## OC 526-06 Palm Harbor Condo -- Site Plan \_\_\_\_\_6-18100002

\_\_\_\_\_

£. . .

\_\_\_\_\_

MSA. S. 1829-5869

Martin O'Malley Governor

Anthony G. Brown Lt. Governor



Margaret G. McHale Chair

> Ren Serey Executive Director

## STATE OF MARYLAND CRITICAL AREA COMMISSION CHESAPEAKE AND ATLANTIC COASTAL BAYS

October 27, 2008

1804 West Street, Suite 100, Annapolis, Maryland 21401 (410) 260-3460 Fax: (410) 974-5338 www.dnr.state.md.us/criticalarea/

Mr. Blaine Smith, Zoning Administrator Planning and Community Development P.O. Box 158 Ocean City, MD 21843

RE: Ocean Harbor Hotel; Revised site Plan

Dear Mr

As a follow up to my September 15, 2008 letter I offer the following comments on revised plans for redevelopment of parcels 5749, 53-57 on map 111, creating a hotel and parking. The project is located at least partially in the 100-foot Buffer, is IDA, and waterfront.

1. The applicant has reduced the building footprint and thus reduced impervious surfaces overall.

2. The 2 decks previously located in the setback have been removed.

3. Substantially more landscaping has been added and correspondingly reduced the fee in lieu.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide review and comment. If you have any further questions regarding this project, please call me directly at 410-260-3468.

Sincerely

Roby Hurley Natural Resources Planner

cc: OC778-04 and 526-06

Martin O'Malley Governor

Anthony G. Brown Lt. Governor



Margaret G. McHale Chair

> Ren Serey Executive Director

### STATE OF MARYLAND CRITICAL AREA COMMISSION CHESAPEAKE AND ATLANTIC COASTAL BAYS

1804 West Street, Suite 100, Annapolis, Maryland 21401 (410) 260-3460 Fax: (410) 974-5338 www.dnr.state.md.us/criticalarea/

Mr. Blaine Smith, Zoning Administrator Planning and Community Development P.O. Box 158 Ocean City, MD 21843

RE: Ocean Harbor Hotel

Smith: Dear Mrt

September 16, 2008

Thank you for the submission of site plans related to the above referenced project. The applicant intends to redevelop parcels 5749, 53-57 on map 111, creating a hotel and parking. The project is located at least partially in the 100-foot Buffer, is IDA, and waterfront. Commission staff offers the following comments:

- 1. The applicant is proposing to address the 10% rule with a series of infiltration trenches under pavers and it appears this BMP configuration meets the Town's Program.
- 2. The afforestation requirement appears to be met on-site; however the numbers on the application form differ from those on Sheet 4 (7/22/08) of the Plan.
- 3. In reference to Buffer impacts and mitigation, a substantial amount of impervious surfaces in the form of buildings, parking and walkways have been removed from the Bufferyard (25') through previous demolition. In redesign the applicant has located all structures outside of the 25' setback with the exception of 2 decks which your ordinance allows at a 10' setback. Fee in lieu for Buffer impacts appear to be correctly calculated at an amount of \$48,263.
- 4. A DNR Heritage letter dated 6/6/08 was included with the application and indicated that no listed species will be impacted.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide review and comment. If you have any further questions regarding this project, please call me directly at 410-260-3468.

Sincerely,

Mr. Blaine Smith Ocea<del>n Hap</del>bor Hotel

Roby Hurley Natural Resources Planner

cc: OC778-04

Page 2 September 16, 2008 Robert L. Ehrlich, Jr. Governor

Michael S. Steele Lt. Governor



Martin G. Madden Chairman

> Ren Serey Executive Director

### STATE OF MARYLAND CRITICAL AREA COMMISSION CHESAPEAKE AND ATLANTIC COASTAL BAYS

1804 West Street, Suite 100, Annapolis, Maryland 21401 (410) 260-3460 Fax: (410) 974-5338 www.dnr.state.md.us/criticalarea/

August 22, 2006

Mr. Blaine Smith, Zoning Administrator Planning and Community Development P.O. Box 158 Ocean City, MD 21843

## **RE:** Palm Harbor Condominiums (a.k.a. Misty Harbor Condominiums)

Dear Mr. Smith:

Thank you for the most recent submission of site plans related to the above referenced project. The applicant intends to construct a 79 unit condominium complex on a 2.49 acre parcel. The project is within the 100-foot Buffer, is IDA, and waterfront. Issues of concern include the 25-foot setback, afforestation, sensitive species, and pollutant removal requirements. Commission staff offers the following comments:

- The applicant is proposing to address the 10% rule with a series of infiltration trenches. The efficiency of the trenches must be addressed. The applicant is proposing to keep a 2 foot separation of the stormwater from groundwater. The Town of Ocean City's Engineering Department has indicated that the depth to water of the site is between 0-1 foot below ground surface (bgs). An elevation of groundwater in the calculations has been reported as 1 foot bgs as a "conservative" design measure. This statement seems contradictory in regards to the available data.
- 2. The afforestation requirement is not met on-site. As stated in the Town of Ocean City's Code Section 30-554.(d)(8)a. "The option of paying a fee in lieu of mitigation or landscaping is only available if, in the determination of the Department, the property owner has exhausted all reasonable possibilities of mitigation or landscaping on-site." The Commission would recommend that the Town require the applicant to provide additional landscaping within the Buffer as there appears to be adequate room to do so. As presented, the applicant is

## Mr. Blaine Smith Palm Harbor

proposing to pay a fee-in-lieu of \$91,862.40 to mitigate the afforestation requirement.

3. Pervious pavers may not count toward landscape area. Staff could not locate this reference in Chapter 98, Article II, Landscaping Code of Ocean City. This may be a "practice" but it is not outlined in the Code. Please revise your calculations.

Please respond to the above comments and provide for resubmittal to the Commission staff for review.

We look forward to the updated documentation. If you have any further questions regarding this project, please call me directly at 410-260-3476.

Best regards,

Chris Clark Natural Resources Planner

cc: OC778-04

Robert L. Ehrlich, Jr. Governor

Michael S. Steele Lt. Governor



Martin G. Madden Chairman

> Ren Serey Executive Director

### STATE OF MARYLAND CRITICAL AREA COMMISSION CHESAPEAKE AND ATLANTIC COASTAL BAYS

1804 West Street, Suite 100, Annapolis, Maryland 21401 (410) 260-3460 Fax: (410) 974-5338 www.dnr.state.md.us/criticalarea/

May 22, 2006

Mr. Blaine Smith, Zoning Administrator Planning and Community Development P.O. Box 158 Ocean City, MD 21843

### **RE: Misty Harbor Condominium**

Dear Mr. Smith:

Thank you for the most recent submission of site plans related to the above referenced project. The applicant intends to construct a 79 unit condominium complex on a 2.49 acre parcel. The project is within the 100-foot Buffer, is IDA, and waterfront. Issues of concern include the 25-foot setback, afforestation, and pollutant removal requirements. Commission staff offers the following comments:

- 1. The applicant is proposing to address the 10% rule with a series of infiltration trenches. A stormwater and grading plan was not supplied for review. Please have the applicant supply the Commission with the plans. The efficiency of the trench was also the topic of some discussion during the Ocean City staff review. Please provide depth to water measurements for our records.
- 2. The site plan indicates the presence of a wooden boardwalk adjacent to the building and the bulkhead. It is not clear if the boardwalk next to the bulkhead currently exists or is planned. If it currently exists, please note that on the site plan. Any encroachment into the 25-foot setback will require mitigation at a ratio of 2:1. It appears that the applicant has included this in the calculations.
- 3. The afforestation requirement is not met on-site. The Commission would recommend that the Town require the applicant to provide additional landscaping within the Buffer. As presented, the applicant is proposing to pay a fee-in-lieu of \$95,232 to mitigate the afforestation requirement.

සි

Mr. Blaine Smith Misty Harbor

4. It is understood that the applicant has submitted a request to the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) for a Heritage review. Please forward any response from DNR as it becomes available.

Please respond to the above comments and provide for resubmittal to the Commission staff for review.

We look forward to the updated documentation. If you have any further questions regarding this project, please call me directly at 410-260-3476.

Best regards,

Chris Clark Natural Resources Planner

cc: OC778-04

Robert L. Ehrlich, Jr. Governor

Michael S. Steele Lt. Governor



Martin G. Madden Chairman

> Ren Serey Executive Director

## STATE OF MARYLAND CRITICAL AREA COMMISSION CHESAPEAKE AND ATLANTIC COASTAL BAYS

1804 West Street, Suite 100, Annapolis, Maryland 21401 (410) 260-3460 Fax: (410) 974-5338 www.dnr.state.md.us/criticalarea/

April 12, 2006

Mr. Blaine Smith, Zoning Administrator Planning and Community Development P.O. Box 158 Ocean City, MD 21843

**RE:** Misty Harbor Condominiums

Dear Mr. Smith:

Thank you for including the Critical Area Commission during your April 6, 2006 technical review meeting regarding the above referenced project. In response to the discussion about the project, the Commission would offer the following comments for your records:

The applicant needs to provide the Commission staff with a site plan including stormwater, landscaping, and Critical Area plans. Several issues were noted during review that are unclear on the plans provided and the Commission would like the applicant to provide more detail pursuant to the Town of Ocean City Code Section 30-559.(2) Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area Report. The report must include a description of the project and an environmental assessment of the site.

Specifically, we would like to review a discussion of the proposed development including previous and proposed uses and a detailed explanation of the 10% worksheet submitted for compliance. The 10% worksheet submitted is unacceptable on its own. The 10% worksheet provided was incorrect and the BMP efficiency needs to be addressed.

If it is necessary to produce a separate plan sheet to indicate preexisting and proposed post development pollutant reduction measures please do so. If not, please be as detailed as possible in the narrative. Please also include all correspondence and findings received from any local, county, State or federal agency including the required Heritage letter. Please also include any soil boring information and its relationship to the proposed stormwater infiltration calculations. Mr. Blaine Smith Misty Harbor Page 2 April 12, 2006

This office would like to see any revisions, alterations, or substitutions as related to the landscape, stormwater or site plans.

Please respond to the above comments and provide for resubmittal to the Commission staff for review. Please forward a copy of this letter to the applicant.

We look forward to the updated documentation. If you have any further questions regarding this project, please call me directly at 410-260-3476.

Best regards,

Chris Clark Natural Resources Planner

cc: OC778-04

Robert L. Ehrlich, Jr. Governor

> Michael S. Steele Lt. Governor



Martin G. Madden Chairman

Ren Serey Executive Director

## STATE OF MARYLAND CRITICAL AREA COMMISSION CHESAPEAKE AND ATLANTIC COASTAL BAYS

1804 West Street, Suite 100, Annapolis, Maryland 21401 (410) 260-3460 Fax: (410) 974-5338 www.dnr.state.md.us/criticalarea/

November 16, 2004

Mr. Blaine Smith, Zoning Administrator Town of Ocean City PO Box 158 Ocean City, MD 21843

## VIA FACSIMILE

RE: Site Plan – Misty Harbor Condominiums

Dear Mr. Smith:

Thank you for providing information on the above referenced site plan. The applicant proposes to tear down several existing buildings and construct a 19-unit condominium with associated parking in its place. The subject parcel is a total of 0.876 acres and is waterfront with a 10-foot setback. Commission staff has reviewed the information provided and we have the following comments:

- 1. It is not clear that impervious surfaces have been calculated correctly. In adding up all of the pervious areas (including the boardwalk along the water and the sidewalk extension), it appears that proposed impervious areas are greater than the 78% shown in the calculations. All areas covered by roof or pavement must be included as impervious in the calculations.
- 2. Notwithstanding the above, it appears that the 10% requirement can be adequately addressed through construction of infiltration trenches beneath pervious pavers. However, there is inadequate information regarding soil permeability. Also, grading plans should ensure that 80% of the site can be treated by the infiltration trenches beneath the pavers.
- 3. It appears that the proposed landscaping shown on sheet L101 will meet the required 15% afforestation in terms of total square footage. However,
- 4. The Buffer mitigation calculations do not take the existing boardwalk into account. Improved pervious areas (such as the 1500 square foot boardwalk) must be mitigated

Mr. Blaine Smith November 16, 2004 Page 2

at a 1:1 ratio. Substantial fees-in-lieu are proposed. It appears that some additional plantings can be accommodated on the site.

5. Please note that the landscaping shown on sheet L101 does not match the landscaping shown on sheet A100.

6. Please note that the civil engineering sheets show the development on Lots 5, 6, 7, 10 and 11 while the architectural plans show the development on Lots 4-7 and 10. This should be clarified. The applicant should not be permitted to propose projects in phases for the purpose of avoiding the 25-foot setback on parcels larger than 40,000 square feet.

Thank you for the opportunity to review this project. If you have any questions or concerns, please contact me at (410) 260-3477.

Sincerely, Chaudles

LeeAnne Chandler Natural Resources Planner

cc: OC778-04



May 6, 2008

Mr. Ronald D. Gatton Environmental Consultants, Inc. 28712 Island Creek Road P.O. Box 438 Trappe, MD 21673

## RE: Environmental Review for Redevelopment by Ocean's Harbor for Property Located at 25<sup>th</sup> to 26<sup>th</sup> Streets and Coastal Highway, Worcester County, Maryland.

Dear Mr. Gatton:

The Wildlife and Heritage Service has determined that there are no State or Federal records for rare, threatened or endangered species within the boundaries of the project site as delineated. As a result, we have no specific comments or requirements pertaining to protection measures at this time. This statement should not be interpreted however as meaning that rare, threatened or endangered species are not in fact present. If appropriate habitat is available, certain species could be present without documentation because adequate surveys have not been conducted.

Thank you for allowing us the opportunity to review this project. If you should have any further questions regarding this information, please contact me at (410) 260-8573.

Sincerely,

Louia. Bym

Lori A. Byrnc, Environmental Review Coordinator Wildlife and Heritage Service MD Dept. of Natural Resources

ER# 2008.0745.wo

Tawes State Office Building - 580 Taylor Avenue • Annapolis, Maryland 21401

410.260.8DNR or toll free in Maryland 877.620.8DNR • www.dnr.maryland.gov • TTY usets call via Maryland Relay

Martin O'Mailey, Governor Anthony G. Brown, Lt. Governor

John R. Griffin, Secretary

Eric Schwaab, Deputy Secretary

DESIGNINC. Landscape Architects, Land Planning Consultants and Engineers

September 17, 2008

Ms. Gail Blazer Town of Ocean City P.O. Box 158 Ocean City, Maryland 21843

## **RE: Ocean Harbor Hotel**

Ms. Blazer-

Enclosed for your review, please find a complete Ocean City 10% Critical Area Worksheet, Critical Area Project Application, design narrative, and (1) one set of Stormwater Management / Sediment Plans for the above referenced project.

## NARRATIVE

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Ocean Harbor Hotel is located in Ocean City, Maryland on Costal Highway between the intersections of  $25^{\text{th}}$  street and  $26^{\text{th}}$  street bayside. The site is approximately ±108,201 square feet. The proposed site conditions include a multi-storied hotel and restaurant.

## EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS

The existing topography ranges from elevations 2.0' - 5.0' with slopes ranging from 0-3.0%. We have provided a post-development drainage area map in our construction set (sheet C 3.0). The drainage map shows the proposed best management practices and the area draining to each.

## CRITICAL AREAS

The proposed site is located within the IOO foot critical area buffer. This line has been shown on the plans and the Critical Area Project Application has been completed and submitted with this narrative.

## CALCULATIONS

The Ocean City I0% Critical Area Worksheet/has been completed and submitted with this narrative. In addition, we have provided impervious area breakdowns, charts and visuals to help in the review process.

Sincerely, Vista Design, Inc

Richard Blasey

Resend

II634 Worcester Highway Showell, Maryland 2I862 ph. 410-352-3874 fax 410-352-3875 email vista@vistadesigninc.com



## STORMWATER MANAGEMENT/ENGINEERING APPLICATION

Date 9/17/08		Permit #_			、 、
Project Name/Site Location	DLEAN	HABOR	HOTEL	(25714 - 2674	& COASTAL)
Owner/Agent Name		Phone	#		
Owner Address	<u></u>				
Contact Name/ Title		Phone#			
Contact Address					

## **DEVELOPER/BUILDER CERTIFICATION**

As representative for the above project I do agree to the following requirement(s).

All information set forth in this plan accurately conveys this site's conditions and meets the current Stormwater Management ordinance to the best of my knowledge.

All Stormwater Management and Critical Area, calculations, design, construction, exemption/waiver request will adhere to the current 2000 Maryland Stormwater Design Manual volumes I & II and the code of the Town of Ocean City, Section 30-141 through 30-153, for Stormwater Management and Stormwater Plan for the this site and the Critical Area Program. All information set forth in this plan accurately conveys this site's conditions and meets the current Stormwater Management ordinance to the best of my knowledge. All measures approved on this plan will be inspected and maintained according to the recorded agreement. Structural Stormwater Management measures are covered under the architect affidavit and are ultimately the responsibility of the Architect that the construction meets the City Code and State guidelines. As-built survey is required. If approved Stormwater Management measures are not functioning as designed a revision to the Stormwater Management Plan will need to be submitted to Engineering for review and approval.

Proper soil erosion and sediment control devices will protect all structural devices for Stormwater Management until all contributing areas have passed final stabilization inspection.

See reverse side for Engineering and Stormwater Management conditions.

Applicant Signature	Date	······································
Owner Signature	Date	
Dec 2005		

C	Clean Streets Clean Waters		Date Permit#
Oce	working togother to Protect Our Beoches & Boys an City Critical Area 10% I	Rule Worksheet	Project Name
Stan	dard Application Process		Address
Calc	ulating Pollutant Removal Requ	irements	L
Step	1: Calculating Existing	g and Proposed Si	te Impervious
А.	<b>Calculate Percent Impervious</b> Site Area within the Critical Are	ness ea IDA, A=	100,201 (sf)
B.	Site Impervious Surface Area	, Existing and Propos (1) Existing (sf)	sed, (See Table 4.1 for detail) (2) Proposed (sf)
	Roads		
	Parking Lots	36,259	2 997
	Rooftops	26.433	35.009
	Decks		,
	Swimming pools/ponds Other	<del>بالاعت</del> ي 	67 <sup>6</sup>
	Impervious surface area (sf)	70,104	t <u>73,971</u>
C.	Non-Structural BMP's Applie Non-Structural	ed to the Site D	Disconnected Impervious Area (sf)
	a		······
	b c		
	Total Disconnected Im	pervious Area (sf) _	0
D.	Adjusted Proposed Imperviou	18 surface Step B (2)	minus total of Step CO
E.	Impervious (I) calculations		
	Existing Impervious – Ipre	= Impervious su	rface/Site Area
	Proposed Impervious - Ipost	=Adjusted Prop =66.	osed Impervious/Site Area
	Define development category (circle	e)	

## Step 2: Calculated the Predevelopment Phosphorous Pollution Load (Lpre) A. Redevelopment

Lpre = (Rv) (C) (A) (.000187) Rv = .05 + .009 (Ipre) Rv = .05 + .009 ( $\frac{64.79}{1}$ ) = <u>0.63</u> Lpre = (Rv <u>0.63</u>) x (C.3) x (A <u>108,201</u> sf) (.000187) = <u>3.64</u> = <u>3.64</u> lbs/year of total phosphorus

Where:

Lpre = Average annual load of total phosphorus exported from the site prior to development (lb/year) Rv = Runoff coefficient, which expresses the fraction of rainfall which is converted into runoff.

Ipre = Predevelopment (existing) site imperviousness

C = Flow-weighted mean concentration of the pollutant (total phosphorous in urban runoff (mg/1) = .3 mg/1 xphosphorus

A = Area of site within the IDA (sf)

(.000187) = Includes regional constants and unit conversion factors

### **B.** New Development

Lpre=(0.5) (A/43560)

 $(0.5)( ______/43560) = ______$ 

=

lbs/year of total phosphorous

Where:

Lpre = Average annual load of total phosphorus exported from the site prior to development (lbs/year)

0.5 = Annual total phosphorus load from undeveloped lands. (lbs/acre/year)

A. = Area of the site within the Critical Area IDA (sf)

## Step 3: Calculate the Post-Development Load

A. New Development and Re-Development:

Lpost = (Rv) (C) (A) (.000187)

Rv = .05+.009 (Ipost) Rv = .05+.009 (-68.36) = -5.67

Lpost =  $(Rv _{.67}) x (C.3) x (A _{.68}, 201 _{.67}) (.000187) = 4.04$ 

= <u>1</u>, <u>0</u> lbs/year of total phosphorus

#### Where:

Lpost = Average annual load of total phosphorus exported from the site prior to development (lb/year)

Rv = Runoff coefficient, which expresses the fraction of rainfall which is converted into runoff.

