know, they want it close to the house, they don't want their driveway to be bigger, that's

where they wanted to put it, that's where the dog pen is, you know, they want to keep it together rather than sprawling all over the lot. If you put it down here it's really in the front yard, if you come up Collins Road it looks like it's sitting in their -- it looks like their mailbox is going to be this. We looked at turning it this MS. VENABLE: way. That's exactly what I was --MR. FEHRER: MS. VENABLE: And it was still taking up just as much in the way Ms. Davis had figured it didn't --It's still hinged to the --MR. FEHRER: Right, this way all I see -- I MS. VENABLE: can still see out my bay window and still see my daughter playing in the yard. MS. GISMONDI: I didn't get that, why can't you locate it around and bring it up and put it right up on the existing driveway or part of it? MS. VENABLE: If we turn this little piece this way --MS. GISMONDI: Why can't we have a garage

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

here? 1 2 MS. VENABLE: You can't here because of the 3 -- well, because this is the reserve spot which we had 4 to stay away from first of all. MS. GISMONDI: Bring it up eight, you can 5 pull it in and park that way. 6 7 MR. CROPPER: Right, you're not really to scale, that's right. 8 MS. GISMONDI: I'm just taking this right 9 10 here. MR. CROPPER: You've got to be able to turn 11 12 and get into your garage and then it comes back here. MS. GISMONDI: And then come here and get in 13 that parking space. 14 MR. CROPPER: When we talked to Janet and we 15 actually sat it like that the intrusion into the buffer 16 was about the same as what we have now. 17 18 MS. GISMONDI: It looks like we can go back this way. 19 20 MS. VENABLE: And then we ran into this 21 problem, number one.

MS. GISMONDI: How come you can't move all of this up and put it right on the line? MR. CROPPER: Because you've got to get into it. MS. GISMONDI: Well, she would be, that's all the driveway. CHAIRMAN CLAUSS: Because you pull into that spot now. MR. CROPPER: Well, she's got a garage door here to her house. MS. VENABLE: This is my garage. MS. GISMONDI: Right, this is how you get in. And why are we putting another garage on this, what was the purpose of building a new one? MR. CROPPER: She needs another garage. MS. VENABLE: Because this is only a single car garage. MS. GISMONDI: Do you have some --MS. VENABLE: No, I'm married. MS. GISMONDI: I didn't know, what's the purpose, is this a two car garage, a three car garage?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1 MS. VENABLE: Two, my husband has a 2 motorcycle and we both have vehicles. 3 MS. GISMONDI: And nowadays it's the 4 motorcycle and your car in the garage and then one sits That's the purpose of building? 5 MR. TAYLOR: I don't think it has any bearing 6 on what they use it for. 7 MS. GISMONDI: I was just thinking if she was 8 just a car collector there could be other garages out. 9 I think the answer to that, MR. CROPPER: 10 Beth, is if you ride through this community it is a 11 predominately mixture of residential and agricultural 12 and that's what your staff said and I see a lot of 13 detached buildings out there that are a lot bigger than 14

this, old sheds, storage buildings --

MS. GISMONDI: I used to live out there.

MR. CROPPER: -- and that sort of thing. And when you live out in the country on two and a half acres, you have riding lawn mowers and you have all of that, you have all of that stuff that goes --

MS. VENABLE: The drawings that we have

21

15

16

17

18

19

worked on for the garage being that size also gives me room to put two dormers to make it look just like the house basically, not that it looks just like the house because it's not the same size, but to make it uniform with what's already there.

MS. GISMONDI: Do you have the drawing that shows that? I keep thinking you've got the driveway that you can get into those.

MR. CROPPER: And I don't want to get pushy with you, but I think she can pull a permit and put it back here because it's out of the buffer, I think she can get a permit to put it back here and then they have to get a permit to cross and get back there.

MS. HENRY: Keep in mind though, you'd have to get a variance to clear the buffer to get through there.

MR. CROPPER: Well, I think almost it would be a taking not to give it to me if I build a 26 by 40 foot garage. If I could really limit the setbacks which I can on uplands outside the buffer I think I could do it and then I think --

MS. GISMONDI: I'm trying to put it this way.

MR. CROPPER: You and I have had the discussion -- let me say it like this, look at the picture where the dog -- I think the pictures you want went by, they're over here in the exhibit pile. If you look at these pictures and, Beth, look at this, I think you can say about everything that you could make it smaller and pull it in, but look at where the dog pen is. If you bring it in closer than that dog pen she's never going to be able to drive up there. It's just -- the scale of it.

MS. VENABLE: And you've got to be able to get behind the house because of the different tanks and the gas and everything.

MS. GISMONDI: You have to have a separation.

MR. CROPPER: The law requires it. I don't know what you've got now, but it's not a heck of a lot more, if that's 26, that's not a heck of a lot more than ten now.

MS. GISMONDI: You couldn't pull it forward to the edge of the --

MS. VENABLE: No. I am using the current driveway to get in without -- adding as little to it as possible. MS. GISMONDI: Put the garage in the driveway because you won't need that space anymore if you've got your other two cars. MS. VENABLE: You've still got to be able to turn around and get out. MR. CROPPER: Yeah, if you move it up. MS. VENABLE: There's not enough room between here and the house for the existing garage. MS. GISMONDI: You could still move it here and have separation, just move it up. MR. CROPPER: If you go out there and look at it, you can't. MS. GISMONDI: I was just out there last night. MR. CROPPER: If you walk out there and look and drive your car back there and this is the best place for it. Denise doesn't have any, and I'm sorry, I keep calling her Denise. Mrs. Venable -- this isn't like a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

variance where somebody's trying to get closer to the bay to get a bay view, she's trying to put it in the best spot and it's --

CHAIRMAN CLAUSS: It's so many square feet, it's going to be so many square feet is what you're saying. It's still going to be there, so where you put it almost becomes moot, we're going to approve it or not going to approve it.

MS. GISMONDI: Due diligence, how far can you move it, that's what I'm asking.

MR. CROPPER: Again, to me, this isn't like at the bayfront where you're 50 feet into the buffer or 40 feet into the buffer, once you're in the buffer, you're in the buffer.

MS. GISMONDI: But if we don't ask the questions and put it in the record --

MR. CROPPER: I understand.

MS. VENABLE: Well, this is the second site plan or the third site plan actually that's been done that we started off with, we moved it this way and angled it this way.

MR. CROPPER: Frankly, I like it back off the road as much as you can.

MR. FEHRER: I have something to add, just for the Board's sake. Sometimes this blue line streams, this is a good example, shown as a ditch now, that probably at the start of it it was a free flowing stream at one time although it's been ditched so that's why it's shown as such. So these are all collectors for rainwater or whatever so you can't just ignore the fact. And not that it's relevant particularly in this case, but just for informational, this was probably a split free flowing stream so it's not -- it's a ditch and it's been extremely dry, that's why -- it is, it's bone dry. I've walked up and down that ditch a couple of weeks ago, well, prior to the postponement, it was dry then, it's likely to be dry until they get any big rain event. I personally have no problem with this exception as asked for, but just for the Board's sake these blue line streams are exceptions for a reason, you just can't ignore that fact.

MS. GISMONDI: Yeah, I was out there today,

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

if you go by the Colvert plant there's water standing so obviously everything is flowing downhill.

MR. FEHRER: Oh, I guess that's what I'm saying --

MS. GISMONDI: It's not spring rain.

MR. FEHRER: It's a ditch now, but historically I'd be willing to bet that that was the natural stream that was ditched. That's why I just say even though it's shown as a ditch the Board has to take these things into consideration I feel.

MR. CROPPER: And Beth, if Mr. Bunting has a storm water management plan he's going to pull the storm water back out of the buffer and based on your 1,700 square feet of garage you're going to get 5,000 square feet of plantings so you're going to get 25 mature trees in the -- or whatever in the buffer. You're going to get a storm water management plan around the buffer and you're going to get 25 mature trees or more shrubs in the buffer.

MR. McCABE: I spoke with Mr. Bunting and he said that he would pull the storm water management plan

1 to pull all of the drainage forward instead of into the 2 buffer. 3 MR. CROPPER: That's correct. MR. FEHRER: Mr. McCabe, the mitigation would 4 be native tree and shrub species? 5 MR. McCABE: Yes, they would have to plant to 6 7 satisfy the three to one and it's a total of about 4,155 square feet, divide that by 200 and that's how 9 many trees you have, large trees, 1 and a half calipers 6 feet. 10 MR. ROWE: Do you give credit for the dog 11 12 pen? MR. McCABE: Yeah, the dog pen -- the dog pen 13 14 is existing so the square footage would -- they would get a reduction for the existing impervious surface, 15 that's been calculated out of this calculation. 16 17 MS. GISMONDI: That's a good question, the 18 dog pen is to be removed, I would say you still have a 19 doq. MS. VENABLE: She just doesn't stay out there 20 21 anymore.

MS. GISMONDI: Is she in the house or is she going to stay in the new garage? MS. VENABLE: No, she stays in the house now. MR. CROPPER: A house dog. MS. GISMONDI: I just don't want the dog pen to be up against the side here. MS. VENABLE: Well, she's nine now, we had two of them back when it was originally built. Is there anyone in the CHAIRMAN CLAUSS: audience for or against this application that would like to speak? MR. ROWE: Can I say just one word? CHAIRMAN CLAUSS: Do you want to be sworn in? MR. ROWE: Yeah, I just want to say one thing. Do you want me to be sworn in? (Whereupon, one gentleman was duly sworn.) MR. ROWE: Spencer Rowe. I just want to mention that just for the record that Chris and I were with Marshall Johnson and some other critical area people one day ten or 15 minutes from this property and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

we said, would you like to go look at this because we're only ten minutes away, maybe you should put some eyes on the grounds and what we're really dealing with and they said no, we don't have a problem with it, we don't have time today, this is about 11:00 in the morning. So we said okay and then we got a letter from the State that you have there, opposing it, and I just wanted to put that in the record, thanks. CHAIRMAN CLAUSS: Anything else from the Board? (No response.) CHAIRMAN CLAUSS: Mr. Cropper? MR. CROPPER: No, sir. CHAIRMAN CLAUSS: Chair's open for a motion. MR. TAYLOR: I make a motion that we approve Case No. 107327 as applied for by the Applicant. MR. FEHRER: I second that. CHAIRMAN CLAUSS: All in favor signify by saying Aye. MR. McCABE: Is there going to be any stipulation as applied is going to include the storm

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1 water management plan or do you want to --2 MR. FEHRER: We had an agreement by the 3 attorney. 4 MS. GISMONDI: Let's make it part of the motion. 5 6 MR. TAYLOR: That's fine. 7 MR. FEHRER: Thank you. 8 MS. GISMONDI: Restate your motion. CHAIRMAN CLAUSS: Do you want to include into 9 10 the record the State comments and the findings of fact? 11 MR. FEHRER: Yes. Mr. Taylor? 12 MR. TAYLOR: Okay. I make a motion to approve Case No. 107327 with the addition of the storm 13 water management agreement and I'm a little confused on 14 15 the last request. 16 MR. CROPPER: I believe we just want to 17 incorporate the staff comments. 18 MR. TAYLOR: Staff comments is included on 19 that. 20 MS. HENRY: And if you could put in your motion that it's not only a condition, but it's a 21

condition because it was proffered by the Applicant. And I only say that because we've had a rezoning -- a planning commission issue where the planning commission had certain authority and something was proffered due to the negotiations back between the Applicant and the Board and it really wasn't a condition, it was more of a proffer and they basically proffered about the storm water management coming towards Collins Road. I would like you to incorporate it as a condition, but note that it's a proffer of the Applicant. MR. CROPPER: Okay. In this case we have got a court reporter and I'll tell her that we agree to it and Denise agrees to it, right? MS. VENABLE: Yes, I do. MR. CROPPER: There you go. CHAIRMAN CLAUSS: Second? MR. FEHRER: Second. CHAIRMAN CLAUSS: All in favor signify by saying Aye. THE BOARD: Aye. CHAIRMAN CLAUSS: Opposed no?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1	(No response.)				
2	(Whereupon, the proceedings were concluded.)				
3	- 0 -				
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9 .					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					

# COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, Melinda J. Cody, Official Court Reporter for Worcester County, Maryland, certify that I recorded verbatim by stenotype the proceedings in the above-entitled cause before the Worcester County Board of Zoning Appeals, Worcester County, Maryland, on the 11th day of October, 2007.

I further certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the foregoing transcript constitutes a true and correct transcript of the said proceedings.

Given under my hand this St day of February, 2008, at Snow Hill, Maryland.