Ipost = Predevelopment (existing) site imperviousness

C = Flow-weighted mean concentration of the pollutant (total phosphorous in urban runoff (mg/1) = .3 mg/1=phosphorus

A = Area of site within the IDA (sf)

(0000187) = Includes regional constants and unit conversion factors

## Step 4: Calculate the Pollutant Removal Requirements (RR)

10% Reduction = 09 x (Lpre) =	3.46
<b>RR</b> = Lpost – 10% reduction =	0.579
=0.579	_ lbs/year of total phosphorus

Where:

RR = Pollutant removal requirements (lbs/year of total phosphorus) Lpost = Average annual load of total phosphorus exported from the post-development site (lbs/year) Lpre = Average annual of total phosphorus exported from the site prior to development (lbs/year)

## Step 5: Identify Feasible BMP(s)

Select BMP Options using the screening matrices provided in the Chapter 4 of the 2000 Maryland Stormwater Design Manual. Calculate the load removed for each option.

BMP type	(Lpost	;) X	(BMPre) X	% Site served =	LR Jun
SEE ATTACHE	O For	2X	X	=	ON lbs/year
Complete Br	LEAK	X	X	=	lbs/year
	<u></u>	X	X	=	lbs/year
		Load Remo	oved/LR (total) =	0.68	7lbs/year
Pollut	ant Rem	oval Require	ement RR (from St	(ep 4) = 0.5	79 lbs/year
If the load removes Step 4, than the Lieu as followed	ved is ec on-site I l:	qual to or gre 3MP complie	ater than the Pollu es with the 10% Ru	tant Removal Requi uleelse, and more	rements computed in BMPs or Fee-in-
RR minus LR <u>=</u>	(i)	_lbs/year, Fe	ee-In-lieu at (\$20,0	000 lb per year)	
\$20,000 x	(i)	_ = \$	0	Fee-In-Lieu owe	ed V
Where					
Load Removed	=	Annual total r	phosphorus load remov	ved by the proposed BM	P (lbs/year)
Lpost	=	Average annu	al load of total phosph	norus export from the po	st-development site
		development	(lbs/year)		
BMP Re	=	BMP remova	l efficiency for total pl	hosphorus, table 4.8 (%)	
% DA served	=	Fraction of th	e arainage area served	Dy the BMP (%)	
KK (i)	_	Pollutant load	not removed by BME	year) (lh/year)	
() Fee-in-Lieu	=	\$20,000 per (	(b)	(10/year)	
			,		

## Critical Area Project Application Town of Ocean City

Date: <u>9/1</u>	7/08		File#_		
Project Name:		ÓCEAN	HAEROR	Horee	
Project Address_					
Tax Map:	Parcel:	Block:	Lot#	_ Zoning	
Property Owner_				Phone	
Property Owner	Address				
Parcel size (SF):_	108,2	<u>Ol</u> or Site	e Area (SF) Site size (SF) = are slus 5 feet perime	ea of disturbance ter of actual constr	_(If < 50% of parcel) uction

## I. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Parcels 40,000 SF or more: Critical Area setback is 25 feet. No impervious surface or cantilevering permitted within 25 feet of the shoreline/wetlands. ("Pervious" decks are permitted 10' into setback, per construction standards.)

Parcels less than 40,000 SF: Critical Area set back is equal to the zoning setback (\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ feet). No impervious surface or cantilevering permitted within the setback. ("Pervious" decks at ground level are permitted in the setback, per construction standards.)

## **Existing Conditions**

Impervious surface (SF) 70, 104 % of site impervious: 65%
Impervious surface within the 100-foot buffer (SF): <u>42,871</u>
Proposed Conditions
Impervious surface (SF): <u>73,971</u> % of site impervious: <u>68%</u>
Total SF of disturbed area: <u>68,125</u>
Impervious surface within the 100-foot buffer (SF): 5/,550
Is project in the 100 foot buffer? Yes <u>No</u> (If yes, continue with Sec. II) (If no, skip to Sec. III)
Form Revised 8/2/2007(S:Critical Area Project Application.doc)

## **II. MITIGATION WORKSHEET IN THE 100-FOOT BUFFER**

1. <u>Detached Single Family Dwellings</u> (Need Landscaping Plan with schedule/legend per conversion chart below)

Value of Construction: \$\_\_\_\_\_

- a. Landscape required in the amount of 2% of the cost of construction (Value of construction x .02 = \$\_\_\_\_\_)
- b. Total landscape provided. Attach landscape plan with schedule of native plant material and cost values. \$\_\_\_\_\_\_
- c. Mitigation requirement (if a b > 0) = Fee in Lieu of landscape. <u>\$ (To be paid prior to issuance of Certificate of Occupancy.)</u>
- d. Setback from water/wetlands  $SF \times .25 = SF$ (Landscape SF to be provided in setback area to be shown on Landscaping Plan)

## 2. Multi-Family and Commercial

All SF values determined from "Landscape Conversion Chart" below.

### Activity Description (Complete all that apply):

a.	Trees or shrubs removed from outside of set	back:		
	# <u>2</u> x	100 SF x 1	= 200	_SF
b.	Trees or shrubs removed from setback # 5	x 100 SF x	2= 1000	_SF
c.	Pervious to impervious 15,60	SF x 2 =	31,202	SF
d.	Improved pervious to improved pervious	<u> </u>	1=0	SF
e.	Undisturbed surface disturbed but remainin	g pervious		
	0	$SF \ge 1 =$	0	SF
f.	Impervious to impervious 35,396 SF:	x1= 3	5,396	SF
g.	Impervious to pervious O SF 2	x 0 = 0 SF		_
h.	Construction of decks in setback 275	3 SF x 2 =	556	SF
i.	TOTAL MITIGATION REQUIRED (sum of a throu	(gh h) =	68,354	SF
j.	TOTAL LANDSCAPE PROVIDED (Refer to "Land	Iscape Convers	ion Chart" below	N)
				-
	Number Value	T	otal	
	NumberValueLarge trees##10x200 SF	=	otal 2000	SF
	NumberValueLarge trees# 10x 200 SFSmall trees# 58x 100 SF	=	otal 2000 5,800	SF
	NumberValueLarge trees# X200 SFSmall trees# SSFx100 SFLarge shrubs# 61 x75 SF	= = =	5.800 12,075	SF SF SF
	NumberValueLarge trees#10x200 SFSmall trees#58x100 SFLarge shrubs#161x75 SFSmall shrubs#348x50 SF	= = =	otal 2000 5800 12075 17,400	SF SF SF SF
	NumberValueLarge trees#Small trees#Large shrubs#Karge shrubs# </td <td>T( =</td> <td>otal 2000 5800 12075 17,400 3906</td> <td>SF SF SF SF SF</td>	T( =	otal 2000 5800 12075 17,400 3906	SF SF SF SF SF
	NumberValueLarge trees#Small trees#MumberX200 SFSmall trees#Large shrubs#MumberXToral shrubs#Mumber	T = = = = 41,181	otal 2,000 5,800 12,075 17,400 3,906 SF	SF SF SF SF SF
ĸ.	NumberValueLarge trees#Small trees#MumberX200 SFSmall trees#MumberX200 SFLarge shrubs#MumberX161X75 SFSmall shrubs#161X75 SFHerbaceous Plants#163X2 SFTOTAL VALUE OF LANDSCAPE PROVIDEDFEE-IN-LIEU OF LANDSCAPE= i - j x\$1.20	T = = = - = - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	otal 2000 5800 12075 17,400 3906 SF 72.00	SF SF SF SF SF
K.	NumberValueLarge trees#	Te = Occupante ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) (	otal 2000 5800 12075 17,400 3906 SF 72.00	SF SF SF SF SF
к. І.	NumberValueLarge trees#MumberX200 SFSmall trees#MumberX200 SFSmall trees#MumberX161X75 SFSmall shrubs#348X50 SFHerbaceous Plants#165X2 SFTOTAL VALUE OF LANDSCAPE PROVIDEDFEE-IN-LIEU OF LANDSCAPE = i - j x70 be paid prior to issuance of Certificate ofSetback from water/wetlands	Te =	otal 2000 5800 12,075 17,400 3,906 SF 72.00 SF	SF SF SF SF
к. І.	NumberValueLarge trees#	$ \begin{array}{r} T \\ = \\ = \\ = \\ = \\ = \\ - \\ = \\ - \\ = \\ - \\ = \\ - \\ -$	otal 2000 5800 12,075 17,400 3906 SF 72.00 SF Landscaping Pl	_SF _SF _SF _SF _SF _SF

## LANDSCAPE CONVERSION CHART MITIGATION

Large tree = 200 square feet = 2" to  $2\frac{1}{2}$ " caliber - \$200.00 credit Small tree = 100 square feet = 1" to  $1\frac{1}{2}$ " caliber - \$100.00 credit Large shrub = 75 square feet = 36" height or spread or 3+ gallon container - \$75 credit Small shrub = 50 square feet = 24" height or spread or 1-2 gallon container - \$50 credit Herbaceous plants = 2 square feet per plant = 1 quart container - \$2 credit

#### AFFORESTATION (LANDSCAPE) REQUIREMENT OUTSIDE THE 100-FOOT Ш. **BUFFER**

All development or redevelopment within the 1000-foot Critical Area boundary (but outside the 100-foot buffer) must be vegetated with native plant material in an amount of 15% of the site area.

(This SF area must be plantable and vegetated with the required number-of plants) Landscape provided (Refer to Landscape Conversion Chart) Large trees  $\frac{4}{10}$   $\frac{10}{100}$ a. Total landscape required: Parcel size 108,201 SF x .15(= 16, 230 SF

b. Landscape provided (Refer to Landscape Conversion Chart)

					Existing		Proposed	1	' ()`
Large trees	#	10	_ x	200  SF =		_SF	2,000	_SF	•
Small trees	#	58	x	100  SF =		_SF	5,800	_SF	4
Large shrubs	#	101	x	75 SF =	1	SF	12,075	_SF	
Small shrubs	#	348	x	50 SF =		SF	17,400	_SF	
Herbaceous Pla	ants #	195	<u>3</u> x	2 SF=		SF	3,906	_SF	

SF 41.18 TOTAL VALUE OF LANDSCAPE PROVIDED:

#### STORMWATER MANAGEMENT AND THE 10% RULE IV.

Pollutant reduction requirement for all disturbances over 250 SF in the 1000foot Critical Area.

1. Single family development subject to stormwater management requirements that use the "Standard Stormwater Management Plan" automatically meet the 10% Rule.

2. Single family development not subject to stormwater management regulations can meet the intent of the 10% Rule by submitting a Water Quality Management Plan.

3. Multi-family and commercial development must submit the 10% Rule Worksheet.

HABITAT PROTECTION (skip if it is less than 40,000 SF) V.

> For lots of 40,000 square feet or greater, the applicant must consult with the Maryland Department of Natural Resources to determine the existence of any Habitat Protection Areas that may be affected by the proposed development.

#### VI. LANDSCAPE PLAN

Proposed landscape/mitigation plan (including location, botanical name, common name and installation site and should show all required vegetation according to the Mitigation or Afforestation requirements as well as all vegetation required in accordance with CHAPTER 98, ARTICLE II, LANDSCAPING, OF THE CODE.

#### VII. SITE PLAN REQUIREMENTS

Critical Area site plan must be drawn to scale and shall include the following information:

- 1. A title block, including the name of the project or development and the names of the property owner, project data including street name, tax map -parcel and lot,
- 2. Property lines and approximate location of adjoining property structures
- 3. North arrow, scale, and legend,
- 4. All improvements and impervious surfaces (including all structures, sidewalks, sheds, decks, driveways, pools, utilities, etc.) labeled as existing or proposed show dimensions and tabulate
- 5. Existing and proposed grades and elevation (Topography)
- 6. Limit of all proposed clearing, grading and disturbance.
- 7. Existing Vegetation, size and type with legend, and
- 8. Proposed landscape/mitigation plan (including location, botanical name, common name and installation site)
- 9. Mean high water line or Delineation of private and State tidal wetlands and Delineation of non-tidal wetlands (If applicable)
- 10. 100-foot Buffer and setback delineated (If applicable)
- 11. Habitat protection areas (if applicable)

Reviewed by: <u>Allemi / mtl/m</u> Zoning Administrator Date <u>10/2/08</u> <u>Lup Blay Environmental Engineer Date 9-25-0</u>

## STORMWATER SUBMISSION REQUIREMANTS

# **REVIEW FEE:**

\$50.00 for first 25,000 sf plus \$1.00 for every 1,000 sf additional

Building Permit Application Form and Yellow Approval Routing Slip

**3.** ----- Four (4) copies of civil plans with stormwater management (Existing and Proposed Grades Required) (One Copy Of Building Plan)

## **CRITICAL AREA SITES:**

4. ----- 10% Critical Area Plan Worksheet or Residential Water Quality Management Plan, and the Critical Area Application. (See note on bottom of Building Permit Application)

5. ----- Make appointment with Gail to pick them up Call (410) 289-8825



INC. Landscape Architects, Land Planning Consultants and Engineers

Project:	Ocean Harbo	r Hotel
Subject:	Stormwater C	alculations
Date:	17-Sep-08	
Surface	Pre-Existing	Post-Development
Parking Lots Sidewalks/Concrete Buildings Total Impervious Landscaping Pervious Pavers/ Gravel Lots Wooden Decks	36,259 7,412 26,433 <b>70,10</b> 4 26,593 9,806 1,698	35970 2,992 35,009 <b>73,971</b> 19,548 9,343 2,652
Sidewalk Pavers Total Pervious	38,097	2,696 34,239
Total Site % Impervious % Landscaped	108,201 64.79% 35.21%	108,210 68.36% 20.56%
Rv Phosphorus loading WQv 20% Existing WQv New WQV WQV Required	0.63 3.84 5708.64 1141.73 290.06 1431.79 108,201	0.67 4.04 5998.70
Lpre/Lpost RR/LR	3.843 3.459	4.038 0.579

9/17/20083:26 PMWQV.xis

INC. Landscape Architects, Land Planning Consultants and Engineers

### Lpost = 4.04

Watershed	Watershed Type	Surface Area	Column Area	Storage Pipe	Storage Available	Drainage Area Site Area	% Drainage Served LR
1	Pervious Pavers	2737	0	0	547.4	12,858 Storage Area	6.07% 0.080
2	Pervious Pavers	3791	270	45.37	533.57	10,925 Storage Area	5.92% 0.078 🛩
3	Pervious Pavers	1972	0	. 0	394.4	6,676 Storage Area	4.37% 0.057
4	Pervious Pavers	1513	0	69.102	371.702	11,565 Storage Area	4.12% 0.054
5	Pervious Pavers	1054	0	0	210.8	4,747 Storage Area	<b>2.34%</b> 0.031
6	Pervious Pavers	918	45	186.96	325.56	4,967 Storage Area	3.61% 0.047
7	Pervious Pavers	595	0	0	119	3,966 Storage Area	1.32% 0.017
8	Rain Gardens				506	7243 Storage Area	5.61% 0.057
9	Rain Gardens				462	12217 Storage Area	5.12% 0.052
10	Rain Gardens				2,020	22923 Drainage Area	21.19% 0.214
Total					5,489	98,087	59.66% 0.687



	X 7	PROJECT DATA	REVISIONS	08-028
an Commercial	VICT A	DRAWN BY: RFB CHECK BY: AIR		PROJ. NO.
	A LCLY	OCEAN CITY		CE 090908 dwg
Mitigation	DESIGN INC.	F& NO2 00-00-00		El Nema
	Landsome Ashirton Land Diaming Consultants Francess and Surgeones	T.M. NOs 0-00-00-00 DATE 9-16-08		THE PARTY
Worksheet	Landscape Atomietis, tant Painting Constantis, tagotors, and Surveyors	FILE NAME File		- I
	11634 Westerstere Hwy, Showell, MD 21062	N.T.S.		SHEET NO.
	an Commercial oor Mitigation tel Worksheet	an Commercial Mitigation Mitigation User Architects, Land Planning Consultants, Engineers, and Surveyors User Worksheet User W	an Commercial Mitigation Mitigation Worksheet	an Commercial Mitigation Design Architects, Land Planning Consultants, Engineers, and Surveys State N.T.S.

.

## PARKER AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

528 Riverside Drive Salisbury, MD TEL: (410) 749-1023 FAX: (410) 749-1012



## Ocean Harbor Hotel STORM WATER MANAGEMENT REPORT Project No. S1867 July 23, 2008

# RECEIVED

JUL 2.5 2008

CRITICAL AREA COMMISSION

Parker and Associates, Inc.

## 1. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The project entails the construction of a hotel building on a site located on Philadelphia Avenue within the Town of Ocean City corporate limits. The site contains 108,201 SF within its property lines, of which 107,732 SF are above mean high water. The site includes 1 building, parking, driveways, and sidewalks totaling 82,071 SF of impervious surfaces. The remaining area is landscaping, pervious decks, and pervious. The site will have public water and sewer services, and private cable and electric service. Sterm water will be collected via pipes and conveyed to an infiltration system below the building. The site lies within the Atlantic Coastal Bay Critical.

## 2. DISCUSSION

## 2.1. Storm water management design criteria.

The design criteria used for this site complies with the MD Storm Water Design Manual and Town of Ocean City development standards and storm water management regulations for re-development.

The storm water BMP was sized to manage water quality increase as required by regulations. As well as help with pollutant run off as required by Critical Area regulations.

## 2.2. Design Methodology.

The storm water run off from the building is collected via roof drains and conveyed to an underground infiltration system located in the parking area beneath the building. This system was sized according to the local and state regulations to treat part of the existing water quality and the increase in water quality from re-development.

To help reduce the overall imperviousness of the site several areas of pervious pavers were placed around the perimeter. They serve a dual purpose of lowering the impervious percentage and helping to capture pollutant runoff before it leaves the site.

## 2.3.1. <u>Water quality required</u>

The following table shows the requirements for WQv of Rev. Detailed calculations are attached to this report:

WQv		Pre-Development 5,740.3	Post-Development 6,246.1
	20% Existing Wq and 100% New	1,148.1	489.8

WQv Required

1,637.8

## 3. <u>CONCLUSIONS</u>

3.1. The proposed storm water management BMPs will be able to provide adequate treatment to comply with the State of Maryland and Town of Ocean City standards for water quality.

# Critical Area Project Application Town of Ocean City

Date:	<u>7/19/08</u> File#
Project N	ame: Ocen Hacker Hotel
Project A	ddress 29,1 Philippi Ave
Tax Map	: <u>[]]</u> Parcel: <u>5757</u> Block: Lot# Zoning <u>12</u>
Property	Owner Arthur Tsigmis Phone
Property	Owner Address
Parcel si	ce (SF): 103, 201 or Site Area (SF) 107, 732 (If < 50% of parcel) Site size (SF) = area of disturbance plus 5 feet perimeter of actual construction
I. <u>PRO</u>	ECT DESCRIPTION
Parcels 4 cantileve permitted	0,000 SF or more: Critical Area setback is 25 feet. No impervious surface or ring permitted within 25 feet of the shoreline/wetlands. ("Pervious" decks are 10' into setback, per construction standards.)
Parcels I (	ess than 40,000 SF: Critical Area set back is equal to the zoning setback eet). No impervious surface or cantilevering permitted within the setback. s" decks at ground level are permitted in the setback, per construction standards.)
Existing	Conditions
Impervio	us surface (SF) <u>68603</u> % of site impervious: <u>63.7</u>
Impervio	us surface within the 100-foot buffer (SF): <u>74909</u>
Proposed	Conditions
Impervio	us surface (SF): 77246 % of site impervious: 71.75
Total SF	of disturbed area: 107 732
Impervio	us surface within the 100-foot buffer (SF): 48904
Is projec	t in the 100 foot buffer? Yes X No (If yes, continue with Sec. II)
Form Rev	( <i>If no, skip to Sec. III)</i> rised 8/2/2007(S:Critical Area Project Application doc)

## **II. MITIGATION WORKSHEET IN THE 100-FOOT BUFFER**

1. Detached Single Family Dwellings (Need Landscaping Plan with schedule/legend per conversion chart below)

Value of Construction: \$ Landscape required in the amount of 2% of the cost of construction a. (Value of construction x . 02 =Total landscape provided. Attach landscape plan with schedule of native plant b. material and cost values. \$ Mitigation requirement (if a - b > 0) = Fee in Lieu of landscape. c. (To be paid prior to issuance of Certificate of \$ Occupancy.) d. Setback from water/wetlands SF x . 25 =SF (Landscape SF to be provided in setback area to be shown on Landscaping Plan)

## 2. Multi-Family and Commercial

All SF values determined from "Landscape Conversion Chart" below.

SF

SF

SF

SF

200

7990

0

a. Trees or shrubs removed from outside of setback: # 2 x / 00 SF x 1= b. Trees or shrubs removed from setback # 5 x 200 SF x 2= 2000 c. Pervious to impervious SF x 2 =3995 d. Improved pervious to improved pervious  $\circ$  SF x 1 = e. Undisturbed surface disturbed but remaining pervious

				0	SF x 1 =	= 0	SF
f.	Impervious to impo	ervious	4490	4 SF x 1	=	44904	SF
g.	Impervious to perv	ious	0	SF x 0	= <b>0</b> SF		
h.	Construction of de	cks in se	tback	1063	SF x 2 =	212+	SF
i.	TOTAL MITIGATION	REQUIR	ED (sum o	f a through	<u>h)</u> =	57219	SF
j.	TOTAL LANDSCAPE	PROVIDE	D (Refer	to "Landsc	ape Conver	sion Chart" b	elow)
		Numb	er V	alue	7	<b>`otal</b>	
	Large trees	#	<b>x</b> :	200 SF	=	0	SF
	Small trees	# 7	o x 1	100 SF	=	7000	SF
	Large shrubs	#	י <b>x</b>	75 SF	=	0	SF
	Small shrubs	# 2	00 <b>X</b>	50 SF	=	10000	SF
	Herbaceous Pla	ants #	OX	2 SF	=	0	SF
	TOTAL VALUE OF L	ANDSCA	PE PROVII	DED	17000	SF	

\$ 48262.30 K. FEE-IN-LIEU OF LANDSCAPE =  $i - j \ge 1.20$ (To be paid prior to issuance of Certificate of Occupancy)

1. Setback from water/wetlands 13059 SF x .25 = 3265SF (Landscape SF to be provided in setback area to be shown on Landscaping Plan)

Activity Description (Complete all that apply):

## LANDSCAPE CONVERSION CHART MITIGATION

Large tree = 200 square feet = 2" to 2  $\frac{1}{2}$ " caliber - \$200.00 credit Small tree = 100 square feet = 1" to 1  $\frac{1}{2}$ " caliber - \$100.00 credit Large shrub = 75 square feet = 36" height or spread or 3+ gallon container - \$75 credit Small shrub = 50 square feet = 24" height or spread or 1-2 gallon container - \$50 credit Herbaceous plants = 2 square feet per plant = 1 quart container - \$2 credit

## III. <u>AFFORESTATION (LANDSCAPE) REQUIREMENT OUTSIDE THE 100-FOOT</u> <u>BUFFER</u>

All development or redevelopment within the 1000-foot Critical Area boundary (but outside the 100-foot buffer) must be vegetated with native plant material in an amount of 15% of the site area.

a. Total landscape required: Parcel size 108201 SF x .15 = 16230 SF (This SF area must be plantable and vegetated with the required number of plants)

b. Landscape provided (Refer to Landscape Conversion Chart)

					Existing		Proposed		0
Large trees	#	0	X	200 SF =	0	_SF_	0	_SF	J D
Small trees	#	17	x	$100  \mathrm{SF} =$	0	_SF_	7000	SF	2
Large shrubs	#	6	x	$75 \mathrm{SF} =$	0	SF	0	SF	2 2 2
Small shrubs	#	200	X	50  SF =	3	_SF_	10000	_SF	av y is
Herbaceous Pla	nts #	0	x	2 SF=	V	_SF_	0	SF	X.I.
									O,

SF

TOTAL VALUE OF LANDSCAPE PROVIDED: 17040

## IV. STORMWATER MANAGEMENT AND THE 10% RULE

Pollutant reduction requirement for all disturbances over 250 SF in the 1000foot Critical Area.

1. Single family development subject to stormwater management requirements that use the "Standard Stormwater Management Plan" automatically meet the 10% Rule.

2. Single family development not subject to stormwater management regulations can meet the intent of the 10% Rule by submitting a Water Quality Management Plan.

3. Multi-family and commercial development must submit the 10% Rule Worksheet.

V. HABITAT PROTECTION (skip if it is less than 40,000 SF)

For lots of 40,000 square feet or greater, the applicant must consult with the Maryland Department of Natural Resources to determine the existence of any Habitat Protection Areas that may be affected by the proposed development.

Lpre	=	0.5 A
Lpre	=	0.000 lbs/year of total phosphorus

## Where:

Lpre	=	Average annual load of total phosphourous exported from
		the site prior to development (lbs/year)
0.5	=	Annual total phosphourous load from undeveloped Lands
Α	=	Area if site within the Critical Area IDA (acres)
А	=	Area if site within the Critical Area IDA (acres)

A. Redevelopme	nt	
Lpost	=	Rv C A 8.16
Rv	=	0.05 + 0.009 (lpost)
	=	0.6345
Lpost	=	3.841 lbs/year of total phosphorus
Where:		
Lpost		Average annual load of total phosphourous exported from the post developmentsite (lbs/year)
Rv	=	Runoff coefficient, which expresses the fraction of rainfall which is converted to runoff
Ipost	=	Post-development (proposed) site imperviousness (ie I=7 if site is 75% impervious)
С	=	Flow weighted mean concentration of pollutant (total
		phosphorous) in urban runoff (mg/l)
	=	0.3 mg/l
A	=	Area if site within the Critical Area IDA (acres)
8.16	=	includes regional constants and conversion factors
ten 4: Calculate Pollutan	t Remova	Pequirement

					/'	
RR	=	Lpost	- 5	0.9	Lpre	
	=	3.841	-	0.9	3.871	
	=	0.3572				V

RR
Lpost

Lpre

h

=

Laves Concell

pollutant removal requirement (lbs/yr) Average annual load of total phosphourous exported from the post developmentsite (lbs/year) Average annual load of total phosphourous exported from the site prior to development (lbs/year) Project: Ocean Harbor Motel Coastal Hwy, 25th and 26th Streets Ocean City Md

1) Site Area within the Critical Area IDA, A=

## Worksheet A: Standard Application Process

Calculate Pollutant Removal Requirements

Step	1: Calculate	Existing a	nd Proposed	Site Imperviou	Isness		
						· · · ·	

2) Site Impervious Surface Area, Existing and Proposed, (See table 4.1 for details)

	(a) Exi	sting	(b) Proposed	
	sf	acres	sf	acres
Roads	0	0.0000	0	0.0000
Parking Lots	36005.3	0.8266	27364	0.6282
Driveways	0	0.0000	. 0	0.0000
Sidewalks/Paths	6163.4	0.1415	<b>`</b> 12501	0.2870
Rooftops	26434	0.6068	33968	0.7798
Decks	1950	0.0448	3250	0.0746
Swimming Pools/Ponds	0	0.0000	0	0.0000
Other	0	0.0000		0.0000
Impervious Surface Area	70552.7	1 6197	77083	1 7696

107732 sf

3) Non-Structural BMP's Applied to the Site

Non-Structural BMP	Disconnected Impervious Area			
	sf	acres		
Pervious paver	2277	0.0523		
Pervious paver	935	0.0215		
Pervious paver	2550	0.0585		
Pervious paver	1360	0.0312		
	0	0.0000		
	0	0.0000		

**Disconnected Rooftop Impervious Area** 

7122 0.1635

2.473 Ac

4) Adjusted Proposed Impervious Surface Area

= Proposed Impervious Surface Area - Disconnected Impervious Area

- = Step 2b Step 3 = 1.7696 - 0.1635
- = 1.7696 -= 1.6061 acres

Note: All acreage used in this worksheet refers to areas within the Ida Critical Area Only

## 5)<sup>-</sup>Imperviousness (I)

	•	
		0.00
<b>F TISHUH</b>		1116

=	Impervious S	urface Are	ea/Site Area
=	Step 2a	1	Step 1
=	1.6197	1	2.4732
=	65.4891 %	•	
			10.11 A
_	Imponuoue S	urtaca Ar	na/Sita Araa

Proposed Imperviousness, Ipost

=	Impervious Si	urface Ar	ea/Site Area
=	Step 4	1	Step 1
=	1.6061	1	2.4732
= '	64.9399 %		

### C. Define Development Category

Redevelopment: Existing Imperviousness greater than 15% (Go to Step 2A)
 New Development: Existing Imperviousness Less than 15% (Go to Step 2B)
 Single Lot Residential Single Lot being developed or improved; single family residential; and more than 250 sf eing disturbed (Go to Section 5, Residential approach, for detailed criteria and requirements.)

Step 2: Calculate the Pred	levelopm	ent Load (Lpre)		
A. Redevelopmer	nt			
Lpre	=	Rv C A 8.16		
Rv	=	0.05 + 0.009 (lpre)		
	=	0.6394		
Lpre	=	3.871 lbs/year of total phosphorus		
Where:				
Lpre	=	Average annual load of total phosphourous exported from the site prior to development (lbs/year)		
Rv	=	Runoff coefficient, which expresses the fraction of rainfall which is converted to runoff		
Lpre	=	Predevelopment (existing) site imperviousness (ie I=75 if site is 75% impervious)		
C	=	Flow weighted mean concentration of pollutant (total phosphorous) in urban runoff (mg/l)		
	=	0.3 mg/l		
А	=	Area if site within the Critical Area IDA (acres)		
8.16	=	includes regional constants and conversion factors		

### Step 5: Identify Feasilbe BMP (s)

Select BMP ptions using the screening matrices provided in the chapter 4 of the 2000 Maryland Stormwater Design Manual. Calculate the load removed for each Option

BMP	Lpost	BMPre	%DA			LR
Pavers	3.841	65%	32%	50%	=.	0.3995 lbs/yr
	3.442				. =	0.0000 lbs/yr
	3.442				= '	0.0000 lbs/yr
	3.442				=	0.0000 lbs/yr
	3.442			·	=	0.0000 lbs/yr
	3.442				=	0.0000 lbs/yr
	3.442				±	0.0000 lbs/yr
			Load Rem	oved (total)	=	0.3995
	Polluta	nt removal Rec	quirement (fr	om Step 4)	=	0.3572

Where:		
Load Removed	æ	Annual total phosphourous load removed by the proposed BMP (lbs/year)
Lpost	=	Average annual load of total phosphourous exported from the post developmentsite (lbs/year)
BMPre =		BMP removal Efficiency for total phosphorus, Table 4.8 (%)
RR	=	pollutant removal requirement (lbs/yr)

Has the RR (pollutant removal requirement) been met?

Pollutant removal requirement not served 0.0000 lbs

Fee in Luie \$0.00

Yes

4 S1867-25thstreet.xls
# **STORMWATER MANAGEMENT CALCULATIONS**

FOR

# MISTY HARBOR CONDOMINIUMS PHASE 1

**OCEAN CITY, MARYLAND** 

**APRIL 2005** 



WILMINGTON, DELAWARE 302-888-2600 SALISBURY, MARYLAND 410-546-9100 DOVER, DELAWARE 302-734-7950

### Prepared by:

Becker Morgan Group, Inc. 312 West Main Street, Suite 300 Salisbury, Maryland 21801

2004042.00

### **Table of Contents**

- 1.0 Introduction
- 2.0 General Site Information
- 2.1 Existing Site Condition
- 2.2 Proposed Site Condition
- 3.0 Stormwater Management
- 3.1 Stormwater Quantity Management
- 3.2 Stormwater Quality Management
- 4.0 Conclusion

Appendix 1 Area Drawings

Appendix 2 Soils Borings Report

- Appendix 3 Critical Area Calculation
- Appendix 4 Critical Area Project Application

### 1.0 **INTRODUCTION**

This report is prepared to provide supporting stormwater management documentation for the proposed development of phase one of the Misty Harbor Condominium site located on 25<sup>th</sup> Street. The report will demonstrate that the site design is in compliance with the Town of Ocean City's regulatory guidelines and the Maryland Department of the Environment's Stormwater Design Manual. Misty Harbor Condominium is located west of coastal highway, north of 25<sup>th</sup> Street in the Town of Ocean City, MD. The proposed development includes three interconnecting lots.

### 2.0 **GENERAL SITE INFORMATION**

### 2.1 Existing Site Condition

The existing site drainage area consists of 0.87 acres within four adjacent lots owned by Purnell Properties, L.L.C. The area is made up of a grass field, a gravel parking lot, a paved parking lot, and 4 buildings. The existing impervious area covers 57% (21,705 SF) of the site surface. The soil type, as determined by the US Department of Agriculture, is dominated by made land (MA) HSG = C. These soils have dynamic characteristics resulting from the uncertain source of the man-made fill and tidally influenced water table. Groundwater elevation was determined to be at EL -0.55 according to the attached soil borings log. Manmade soils typical to this area are dredged soils with a silty-sand composition. The site drainage area contains no wetlands, and is above the 100year floodplain.

### 2.2 Proposed Site Condition

The site will be developed into two separate 5-story condominiums, with parking, elevated pool deck, and landscaping. Since this project represents a redevelopment, the 2000 Maryland Stormwater Design Manual" requires a 20% reduction in impervious area or a BMP to provide the runoff water quality control of a 20% reduction. The general idea for treating and storing the runoff will be to have it sheet flow over to pervious pavers, and then stored in the 57 stone underneath. By using pervious pavers, the proposed design meets and exceeds the WQv, Water Quantity, and 10% Critical Areas requirements.

### 3.0 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

The proposed site has been designed in accordance with the Town of Ocean City development regulations using Best Management Practices (BMPs) listed in the Town's Stormwater Design Guidelines. The pervious pavers have been designed to capture the water quality volume required and also designed to treat the water for phosphorous. The pervious pavers located at the edge of the sidewalk have been designed to treat some of the phosphorous in the runoff.

### 3.1 Stormwater Quantity Management

Quantity management is not required for this site due to the fact that the sites drainage area is adjacent to tidal waters.

### 3.2 Stormwater Quality Management

The quality management requirements have been met through the use of pervious pavers. The roof drains will be located in areas of the parking lot where pervious pavers will be installed to collect all of the roof runoff. The proposed design provides a 22% increase in impervious surface area from the existing condition amount. Since there is a 22% increase in impervious area, best management practices are designed for 20% of the existing impervious area, plus 100% of new impervious area (44%). The calculated water quality volume required (1") equals 1334 CF. The pervious paver areas total approx. 4000 SF, providing approx. 2080 CF of treatment capacity, which matches the volume required for quality volume management. Phosphorus removal is met through using the infiltration under the pavers.

### CALCULATION SUMMARY

Total Site Area	Total Disturbed	Existing	Proposed
	Area	Impervious	Impervious
		Surface	Surface
38,100 SF	38,100 SF	21,705 SF	30,125 SF

The existing 21,705 SF (57%) impervious area consists of a gravel parking area, a paved parking area and 4 small buildings. The proposed development results in an impervious coverage of 30,125 SF (79%). As the code requires, 20% of the existing impervious area and 100% of the increased impervious area will be quality treated. The required total impervious area to quality manage is as follows:

### TOTAL REQUIRED VOLUME

=100% Post-Development Quality Volume + 20% Pre-Developed Quality Volume

Increase in pervious area = 22% 22% of increase + 20% Existing = 44% of area 44% of 38,100 SF = 16,764 SF 1" Rain Event on 16,764 SF = <u>1334 CF Required</u>

#### DRAINAGE AREAS AND BMP DESIGN

DRAINAGE AREA 1 (11,200 SF)

2200 SF OF PAVERS (Not including curb edge)
Add 150 CF of Structural Encroachment (Assume 7x7 Pile caps)
11,200 SF x 1" Rain Event = 933 CF
933 CF + 150 CF = 1083 CF Of Storage Needed

STORAGE PROVIDED IN PAVER SECTION

2200 SF x 1.4' of depth x .4 Void Ratio =

#### 1,232 CF Storage Provided

### DRAINAGE AREA 2 (9,200 SF)

1,800 SF OF PAVERS (Not including curb edge) Add 150 CF of Structural Encroachment (Assume 7x7 Pile caps) 9,200 SF x 1" Rain Event = 767 CF 767 CF + 150 CF = 917 CF Of Storage Needed

### STORAGE PROVIDED IN PAVER SECTION

1,800 SF x 1.4' of depth x .4 Void Ratio =

### 1,000 CF Storage Provided

### 2,232 CF Total Storage Provided

### 4.0 **CONCLUSION**

This report demonstrates that the proposed development design is in compliance with the Town of Ocean City Stormwater Regulations and Maryland Stormwater Design Manual. The required stormwater quality treatments have been detailed both in this report and in the design plans submitted herein. The BMPs utilized in this site design, along with sediment control practices during construction, will result in a development that represents an improvement to the quality of runoff when contrasting existing and proposed conditions.

#### 200212300al-SWMRPT.doc



F:\AutoCAD\Projects\2004\200404201\dwg\SWM\SWM.dwg Apr 20, 2005 - 10:44am

MAR-23-2005 14:00 FROM: HARDIN KIGHT ASSOC

4103523228



November 24, 2004

Project No: 04875

MISTY HARBOR DEVELOPMENT, LLC c/o Purnell Properties P.O. Box 460 Ocean City, Maryland 21843

Attention: Mr. Troy Purnell

Reference: Preliminary Subsurface Investigation And Geotechnical Evaluation For Misty Harbor Condominium 25th Street - Bayside Ocean City, Maryland

Dear Mr. Purnell:

As requested, we have completed a preliminary investigation and geotechnical evaluation for Phase 1 of the Misty Harbor Condominium proposed for construction at 25th Street in Ocean City, Maryland. The purpose of this investigation is to evaluate the subsurface conditions and develop preliminary recommendations for the design and construction of foundations. Our findings, analysis, and preliminary recommendations are presented herein.

### PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION

The proposed construction is for a new Condominium located on the north side of 25th Street between Coastal Highway and the Assawoman Bay in Ocean City, Maryland. We understand that the building will be a column supported 3 level poured in place, post-tensioned concrete structure above parking at the existing surface estimated about elevation plus 7 (+/- one foot). At the time of this investigation, construction drawings were not available. In our analysis, we have assumed that typical column loads will be in the range of 200 to 300 kips.

### SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

In order to evaluate the subsurface conditions on the site we have directed the drilling of three (3) standard penetration test borings. The borings were drilled to a depth of 70 to 75 feet below the current surface. The test boring locations are shown on the attached boring location plan. Standard penetration tests were taken at close intervals from the surface to ten feet and at five foot intervals thereafter. Split spoon samples were obtained and transported to our laboratory for review and classification. The samples were visually identified in accordance with Standard Practice for Description and Identification of Soils (Visual-Manual Procedure) ASTM Designation: D-2488. Detailed descriptions of the soils are indicated on the attached test boring logs.

The subsurface conditions encountered at the site consist generally of sand with layers of silt and clay. Loose SAND was encountered from the surface to a depth of about 12 feet over a very soft layer of silty CLAY which extended to about twenty-two feet below the surface

7524 WB&A ROAD, SUITE 100 • GLEN BURNIE, MARYLAND 21061 • 410-553-0802 • FAX 410-553-0808

P.2

### Preliminary Subsurface Investigation And Geotechnical Evaluation For Misty Harbor Condominium

Our Job No. 04875 November 24, 2004 Page No. 2

(approximately 10 feet thick). The silty clay is underlain with loose to medium dense Sand to a depth of about thirty-four feet. A second Clay layer was encountered from thirty-four feet and extends to about fifty-two feet. Below the second Clay layer we encountered medium dense to very dense Sand to the maximum depth explored (75 feet). Our analysis of the SPT test data indicates that the granular soils can be characterized as very loose to very dense and the cohesive soils are very soft to stiff.

Water was encountered at a depth of 5 feet below the existing surface.

### ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

For this preliminary evaluation we have assumed that the proposed structure will be column supported with loads ranging from 200 to 300 kips and that the bottom of pile caps will be at about elevation plus 3 +/- one foot. We will need to review and perhaps revise our preliminary recommendations when the final building loads become available. This office should be contacted for additional review and comment when the final design drawings are completed.

Based on the estimated column loads, we anticipate that the most cost effective safe foundation for the proposed structure will be pile foundations with a design capacity of 50 tons/pile. Based on our evaluation of the subsurface conditions we have considered two pile foundation alternatives; 14-inch diameter Auger-Cast piles installed to a depth of 65 feet below surface, and 12-inch square precast concrete piles driven to a depth of 65 feet below the surface.

Based on our evaluation of the SPT data and our experience, we estimate that 14-Inch diameter auger cast piles installed to a depth of 65 feet below the existing surface will have an allowable design load equal to 50 tons/pile. The allowable design load will have to be confirmed by a load test performed in accordance with ASTM D-1143.