Melinda J. Cordey

Melinda J. Cody

0	5	Appeals [3] - 1:1,	9:10, 24:10, 32:5	21:4, 21:9, 24:19
		1:11, 34:7	big [1] - 26:16	cars [1] - 24:6
		applicant [2] - 2:7,	bigger [4] - 14:14,	CASE [1] - 1:9
0 [1] - 33:3	<b>5</b> [2] - 5:16, 6:5	13:15	17:21, 21:14	case [7] - 2:2, 13:18,
	<b>5,000</b> [2] - 9:17, 27:14	Applicant [8] - 1:13,	bisected [1] - 11:4	14:11, 14:16, 15:11
1	<b>50</b> [1] - 25:12	3:11, 13:10, 14:4,	Bishopville [1] - 2:9	26:10, 32:11
	<b>50-foot</b> [1] - 9:1	30:16, 32:1, 32:5,	Blue [1] - 15:13	Case [4] - 2:3, 2:10,
4 5007000	<b>57.6</b> [2] - 2:14, 9:3	32:10	blue [17] - 2:14, 3:14,	30:16, 31:13
1 [3] - 5:3, 9:7, 28:9	<b>5</b> 8 [1] - 9:2	application [2] - 2:4,	4:16, 11:4, 11:9,	center[1] - 16:10
<b>1,700</b> [1] - 27:13		29:10	14:20, 15:1, 15:4,	Center [1] - 1:2
<b>1-116(m)(1</b> [1] - 2:19	6	applied [2] - 30:16,	15:8, 15:17, 15:18,	1 ''
<b>1-125</b> [1] - 2:19	0	1		certain [2] - 12:14,
1-201(b)(2 [1] - 2:19		30:21	16:2, 16:3, 16:5,	32:4
1-201(d)(2 [1] - 2:20	6 [1] - 28:10	apply [2] - 2:18, 16:2	16:17, 26:4, 26:18	certainly [1] - 14:13
10-foot <sub>[2]</sub> - 17:17,	5(1)	approval [4] - 9:18,	BOARD [1] - 32:20	CERTIFICATE [1] -
• • •	0	9:19, 9:20, 10:4	Board [12] - 1:1, 1:11,	34:1
17:18	9	approve [4] - 25:7,	13:17, 14:17, 14:20,	certify [2] - 34:4, 34:9
100 [4] - 3:12, 3:14,		25:8, 30:15, 31:13	15:3, 16:16, 17:7,	Chair's [1] - 30:14
12:2, 16:21	9 [1] - 3:3	April [2] - 5:8, 8:17	27:9, 30:10, 32:6,	CHAIRMAN [19] - 2:2
<b>107327</b> [5] - 1:9, 2:3,	J [ij = 0.0	area [10] - 3:16, 3:17,	34:6	2:5, 2:10, 3:5, 3:8,
2:11, 30:16, 31:13		4:16, 5:11, 6:15,	Board's [3] - 16:16,	14:17, 16:12, 20:7,
11 [1] - 1:2	<b>A</b>		26:4, 26:18	1
<b>11:00</b> [1] - 30:5		7:12, 15:20, 17:1,		25:4, 29:9, 29:13,
11th [1] - 34:8	A-1 [1] - 2:17	17:15, 29:20	bone [1] - 26:13	30:9, 30:12, 30:14,
<b>12507</b> [2] - 2:8, 2:21		Area [6] - 2:13, 3:21,	Bonnie [1] - 1:16	30:18, 31:9, 32:16,
<b>15</b> <sub>[2]</sub> - 8:6, 29:21	able [4] - 19:11, 23:10,	8:12, 14:8, 14:12,	bridge [1] - 11:15	32:18, 32:21
	23:12, 24:7	15:17	bring [2] - 18:17, 23:9	Chairman [1] - 1:2
1986 [1] - 4:7	above-entitled [1] -	areas [1] - 10:14	Bring [1] - 19:5	Chris [3] - 1:16, 15:14
<b>1</b> 98 <b>7</b> [1] - 17:16	34:6	associated [1] - 2:15	buffer [24] - 3:12,	29:19
<b>1</b> 988 [1] - 17:16	absolutely [2] - 9:13,	assuming [1] - 17:10	3:14, 7:13, 9:11,	CHRISTOPHER [1] -
	<b>–</b>   10:9	Atlantic [1] - 2:13	11:7, 11:8, 11:13,	1:12
2	Absolutely [1] - 7:16	attorney [1] - 31:3	12:3, 13:5, 14:14,	CLAUSS [19] - 2:2,
	accessory [2] - 7:19,	audience [1] - 29:10	14:15, 19:16, 22:11,	2:5, 2:10, 3:5, 3:8,
	13:13		22:15, 22:20, 25:12,	14:17, 16:12, 20:7,
<b>2</b> [1] - 5:3	accurate [1] - 17:10	authority [1] - 32:4		
<b>2,500</b> [1] - 2:21	acres [2] - 4:3, 21:19	authorize [1] - 3:13	25:13, 25:14, 27:13,	25:4, 29:9, 29:13,
<b>2.54</b> [1] - 4:3	I	aware [1] - 7:9	27:16, 27:17, 27:19,	30:9, 30:12, 30:14,
<b>20</b> [1] - 4:12	actual [5] - 4:21, 6:7,	Aye [3] - 30:19, 32:19,	28:2	30:18, 31:9, 32:16,
<b>200</b> [1] - 28:8	6:10, 17:7, 17:16	32:20	Buffer [1] - 2:14	32:18, 32:21
2002[1] - 4:8	add [1] - 26:3	Azzolini [1] - 1:17	build [3] - 7:3, 7:9,	Clauss [1] - 1:1
2007 [2] - 1:2, 34:8	adding [1] - 24:2		22:18	clear [4] - 6:13, 8:6,
2008[1] - 34:14	addition [2] - 4:7,	В	building [4] - 7:14,	11:14, 22:15
<b>25</b> [2] - 27:15, 27:18	31:13		8:3, 20:14, 21:5	clearing [1] - 3:17
	additions [1] - 3:5		buildings [3] - 7:20,	clearly [2] - 11:6,
<b>26</b> [3] - 3:13, 22:18,	Administrator [2] -	based [1] - 27:13	21:14, 21:15	17:13
23:18	1:15, 1:16	basis [1] - 9:7	built [3] - 13:6, 13:7,	close [1] - 17:20
	after-the-fact [3] -	bay [3] - 18:14, 25:2	29:8	closer [2] - 23:9, 25:
3	8:14, 10:7, 14:1	bayfront [1] - 25:12		
		Bays [1] - 2:13	bunch [1] - 15:7	Coastal [1] - 2:13
	ago [1] - 26:15	1	Bunting [7] - 4:14,	code [2] - 12:2, 15:18
<b>3</b> [2] - 5:3, 9:7	agree [2] - 7:12, 32:12	bearing [1] - 21:6	8:19, 9:16, 17:9,	Cody [3] - 1:21, 34:3
3 <b>-104(c)(4</b> [1] - 2:20	agreement [2] - 31:2,	becomes [1] - 25:7	17:14, 27:11, 27:20	34:18
3 <b>-111</b> [1] - 2:20	31:14	behalf [2] - 1:11, 1:13	Bunting's [1] - 6:6	collector [1] - 21:9
<b>32</b> 8 [1] - 3:3	agrees [1] - 32:13	behind [1] - 23:13	burden [1] - 13:11	collectors [1] - 26:8
	Agricultural [1] - 2:18	belief[1] - 34:10	BZA [1] - 1:9	Collins [5] - 2:8, 2:2
	1 -	Belmont [1] - 1:3		3:1, 18:4, 32:8
4	agricultural [2] - 7:18,		С	Colvert [1] - 27:1
4	-	penetit [1] - 14:13	ı •	3017614(1) * 27.1
4	21:12	benefit [1] - 14:13 best (3) - 24:19, 25:3.		coming to 22.0
	21:12 ahead [1] - 5:4	best [3] - 24:19, 25:3,		coming [1] - 32:8
4 [3] - 5:14, 5:16, 6:5	21:12 ahead [1] - 5:4 allowed [1] - 8:6	best [3] - 24:19, 25:3, 34:9		comments [7] - 13:9
4 <sub>[3]</sub> - 5:14, 5:16, 6:5 4 <b>,155</b> <sub>[1]</sub> - 28:8	21:12 ahead [1] - 5:4 allowed [1] - 8:6 almost [2] - 22:17,	best [3] - 24:19, 25:3, 34:9 bet [1] - 27:7	calculated [1] - 28:16	comments [7] - 13:9 13:10, 13:12, 13:1
4 [3] - 5:14, 5:16, 6:5 4,155 [1] - 28:8 40 [3] - 3:13, 22:18,	21:12 ahead [1] - 5:4 allowed [1] - 8:6 almost [2] - 22:17, 25:7	best [3] - 24:19, 25:3, 34:9 bet [1] - 27:7 Beth [4] - 1:2, 21:11,	calculated [1] - 28:16 calculation [1] - 28:16	comments [7] - 13:9 13:10, 13:12, 13:1
4 [3] - 5:14, 5:16, 6:5 4,155 [1] - 28:8 40 [3] - 3:13, 22:18, 25:13	21:12 ahead [1] - 5:4 allowed [1] - 8:6 almost [2] - 22:17,	best [3] - 24:19, 25:3, 34:9 bet [1] - 27:7 Beth [4] - 1:2, 21:11, 23:6, 27:11	calculated [1] - 28:16 calculation [1] - 28:16 calipers [1] - 28:9	comments [7] - 13:9 13:10, 13:12, 13:13 31:10, 31:17, 31:18
4 [3] - 5:14, 5:16, 6:5 4,155 [1] - 28:8 40 [3] - 3:13, 22:18,	21:12 ahead [1] - 5:4 allowed [1] - 8:6 almost [2] - 22:17, 25:7	best [3] - 24:19, 25:3, 34:9 bet [1] - 27:7 Beth [4] - 1:2, 21:11,	calculated [1] - 28:16 calculation [1] - 28:16	coming [1] - 32:8 comments [7] - 13:9 13:10, 13:12, 13:17 31:10, 31:17, 31:18 commission [2] - 32 community [2] - 7:18