As an alternative we have considered 12-inch square precast concrete piles. Based on our analysis of the SPT data, we anticipate that a 12-inch square precast concrete pile driven to a depth of 65 feet below the surface will have an allowable design load equal to 50 tons/pile. The final pile embedment length will have to be determined by the installation of a few probe piles. During installation of the probe piles, the driving criteria required to achieve a capacity equal 50 tons can be established using a Pile Dynamic Analysis (PDA) in accordance with ASTM D-4945. The allowable design load will have to be confirmed following the criteria in section 1808.2.8.3 of the International Building Code 2003. The allowable design load can be confirmed by testing selected probe piles in accordance with ASTM D-1143 or ASTM D-4945.

## PRELIMINARY FOUNDATION RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the findings at the test boring locations and our understanding of the proposed construction, we have developed the following recommendations for the design and construction of foundations for the Misty Harbor Condominiums.

1. We recommend that the building be supported by either14-inch diameter Auger Pressure Grout (APG) piles with a design load of 50 tons/pile; or 12-inch square precast concrete piles with a design load of 50 tons/pile. 1 .

Preliminary Subsurface Investigation And Geotechnical Evaluation For Misty Harbor Condominium

Our Job No. 04875 November 24, 2004 Page No. 3

- 2. If the 14-inch diameter APG piles are selected for foundation support, we recommend that a test pile be installed to a depth of 65 feet below the existing ground surface. We recommend that the pile capacity be confirmed by a load test performed in accordance with ASTM D -1143.
- 3. If the 12-inch square precast concrete piles are selected for foundation support, we recommend that the pile embedment lengths and driving criteria for a capacity of 50 tons/pile be established based on a PDA analysis, In accordance with ASTM D-4945, on a minimum of three probe piles installed across the site. We recommend that the pile embedment length and allowable pile capacity be confirmed by test on one or more of the probe piles in accordance with ASTM D-4945.
- 4. If the load test confirms an allowable load equal to or greater than the design load, we recommend that all production piles be installed following the same criteria as used for installation of the test piles.
- 5. We recommend that the installation of the test piles and the load tests be monitored by Hardin-Kight Associates, Inc. We recommend that the allowable load on the piles be determined by Hardin-Kight Associates, Inc. from an analysis of the load test results. We recommend that the installation of all production piles be monitored and approved by a Professional Engineer who shall provide a certification or professional opinion that all production piles have an allowable load equal to at least the final design load determined from an analysis of the load test.
- 6. For the auger cast piles we recommend that the test pile and all production piles contain one continuous #8 re-bar installed the full length of the pile. Additional reinforcing may be required in production piles from considerations of stability. We recommend that the test pile contain a minimum of three strain gauges through the length of the test pile.
- 7. We recommend that we be given the opportunity to review the final design drawings and project specifications when they become available.

If you have any questions concerning this report or if we can be of any further assistance at this time, please call us.



P.4

MAR-23-2005 14:01 FROM: HARDIN KIGHT ASSOC Hardin-Kight Associates, Inc. 12515 Caterpillar Lane

Bishopville, Maryland 21813

4103523228

TO:4105465824

P.5

Office: 410-352-5001 Fax: 410-352-3228 e-mail: hkanc@aol o

	Re	cord	of	So	il Explo	ora	tio	n	* , ::, N° : N
Contrac Projects Location	ted With: MISTY HARBOR DEV s Name: MISTY HARBOR CON n: 25 <sup>™</sup> ST, BAYSIDE, OC	ELOPMI IDOS CEAN CI	ENT LL		AND			B Jo	oring: B - 1 (pg 1 of 2) ob #: 04875
Datum Surl. Elev. Date Started	- Hammer WL 1. Hammer Drop 11/10/04 Pipe Size	40 Lbs. 30 In. 2 in.		Samp Rock Co Hole Dia Boring N	DIEF ire Dia irreter 6" lethod HS,	A		For Ins Dat	reman RICH KIMES pector PAUL TILL le Finished 11/10/04
Elev.	Soil Description Color, Molsture, Density Plasticity, Size Propertions	Strata Depth	Depth		S	Sample	e 	 	Boring & Sample
•	Tan/brown, moist to wet, very loose			D	2-3-3	1	DS	18"	Notes
	(FILL)			D	3-4-3	2	DS	14"	
	MARSH MAT	5.5 6.5	5		4-1-1	3	DS	12"	marsh mat in S-3
	to fine SAND with trace silt (SP)		10 '	D	7-9-10	4	DS	18"	Started mud drilling at 7.5'
	Grey, very soft, silty CLAY (CL/ML)	12.0	15	1	WOH/18"	5	DS	18"	
		22.0	20	1	WOH/18"	6	DS	18"	
	Grey, wet, loose to medium dense, silty, very fine SAND (SM)		25	D	2-3-4	7	DS	15"	
			30	D	6-6-6	8	DS	16"	
	Grey, medium stiff to stiff, silty CLAY with sand seams (CL/ML)	34.0	35	D	3-4-6	9	DS	18"	brown sllt in S-9
			40	1	4-2-2	10	DS	18"	
npier Tyj DRIVEN SPU PRESSED SH CONTINUOU	DE Sample Cono IT SPOON D - DISINTEGRATE HELBY TUBE I - INTACT S FUGHT AUGER U - UNDISTURBED	ditions		Gro AT AFI	DUND Water De COMPLETIONFT TERHRS TER24 HRS	epth _FT _FT		Borin HSA-H CFA-CI DC-OR	ig Method Ollow stem augers Ontinuous flight augers Iven casing

MAR-23-2005 14:02 FROM: HARDIN KIGHT ASSOC 4103523228 T0:4105465824 P.6 maruin-night Associates, inc. Uffice: 410-352-5001 12515 Caterpillar Lane Fax: 410-352-3228 Bishopville, Maryland 21813 e-mail: hkaoc@aol.com **Record of Soil Exploration** Contracted With: MISTY HARBOR DEVELOPMENT, LLC Boring: B - 1 (pg 2 of 2) Projects Name: MISTY HARBOR CONDOS Job #: 04875 25TH ST, BAYSIDE, OCEAN CITY, MARYLAND Location: Sampler 140 Lbs. Datum Hammer WI. Rock Core Dia. **RICH KIMES** Foreman 30 in. Surf. Elev. Hammer Drop 6" Hole Diameter PAUL TILL inspector 11/10/04 Date Started 2 in. Pice Size Boring Method HSA 11/10/04 **Oate Finished** Soil Description Strata Depth Sample Boring & Sample Color, Molsture, Density Elev. Plasticity, Size Proportions Depth Scale Cond Blows / 6" No. Туре Rec. Notes Grey, medium stiff to stiff, slity CLAY with sand seams (CL/ML) I 3-3-4 11 DS 18" 45<sup>1</sup> D 6-7-7 12 DS 18" 50 52.0 Grey, wet, medium dense, very fine SAND with trace silt (SP/SM) D 5-4-6 13 DS 18" 55 57.0 Grey, wet, very dense, medium to coarse SAND with trace silt & fine D 50/5" 1" gravel (SP) 14 DS gravel in S-14 & S-15 60 🖬 D 50/5" DS 15 **}3**" 65 67.0 Light grey, wet, medium dense, medium to fine SAND with trace sllt 70.0 D 8-9-18 (SM) 16 DS 16" 70 BOTTOM OF BORING - 70.0' 75 80

#### Sampler Type

OS - DRIVEN SPLIT SPOON

PT - PRESSED SHELBY TUBE CA - CONTINUOUS FLIGHT AUGER

RC - ROCK CORE

Sample Conditions D. DISINTEGRATED 1. INTACT U. UNDISTURBED

L-LOST

Ground Water Depth AT COMPLETION \_\_\_FT AFTER\_\_\_HRS\_\_\_\_FT AFTER\_\_\_24 HRS\_\_\_\_FT

Boring Method HSA - HOLLOW STEM AUGERS CFA - CONTINUOUS FLIGHT AUGERS DC - DRIVEN CASING MD - MUD DRILLING

	Rec	cord	of S	Soi	I Explo	orat	tio	<u> </u>	e-mail: nKaoC@aol
contrac rojecti ocatio	n: MISTY HARBOR DEVE MISTY HARBOR COND STY HARBOR COND ST, BAYSIDE, OC	LOPME DOS EAN CI	ENT LLO		ND			Bo Jo	oring: B - 2 (pg 1 of 2) bb #: 04875
tum rf. Elev. te Started	- Hammer Wi. 14 Hammer Drop 3 1 11/11/04 Pipe Size	0 Lbs. 0 in. 2 in.	:     	Samp Rock Cor Hole Diar Boring Me	fer e Dia. – neter 6" sthod HS.	A		For Insy Dai	erran RICH KIMES pector PAUL TILL e Finished 11/11/04
	Soil Description Color, Molature, Density	Strata	Depth		S	ampie			Boring & Sample
Elev.	Plasticity, Size Proportions	Depth	Scale	Cond	Blows / 6"	No.	Туре	Rec.	Notes
	Ten/grey, moist to wet, very loose, fine, siity SAND (SM) (FiLL)				3-3-3	1	DS	18"	
		5.0			4-3-2	2	DS	18"	
	Grey, wet, loose, medium to fine SAND with trace silt (SP)		5		3-1-1	3	DS	18"	Organics in S-3
			10		4-4-4	4	DS	12"	started mud drilling at 7.5
ŀ	Grev, very soft, slity CLAY with trace	12.0	-						
	organics (CL/ML)		15		WOH/18"	5	DS	18"	
			20		WOH/18"	6	DS	18"	
		27.0	25		4-6-5	7	DS	1	•
	Grey, wet, medium dense to dense, silty, fine SAND (SM)	· ·	30		12-15-21	8	DS	4	
	Grey, medium stiff to stiff, silty CLAY with sand seams (CL/ML)	34.0	35		4-2-3	9	DS	12"	brown silt in tip of S-9
			40		5-6-5	10	DS	18"	

RC - ROCK CORE

U · UNDISTURBED L·LOST

APTER\_\_\_\_24 HRS\_\_\_ \_FT

FUGHT AUGERS DC - DRIVEN CASING MD - MUD DRILLING

4 <b></b> .		Rec	ord	of	Soil	Explo	orat	ior	1	
Contrac Project: ocatio	cted With: MISTY   s Name: MISTY   n: 25 <sup>™</sup> ST	HARBOR DEVEL HARBOR COND , BAYSIDE, OCE	OPME OS AN CI	INT, LL	C RYLA	ND			Bo Joi	ring: B - 2 (pg 2 of 2) b #: 04875
itum irf. Elev. ite Started	- 11/11/04	Hammer Wi. 140 Hammer Drop 30 Pipe Size 2	) Lbs. ) in. . in.	S F H B	Sampi Rock Con Iole Dian Iole Dian Ioring Me	er Dia meter 6" thod HS/	4		Fare Inspi Date	man RICH KIMES ector PAUL TILL Finished 11/11/04
	Soil Des Color, Motat	Cription	Strata	Depth		S	ampie			Boring & Sample
Elev.	Plasticity, Siz	e Proportions	Depth	Scale	Cond	Blows / 6*	No.	Туре	Rec.	Notes
	Grey, medium stiff with sand seams (0	to stiff, silty CLAY CL/ML)		45		3-4-4	11	DS	18"	hole took most mud. mixed up new pan of muc
			52.0	- 50		7-5-4	12	DS	18"	trace sand in S-12
	Grey, wet, medium fine SAND (SM)	dense, silty, very	57.0	55		9-7-7	13	DS	15"	
	Grey, wet, dense, rr SAND with trace fin	edium to coarse e gravel (SP)		60		10-13-40	14	DS		fine gravel in S-14
	******		67.0	65 <b>6</b> 5		50/5"	15	DS	ł	
	Light grey, wet, med medium to fine SAN some silt (SP/SM)	ium dense, D with trace to		70		8-9-11	16	DS	1	
	BOTTOM OF BORI	NG - 75.0'	75.0	- 75		9-10-14	17	DS		
				- - 80						

I-INTACT U - UNDISTURBED

L-LOST

1

ł

1

CA - CONTINUOUS FLIGHT AUGER

RC - ROCK CORE

AT COMPLETION \_ \_FT AFTER\_\_\_\_HRS\_\_\_\_\_FT AFTER\_\_\_\_24 HRS\_\_\_\_\_FT

HSA - HOLLOW STEM AUGERS CPA - CONTINUOUS FLIGHT AUGERS DC - DRIVEN CASING MD - MUO DRILLING

,

	Rec	ord	of S	Soi	I Explo	rat	tion		8 <b>- 1</b> - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1
Contra Projec Locatio	ts Name: MISTY HARBOR DEVE ts Name: MISTY HARBOR COND on: 25 <sup>™</sup> ST, BAYSIDE, OCE	LOPME IOS EAN CI	ENT LLO	C RYLA	ND			Bo Jol	ring: B - 3 (pg 1 of 2) b #: 04875
Datum Jurf. Elev. Dale Starte	- Hammer WI. 14 Hammer Drop 30 Ind 11/11/04 Pipe Size	0 Lbs. 0 in. 2 in.	1 ) .E	Samp Rock Cor Hole Diar Boring Me	IEF 19 Dia. – neter 6" ethod HSA	L.		Forei Inspe Date	man RICH KIMES actor PAUL TILL Finished 11/11/04
	Soil Description	Strata	Depth		Sa	ample			Boring & Sample
Elev.	Color, Wolsture, Density Plasticity, Size Propertions	Depth	Scala	Cond	Blows / 6*	No.	Type	Rec.	Notes
	Tan/brown, moist to wet, very loose, medium to fine, silty SAND (SM)				3-3-3	1	DS		
		6.0	5		2-4-3	2	DS		
	MARSH MAT	-6.5			3-1-1	3	DS		
	to filne SAND with trace silt (SP)	11 5	10		6-7-9	4	DS		
	Grey, very soft, silty CLAY (CL/ML)	11.5	- -		6-5-2	5	DS		Clay In tip of S-5
			15		WOH/18"	6	DS		
			20-		WOH/12-1	7	DS		
	en e	22.0			WOH/12-2	8	DS		sand In tip of S-8
	Grey, wet, loose to medium dense silty, very fine SAND (SM)		25		2-2-3	9	DS /		
			30		5-7-8	10	DS		
	алан (1997) Алан (1997) Алан (1997)	34.0	-						
	Grey, medium stiff to stiff, slity CLAY with sand seams (CL/ML)		35 - - -		4-6-2	11	DS		brown sllt in tip of S-11
			40		3-2-3	12	DS		

CA - CONTINUOUS FLIGHT AUGER

RC - ROCK CORE

U - UNDISTURBED L-LOST

AFTER\_\_\_HRS\_\_\_ \_FT AFTER\_\_\_\_24 HRS\_\_\_\_\_FT

CPA - CONTINUOUS FLIGHT AUGERS DC - DRIVEN CASING MD . MUD DRILLING

......

• •	Re	cord	of S	Soi	I Explo	orat	ior	)	
ontra roject ocatio	cted With: MISTY HARBOR DEVI Is Name: MISTY HARBOR CON In: 25 <sup>™</sup> ST, BAYSIDE, OC	ELOPME DOS EAN CI	ENT, LL	C RYLA	ND			Bo Jo	oring: B - 3 (pg 2 of 2) b #: 04875
tum f. Elev. te Started	Hammer Wt. 14 Hammer Drop d 11/11/04 Pipe Size	40 Lbs. 30 in. 2 in.	5 F F E	Samp Rock Cor Hole Diar Boring Mi	ILET re Dia. – meter 6" ethod HS/	٩		Fore Insp Date	ertan RICH KIMES ector PAUL TILL Finlshed 11/11/04
Flov	Soll Description Color, Moisture, Density	Strata	Depth		S	ample			Boring & Sample
	Plasticity, Size Proportions	Depth	Scale	Cond	Blows / 6*	No.	Туре	Rec.	Notes
	Grey, medium stiff to stiff, silty CLA with sand seams (CL/ML)	r	45		3-3-3	13	DS	18"	
	********	52.0	50		4-5-4	14	DS	18"	•
	Grey, wet, medium dense, fine SAN with trace silt & trace clay (SM)	57.0	55		5-5-7	15	DS	16"	
	Grey, wet, dense, medium to coarse SAND with trace fine gravel (SP)		60	14. 1	11-16-33	16	DS	12"	fine gravel in S-16 & S-17
		67.0	65		50/4"	17	DS	3"	
	Light grey, wet, medium dense to dense, medium to fine SAND with trace silt (SP/SM)		70		8-10-12	18	DS	15"	
	BOTTOM OF BORING - 75.0'	75.0	75		11-14-16	19	DS	15"	
			- - - 80		·				

CA - CONTINUOUS FLIGHT AUGER U - UNDISTURBED AFTER\_\_\_24 HRS\_\_\_\_FT RC - ROCK CORE L - LOST

DC - DRIVEN CASENG MO - MUD DRILLING

4103523228





P.11

Applicant's Guide to 10% Rule Compliance

### **Worksheet A: Standard Application Process**

Calculating Pollutant Removal Requirements\*

### **Step 1: Project Description**

#### A. Calculate Percent Imperviousness

) Site Acreage =	0.870	acres

2) Site Imperviousness, existing and proposed, (See Table 1.0 for details)

(a	) Existing (acres)	(b) Post-Development	(acres)
Rooftop	0.262	0.650	
Roads	0.000	0.000	-
Sidewalks	0.063	0.000	-
Parking Lots	0.112	0.050	-
Pools / Ponds	0.000	0.000	-
Decks	0.000	0.000	-
Other	0.063	0.000	-
	0.000	0.000	-
Impervious			-
Surface Area	0.500	0.700	_
<ul> <li>3) Non-Structural BMPs</li> <li>4) Adjusted Proposed Imp (Step 2b) - (Step 3) = (</li> </ul>	Disconnected Impervious Area pervious Surface Area 0.682) - (0.000) = 0.682 acres		· · ·
Imperviousness (I) Existing Impervious Su Post-Development Imp	ırface Area / Site Area = (Step 2a) / pervious Surface Area / Site Area =	(Step 1) = (Step 2b) / (Step 1) =	<u> </u>
B. Define Development	Category (circle)	• •	
1) Redevelopment:	Existing imperviousness greater t	han 15% I (Go to Step 2A)	X

1) Redevelopment: Existing imperviousness greater than <u>15%</u> I (Go to Step 2A)

2) New development: Existing imperviousness less than <u>15%</u> I (Go to Step 2B)

3) Single Lot Residential: Single lot being developed or improved; single family residential; and more than 250 square feet being disturbed. (Go to Page 27 - Single Lot Residential sheet for remaining steps).

\* NOTE: All acreage used in this worksheet refer to areas within the IDA of the critical area only.

Applicant's Guide to 10% Rule Compliance

Α.	Redevelopn	nent							
	Lpre	=	$(R_v)(C)(A)8.16$ C = 0.3						
	R <sub>v</sub>	=	0.41 $R_v = 0.56$						
	L <sub>pre</sub>	=	(0.56)(0.3)(0.87)8.16						
		= .	1.19 Ibs P / year						
	where:								
	R <sub>v</sub>	=	Runoff coefficient, which expresses the fraction of rainfall which is converted into runoff						
	I <sub>pre</sub>	= .	Site imperviousness (I.e., I=75 if site is 75% impervious)						
	С	• =	Flow weighted mean concentration of the pollutant in urban runoff (mg/l)						
* .	:	С	= 0.26 if pre-development I<20%						
		C	= 1.08 if pre-development I>=20%						
	Α	=	Area of the development site (acres in the Critical Area)						
	8.16	· = ·	Includes regional constants and unit conversion factors						
		•	OR						
В.	New Develo	pment							
	L <sub>pre</sub>	=	0.5 lbs/year * A						
		=	(0.5)( )						

. .

Ston 3.	Calculat	o tho	Post-Dovalo	nment Load	17E - A
otep o.	Vulvului	C LIIC	1 OSt-Devel	pment Load	' <b>\</b> ⊏post/

Ibs P / year

0

A. New Development and Redevelopment	
--------------------------------------	--

=

L <sub>post</sub>	=	$(R_v)(C)(A)8.16$ C = 0.3	
R <sub>v</sub>	=	$0.05 + 0.009(I_{post})$ $R_v = 0.76$	
Lpost	=	(0.76)(0.3)(0.87)8.16	
	=	1.62Ibs P / year	
where:			
Rv	=	Runoff coefficient, which expresses the fraction of rainfall which is converted	l ir

- = Runoff coefficient, which expresses the fraction of rainfall which is converted into runoff
- = Site imperviousness (I.e., I=75 if site is 75% impervious)
- = Flow weighted mean concentration of the pollutant in urban runoff (mg/l)

C = 0.3

- C = 0.5
- A 8.16

=

=

Ipre

Ċ

- Area of the development site (acres in the Critical Area)
- Includes regional constants and unit conversion factors

Applicant's Guide to 10% Rule Compliance

### Step 4: Calculate the Pollutant Removal Requirement (RR)

RR =

=

L<sub>post</sub> - (0.9)(L<sub>pre</sub>) (1.62) - (0.9)(1.19) 0.55 Ibs P

## Step 5: Identify Feasible Urban BMP

Select BMP Options using the screening tools and pollutant removal rates listed in the Applicant's Guide *Tables 5.0, 5.1, 5.2 and 5.4*. Calculate the load removed for each option.