comply [1] - 8:11 concerned [1] - 2:5 concluded [1] - 33:2 condition [7] - 9:19, 10:4, 10:7, 31:21, 32:1, 32:6, 32:9 conditions [1] - 5:6 confused [1] - 31:14 conjunction[1] - 2:16 connection [1] - 15:9 consideration [2] -13:12, 27:10 constitutes [1] - 34:11 constrained [1] - 12:8 constructed (1) - 10:8 construction [1] -3:13 Corp [1] - 16:3 Corps [1] - 16:4 Correct [3] - 6:16, 10:17, 12:16 correct [2] - 28:3, 34:11 corrections [1] - 3:6 country [1] - 21:18 County [6] - 1:1, 1:2, 3:4, 34:4, 34:6, 34:7 couple [2] - 8:20, 26:14 court [1] - 32:12 COURT [1] - 34:1 Court [1] - 34:3 credit[1] - 28:11 crepe [1] - 7:10 criteria [4] - 3:19, 13:21, 14:2 Critical [6] - 2:13, 3:21, 8:12, 14:8, 14:12, 15:17 critical [4] - 4:16, 15:20, 17:1, 29:20 Cropper [3] - 3:11, 14:19, 30:12 CROPPER [31] - 1:14, 3:7, 3:10, 15:1, 15:4, 17:13, 19:7, 19:11, 19:15, 20:3, 20:9, 20:15, 21:10, 21:17, 22:9, 22:17, 23:2, 23:16, 24:9, 24:14, 24:18, 25:11, 25:17, 26:1, 27:11, 28:3, 29:4, 30:13, 31:16, 32:11, 32:15 cross [2] - 11:8, 22:13 current[2] - 11:11, 24:1 cut [2] - 6:3, 7:2

#### D

dashed [1] - 15:18 daughter[1] - 18:15 Davis [1] - 18:11 dealing [1] - 30:3 deck[1] - 10:12 defer[1] - 15:11 define [2] - 14:20, 16:1 defined [2] - 15:17, 15:19 definition [1] - 16:17 delineated [1] - 16:8 Denise [9] - 1:9, 2:4, 2:8, 3:3, 3:20, 17:14, 24:20, 24:21, 32:13 describe [1] - 6:12 designated [1] - 15:6 detached [5] - 2:16, 3:13, 8:2, 10:16, 21:14 Development [2] -1:16, 1:17 different[1] - 23:13 diligence [1] - 25:9 discussed [1] - 11:17 discussion [2] - 12:6. 23:3 dispute [2] - 13:17, 16:4 disputed [1] - 16:3 distance [1] - 12:14 District [3] - 2:17, 2:18, 3:4 ditch [9] - 4:15, 11:15, 12:1, 15:7, 26:5, 26:12, 26:14, 27:6, 27:9 ditched [2] - 26:7, 27:8 divide [1] - 28:8 dog [17] - 3:17, 5:14, 5:15, 5:16, 13:1, 13:6, 18:1, 23:4, 23:8, 23:9, 28:11, 28:13, 28:18, 28:19, 29:4, 29:5 done [2] - 16:14, 25:19 door[1] - 20:9 dormers [1] - 22:2 down [3] - 7:2, 18:3, 26:14 downhill [1] - 27:2 downsize [1] - 13:13 drain [7] - 10:13, 12:8, 12:13, 12:14, 17:2,

17:7, 17:15

drawing [1] - 22:6 drawings [1] - 21:21 drip [1] - 6:10 drive [5] - 7:18, 12:18, 12:19, 23:10, 24:19 driveway [8] - 4:11, 6:14, 17:21, 18:18, 20:6, 22:7, 24:2, 24:4 dry [8] - 5:6, 5:8, 5:9, 26:13, 26:15, 26:16 Due [1] - 25:9 due [1] - 32:4 duly [2] - 2:7, 29:17 dwelling [1] - 2:17

drainage [1] - 28:1

# Ε

E-1 [1] - 2:17 edge [1] - 23:21 Eight [1] - 17:6 eight [1] - 19:5 either[1] - 13:13 electrical [1] - 10:11 ends [1] - 17:8 entitled [1] - 34:6 envelope [1] - 7:14 environmental [3] -11:20, 12:11, 14:13 **ESQUIRE** [2] - 1:12, 1.14 essentially [2] - 5:6, 9:6 Estate [1] - 2:17 estuarine [1] - 15:5 event [1] - 26:16 exactly [1] - 18:9 example [1] - 26:5 exception [1] - 26:17 exceptions [1] - 26:19 exempt [1] - 9:18 exhibit [1] - 23:5 Exhibits [1] - 5:3 existed [1] - 4:12 existing [13] - 2:16, 3:17, 6:14, 9:10, 10:12, 10:13, 12:8, 17:2, 17:15, 18:18, 24:11, 28:14, 28:15 exists [2] - 3:14, 9:12 extends [1] - 13:4 extremely [1] - 26:13

#### F

eyes [1] - 30:2

fact [7] - 8:14, 10:7,

fair [1] - 7:5 family [1] - 2:17 far [1] - 25:9 Farlow [2] - 3:3 favor [2] - 30:18, 32:18 favorable [4] - 13:9, 14:5, 14:7 federal [1] - 15:21 feet [16] - 2:14, 2:15, 2:21, 9:2, 9:17, 12:2, 17:6, 25:4, 25:5, 25:12, 25:13, 27:14, 27:15, 28:8, 28:10 Fehrer [2] - 1:4, 14:18 FEHRER [17] - 14:19. 15:2, 15:10, 16:8, 16:15, 17:12, 18:9, 18:12, 26:3, 27:3, 27:6, 28:4, 30:17, 31:2, 31:7, 31:11, 32:17 Fehrer's [1] - 17:19 felt[1] - 11:18 field [5] - 12:9, 12:13, 12:14, 17:8, 17:15 fields [2] - 10:13, 17:2 Fifth [1] - 3:4 figured [1] - 18:11 findings [1] - 31:10 fine [1] - 31:6 first [1] - 19:4 flowing [3] - 26:6, 26:12, 27:2 folks [1] - 12:12 foot [6] - 2:13, 3:12, 3:14, 7:13, 16:21, 22:19 footage [1] - 28:14 foregoing [1] - 34:10 forward [3] - 2:6, 23:20, 28:1 frankly [1] - 15:6 Frankly [1] - 26:1 free [2] - 26:6, 26:12 front [5] - 11:19, 12:7, 12:8, 12:9, 18:4 future [1] - 7:8

11:12, 14:1, 26:9,

26:20, 31:10

#### G

garage [34] - 2:16, 3:13, 5:11, 5:21, 6:14, 7:3, 7:7, 7:9, 7:15, 8:2, 9:10, 10:16, 11:6, 11:13, 11:19, 12:7, 12:20,

16:20, 18:21, 19:12, 20:9, 20:11, 20:13, 20:15, 20:17, 20:21, 21:4, 22:1, 22:19, 24:4, 24:11, 27:14, 29:2 garages [1] - 21:9 gas [1] - 23:14 generated [1] - 16:11 gentleman [1] - 29:17 geometrically [1] -15:20 Gismondi [1] - 1:2 GISMONDI 1341 -15:14, 16:6, 17:4, 17:9, 18:16, 18:21, 19:5, 19:9, 19:13, 19:18, 20:1, 20:5, 20:12, 20:18, 20:20, 21:3, 21:8, 21:16, 22:6, 23:1, 23:15, 23:20, 24:4, 24:12, 24:16, 25:9, 25:15, 26:21, 27:5, 28:17, 29:1, 29:5, 31:4, 31:8 given [1] - 14:10 Given [1] - 34:13 Government[1] - 1:2 government [1] - 16:1 granted [1] - 9:6 grassy [2] - 3:16, 5:11 greatly [1] - 7:13 Green [1] - 1:3 grounds [1] - 30:3

#### Н

guess [1] - 27:3

half [2] - 21:18, 28:9 hand [1] - 34:13 Harbor [1] - 16:10 hear[1] - 15:2 heck [2] - 23:17, 23:18 held [1] - 1:1 **HENRY** [5] - 2:3, 2:12, 15:16, 22:14, 31:20 Henry [1] - 1:15 Hill [2] - 1:3, 34:14 hinged [1] - 18:12 hire [1] - 10:2 historically [1] - 27:7 house [14] - 4:5, 6:14, 6:21, 7:19, 13:6, 17:20, 20:10, 22:3, 23:13, 24:11, 29:1, 29:3, 29:4 HUGH [1] - 1:14 Hugh [1] - 3:11

hundred [2] - 2:13, 7:13 husband [1] - 21:1

1

ignore [2] - 26:9, 26:20 impact [1] - 11:20 impervious [4] - 8:8, 13:1, 28:15 IN [1] - 1:9 include [2] - 30:21, 31:9 included [1] - 31:18 incorporate [3] -13:14, 31:17, 32:9 increase [1] - 9:11 increasing [1] - 12:3 informational [1] -26:11 installed [3] - 12:13, 12:15, 17:16 instance [1] - 13:11 instead [1] - 28:1 intersection [1] - 3:1 intrusion [1] - 19:16 involve [1] - 3:16 issue [1] - 32:3 IV [1] - 1:14