BMP Type	(Removal Efficiency [use 0.50 or 50%])	x	(Fraction of Drainage Area Served) **	x	(L <sub>post</sub> )		Load Removed	
Pervious Pavers	0.65	x	54%	x	1.62	Ξ	0.57	lbs
	•		•					lbs
	• 	×		x		=		lbs
						=	0.57	lbs

If the Load Removed is equal to or greater than the pollutant removal removal requirement (RR) calculated in Step 4, then the on-site BMP option complies with the 10% Rule. (See Table 5.3, page 16) for submittal requirements for each option.

### Critical Area Project Application Town of Ocean City

Project Name: Misty Harbor Condominiums Phase 1

Project Address 25<sup>th</sup> Street and Coastal Highway

Tax Map: <u>111</u> Parcel: <u>5749,5756 & 5757</u> Block: <u>n/a</u> Lot# <u>5,6,&10</u> Zoning <u>R-2</u>

Property Owner <u>Mr. Troy Purnell</u> Phone <u>410.524.0001</u>

Property Owner Address P.O. BOX 460 Ocean City, MD 21843

I. <u>Project Description</u>

In the 100 foot buffer? Yes X No (If yes, continue with Sec. I) (If no, skip to Sec. III)

**Parcels 40,000 SF or more: Critical Area setback is 25 feet. No impervious surface or cantilevering permitted within 25 feet of the shoreline/wetlands.** ("Pervious" decks are permitted 10' into setback, per construction standards.)

Parcels less than 40,000 SF: Critical Area set back is equal to the zoning setback (<u>10 feet</u>). No impervious surfaces permitted within the setback. ("Pervious" decks at ground level are permitted in the setback, per construction standards.)

#### **Existing Conditions**

Impervious surface (SF)	21,705 SF	_% of site impervio	ous:	<u>57%</u>
Impervious surface within	the 100-foot bu	ffer (SF):]	11,644	SF
Proposed Conditions		. •		
Impervious surface (SF):	<u>30,125 SF</u>	% of site imperviou	us:	<u>79%</u>
Total SF of disturbed area	: <u>38,100 SF</u>			
Impervious surface within	the 100-foot bu	ffer (SF):	26,57	<u>5 SF</u>
	· .			

- II. Mitigation Worksheet in the 100-foot Buffer
- **1. Detached Single Family Dwellings**

Value of Construction: \$

- a. Landscaping required in the amount of 2% of the cost of construction (Value of construction x .02 = \$\_\_\_\_)
- b. Total landscaping provided. Attach cost values and plant schedule. (Must equal or exceed "Means" book value.)
   \$
- c. Mitigation requirement (if a b > 0) = Fee in Lieu of landscaping.
   \$\_\_\_\_\_\_(To be paid prior to issuance of Certificate of Occupancy.)
- Multi-Family and Commercial Mitigation worksheet (within the 100' buffer)
   If not in 100-foot buffer skip to Section III below.
  - All SF values determined from "Landscaping Conversion Table" below.

### Activity Description (Complete all that apply):

a.	Trees or shrubs ren	noved from	bul	ller (out	side of	setba	ск):		
				#	_ X	SF	x 1=	SF	
b.	Trees or shrubs ren	noved from	set	back #	_ x SF	=	x 2=	SF	
c.	Pervious to impervi	ous		14,94	<u>41</u> SF	x 2	= 29,88	2 SF	
d.	Improved pervious	to improve	d po	ervious		- : :	$SF \times 1 =$	SF	
e.	Undisturbed surfac	e disturbed	bu	t remain	ing per	rviou	<b>5</b> ,		
					01	SF x	1 =	SF	
f.	Impervious to impe	rvious 1	1 <b>6</b> 4	14 S	F x 1 =	-	11644	SF	2
g.	Impervious to pervi	ious		SI	$\mathbf{F} \mathbf{x} 0 =$	0 SF			
h.	Construction of dec	ks in setba	ck	· •		SF x	2 =	SI	F
i.	TOTAL MITIGATION	REQUIRED (	sum	of a three	ough h)	_	41526	SF	
j.	TOTAL LANDSCAPIN	G PROVIDED	) (R	efer to "	Landsc	aping	Conversion Cl	nart" below)	)
		Number		Value		1 0	Total	,	
	Large trees		х	200 SF		SF			
	Small trees	35	x	100 SF		SF	3500		
	Large shrubs	26	_ x	75 SF		SF	1950		
	Small shrubs		x	50 SF		SF			
	Plants		x	2 SF		SF			
	TOTAL VALUE OF LA	ANDSCAPINO	- G PR	OVIDED		SF_	5450		
	FEE-IN-LIEU OF LAN	NDSCAPING	(OF	FSET) = i	i–jx	<b>\$1.20</b>	\$43,291		
	(To be paid prior to	issuance of	Ceri	tificate o	f Öccup	oancy)			
k.	Setback from water	/wetlands		3350	SF x.	25 =	837.5	SF	

(Landscape to be provided in setback area)

### LANDSCAPING CONVERSION CHART

Large tree = 200 square feet of mitigation Small tree = 100 square feet """ Large shrub = 75 square feet """ Small shrub = 50 square feet """

Herbaceous plants = 2 square feet of mitigation per plant

### III. Afforestation (Landscaping) Requirements Outside the 100-foot Buffer

1.

Multi-Family and Commercial Development - Within the 1000' Critical Area (but outside the 100' buffer) every development or redevelopment must be planted in woody vegetation in an amount of 15% of the site area.

a. Total landscaping required: Parcel size x .15 = \_\_\_\_\_SF.

b. Landscaping provided (use Landscaping Conversion Chart)

Large trees	#	X	200  SF =	SF
Small trees	#	<b>X</b>	100  SF =	SF
Large shrub	s #	X	75 SF = _	SF
Small shrubs	s #	X	50  SF =	SF

TOTAL VALUE OF LANDSCAPING PROVIDED: \_\_\_\_\_SF

2. Detached Single Family Dwellings

Value of Construction: \$\_\_\_\_\_

- a. Landscaping required in the amount of 2% of the cost of construction (Value of construction x .02 = \$\_\_\_\_)
- b. Total landscaping provided. Attach cost values and plant schedule. (Must equal or exceed "Means" book value.)
   \$

c. Mitigation requirement (if a - b > 0) = Fee in Lieu of landscaping.
 \$\_\_\_\_\_\_(To be paid prior to issuance of Certificate of Occupancy.)

IV. <u>Stormwater management and the 10% rule</u> - Pollutant reduction requirement for all disturbances over 250 SF in the 1000 foot Critical Area.

1. Single family development subject to stormwater management requirements that use the "Standard Stormwater Management Plan" automatically meet the 10% Rule.

2. Single family development not subject to stormwater management regulations can meet the intent of the 10% Rule by submitting a Water Quality Management Plan.

3. Commercial and multi-family development must submit the 10% Rule Worksheet.

- V. <u>Habitat Protection</u> (skip if it is less than 40,000 SF) For lots of 40,000 square feet or greater, the applicant must consult with the Maryland Department of Natural Resources to determine the existence of any Habitat Protection Areas that may be affected by the proposed development.
- VI. <u>Site plan requirements</u>

Critical Area site plan is required and it must include the following information:

- 1. Topography
- 2. Mean high water line
- 3. Delineation of private and State tidal wetlands
- 4. Delineation of non-tidal wetlands
- 5. Soil Types
- 6. Tree cover (show location of individual trees or a tree line defining wooded areas).
- 7. **100-foot Buffer and applicable setback**
- 8. Habitat protection areas (if applicable)
- 9. All impervious surfaces labeled as existing or proposed.
- 10. All proposed clearing, grading and disturbance.
- 11. Computation of total existing and proposed impervious surfaces, existing forest cover and proposed clearing and total area of disturbance.
- 12. Proposed landscaping/mitigation plan.

Reviewed by: \_\_\_\_\_ Zoning Administrator (Date\_\_\_\_\_)

Environmental Engineer (Date )

Form Revised 12/17/03



#### Critical Area Project Application Town of Ocean City

Date: August 30, 2006 File# 2005289.00

Project Name: Palm Harbor Condominiums

Project Address: 25<sup>th</sup> Street Ocean City, Maryland

Tax Map: 111 Parcel: 5749, Block: \_\_\_\_\_Lot# 10 and 11 Zoning: Residential R-2

Tax Map: 111 Parcel: 5753 Block: \_\_\_\_Lot# 1&2 Zoning: Residential R-2

Tax Map: 111 Parcel: 5756 Block: \_\_\_\_\_Lot# 5 Zoning: Residential R-2

Tax Map: 111 Parcel: 5757 Block: \_\_\_\_\_Lot# 6 and 7 Zoning: Residential R-2

Tax Map: 111 Parcel: 5754 Block: \_\_\_\_Lot# NA Zoning: Commercial LC-1

Tax Map: 111 Parcel: 5755 Block: \_\_\_\_Lot#4 Zoning: Commercial LC-1

Property Owner: Waves Development LLC Phone: 410 213-7006

Property Owner Address 9927 Stephen Decatur Hwy Suite 17 Ocean City, Maryland 21842

Parcel size (SF): 108,191 SF

I. Project Description

In the 100 foot buffer? Yes X No (If yes, continue with Sec. I) (If no, skip to Sec. III)

Parcels 40,000 SF or more: Critical Area setback is 25 feet. No impervious surface or cantilevering permitted within 25 feet of the shoreline/wetlands. ("Pervious" decks are permitted 10' into setback, per construction standards.)

Parcels less than 40,000 SF: Critical Area set back is equal to the zoning setback

(\_\_\_\_\_\_feet). No impervious surfaces permitted within the setback. ("Pervious" decks at ground level are permitted in the setback, per construction standards.)

### **Existing Conditions**

Impervious surface (SF) 73,568 % of site impervious: 67.88

Impervious surface within the 100-foot buffer (SF): \_\_\_\_\_31,358\_\_\_

Proposed Conditions

Impervious surface (SF): 80,321 % of site impervious: 74.24

Total SF of disturbed area: 108,191

Impervious surface within the 100-foot buffer (SF): <u>53,215</u>

Form Revised 12/1/04

### II. <u>Mitigation Worksheet in the 100-foot Buffer</u>

### 1. Detached Single Family Dwellings

Value of Construction: \$ NA

- a. Landscaping required in the amount of 2% of the cost of construction (Value of construction x .02 = \$
- b. Total landscaping provided. Attach cost values and plant schedule. (Must equal or exceed "Means" book value.)
   \$
- c. Mitigation requirement (if a b > 0) = Fee in Lieu of landscaping. \$\_\_\_\_\_(To be paid prior to issuance of Certificate of Occupancy.)
- 2. Multi-Family and Commercial Mitigation worksheet (within the 100' buffer) - If not in 100-foot buffer skip to Section III below.
  - All SF values determined from "Landscaping Conversion Table" below.

Activity Description (Complete all that apply):

a. Trees or shrubs removed from buffer (outside of setback):

<u>19 x 200</u> SF x 1= <u>3.800</u> SF b. Trees or shrubs removed from setback <u>8</u> x SF= <u>75</u> x 2= <u>1.200</u> SF

- c. Pervious to impervious <u>25,999</u> SF x 2 = <u>51,998</u> SF
- d. Improved pervious to improved pervious 10,636 SF x 1 = 10,636 SF
- e. Undisturbed surface disturbed but remaining pervious 0 SF x 1 = 0 SF
- f. Impervious to impervious 27,270 SF x 1 = 27,270 SF
- g. Impervious to pervious 4,097 SF x 0 = 0 SF
- h. Construction of decks in setback 2,649 SF x 2 = 5,298 SF
- I. TOTAL MITIGATION REQUIRED (sum of a through h) = 100,202 SF
- j. TOTAL LANDSCAPING PROVIDED (Refer to "Landscaping Conversion Chart" below)

	Num	ber	Vaiue	Total	
Large trees	46	x	200 SF	9,200 <b>SF</b>	
Small trees	51	x	100 SF	5,100 <b>SF</b>	
Large shrubs	65	x	75 SF	4,875 SF	
Small shrubs	120	x	50 SF	6,000 <b>SF</b>	

k. Setback from water/wetlands <u>14,844</u> SF x .25 = <u>3,711</u> SF (Landscape to be provided in setback area)

### LANDSCAPING CONVERSION CHART

Large tree = 200 square feet of mitigation Small tree = 100 square feet """ Large shrub = 75 square feet """ Small shrub = 50 square feet """

#### III. Afforestation (Landscaping) Requirements Outside the 100-foot Buffer

1. All Development within the 1000' Critical Area (but outside the 100' buffer) every development or redevelopment must be planted in woody vegetation in an amount of 15% of the site area.

**a. Total landscaping required: Parcel size x .15** = 16,229 SF. (This SF area must be plantable and planted with the following number of plants)

b. Landscaping provided (use Landscaping Conversion Chart)

	Num	ber	Value	Total
Large trees	46	X	200 SF	9,200 <b>SF</b>
Small trees	51	x	100 SF	5,100 <b>SF</b>
Large shrubs	65	x	75 SF	4,875 <b>SF</b>
Small shrubs	120	x	50 SF	6,000 <b>SF</b>

TOTAL VALUE OF LANDSCAPING PROVIDED

IV.

Stormwater management and the 10% rule - Pollutant reduction requirement for all disturbances over 250 SF in the 1000 foot Critical Area.

25.175 SF

1. Single family development subject to stormwater management requirements that use the "Standard Stormwater Management Plan" automatically meet the 10% Rule.

2. Single family development not subject to stormwater management regulations can meet the intent of the 10% Rule by submitting a Water Quality Management Plan.

3. Commercial and multi-family development must submit the 10% Rule Worksheet.

V. <u>Habitat Protection</u> (skip if it is less than 40,000 SF) For lots of 40,000 square feet or greater, the applicant must consult with the Maryland Department of Natural Resources to determine the existence of any Habitat Protection Areas that may be affected by the proposed development.

#### VI. Landscape Plan

ALL VEGETATION SHALL BE PROVIDED IN ACCORDANCE WITH CHAPTER 98, ARTICLE II, LANDSCAPING, OF THE CODE.

### VII. Site plan requirements

Critical Area site plan is required and it must include the following information:

- 1. Topography
- 2. Mean high water line
- 3. Delineation of private and State tidal wetlands
- 4. Delineation of non-tidal wetlands
- 5. Soil Types
- 6. Tree cover (show location of individual trees or a tree line defining wooded areas).
- 7. Landscaping plan with required plants and plantable area
- 8. 100-foot Buffer and applicable setback
- 9. Habitat protection areas (if applicable)
- 10. All impervious surfaces labeled as existing or proposed.
- 11. All proposed clearing, grading and disturbance.
- 11. Computation of total existing and proposed impervious surfaces, existing forest cover and proposed clearing and total area of disturbance.
- 12. Proposed landscaping/mitigation plan.

Reviewed by:

 Zoning Administrator	(Date)
 Environmental Englnee	er (Date)

GEORGE, MILES & BUHR, LLC

Town of Ocean City August 30, 2006 Page 2

a minimum of (one) 1-foot of separation is provided between the bottom of each infiltration trench and the groundwater table.

2. A revised landscape plan Sheet L1.1 has been prepared that shows an additional 9 large trees and 13 small trees in areas within the 100-foot buffer. However, the addition of trees to certain buffer areas resulted in the removal of 21 inkberry Holly bushes which would not thrive in the shade of the proposed trees. Nevertheless, a net gain in landscaping has been achieved as shown of the revised Town of Ocean City Critical Area Application. The revised 'fee-in-lieu' of landscape mitigation is \$90,032. We believe this plan exhausts all reasonable landscaping options within the 100-foot buffer while providing adequate space to allow vegetation to mature.

3. In accordance with guidance from Gall Blazer, pervlous pavers used for sidewalk widening mandated by the Town can be used to meet the afforestation requirement. Pervlous pavers in other areas will not be counted toward the afforestation requirement. This provision was established through an agreement between the Town and State Critical Area Commission and has been implemented with other Town projects. Therefore, the 2,225 square feet of pervious pavers used for sidewalk widening has been included in the Critical Areas plans and computations. If it is determined by the State and Town Critical Area Staffs that pervious pavers for sidewalk widening cannot be included in the afforestation computation, the report and plans will be modified accordingly. Please feel free to contact Gail Blazer or Lee Anne Chandler to discuss details of this agreement.

Please feel free to contact me at (410) 742-3115 with any questions or comments.

Thank you,

David J. Rovansek

DJR/mam

Enclosures

CC;

Waves Development Group Attn: Paul Palitti (w/o encl.) GMB – Sparks Attn: Dane S. Bauer (w/o encl.) GMB – Dover Attn: Jack Pepper, A.I.A. (w/o encl.)

GMB

• • '

: !

- | |

### David J. Rovansek

From:	Gail Blazer [gblazer@ococean.com]
Sent:	Wednesday, August 30, 2006 10:45 AM
То:	Blaine Smith; Jesse Houston; Maggie Fussell
Cc:	CClark@dnr.state.md.us; Terry Mcgean
Subject:	Wider Sidewalks

The Council and Planning Commission are requesting wider sidewalks for public saftey. The developers are to put this area on their property.

But asking this we would be taking more of their landscaping area that they need to meet the 15% afforestation. We have been compromizing with the developers that if this sidewalk expansion is built with pervious pavers and has a shallower profile for plant material to grow laterally we would include this area, only if built pervious, in the required 15%.

No other pervious pavers or sidewalks would be allowed to be included in this percentage. The last comment letter from Chris Clark states that we do not have this in our code. (Ref, Palm Harbor comment #3) I KNOW we have a verbal from the Critical Area Commission that this was OK, but we should get this policy in writing or in the code so Chris knows it too.

1

Gail P. Blazer Town of Ocean City P.O. Box 158 Ocean City, MD 21843 (410)289-8825 gblazer@ococean.com



....

ARCHITECTS

12D SPARKS VALLEY RD . SUITE A SPARKS MD 21152 PH 410 329 5005 PH 888 455 4462 FAX 410 329 5881

> SALISBURY BALIMACIE WEAFORD LEWES YOFIK DOVER

www.gmbnet.com

....

JAMES R THOMAS UR PR PETER A BOZICH UR PR JUDYA SCHWARTZ PR ONAFLES M COOMINELL PR JOHTE BURNSWORTH PR M BHICL FOXWELL PR JAMES H WILLEY JR PR

A FRÉCER NILARINER UN OF LINCHAEL D MEARTHUR ALL DANIES BALIER MICHAEL S KURIN PF LIAMES C HOAGESON PF STEPHEN L MARBH PF AMANDA H POLLACY PF AMANDA H DOLLAGY PF AMANDA D DUSBREIT

> JERRY KOTRA ROMALD L. MOBLE C. RICHARD ROHM

April 13, 2006

Wildlife and Heritage Service Maryland Department of Natural Resources 580 Taylor Avenue Annapolis, Maryland 21401

Attn: Ms. Lori A. Byrne Environmental Review Coordinator

Re: Misty Harbor Condominiums Ocean City, MD GMB No: 2005.289

Dear Ms. Byrne:

The purpose of this letter is to inquire whether a proposed development site is within an Endangered Species Habitat Protection Area. The 108,191 square foot (2.484 acre) site is located at 2501 Philadelphia Avenue, Ocean City, MD and borders a navigable canal which is connected to the Sinepuxent Bay. The entire site is located within the Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area.

Details of the property information are summarized below.

Property Owner/Developer: Misty Harbor LLC Tax Map: 111 Grid: 6 Parcel/Lot: 5756/Lot 5; 5749/Lot 10 & 11; 5757/Lot 6 & 7: 5753/Lots1 & 2: 5754; 5755/Lot 4: Liber: 2489 Folio: 316 Critical Area Setback: 25-ft

Proposed development on the site includes demolition of all existing structures and construction of 79 condominium units.

CRITICAL AREA COMMISSION

OC 778-01

APR 14 2006





Please respond in writing when a determination has been made. Feel free to contact me at (410) 742-3115 with any questions or comments.