#### J

James [1] - 3:2 Janet [2] - 8:21, 19:15 Jarvis [1] - 3:1 Jerre [1] - 1:1 Joe [1] - 1:3 John [1] - 1:17 Johnson [4] - 13:19, 14:9, 29:20 Johnson's [1] - 13:16 Joseph [1] - 1:3

# K

Keep [1] - 22:14 keep [5] - 6:19, 17:18, 18:2, 22:7, 24:20 Kelly [1] - 1:15 kind [1] - 7:10 knowledge [1] - 34:10 knows [1] - 13:17

# L

large [2] - 6:17, 28:9

last [3] - 8:17, 24:16, 31:15 Law [3] - 3:21, 8:12, 15:17 law [1] - 23:16 lawn [2] - 6:1, 21:19 least [1] - 11:20 leave [1] - 10:15 letter[1] - 30:6 likely [1] - 26:16 limit[1] - 22:19 Lincoln [1] - 16:10 line [22] - 2:14, 3:15, 4:16, 6:6, 6:10, 9:11, 11:4, 11:9, 14:20, 15:1, 15:5, 15:8, 15:13, 15:17, 15:18, 16:2, 16:3, 16:5, 16.17, 20:2, 26:4, 26:18 lines [1] - 15:18 live [2] - 21:16, 21:18 lived [1] - 4:9 local [3] - 13:8, 13:10, 14:3 locate [1] - 18:17 located [1] - 2:20 location [2] - 7:7, 17:17 logical [1] - 10:15 look [8] - 22:2, 23:3, 23:6, 23:8, 24:14, 24:18, 30:1 looked [3] - 14:5, 15:19, 18:7 looking [1] - 16:19 looks [6] - 4:20, 16:4, 18:5, 19:18, 22:3

# М

Lou [1] - 1:2

mailbox [1] - 18:6 management[11] -9:15, 9:18, 9:20, 10:3, 13:14, 27:12, 27:17, 27:21, 31:1, 31:14, 32:8 Map [1] - 3:3 map [4] - 4:16, 15:6, 15:19, 15:20 maps [3] - 15:13, 16:6, 16:10 March [1] - 5:8 mark [5] - 5:3, 5:13, 5:16, 6:5, 9:1 married [1] - 20:19 Marshall [3] - 13:16, 14:9, 29:20

Maryland [6] - 1:3. 32:17 2:9, 3:4, 34:4, 34:7, MS [61] - 2:3, 2:8, 34:14 2:12, 15:14, 15:16, matter[1] - 1:9 16:6, 17:4, 17:6, mature [5] - 7:2, 7:13, 17:9, 18:7, 18:10, 10:12, 27:15, 27:18 18:13, 18:16, 18:19, 18:21, 19:2, 19:5, McCabe [6] - 1:16, 27:20, 28:4, 28:6, 19:9, 19:13, 19:18, 19:20, 20:1, 20:5, 28:13, 30:20 20:11, 20:12, 20:16, mean [1] - 4:7 meet [2] - 13:20, 14:2 20:18, 20:19, 20:20, 21:1, 21:3, 21:8, Melinda [3] - 1:21, 21:16, 21:21, 22:6, 34:3, 34:18 22:14, 23:1, 23:12, Members [1] - 1:1 23:15, 23:20, 24:1, mention [1] - 29:19 24:4, 24:7, 24:10, Merritt[1] - 1:16 24:12, 24:16, 25:9, met [2] - 9:15, 13:11 25:15, 25:18, 26:21, might[1] - 17:16 27:5, 28:17, 28:20, mind [1] - 22:14 29:1, 29:3, 29:5, minutes (2) - 29:21. 29:7, 31:4, 31:8, 30:2 31:20, 32:14 mitigation [2] - 9:6, myrtles [1] - 7:10 28:4 mixture [1] - 21:12

moot [1] - 25:7

14:6, 14:7

morning [1] - 30:5

motion [6] - 30:14,

31:12, 31:21

21:4

25:10

30:15, 31:5, 31:8,

motorcycle [2] - 21:2,

move [6] - 12:7, 20:1,

24:9, 24:12, 24:13,

16:20, 17:2, 25:20

mowers [1] - 21:19

MR [63] - 3:7, 3:10,

14:19, 15:1, 15:2,

15:4, 15:10, 15:12,

16:8, 16:9, 16:14,

18:9, 18:12, 19:7,

19:11, 19:15, 20:3,

20:9, 20:15, 21:6,

21:10, 21:17, 22:9,

22:17, 23:2, 23:16,

24:9, 24:14, 24:18,

25:11, 25:17, 26:1,

27:11, 27:20, 28:3,

28:4, 28:6, 28:11,

28:13, 29:4, 29:12,

29:14, 29:18, 30:13,

30:15, 30:17, 30:20,

31:11, 31:12, 31:16,

31:18, 32:11, 32:15,

31:2, 31:6, 31:7,

26:3, 27:3, 27:6,

16:15, 17:12, 17:13,

moved [4] - 8:21,

most [4] - 5:6, 10:15,

#### Ν

name [1] - 3:11 native [1] - 28:5 Natural [1] - 1:16 natural [1] - 27:8 need [1] - 24:5 needs [1] - 20:15 negotiations [1] - 32:5 neighborhood [1] -8:2 net[1] - 14:13 never [2] - 14:9, 23:10 new [2] - 20:14, 29:2 next [1] - 2:2 night[1] - 24:17 nine [1] - 29:7 NO [1] - 1:9 north [2] - 5:2 note [1] - 32:9 nowadays [1] - 21:3 NR [2] - 2:20 number[1] - 19:21

#### 0

obviously [2] - 8:14, 27:2 October [2] - 1:2, 34:8 OF [1] - 1:7 Official [1] - 34:3 OFFICIAL [1] - 1:7 old [1] - 21:15 once [1] - 25:13 one [12] - 2:13, 5:15, 9:14, 19:21, 20:14, 21:4, 26:7, 28:7, 29:12, 29:14, 29:17, 29:21 open [2] - 3:16, 30:14 opinion [1] - 7:6 Opposed [1] - 32:21 opposing [1] - 30:7 option [1] - 7:1 order [1] - 7:3 originally [1] - 29:8 otherwise [1] - 16:3 outside [4] - 6:7, 11:7, 11:13, 22:20