Thank you,

GEORGE, MILES & BUHR, LLC

Dant 9.k auch David J. Rovanse

cc: Waves Development Attn: Kenny Ridgeway Town of Ocean City Engineering Department Attn: Gail Blazer State of Maryland Critical Areas Commission Attn: K. Christopher Clark GMB - Sparks Attn: Dane Bauer

# PALM HARBOR CONDOMINIUMS ATLANTIC COASTAL BAYS CRITICAL AREA REPORT

### WAVES DEVELOPMENT GROUP, LLC 9927 STEPHEN DECATUR HIGHWAY SUITE 17 OCEAN CITY, MD 21842

### JULY 2006 REVISED (August 8, 2006)

### GMB FILE NO. 2005289



ARCHITECTS/ENGINEERS

206 WEST MAIN STREET SALISBURY, MO 21801 410.742.3115

SALISBURY/BALTIMORE/SEAFORD/LEWES/YORK/DOVER
## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	Page
Introduction	1
Existing site Conditions General	1
Existing Landscaping	1
Shoreline Condition	2
Stormwater Management/Drainage	2
Soils and Topography	2
Proposed Development	
General	2
Shoreline Condition	
Stormwater Management Measures	
Landscaping	3
Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Areas Requirements	
General	
Afforestation Requirement	
Landscape Buffer Mitigation Requirements	4
Setback Requirements	····· 4
Stormwater Management 10% Pollutant Redu	uction Requirement 4
Habitat Protection	RÉCEIVED

;

ļ

ļ

AUG 1 4 2006 CRITICAL AREA COMMISSION

1

## **APPENDICES**

## **APPENDIX 1: Critical Area Computations**

Critical Area Project Application – Town of Ocean City	A1.1
Worksheet A - Standard Application Process (10% Rul	e Computations)A1.6

## **APPENDIX 2: Supporting Material**

Letter from Maryland Department of Natural Resources	A2.1
Stormwater Management Computations	A2.2
Comment Letter from State of Maryland Critical Area Commission	A2.7

## **EXHIBITS**

ł

Exhibit 1:	Critical Area Exhibit	EX-1
Exhibit 2:	Impervious/Pervious Area Exhibit	EX-2
Exhibit 3:	Infiltration Trench Drainage Areas	EX-3

## Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area Report for the Proposed Palm Harbor Condominiums 2501 Philadephia Avenue, Ocean City, MD

## INTRODUCTION

Waves Development Group proposes to redevelop property located at 2501 Philadelphia Avenue in Ocean City, Maryland. The redevelopment will involve demolition of all structures and construction of a 79-unit condominium building with related amenities. Because the proposed development site is bounded by waters of the Isle of Wight Bay and the entire site is within 1000-feet of the Bay's mean high water level, the project will be subject to the Town of Ocean City's Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area Program. Requirements will be met in order to preserve, protect, and improve water quality in the coastal bays.

This report was prepared to meet the requirements of an environmental site assessment as specified by the Code of the Town of Ocean City, Maryland, Part II, Chapter 30, Article VII, Sec. 30-559 (d). (1). & (2): Implementation of the Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area Provisions.

## **EXISTING SITE CONDITIONS**

### General

The 108,191 square feet (2.484 acres) site currently contains The Misty Harbor Motel and Apartments and related amenities. The site is located on the western side of Philadelphia Avenue (MD Route 528) on the block between 25<sup>th</sup> and 26<sup>th</sup> Streets in Ocean City, Maryland and is bounded to the west by multi-family residential properties and a Canal which has access to the Isle of Wight Bay.

The existing site consists of 1.70 acres of impervious area making up 68% of the site.

## Existing Landscaping

No existing forested areas are present on the site. Pervious area on the property consists of approximately 34,138 square feet including scattered small trees and small shrubs as well as 2,577 square feet of boardwalk surrounding the Canal which will be retaining under proposed conditions. Existing vegetation includes approximately pine trees which have an average height of approximately 15-feet and approximately small shrubs which have an average height of approximately 3-feet. Eight (8) shrubs or trees are within the 25-foot setback and nineteen (19) shrubs or trees are outside the 25-foot setback, but inside the 100-foot buffer. All existing vegetation will be removed.

#### Shoreline Condition

A vinyl bulkhead, wood piers, and a wooden boardwalk stabilize the shoreline with Isle of Wight Bay. The bulkhead system appears to be in adequate functioning condition with no detectable major structural issues.

#### Stormwater Management/Drainage

Under existing conditions, the stormwater runoff is directed from the site into the Town or State Highway's collection system and eventually discharged into the Isle of Wight Bay. The site's existing stormwater management measures are designed only to address quantity of flow and prevent standing water on the site, but do not address quality management.

### Soils and Topography

The site is generally flat with elevation changes of little more then a foot. Groundwater was determined by the Town of Ocean City Engineering Department field investigation to be between elevation 0 and 1. The water table has been assumed at elevation 1 for design purposes to ensure conservative design.

The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) classifies the soil on the site as Urban-land Udorthents complex. The site has no apparent erosion issues and the soil type has only slight erosion characteristics.

#### **PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT**

#### General

All structures will be demolished and the entire site will be cleared to allow for proposed construction of the Palm Harbor Condominiums which will consist of 79 three-bedroom units. Proposed construction will result in 80,110 square feet (2.03 acres) of impervious area, or approximately 74% of the site. Public sewer and water service will be provided by connection to the existing Town of Ocean City facilities.

### Shoreline Condition

The existing bulkhead will remain intact during and after construction. The only work on the structure will be to replace any damaged boardwalk. Additional new stormwater outfalls will be installed through the bulkhead to accommodate the proposed stormwater management system. Bulkhead penetrations will be subject to issuance of a MDE – Tidal Wetlands Division/Army Corps of Engineers joint permit and Town of Ocean City Board of Port Warden approval.

#### Stormwater Management Measures

The vast majority of runoff will no longer drain to the Town's stormwater system under proposed conditions. Instead the runoff will drain from the building's roof and plaza deck through downspouts into underground infiltration trenches. This infiltration will into the water table will allow pollutant removal prior to occur. Once the trenches reach an over flow point they will discharge directly to the bay.

#### Landscaping

The proposed landscaping will be comprised of Willow Oak (Quercus Phellos), Fringe Tree (Chionanthus Virginicus), Inkberry Holly (Ilex Clabra), Coastal Panic Grass (Panicum Amarum), seasonal flowers, and turf type tall fescue.

## ATLANTIC COASTAL BAYS CRITICAL AREAS REQUIREMENTS

#### General

In accordance with the The Code of the Town of Ocean City Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area Regulations, requirements for the project relate to: afforestation, 100-foot buffer landscape mitigation, setbacks, overall site pollutant reduction, and Habitat Protection.

### Afforestation Requirement

The requirements dictate that development within the 1000-foot Critical Area zone must be planted in woody vegetation in an amount of 15% of the total site area. The proposed site will contain 18.4% or 19,940 SF of area available for landscaping, which exceeds the requirement. In accordance with Town of Ocean City standard practice, the total landscape area computation includes pervious pavers used for sidewalk widening along 25<sup>th</sup> Street, 26<sup>th</sup> Street, and

Philadelphia Avenue. All landscaping provided is in accordance with Chapter 98, Article II, and Landscaping of the Code of the Town of Ocean City.

### Landscape Buffer Mitigation Requirements

Providing required landscaping within the 100-foot buffer is intended to offset redevelopment activity and removal of existing vegetation. Criteria for this requirement is detailed on the Town of Ocean City Critical Areas Application form. Due to the proposed level of redevelopment, configuration of the building, and the shape of the property, the mitigation requirement cannot be met on-site. Therefore, the remainder of the requirement will be met by a fee-in-lieu of payment in the amount of \$91,862.40. Detailed landscape mitigation requirements are enclosed in this report.

#### Setback Requirements

Because the proposed project site is greater than 40,000 square feet, the Critical Area setback is 25-feet from mean high water for the entire site. No impervious surfaces or cantilevered impervious surfaces are located within this setback. A five(5)-foot cantilevered pervious deck will be extended in to the setback.

The proposed development will be subject to all Impacts of the proposed development will be attenuated by meeting the

#### Stormwater Management 10% Pollutant Reduction Requirement

To limit the impacts of development, a 10% pollutant reduction is required for all development within the 1000-foot Critical Area. The 10% Rule worksheet provided in Appendix 1 which shows that through use of infiltration a 2.31 lb reduction in Phosphorus loading from existing conditions can be expected following development. The infiltration trench will maintain 2-feet of separation from groundwater, which will allow the BMP to operate at full pollutant removal capacity. This pollutant reduction exceeds the treatment requirement of 1.23lbs.

#### Habitat Protection

Due to the size of the project (> 40,000 square feet), consultation with the Maryland Department of Natural Resources (DNR) is required to determine the possible existence of any Habitat Protection Areas that may be affected by

the proposed development is required. Because of the level of existing development on the property, habitat protection areas were not considered to be present on the site. DNR has confirmed in writing that there are no records of threatened or endangered species within the site boundaries. A copy of this letter is provided in Appendix 2.

## **APPENDIX 1**

Critical Area Computations

## Critical Area Project Application Town of Ocean City

Date: August 9, 2006 File# 2005289.00
Project Name: Palm Harbor Condominiums
Project Address: 25th Street Ocean City, Maryland
Tax Map: 111 Parcel: 5749, Block:Lot# 10 and 11 Zoning: Residential R-2
Tax Map: 111 Parcei: 5753 Biock:Lot# 1&2 Zoning: Residential R-2
Tax Map: 111 Parcel: 5756 Biock:Lot# 5 Zoning: Residential R-2
Tax Map: 111 Parcel: 5757 Biock:Lot# 6 and 7 Zoning: Residential R-2
Tax Map: 111 Parcei: 5754 Biock:Lot# NA Zoning: Commercial LC-1
Tax Map: 111 Parcei: 5755 Biock:Lot#4 Zoning: Commercial LC-1
Property Owner: Misty Harbor LLC Phone: 410 213-7006
Property Owner Address 9927 Stephen Decatur Hwy Suite 17 Ocean City, Maryland 21842
Parcei size (SF): 108,191 SF
1. Project Description

In the 100 foot buffer? Yes X No (If yes, continue with Sec. I) (If no, skip to Sec. III)

Parcels 40,000 SF or more: Critical Area setback is 25 feet. No Impervious surface or cantilevering permitted within 25 feet of the shoreline/wetlands. ("Pervious" decks are permitted 10' into setback, per construction standards.)

Parcels less than 40,000 SF: Critical Area set back is equal to the zoning setback

(\_\_\_\_\_\_feet). No impervious surfaces permitted within the setback. ("Pervious" decks at ground level are permitted in the setback, per construction standards.)

## **Existing Conditions**

impervious surface (SF) <u>73,568</u> % of site impervious: <u>67.88</u> Impervious surface within the 100-foot buffer (SF): <u>31,358</u> <u>Proposed Conditions</u>

impervious surface (SF): <u>80,321</u>% of site impervious: <u>74.24</u> Total SF of disturbed area: <u>108,191</u> Impervious surface within the 100-foot buffer (SF): <u>53,215</u>

Form Revised 12/1/04

- II. Mitigation Worksheet in the 100-foot Buffer
- 1. **Detached Single Family Dwellings**

Value of Construction: \$ NA

- **a**. Landscaping required In the amount of 2% of the cost of (Value of construction x .02 = \$ construction
- b. Total landscaping provided. Attach cost values and plant schedule. (Must equal or exceed "Means" book value.) \$
- Mitigation requirement (if a b > 0) = Fee in Lieu of landscaping. C. \$ (To be paid prior to issuance of Certificate of Occupancy.)
- 2. Muiti-Family and Commerclai Mitigation worksheet (within the 100' buffer)
  - If not in 100-foot buffer skip to Section III below.
  - All SF values determined from "Landscaping Conversion Table" below.

Activity Description (Complete all that apply):

a. Trees or shrubs removed from buffer (outside of setback):

```
19 x 200 SF x 1= 3,800 SF
```

- b. Trees or shrubs removed from setback 8 x SF= 75 x 2= 1,200 SF
- c. Pervious to impervious 25,999 SF x 2 = 51.998 SF
- d. Improved pervious to improved pervious 10.636 SF x 1 = 10.636SF
- e. Undisturbed surface disturbed but remaining pervious 0 SF x 1 = 0 SF
- f. impervious to Impervious 27.270 SF x 1 = 27.270 SF g. impervious to pervious
- 4,097  $SF \times 0 = 0 SF$
- h. Construction of decks in setback 2.649 SF x 2 = 5.298 SF
- i. TOTAL MITIGATION REQUIRED (sum of a through h) = 100,202 SF
- j. TOTAL LANDSCAPING PROVIDED (Refer to "Landscaping Conversion Chart" below)

	Number	Vai	ue	Total
Large trees	37	X	200 SF	7,400 SF
Small trees	38	X	100 SF	3,800 SF
Large shrubs	86	X	75 SF	6,450 SF
Small shrubs	120	X	50 SF	6,000 SF

TOTAL VALUE OF LANDSCAPING PROVIDED 23.650 SF (Must provide this SF of plantable area not only the plants listed above) FEE-IN-LIEU OF LANDSCAPING (OFFSET) = i - j x \$1.20 \$ 91,862.40 (To be paid prior to issuance of Certificate of Occupancy)

k. Setback from water/wetlands 14,844 SF x .25 = 3,711 SF (Landscape to be provided in setback area)

## LANDSCAPING CONVERSION CHART

Large tree = 200 square feet of mitigation Small tree = 100 square feet " " "

Large shrub = 75 square feet "

Small shrub = 50 square feet "

Small shrub = 50 square teet

Herbaceous plants = 2 square feet of mitigation per plant

### III. Afforestation (Landscaping) Requirements Outside the 100-foot Buffer

1.

All Development within the 1000' Critical Area (but outside the 100' buffer) every development or redevelopment must be planted in woody vegetation in an amount of 15% of the site area.

**a. Total landscaping required: Parcel size x .15** = 16,229 SF. (This SF area must be plantable and planted with the following number of plants)

b. Landscaping provided (use Landscaping Conversion Chart)

Large trees	37 x	200 SF	7,400 SF	
Small trees	38 x	100 SF	3,800 <b>SF</b>	C.1135
Large shrubs	86 ×	75 SF	6,450 <b>SF</b>	
Small shrubs	(120 x)	50 SF	6,000 <b>SF</b>	FAN W

TOTAL VALUE OF LANDSCAPING PROVIDED

23,650 SF

IV. <u>Stormwater management and the 10% rule</u> - Pollutant reduction requirement for all disturbances over 250 SF in the 1000 foot Critical Area.

1. Single family development subject to stormwater management requirements that use the "Standard Stormwater Management Plan" automatically meet the 10% Rule.

2. Single family development not subject to stormwater management regulations can meet the intent of the 10% Rule by submitting a Water Quality Management Plan.

3. Commercial and multi-family development must submit the 10% Rule Worksheet.

V. <u>Habitat Protection</u> (skip if it is less than 40,000 SF) For lots of 40,000 square feet or greater, the applicant must consult with the Maryland Department of Natural Resources to determine the existence of any Habitat Protection Areas that may be affected by the proposed development. VI. Landscape Plan

ALL VEGETATION SHALL BE PROVIDED IN ACCORDANCE WITH CHAPTER 98, ARTICLE II, LANDSCAPING, OF THE CODE.

## VII. Site plan requirements

Critical Area site plan is required and it must include the following information:

- 1. Topography
- 2. Mean high water line
- 3. Dellneation of private and State tidal wetlands
- 4. Delineation of non-tidal wetlands
- 5. Soll Types
- 6. Tree cover (show location of individual trees or a tree line defining wooded areas).
- 7. Landscaping plan with required plants and plantable area
- 8. 100-foot Buffer and applicable setback
- 9. Habitat protection areas (if applicable)
- 10. All Impervious surfaces labeled as existing or proposed.
- 11. All proposed clearing, grading and disturbance.
- 11. Computation of total existing and proposed impervious surfaces, existing forest cover and proposed clearing and total area of disturbance.
- 12. Proposed landscaping/mitigation plan.

Reviewed Land Environmental Engineer (Date 8/10/04)

	Calculating Pollut	tant Rer	noval Rec	luiren	nents'
Step	1: Calculate Existing an	d Propos	ed Site Im	pervio	USN085
<b>A</b> .	Calculate Percent Impervious	iness	· ·	, ,	
1)	Site Area within the IDA, A= _	2.4	48	acres	
2)	Site Impervious Surface Area, E	Existing a (a) Exist	nd Propose ing (acres)	d, (S <del>ec</del> (b	e Table 4.1 for details ) Proposed (acres)
	Roads	,			
	Parking Lots	1	.01		
	Driveways				0.01
•	Sidewalks/paths	U	52		0.02
	Decks	<u>v</u>	.55		1./9
		0	.08		
(	Other _				0.02
1		1.70	acres		1.84 acres
3) 1	Imperviousness (I)				
I	Existing Imperviousness, I <sub>pre</sub>	= In	n <b>pervi</b> ous S	urface	Area / Site Area
		= (8	Step 2a) / (S	tep1)	
	·	= (	1.70	) /	()
		=	68%		1
F	Proposed Imperviousness, I <sub>post</sub>	= In	npervious S	urface	Area / Site Area
		= (S	step 2a) / (S	tep1)	•
		= (	1.84	) /	()
		=	74%		
3. C	Define Development Category				
) N	New Development: Existing	g Impervi	ousņess les	s than	15% I (Go to Step 2/
?) ✔ F	Redevelopment: Existing	g Impervi	ousness of	15%   0	or more (Go to Step 2
i) S s ir	Single Lot Residential Developm single family residential developm mpervious area and associated	ient: Sing ment; and disturban	le lot being I more than ice (Go to S	develo 240 sc ection	ped or improved; quare feet of 5, Residential

<sup>1</sup>NOTE: All acreage used in this worksheet refers to areas with in the IDA of the critical area only.