#### Р

Parcel [2] - 3:2, 3:3 park [1] - 19:6 parking [1] - 19:14 part [3] - 17:1, 18:18, 31:4 particularly [2] -13:18, 26:10 past[1] - 4:12 pen [13] - 3:17, 5:14, 5:16, 13:1, 13:6, 18:1, 23:8, 23:9, 28:12, 28:13, 28:18, people [1] - 29:21 percent (2) - 8:6, 8:7 perfect[1] - 14:12 Perfect [1] - 5:13 permit [5] - 8:18, 17:15, 22:10, 22:12, 22:13 Permitting [2] - 1:17, 1:18 personally [1] - 26:17 picture [2] - 5:10, 23:4 pictures [4] - 4:19, 5:15, 23:4, 23:6 piece [2] - 12:12, 18:19 pile [1] - 23:5 place [2] - 10:15, 24:19 plan [11] - 8:7, 8:20, 9:15, 10:3, 13:14, 25:19, 27:12, 27:17, 27:21, 31:1 planned [1] - 7:8 planning [2] - 32:3 plant [3] - 11:12, 27:1, 28:6 planted [1] - 7:10 planting [3] - 9:8, 9:10, 12:4

plantings [1] - 27:15 Plat (1) - 3:2 plat [2] - 6:6, 16:19 platted [4] - 3:20, 6:15, 10:13, 17:9 playing [1] - 18:15 point [6] - 8:5, 13:8, 13:16, 13:20, 14:6, 14:10 possibility [1] - 17:3 possible [1] - 24:3 postponement[1] -26:15 practicality [1] - 12:3 predominately [1] -21:12 preface [1] - 3:15 present[1] - 1:1 PRESENT [1] - 1:10 Present [1] - 1:15 presumably [1] - 11:2 pretty [1] - 5:8 problem [3] - 19:21, 26:17, 30:4 proceedings [3] -33:2, 34:5, 34:12 PROCEEDINGS [1] proffer [2] - 32:7, 32:10 proffered [3] - 32:1, 32:4, 32:7 program [1] - 12:12 proof[1] - 13:11 property 191 - 6:18. 10:19, 11:5, 11:7, 11:14, 14:5, 14:10, 17:20, 29:21 proposal [2] - 11:11, 13:5 propose [2] - 3:18, 5:17 proposed [2] - 2:15, 8:6 proposing [1] - 13:15 pull [8] - 19:6, 20:7, 22:10, 23:8, 23:20, 27:12, 27:21, 28:1 pulled [1] - 15:21 purpose [4] - 11:2, 20:14, 20:21, 21:5 pursuant [1] - 2:18 pushy [1] - 22:9 put [23] - 4:7, 5:11, 7:7, 7:14, 9:9, 10:15, 11:6, 11:13, 15:20, 18:1, 18:3, 18:17, 20:2, 22:2, 22:10, 22:12, 23:1, 25:2, 25:6, 25:16, 30:2,

30:7, 31:20 Put [1] - 24:4 putting [2] - 11:18, 20:13

#### Q

quad [1] - 15:13 questions [2] - 14:17, 25:16 quite [1] - 6:18 Quite [1] - 15:6

#### R

rain [2] - 26:16, 27:5

rainwater [1] - 26:9

really [10] - 7:1, 7:6,

10:15, 14:11, 15:8,

18:4, 19:7, 22:19,

ran [1] - 19:20

read [1] - 2:10

RE[1] - 1:9

rather [1] - 18:2

30:3, 32:6 rear [5] - 10:19, 10:21, 11:5, 11:6, 11:14 reason [1] - 26:19 reasonable [2] - 7:6, 17:19 recommendation [1] -14:6 record [10] - 3:10, 4:2, 6:12, 6:13, 8:5, 10:6, 25:16, 29:19, 30:8, 31:10 recorded [1] - 34:4 reduce [1] - 2:12 reduction [2] - 2:15, 28:15 relates [1] - 13:16 relevant [1] - 26:10 remove [3] - 3:18, 5:19. 11:12 removed [2] - 13:2, 28:18 report [1] - 14:8 Reported [1] - 1:20 reporter [1] - 32:12 Reporter [1] - 34:3 REPORTER'S [2] -1:7, 34:1 represent [1] - 3:11 request [1] - 31:15 Requesting [1] - 2:12 required [1] - 10:3 requirement [1] - 17:5 requires [2] - 12:2,

23:16 reserve [4] - 6:15. 7:12, 10:14, 19:3 residential [2] - 7:17, 21:12 Resources [1] - 1:16 respect [2] - 8:12, 13:9 response [2] - 30:11, 33:1 Restate [1] - 31:8 restrict (1) - 7:14 Resubdivision [1] -3:2 Review [2] - 1:16, 1:17 revised [1] - 8:19 Revised [1] - 3:2 rezoning [1] - 32:2 ride [1] - 21:11 riding [1] - 21:19 river [1] - 15:9 Road [6] - 2:9, 2:21, 3:1, 18:5, 32:8 road [3] - 11:8, 11:15, 26:2 Rodney [1] - 1:3 room [2] - 22:2, 24:10 ROWE [7] - 15:12, 16:9, 16:14, 28:11, 29:12, 29:14, 29:18 Rowe [2] - 15:16, 29:18

#### S

run [1] - 16:10

sake [5] - 14:19, 15:3, 16:17, 26:4, 26:18 sat [1] - 19:16 satisfy [1] - 28:7 scale [2] - 19:8, 23:11 Second [2] - 32:16, 32:17 second [2] - 25:18, 30:17 Sections [1] - 2:19 see [5] - 7:19, 18:13, 18:14, 21:13 send [1] - 5:5 sent [1] - 8:19 separation [2] - 23:15, 24:13 septic [2] - 4:11, 6:15 setback [2] - 12:9, 17:4 setbacks [3] - 2:18, 10:14, 22:19 setoff[1] - 17:18 seven [1] - 8:7

several [1] - 6:17 sheds [1] - 21:15 shown [5] - 4:16, 16:2, 26:5, 26:8, 27:9 shows [4] - 4:14, 5:10, 6:6, 22:7 shrub [1] - 28:5 shrubs [1] - 27:18 side [2] - 10:14, 29:6 signify [2] - 30:18, 32:18 single [4] - 2:16, 13:20, 14:6, 20:16 site [5] - 8:7, 8:20, 17:16, 25:18, 25:19 sits [1] - 21:4 sitting (1) - 18:5 situation [1] - 14:12 size [2] - 22:1, 22:4 slightly [2] - 16:20, 17.2 smaller [1] - 23:8 Snow [2] - 1:3, 34:14 sometimes [2] -13:18, 16:9 Sometimes [1] - 26:4 sorry [1] - 24:20 sort [5] - 7:17, 7:20, 8:3, 21:17 south [1] - 2:21 space [2] - 19:14, 24:5 Specialist [2] - 1:17, 1:18 species [1] - 28:5 specifically [1] - 15:10 Spencer [1] - 29:18 split[1] - 26:11 spot [3] - 19:3, 20:8, 25:3

sprawling [1] - 18:3 spring [1] - 27:5 square [7] - 9:17, 25:4, 25:5, 27:14, 28:8, 28:14 staff [10] - 8:16, 9:14, 11:18, 13:8, 13:10, 14:4, 14:7, 21:13, 31:17 Staff [1] - 31:18 standing [1] - 27:1 start [2] - 16:13, 26:6 started [1] - 25:20 state [1] - 11:6 State [2] - 30:6, 31:10 State's [1] - 13:12 stay [3] - 19:4, 28:20. 29.2 stays [2] - 5:9, 29:3 Stenographically [1] -

1:20 stenotype [1] - 34:5 step [1] - 2:5 still [9] - 16:21, 18:10, 18:12, 18:14, 24:7, 24:12, 25:6, 28:18 stipulation [1] - 30:21 storage [3] - 7:19, 8:2, 21:15 storm [12] - 9:15, 9:18, 9:20, 10:3, 13:14, 27:12, 27:17, 27:21, 30:21, 31:13, 32:7 stream [20] - 2:14, 3:15, 4:16, 4:20, 4:21, 11:5, 11:9, 14:20, 15:1, 15:5, 15:8, 15:17, 15:18, 16:2, 16:3, 16:5, 26:6, 26:12, 27:8 streams [2] - 26:4, 26:19 structure [1] - 13:13 structures [1] - 7:19 stuff [1] - 21:20 stumps [1] - 6:8 substantial [2] -10:19, 10:20 summer [2] - 5:5, 5:7 supplemental [1] - 9:9 surface [4] - 8:8, 8:9, 13:1, 28:15 survey [2] - 4:14, 9:16 surveyor[1] - 10:2 swear [1] - 16:13 sworn [5] - 2:6, 2:7, 29:13, 29:16, 29:17

# Т

swung [1] - 17:1

talks [2] - 9:14, 13:21 tanks [1] - 23:13 Tax [2] - 3:3, 3:4 Taylor [2] - 1:2, 31:11 TAYLOR [5] - 21:6, 30:15, 31:6, 31:12, 31:18 ten [4] - 17:6, 23:19, 29:21, 30:2 term [2] - 15:2, 16:15 testify [1] - 16:13 THE [1] - 32:20 they've [1] - 6:20 thinking [2] - 21:8, 22:7 third [1] - 25:19 three [2] - 20:21, 28:7 today [2] - 26:21, 30:5 together[1] - 18:2 took[1] - 17:14 total [1] - 28:7 towards [3] - 16:21, 17:2, 32:8 transcript [2] - 34:10, 34:11 TRANSCRIPT[1] - 1:7 transformers [1] -10:11 tree [3] - 6:6, 6:7, 28:5 trees [14] - 6:3, 6:8, 6:17, 7:2, 7:13, 9:8, 10:13, 11:12, 11:13, 12:4, 27:15, 27:18, 28:9 triangle [2] - 10:19, 10:20 true [1] - 34:11 try [1] - 9:1 trying [4] - 12:6, 23:1, 25:1, 25:2 turn [3] - 18:19, 19:11, 24:8 turning [1] - 18:7 Two [1] - 21:1 two [6] - 5:15, 20:21, 21:18, 22:2, 24:6, 29:8

# U

under [2] - 9:17, 34:13 unfavorable [1] -14:10 uniform [1] - 22:4 unique [1] - 13:18 unless [1] - 16:3 unusual [1] - 8:1 up [14] - 8:6, 9:1, 16:16, 18:4, 18:10, 18:17, 19:5, 20:2, 23:10, 24:9, 24:13, 26:14, 29:6 upland [1] - 10:20 uplands [1] - 22:20 usable [1] - 11:2 uses [1] - 16:1 USGS [3] - 15:13, 15:18, 15:21

# ٧

variance [10] - 2:12, 3:12, 8:15, 9:5, 10:7, 14:1, 14:8, 14:12, 22:15, 25:1 vehicles [1] - 21:2 Venable [5] - 1:9, 2:4, 2:8, 3:19, 24:21
VENABLE [22] - 2:8, 17:6, 18:7, 18:10, 18:13, 18:19, 19:2, 19:20, 20:11, 20:16, 20:19, 21:1, 21:21, 23:12, 24:1, 24:7, 24:10, 25:18, 28:20, 29:3, 29:7, 32:14
verbatim [1] - 34:5
view [1] - 25:2
virtue [1] - 3:14
voluntarily [1] - 13:15

#### W

walk [1] - 24:18 walked [2] - 15:6, 26:14 water [13] - 9:15, 9:18, 9:20, 10:3, 13:14, 27:1, 27:12, 27:13, 27:17, 27:21, 31:1, 31:14, 32:8 weeks [1] - 26:14 whole [1] - 4:9 willing [3] - 9:9, 9:19, 27:7

window[1] - 18:14 wooded [1] - 14:15 Woodley [1] - 5:4 WOODLEY [1] - 1:12 woods [1] - 9:11 Woody [1] - 9:16 Worcester [5] - 1:1, 3:4, 34:4, 34:6, 34:7 word [1] - 29:12

### Υ

yard [4] - 10:14, 12:9, 18:4, 18:15 years [4] - 4:12, 16:7

#### Ζ

Zoning [4] - 1:1, 1:11, 1:15, 34:7 ZS [4] - 2:19

# RECEIVED

FEB 4 2008

CRITICAL AREA COMMISSION