-----

A. New L <sub>pre</sub> Where: L <sub>pre</sub> 0.5 A	Deve = = = =	Iopment   (0.5) (A)   (0.5) (
L <sub>pre</sub> Where: L <sub>pre</sub> 0.5 A	=	<pre>(0.5) (A) (0.5) (<u>2.48</u>) <u>1.24</u> lbs/year of total phosphorus Average annual load of total phosphorus exported from the site prior to development (lbs/year) Annual total phosphorus load from undeveloped lands (lbs/acre/year)</pre>
Where: L <sub>pre</sub> 0.5 A	=	<pre>(0.5) ( 2.48 )     1.24 lbs/year of total phosphorus Average annual load of total phosphorus exported from the site prior to development (lbs/year) Annual total phosphorus load from undeveloped lands (lbs/acre/year)</pre>
Where: L <sub>pre</sub> 0.5 A	=	<ul> <li>1.24 Ibs/year of total phosphorus</li> <li>Average annual load of total phosphorus exported from the site prior to development (lbs/year)</li> <li>Annual total phosphorus load from undeveloped lands (lbs/acre/year)</li> </ul>
Where: L <sub>pre</sub> 0.5 A	=	Average annual load of total phosphorus exported from the site prior to development (lbs/year) Annual total phosphorus load from undeveloped lands (lbs/acre/year)
L <sub>pre</sub> 0.5 A	=	Average annual load of total phosphorus exported from the site phosphorus to development (lbs/year) Annual total phosphorus load from undeveloped lands (lbs/acre/year)
0.5 A	=	Annual total phosphorus load from undeveloped lands (lbs/acre/year)
Α	=	
	_	Area of the site within the Critical Area IDA (acres)
B. Rede	velop	oment
L <sub>pre</sub> ;	=	(R <sub>v</sub> ) (C) (A) (8.16)
R <sub>v</sub> :	=	0.05 + 0.009 (I <sub>pre</sub> )
:	=	0.05 + 0.009 ( 68 ) = 0.66
L <sub>pre</sub> :	=	()()()()(8.16)
:	= .	4.01 Ibs/year of total phosphorus
Where:		
L <sub>pre</sub> =	= /	Average annual load of total phosphorus exported from the site prior to development (lbs/year)
R, =	= !	Runoff coefficient, which expresses the fraction of rainfall which is converted into runoff
l <sub>pre</sub> =	= !	Predevelopment (existing) site imperviousness (i.e., I=75 if site is 75% impervious
C =	= <b>1</b> I	Flow-weighted mean concentration of pollutant (total phosphorus) in urban runoff (mg/l) = 0.30 mg/l
A =	= /	Area of the site within the Critical Area IDA (acres)
8.16 =	= 1	Includes regional constraints and unit conversion factors

Wales de

Step 3:		Calculate the Post-Development Load (Lpost)
A. Nev	v De	velopment and Redevelopment
Lpost	=	(R <sub>v</sub> ) (C) (A) (8.16)
R,	=	$0.05 + 0.009 (I_{post})$
	. =	0.05 + 0.009 ( <u>74.23</u> ) = <u>0.72</u>
Lpost	=	()()()()(8.16)
	=	4.37 Ibs/year of total phosphorus
Where	:	
L <sub>post</sub> .	=	Average annual load of total phosphorus exported from the post- development site (lbs/year)
R		Runoff coefficient, which expresses the fraction of rainfall which is converted into runoff
I <sub>post</sub>	=	Post-development (proposed) site imperviousness (i.e., I=75 if site is 75% impervious
C	= .	Flow-weighted mean concentration of pollutant (total phosphorus) in urban runoff (mg/l) = 0.30 mg/l
Α	=	Area of the site within the Critical Area IDA (acres)
8.16	<sup>`</sup> =	Includes regional constraints and unit conversion factors
Step 4:	(	Calculate the Pollutant Removal Requirement (RR)
RR	=	L <sub>post</sub> - (0.9) (L <sub>pre</sub> )
	=	( <u>4.37</u> ) - (0.9) ( <u>4.01</u> )
	=	0.76 lbs/year of total phosphorus
Where:		
RR		Polutant removal requirement (Ibs/year)
Lpost	=	Average annual load of total phosphorus exported from the post- development site (lbs/year)
L <sub>pre</sub>	=	Average annual load of total phosphorus exported from the site prior to development (lbs/year)

Step 5: Ide	ntify Feasil	ble BMP(s)			]
Select BMP Option Maryland Stormwat	s using the s er Design Ma	creening matrici anual. Calculate	es provided in the the load removed	Chapter 4 of d for each opt	the 2000 ion.
BMP Type	(L <sub>post</sub> )	x (BMP <sub>RE</sub> )	x (% DA Serv	red) = Loa	ad Removed
Infiltration Trench	4.37		72%	1.	02lbs/year
<i></i>		_ X	_ x	=	lbs/year
		_ x	_ x	=	Ibs/year
	<del></del>	_ x	_ x	=	lbs/year
		Load I	Removed, LR (to	otal) = <u>1.</u>	02 Ibs/year
Polu	tant Remov	al Requiremen	t, RR (from Step	4) =	<b>76</b> ibs/year
Where:					
Load Removed	= Annual (lbs/yea	total phosphor ir)	us load removed	l by the prop	osed BMP
L <sub>post</sub>	= Average develop	e annual load o oment site (lbs/	of total phosphor year)	us exported	from the post-
(BMP <sub>RE</sub> )	= BMP re	moval efficienc	y for total phosp	horus, Table	.4.8 (%)
(% DA Served)	= Fractior the BMI	n of the site are <sup>&gt;</sup> (%)	a within the critic	cal area IDA	served by
RR	= Polutan	t removal requi	irement (lbs/year	r)	
If the Load Removed is equal or greater than the Pollutant Removal Requirement computed in Step 4, the the onsite BMP complies with the 10% Rule.					
Has the RR (poilu	tant remov	al requiremen	t) been met?	Yes	No

## **APPENDIX 2**

# Supporting Material



Robert L. Ehrlich, Jr., Governor Michael S. Stoele, Lt. Governor C. Ronald Franks, Secretary

May 1, 2006

Mr. David J. Rovansek GMB 120 Sparks Valley Road, Suite A Sparks, MD 21152

#### RE: Environmental Review for Misty Harbor Condominiums, GMB No.: 2005.289, Ocean City, Worcester Co., MD.

Dear Mr. Rovansek:

The Wildlife and Heritage Service has determined that there are no State or Federal records for rare, threatened or endangered species within the boundaries of the project site as delineated. As a result, we have no specific comments or requirements pertaining to protection measures at this time. This statement should not be interpreted however as meaning that rare, threatened or endangered species are not in fact present. If appropriate habitat is available, certain species could be present without documentation because adequate surveys have not been conducted. It is also important to note that the utilization of state funds, or the need to obtain a state authorized permit may warrant additional evaluations that could lead to protection or survey recommendations by the Wildlife and Heritage Service. If this project falls into one of these categories, please contact us for further coordination.

Thank you for allowing us the opportunity to review this project. If you should have any further questions regarding this information, please contact me at (410) 260-8573.

Sincerely,

Sonia. Bym

Lori A. Byrne, Environmental Review Coordinator Wildlife and Heritage Service MD Dept. of Natural Resources

ER #2006.0871.wo

Tawes State Office Building • 580 Taylor Avenue • Annapolis, Maryland 21401

410.260.8DNR or toll free in Maryland 877.620.8DNR • www.dnr.maryland.gov • TTY users call via Maryland Relay

## MARYLAND STORMWATER SIZING CRITERIA (Based on Total Site Area)

Total Area (A):	2.484 ac	108191 R <sup>2</sup>
Existing Impervious (A <sub>sx</sub> ):	1.228 ac	53473 ft <sup>2</sup>
Percent Impervious (existing):	49.42 %	
Proposed Impervious (Ai):	1.844 ac	80321 n <sup>2</sup>
Percent Impervious (Proposed):	74.24 %	

Per Town of Ocean City Stormwater Management Ordinance Section 30-143(d)(2) Redevelopment Criteria: tine cite impensions area by at least 2004 

b). Where site conditions previous control for 20% of impervious control for 20% of impervious c). When a combination of imp practice implementation is use site.	ent reduction of in area of existing s ervious area reduction d, the combined a	mpervious area, provide qualitative ite. Juction and stormwater management area shail equal or exceed 20% of the
20% of Existing Impervious Area (A <sub>i</sub> ):	0.246 ac	10695 <b>R<sup>2</sup></b>
Change in site Impervious Area:	0.616 ac	26848 ft <sup>2</sup>
Percent Change: Area which must be treated to meet	50.21 %	
WQv Requirement (20% of existing Impervous area	0.862 ac	37543 <b>n²</b>
+ impervous area increase):		
Percent of Total Area to be treated :	34.70 %	(Assuming all treated area is impervious)

#### Water Quality Volume Required to be treated by BMP (WQ.)

Pa	1.0
R <sub>v</sub> =	0.950
A=	0.862 ac
Impervious (Drainage Area) =	100.00 %
Note: Pal 0	for Delmarya Pennisula

WQ, required =	(P)(R,)(A) 12	]
WQ, required =	0.0682 2972	ac-ft ft²
WQ, provided =	0.1541 6714	ac-ft ft²

Provided in five (5) separate areas (Trenches A - E) Approx. Value dependent on Final Design

37543 R<sup>2</sup>

#### PALM HARBOR CONDOMINIUMS STORMWATER MANAGEMENT COMPUTATIONS GMB Project #2005289.00 (Revised 8-9-06)

#### Infiltration Trench A - Design Computations

#### AREA

Contributing Impervious Area:	22930 ft <sup>2</sup>
	0.53 acres

#### FINDING THE WQv REQUIRED TO SERVE THE DRAINAGE AREA

Variables:	Rainfall, P	1 inches	determined from rainfall zone
	Runoff Coeff, Rv	0.05+0.009(I)	where I equals the percent impervious

WQv=(P\*Rv\*A)/12

where A equals the total area in acres

Drainage	Total Area	Impervious	Impervious	Runoff	Water Quality
Area (acre)	(acre)	Area (acre)	Percent	Coefficient (Rv)	Volume (acre-ft)
A	0.53	0.53	100.0	0.95	0.0417

#### FINDING THE QUANTITY REQUIREMENT

A quantity management waiver is requested due to direct discharge of stormwater into tidal waters in accordance with Section 30-143 C9c)(2) A. of the Town of Ocean City Stormwater Management Ordinance.

#### INFILTRATION TRENCH VOLUME COMPUTATION

#### Volume Storage per Foot of Trench

Volume provided by perforated pipe

Diameter	18 in
Cross Area	1.77 ft <sup>2</sup>
Volume	1.77 ft <sup>3</sup> /ft

Volume provided by gravel fill

TOTAL

Width	2.167 ft
Depth	2.167 ft
Pipe Cross Section	1.767 ft <sup>2</sup>
Gravel Cross Section Porosity	<b>2.927 ft<sup>2</sup></b> 0.4
Volume	1.17 ft <sup>3</sup> /ft
STORAGE PER FOOT	2.94 ft <sup>3</sup> /ft

#### Storage for Infiltration Trench System

Total storage per foot	2.94 ft <sup>3</sup> /ft
Total length of piping	633 ft
Total Storage Provided	1860 ft <sup>3</sup>
Totai Storage	0.0417 acre-ft
Required	1815 ft <sup>3</sup>
	Requirement met

(3 sections 195 ft long and 4 sections 12 feet long)

#### Infiltration Trench B - Design Computations

#### AREA

Contributing Impervious Area:	13325 ft <sup>2</sup>
-	0.306 acres

#### FINDING THE WQV REQUIRED TO SERVE THE DRAINAGE AREA

Variables: Rainfall, P Runoff Coeff, Rv

1 inches v 0.05+0.009(I) determined from rainfall zone where I equals the percent impervious

WQv=(P\*Rv\*A)/12

where A equals the total area in acres

(8 sections 47 ft long)

Drainage	Total Area	Impervious	Impervious	Runoff	Water Quality
Area (acre)	(acre)	Area (acre)	Percent	Coefficient (Rv)	Volume (acre-ft)
B	0.31	0.31	100.0	0.95	0.0242

#### FINDING THE QUANTITY REQUIREMENT

A quantity management waiver is requested due to direct discharge of stormwater into tidal waters in accordance with Section 30-143 C9c)(2) A. of the Town of Ocean City Stormwater Management Ordinance.

#### INFILTRATION TRENCH VOLUME COMPUTATION

#### Volume Storage per Foot of Trench Volume provided by perforated pipe

 Diameter
 18 in

 Cross Area
 1.77 ft<sup>2</sup>

 Volume
 1.77 ft<sup>3</sup>/ft

Volume provided by gravel fill

Width	2.167 ft
Depth	2.167 ft
Pipe Cross Section	1.767 ft <sup>2</sup>
Gravel Cross Section	2.927 ft <sup>2</sup>
Porosity	0.4
Volume	1.17 ft <sup>3</sup> /ft
TOTAL STORAGE PER FOOT	2.94 ft <sup>3</sup> /ft
Storage for Infiltration Trench S	ystem
Total storage per foot	2.94 ft <sup>3</sup> /ft
Total length of piping	376 ft

Total Storage Provided	1105 ft <sup>3</sup>		
Total Storage	0.0242 acre-ft		
Required	1055 ft <sup>3</sup>		

Requirement met

#### Infiltration Trench C - Design Computations

#### AREA

Contributing Impervious Area:	16010 ft <sup>2</sup>
	0.368 acres

#### FINDING THE WQV REQUIRED TO SERVE THE DRAINAGE AREA

Variables:	Rainfall, P	1 inches	determined from rainfall zone
	Runoff Coeff, Rv	0.05+0.009(I)	where I equals the percent impervious
	WQv=(P*R	<b>/*</b> A)/12	where A equals the total area in acres

Drainage	Total Area	Impervious	Impervious	Runoff	Water Quality
Area (acre)	(acre)	Area (acre)	Percent	Coefficient (Rv)	Volume (acre-ft)
C	0.37	0.37	100.0	0.95	0.0291

#### FINDING THE QUANTITY REQUIREMENT

A quantity management waiver is requested due to direct discharge of stormwater into tidal waters in accordance with Section 30-143 C9c)(2) A. of the Town of Ocean City Stormwater Management Ordinance.

#### INFILTRATION TRENCH VOLUME COMPUTATION

#### Volume Storage per Foot of Trench

Volume provided by perforated pipe

Diameter	18 in
Cross Area	1.77 ft <sup>2</sup>
Volume	1.77 ft <sup>3</sup> /ft

Volume provided by gravel fill

ł -

Width	2.167 ft
Depth	2.167 ft
Pipe Cross Section	1.767 ft <sup>2</sup>
Gravel Cross Section	2.927 ft <sup>2</sup>
Volume	0.4 1.17 ft <sup>3</sup> /ft
TOTAL STORAGE PER FOOT	2.94 ft <sup>3</sup> /ft
Storage for Infiltration Trench System	em

Total storage per foot	2.94 ft <sup>3</sup> /ft	
Total length of piping	450 ft	(6 sections 75 ft
Total Storage Provided	1322 ft <sup>3</sup>	
Total Storage Required	0.0291 acre-ft <b>1267</b> ft <sup>3</sup> Requirement met	

long)

#### **Infiltration Trench D - Design Computations**

#### AREA

Contributing Impervious Area:	13995	ft <sup>2</sup>
Area to be treated:	0.321	acres

#### FINDING THE WQV REQUIRED TO SERVE THE DRAINAGE AREA

Variables: Rainfall, P Bunoff Co

Rainfall, P1 inchesRunoff Coeff, Rv0.05+0.009(l)

determined from rainfall zone where I equals the percent impervious

WQv=(P\*Rv\*A)/12

where A equals the total area in acres

Drainage	Total Area	Impervious	Impervious	Runoff	Water Quality
Area (acre)	(acre)	Area (acre)	Percent	Coefficient (Rv)	Volume (acre-ft)
D	0.32	0.32	100.0	0.95	0.0254

#### FINDING THE QUANTITY REQUIREMENT

A quantity management waiver is requested due to direct discharge of stormwater into tidal waters in accordance with Section 30-143 C9c)(2) A. of the Town of Ocean City Stormwater Management Ordinance.

#### INFILTRATION TRENCH VOLUME COMPUTATION

Volume Storage per Foot of Trench Volume provided by perforated pipe

Diameter	18 in
Cross Area	1.77 ft <sup>2</sup>
Volume	1.77 ft <sup>3</sup> /ft

Volume provided by gravel fill

Width	2.167 ft
Depth	2.167 ft
Pipe Cross Section	1.767 ft <sup>2</sup>
Gravel Cross Section	2.927 ft <sup>2</sup>
Porosity	0.4
Volume	1.17 ft <sup>3</sup> /ft

TOTAL	STORAGE	PER	FOOT	2.94	ft°/	f

#### Storage for Infiltration Trench System

Total storage per foot	2.94 ft <sup>3</sup> /ft	
Total length of piping	385 ft	(5 sections 77 ft long)
Total Storage Provided	1131 ft <sup>3</sup>	
Total Storage Required	0.0254 acre-ft 1108 ft <sup>3</sup> Requirement met	

#### Infiltration Trench E - Design Computations

#### AREA

Contributing Impervious Area: 14190 ft<sup>2</sup>

0.326 acres

#### FINDING THE WQV REQUIRED TO SERVE THE DRAINAGE AREA

Variables: Rainfall, P 1 in Runoff Coeff, Rv 0.05+0.009(I) determined from rainfall zone where I equals the percent impervious

WQv=(P\*Rv\*A)/12

where A equals the total area in acres

Drainage	Total Area	Impervious	Impervious	Runoff	Water Quality
Area (acre)	(acre)	Area (acre)	Percent	Coefficient (Rv)	Volume (acre-ft)
E	0.33	0.33	100.0	0.95	0.0258

1 inches

#### FINDING THE QUANTITY REQUIREMENT

A quantity management waiver is requested due to direct discharge of stormwater into tidal waters in accordance with Section 30-143 C9c)(2) A. of the Town of Ocean City Stormwater Management Ordinance.

#### INFILTRATION TRENCH VOLUME COMPUTATION

#### Volume Storage per Foot of Trench

Volume provided by perforated pipe

Diameter	18 in
Cross Area	1.77 ft <sup>2</sup>
Volume	1.77 ft <sup>3</sup> /ft

Volume provided by gravel fill

Width	2.167 ft
Depth	2.167 ft
Pipe Cross Section	1.767 ft <sup>2</sup>
Gravel Cross Section Porosity	2.927 ft <sup>2</sup> 0.4
Volume	1.17 ft <sup>3</sup> /ft
TOTAL STORAGE PER FOOT	2.94 ft <sup>3</sup> /ft

#### Storage for Infiltration Trench System

Total storage per foot	2.94 ft <sup>3</sup> /ft	
Total length of piping	440 ft	(8 sections 55 ft long)
Total Storage Provided	<b>1293</b> ft <sup>3</sup>	
Total Storage	0.0258 acre-ft	
Required	<b>1123</b> ft <sup>3</sup>	
	Requirement met	

3/21/00 --.



PAUL PALITTI DANE BANER DAVE ROVANSEK Martin G. Madden Contran

Ren Serey

#### STATE OF MARYLAND CRITICAL AREA COMMISSION CHIESAPEAKE AND ATLANTIC COASTAL BAYS 1804 West Street, Spile 100, Asseptile, Maryland 21401 (410) 200-3460 Fex: (410) 974-5338

May 22, 2006

at L. Ebrüch, k.

Michael S. Steele

It Comments

Mr. Blaine Smith, Zoning Administrator Planning and Community Development P.O. Box 158 Ocean City, MD 21843

#### **RE: Misty Harbor Condominium**

Doar Mr. Smith:

Thank you for the most recent submission of site plans related to the above referenced project. The applicant intends to construct a 79 unit condominium complex on a 2.49 acre parcel. The project is within the 100-foot Buffer, is IDA, and waterfront. Issues of concern include the 25-foot astback, afforestation, and pollutant removal requirements. Commission staff offers the following comments:

- 1. The applicant is proposing to address the 10% rule with a series of infiltration trenches. A stormwater and grading plan was not supplied for review. Please have the applicant supply the Commission with the plans. The efficiency of the trench was also the topic of some discussion during the Ocean City staff review. Please provide depth to water measurements for our records.
- 2. The site plan indicates the presence of a wooden boardwalk adjacent to the building and the builkhead. It is not clear if the boardwalk next to the builkhead currently exists or is planned. If it currently exists, please note that on the site plan. Any encroachment into the 25-foot setback will require mitigation at a ratio of 2:1. It appears that the applicant has included this in the calculations.
- 3. The afforestation requirement is not met on-site. The Commission would recommend that the Town require the applicant to provide additional landscaping within the Buffer. As presented, the applicant is proposing to pay a fee-in-lieu of \$95,232 to mitigate the afforestation requirement.

TTY for the Deaf Annapolis: (410) 974-2609 D.C. Metro: (301) 586-0450 Mr. Blaine Smith Misty Harbor

Page 2 May 22, 2006

4. It is understood that the applicant has submitted a request to the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) for a Heritage review. Please forward any response from DNR as it becomes available.

Please respond to the above comments and provide for resubmittal to the Commission staff for review.

We look forward to the updated documentation. If you have any further questions regarding this project, please call me directly at 410-260-3476.

Best regards,

1

Chris Clark Natural Resources Planner

co: OC778-04

DAVE ROUAUSER PAUL V. PALITTI

Robert L. Ehrlich, Jr. Generner

> Michael S. Steele Lt Geremer



Martin G. Madden Chairman

> Ren Serey Encutive Director

#### STATE OF MARYLAND CRITICAL AREA COMMISSION CHESAPEAKE AND ATLANTIC COASTAL BAYS

1804 West Street, Saite 100, Annapolie, Maryland 21401 (410) 260-3460 Fax: (410) 974-5338 www.dar.state.md.ss/criticalares/

April 12, 2006

Mr. Blaine Smith, Zoning Administrator Planning and Community Development P.O. Box 158 Ocean City, MD 21843

**RE: Misty Harbor Condominiums** 

Dear Mr. Smith:

Thank you for including the Critical Area Commission during your April 6, 2006 technical review meeting regarding the above referenced project. In response to the discussion about the project, the Commission would offer the following comments for your records:

The applicant needs to provide the Commission staff with a site plan including stormwater, landscaping, and Critical Area plans. Several issues were noted during review that are unclear on the plans provided and the Commission would like the applicant to provide more detail pursuant to the Town of Ocean City Code Section 30-559.(2) Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area Report. The report must include a description of the project and an environmental assessment of the site.

Specifically, we would like to review a discussion of the proposed development including provious and proposed uses and a detailed explanation of the 10% worksheet submitted for compliance. The 10% worksheet submitted is unacceptable on its own. The 10% worksheet and the BMP afficiency needs to be addressed.

If it is necessary to produce a separate plan sheet to indicate preexisting and proposed post development pollutant reduction measures please do so. If not, please be as detailed as possible in the narrative. Please also include all correspondence and findings received from any local, county, State or federal agency including the required Heritage letter. Please also include any soil boring information and its relationship to the proposed stormwater infiltration calculations.

> TTY for the Deaf Amagolis: (410) 974-2609 D.C. Metro: (301) 586-0450

Mr. Blaine Smith Misty Harbor

Page 2 April 12, 2006

This office would like to see any revisions, alterations, or substitutions as related to the landscape, stormwater or site plans.

Please respond to the above comments and provide for resubmittal to the Commission staff for review. Please forward a copy of this letter to the applicant.

We look forward to the updated documentation. If you have any further questions regarding this project, please call me directly at 410-260-3476.

Best regards,

40

Chris Clark Natural Resources Planner

cc: OC778-04

## Critical Area Project Application Town of Ocean City (Draft Copy)

Date: March 6, 2006 File# 2005289

Project Name: Misty Harbor Condominiums

Project Address: 25<sup>th</sup> Street Ocean City, Maryland

Tax Map: 111 Parcel: 5749, Block: \_\_\_\_Lot# 10 and 11 Zoning: Residential R-2

Tax Map: 111 Parcel: 5753 Block: \_\_\_\_Lot# 1&2 Zoning: Residential R-2

Tax Map: 111 Parcel: 5756 Block: \_\_\_\_Lot# 5 Zoning: Residential R-2

Tax Map: 111 Parcel: 5757 Block: \_\_\_\_Lot# 6 and 7 Zoning: Residential R-2

Tax Map: 111 Parcel: 5754 Block: \_\_\_\_\_Lot# NAZoning: Commercial LC-1

Tax Map: 111 Parcel: 5755 Block: \_\_\_\_Lot#4 Zoning: Commercial LC-1

Property Owner: Misty Harbor LLC Phone: 410 213-7006

Property Owner Address 9927 Stephen Decatur Hwy Suite 17 Ocean City, Maryland 21842

**Parcel size (SF):** 108,290 SF

I. Project Description

In the 100 foot buffer? Yes X No (If yes, continue with Sec. I) (If no, skip to Sec. III)

**Parcels 40,000 SF or more: Critical Area setback is 25 feet. No impervious surface or cantilevering permitted within 25 feet of the shoreline/wetlands.** ("Pervious" decks are permitted 10' into setback, per construction standards.)

Parcels less than 40,000 SF: Critical Area set back is equal to the zoning setback (<u>feet</u>). No impervious surfaces permitted within the setback. ("Pervious" decks at ground level are permitted in the setback, per construction standards.)

## **Existing Conditions**

Impervious surface (SF) 53,473 % of site impervious: 49.34

?

Impervious surface within the 100-foot buffer (SF):

**Proposed Conditions** 

Impervious surface (SF): 84,417 % of site impervious: 77.89

Total SF of disturbed area: <u>108,374</u>

Impervious surface within the 100-foot buffer (SF): 0

Form Revised 12/1/04

II. Mitigation Worksheet in the 100-foot Buffer

1. Detached Single Family Dwellings

Value of Construction: \$\_\_\_\_\_

- a. Landscaping required in the amount of 2% of the cost of construction (Value of construction x .02 = \$\_\_\_\_)
- b. Total landscaping provided. Attach cost values and plant schedule. (Must equal or exceed "Means" book value.)
   \$
- c. Mitigation requirement (if a b > 0) = Fee in Lieu of landscaping.
   \$\_\_\_\_\_(To be paid prior to issuance of Certificate of Occupancy.)
- Multi-Family and Commercial Mitigation worksheet (within the 100' buffer)

   If not in 100-foot buffer skip to Section III below.
   All SF values determined from "Landscaping Conversion Table" below.

Activity Description (Complete all that apply):

a.	Trees or shrubs remove	d from	buffer (outside	of setback):
			#0 x	$SF \times 1 = 0 SF$

b.	Trees or shrubs ren	noved from set	back #	0 x SF=	x 2= 0	0 <b>SF</b>	
c.	Pervious to impervi	ious	• •	SF	x 2 =		SF
d.	Improved pervious	to improved p	ervious		$SF \times 1 =$		SF
e.	Undisturbed surfac	e disturbed bu	t remai	ning perviou	15		
				SF	x 1 =	_	SF
f.	Impervious to impe	rvious		$SF \ge 1 = $			SF
g.	Impervious to perv	ious		$\mathbf{SF} \mathbf{x} 0 = \overline{0 \mathbf{SF}}$	זיי	-	
ĥ.	Construction of dec	eks in setback		SF	x 2 =		SF
i.	TOTAL MITIGATION	<b>REQUIRED</b> (sun	n of a th	rough h) =			SF
i.	TOTAL LANDSCAPIN	G PROVIDED (F	Refer to	"Landscapin	g Convers	sion Chart"	below)
9	· ·	Number	Value	•	Total		
	Large trees	23	X	200 SF	SF	4600	
	Small trees	18	x	100 SF	SF	1800	
	Large shrubs	137	X	75 SF	SF	10,275	
	Small shrubs	0	x	50 SF	SF	0	
	Plants	151	X	2 SF	SF	302	
	TOTAL VALUE OF L	ANDSCAPING PH	ROVIDEI	D SF	16,97	7	
	(Must provide this S	F of plantable c	area not	only the plan	nts listed	above)	
	FEE-IN-LIEU OF LA	NDSCAPING (OI	FFSET) =	= i – j x \$1.20	\$		
	(To be paid prior to	issuance of Cer	rtificate	of Occupanc	y)		
k.	Setback from water	/wetlands		SF x .25 =	: 	SF	

(Landscape to be provided in setback area)

#### LANDSCAPING CONVERSION CHART

Large tree = 200 square feet of mitigation Small tree = 100 square feet """ Large shrub = 75 square feet """ Small shrub = 50 square feet """

Herbaceous plants = 2 square feet of mitigation per plant

#### III. Afforestation (Landscaping) Requirements Outside the 100-foot Buffer

1.

All Development within the 1000' Critical Area (but outside the 100' buffer) every development or redevelopment must be planted in woody vegetation in an amount of 15% of the site area.

a. Total landscaping required: Parcel size x .15 = 16,240 SF.
(This SF area must be plantable and planted with the following number of plants)
b. Landscaping provided (use Landscaping Conversion Chart)

Large trees	#	23	X	200  SF =	4600	SF
Small trees	#	18	X	100  SF =	1800	SF
Large shrubs	<b>#</b> :	137	X	75 SF =	10,275	SF
Small shrubs	#	0	X	50 SF =	0	SF

TOTAL VALUE OF LANDSCAPING PROVIDED: 16,675 SF

IV. <u>Stormwater management and the 10% rule</u> - Pollutant reduction requirement for all disturbances over 250 SF in the 1000 foot Critical Area.

1. Single family development subject to stormwater management requirements that use the "Standard Stormwater Management Plan" automatically meet the 10% Rule.

2. Single family development not subject to stormwater management regulations can meet the intent of the 10% Rule by submitting a Water Quality Management Plan.

3. Commercial and multi-family development must submit the 10% Rule Worksheet.

V. <u>Habitat Protection</u> (skip if it is less than 40,000 SF)

For lots of 40,000 square feet or greater, the applicant must consult with the Maryland Department of Natural Resources to determine the existence of any Habitat Protection Areas that may be affected by the proposed development. VI. Landscape Plan

## ALL VEGETATION SHALL BE PROVIDED IN ACCORDANCE WITH CHAPTER 98, ARTICLE II, LANDSCAPING, OF THE CODE.

#### VII. Site plan requirements

Critical Area site plan is required and it must include the following information:

- 1. Topography
- 2. Mean high water line
- 3. Delineation of private and State tidal wetlands
- 4. Delineation of non-tidal wetlands
- 5. Soil Types
- 6. Tree cover (show location of individual trees or a tree line defining wooded areas).
- 7. Landscaping plan with required plants and plantable area
- 8. 100-foot Buffer and applicable setback
- 9. Habitat protection areas (if applicable)
- 10. All impervious surfaces labeled as existing or proposed.
- 11. All proposed clearing, grading and disturbance.
- 11. Computation of total existing and proposed impervious surfaces, existing forest cover and proposed clearing and total area of disturbance.
- 12. Proposed landscaping/mitigation plan.

Reviewed by:	Zoning Administrator (Date)
	Environmental Engineer (Date )
	V \

	Worksheet A: Star	ndard Applicatio	on Process
Stor	Calculating Polluta	ant Removal Requ Proposed Site Impe	
Α.	Calculate Percent Impervious	ness	
1)	Site Area within the IDA, A=	<b>2.49</b> ad	cres
2)	Site Impervious Surface Area, E	existing and Proposed (a) Existing (acres)	, (See Table 4.1 for details) (b) Proposed (acres)
	Roads		
	Parking Lots _	0.18	0.05
	Sidewalks/paths	0.16	0.15
	Rooftops	0.55	0.97
	Decks	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.78
	Swimming pools/ponds	0.14	
	Impervious Surface Area	1.23 acres	1.94 acres
3)	Imperviousness (I)	X	
	Existing Imperviousness, I <sub>pre</sub>	= Impervious St	urface Area / Site Area
		= (Step 2a) / (S	tep1)
		= ( <u>1.23</u>	) / ( <u>2.49</u> )
		= 49%	
	Proposed Imperviousness, I <sub>post</sub>	= Impervious S	urface Area / Site Area
		= (Step 2a) / (S	tep1)
		= ( <u>1.94</u>	_) / ( <u>2.49</u> )
		=78%	
В.	Define Development Category	y	
1)	New Development: Existin	ng Imperviousness les	ss than 15% I (Go to Step 2A)
2)	✓ Redevelopment: Existin	ng Imperviousness of	15% I or more (Go to Step 2B
3)	Single Lot Residential Develop single family residential develop impervious area and associated Approach, for detailed criteria a	ment: Single lot being pment; and more than d disturbance (Go to S and requirements)	developed or improved; 240 square feet of Section 5, Residential
<sup>1</sup> NC	DTE: All acreage used in this worksheet r	efers to areas with in the II	DA of the critical area only.

)

Worksheet A G:\Projects\2005\2005289\Stormwater Management\10% Rule Worksheet (3-01-06)

A. New Development						
	L <sub>pre</sub>	=	(0.5) (A)			
	•	=	(0.5) ( <b></b> )			
		=	1.24 lbs/year of total phosphorus			
	Where: L <sub>pre</sub>	=	Average annual load of total phosphorus exported from the site prior			
	0.5	=	Annual total phosphorus load from undeveloped lands (lbs/acre/veal			
	A	=	Area of the site within the Critical Area IDA (acres)			
3.	Rede	evelo	opment			
	L <sub>pre</sub>	=	(R <sub>v</sub> ) (C) (A) (8.16)			
	R <sub>v</sub>	=	0.05 + 0.009 (I <sub>pre</sub> )			
		=	0.05 + 0.009 ( <u>49</u> ) = <u>0.49</u>			
	$L_{pre}$	=	()()()()(8.16)			
		=	3.01 lbs/year of total phosphorus			
	Where:					
	L <sub>pre</sub>	=	Average annual load of total phosphorus exported from the site prio to development (lbs/year)			
	R <sub>v</sub>	=	Runoff coefficient, which expresses the fraction of rainfall which is converted into runoff			
	I <sub>pre</sub>	=	Predevelopment (existing) site imperviousness (i.e., I=75 if site is 75% impervious			
	С	=	Flow-weighted mean concentration of pollutant (total phosphorus) in urban runoff (mg/l) = 0.30 mg/l			
	A	=	Area of the site within the Critical Area IDA (acres)			
	8.16	=	Includes regional constraints and unit conversion factors			

.

Ì
# Critical Area Project Application Town of Ocean City

Project Name:	Misty Harbor Condominin	ims Phase 1	( (10)
Project Address_	25 <sup>th</sup> Street and Coasta	l Highway	331012
Tax Map: <u>111</u>	Parcel: <u>5749,5756 &amp; 5757</u>	Block: <u>n/a</u> Lot# <u>5,6,&amp;10</u> Zoning <u>R-2</u>	2
Property Owner_	Mr. Troy Purnell	Phone <u>410.524.0001</u>	
Property Owner .	Address P.O. BOX 460 Oc	ean City, MD 21843	
Parcel size (SF):_	38100 ft <sup>2</sup>		
I. <u>Project Descri</u>	ption		
In the 100 foot bu	uffer? Yes <u>X</u> No	(If yes, continue with Sec. I) (If no, skip to Sec. III)	

Parcels 40,000 SF or more: Critical Area setback is 25 feet. No impervious surface or cantilevering permitted within 25 feet of the shoreline/wetlands. ("Pervious" decks are permitted 10' into setback, per construction standards.)

Parcels lcss than 40,000 SF: Critical Area set back is equal to the zoning setback (<u>10</u> feet). No impervious surfaces permitted within the setback. ("Pervious" decks at ground level are permitted in the setback, per construction standards.)

#### **Existing Conditions**

Impervious surface (SF) <u>15300 sf</u> % of site impervious: <u>40%</u>

Impervious surface within the 100-foot buffer (SF): 11644 sf

#### **Proposed Conditions**

Impervious surface (SF): 29710 % of site impervious: 78%

Total SF of disturbed area: 38100 sf

Impervious surface within the 100-foot buffer (SF): 25100 sf

#### II. <u>Mitigation Worksheet in the 100-foot Buffer</u>

**1.** Detached Single Family Dwellings

Value of Construction: \$\_\_\_\_\_

- a. Landscaping required in the amount of 2% of the cost of construction (Value of construction x .02 = \$\_\_\_\_)
- b. Total landscaping provided. Attach cost values and plant schedule. (Must equal or exceed "Means" book value.)
   \$
- c. Mitigation requirement (if a b > 0) = Fee in Lieu of landscaping.
   \$\_\_\_\_\_\_(To be paid prior to issuance of Certificate of Occupancy.)
- Multi-Family and Commercial Mitigation worksheet (within the 100' buffer)

   If not in 100-foot buffer skip to Section III below.
   All SF values determined from "Landscaping Conversion Table" below.

Activity Description (Complete all that apply):

			# x_	S	F x 1=	SF
b. Trees or shrubs re	moved from	set	back # x	SF=_	x 2=	SF
c. Pervious to imperv	vious		13456	<u>SF</u> x	2 = 26912	SF
d. Improved pervious	s to improve	d pe	ervious		SF x 1	SF
e. Undisturbed surfa	ce disturbed	but	t remaining	pervio	us	
				SF	x 1 =	SF
f. Impervious to imp	ervious 1	164	4 SF x	1 =	11644	SF
g. Impervious to perv	vious		SF x	$0 = \overline{0 \text{ SI}}$	<u>ק</u>	
h. Construction of de	cks in setbad	:k		SF	x 2 =	SF
i. TOTAL MITIGATION	REQUIRED (	sum	of a through	$hh) = \cdot$	38556	SF
j. TOTAL LANDSCAPIN	G PROVIDED	(R	efer to "Lan	dscapin	g Conversion Char	t" below)
•	Number	`	Value	1	Total	,
Large trees		х	200 SF	SF		
	41	- x	100 SF	SF	4100	•
Small trees						-
Small trees Large shrubs	······	x	75 SF	SF		
Small trees Large shrubs Small shrubs		_ x _ x	75 SF 50 SF	SF_ SF		
Small trees Large shrubs Small shrubs Plants		_ x _ x _ x	75 SF 50 SF 2 SF	SF_ SF_ SF		
Small trees Large shrubs Small shrubs Plants TOTAL VALUE OF L	ANDSCAPING	_ X _ X _ X ; PR(	75 SF 50 SF 2 SF OVIDED	SF_ SF_ SF SF	4100	–
Small trees Large shrubs Small shrubs Plants TOTAL VALUE OF L FEE-IN-LIEU OF LA	ANDSCAPING	_ X _ X _ X ; PR( OF)	75 SF 50 SF 2 SF OVIDED FSET) = i – i	SF_ SF_ SF_ SF_ x \$1.2	<u>4100</u> 0 \$41347.20	

k. Setback from water/wetlands <u>2410</u> SF x .25 = <u>602.5</u> SF (Landscape to be provided in setback area)

•?•

#### LANDSCAPING CONVERSION CHART

ECEL

CRITICAL

Large tree = 200 square feet of mitigation Small tree = 100 square feet """ Large shrub = 75 square feet """ Small shrub = 50 square feet """ Herbaceous plants = 2 square feet of mitigation per plant

### III. Afforestation (Landscaping) Requirements Outside the 100-foot Buffer

1. Multi-Family and Commercial Development - Within the 1000' Critical Area (but outside the 100' buffer) every development or redevelopment must be planted in woody vegetation in an amount of 15% of the site area.

a. Total landscaping required: Parcel size x .15 = \_\_\_\_\_ SF.

b. Landscaping provided (use Landscaping Conversion Chart)

Large trees # x	200  SF =	SF
Small trees #x	100 SF =	SF
Large shrubs # x	75 SF =	SF
Small shrubs #x	50 SF =	SI

TOTAL VALUE OF LANDSCAPING PROVIDED: \_\_\_\_\_SF

2. Detached Single Family Dwellings

Value of Construction: \$

- a. Landscaping required in the amount of 2% of the cost of construction (Value of construction x . 02 =)
- b. Total landscaping provided. Attach cost values and plant schedule. (Must equal or exceed "Means" book value.)
   \$

c. Mitigation requirement (if a - b > 0) = Fee in Lieu of landscaping.
 \$\_\_\_\_\_\_(To be paid prior to issuance of Certificate of Occupancy.)

Step	1: Calculate Existing and	Proposed Site	e Imperviousness
4.	Calculate Percent Impervious	ness	
1)	Site Area within the IDA, A= _	2.49	acres
2)	Site Impervious Surface Area, E	xisting and Prop (a) Existing (acı	oosed, (See Table 4.1 for details) res) (b) Proposed (acres)
	Roads	·	
	Parking Lots	0.18	
	Driveways	0.20	0.05
	Sidewalks/paths	0.10	<u> </u>
	Notiops _	0.00	0. <i>31</i>
	Swimming pools/ponds Other	0.14	
	Impervious Surface Area	1.23 acres	1.94 acres
3)	Imperviousness (I)		
•	Existing Imperviousness, I <sub>pre</sub>	= Impervic	ous Surface Area / Site Area
		= (Step 2a	a) / (Step1)
		= ( <u>1.</u>	<b>23</b> ) / ( <u>2.49</u> _)
	· · · ·	= 49	9%
	Proposed Imperviousness, I <sub>post</sub>	= Impervic	ous Surface Area / Site Area
		= (Step 2a	a) / (Step1)
		= (	<mark>94</mark> ) / ( <mark>2.49</mark> )
		= 78	3%
В.	Define Development Category	<b>/</b>	· ·
1)	New Development: Existin	ng Imperviousne	ss less than 15% I (Go to Step 2
2) 🗸	Redevelopment: Existir	ng Imperviousne	ss of 15% I or more (Go to Step
3)	Single Lot Residential Developr single family residential develop impervious area and associated Approach, for detailed criteria a	nent: Single lot to ment; and more disturbance (G nd requirements	being developed or improved; e than 240 square feet of to to Section 5, Residential

ŝ

.

Worksheet A G:\Projects\2005\2005289\Stormwater Management\10% Rule Worksheet (5-02-06)

. New	' Dev	velopment
$L_{pre}$	=	(0.5) (A)
	=	(0.5) ( <b></b> )
	=	<b>1.24</b> Ibs/year of total phosphorus
Where	•	
L <sub>pre</sub>	=	Average annual load of total phosphorus exported from the site prior to development (lbs/year)
0.5	=	Annual total phosphorus load from undeveloped lands (lbs/acre/year
А	=	Area of the site within the Critical Area IDA (acres)
B. Red	evelo	opment
$L_{pre}$	=	(R <sub>v</sub> ) (C) (A) (8.16)
R <sub>v</sub>	=	0.05 + 0.009 (I <sub>pre</sub> )
	=	0.05 + 0.009 ( <u>49</u> ) = <u>0.49</u>
$L_{pre}$	=	( <u>0.49</u> )( <u>0.30</u> )( <u>2.49</u> )(8.16)
	=	<b>3.01</b> Ibs/year of total phosphorus
Where	):	
L <sub>pre</sub>	=	Average annual load of total phosphorus exported from the site prior to development (lbs/year)
R <sub>v</sub>	=	Runoff coefficient, which expresses the fraction of rainfall which is converted into runoff
I <sub>pre</sub>	=	Predevelopment (existing) site imperviousness (i.e., I=75 if site is 75% impervious
С	=	Flow-weighted mean concentration of pollutant (total phosphorus) in urban runoff (mg/l) = 0.30 mg/l
Α	= ·	Area of the site within the Critical Area IDA (acres)

Worksheet A G:\Projects\2005\2005289\Stormwater Management\10% Rule Worksheet (5-02-06)

tep 3:	(	Calculate the Post-Development Load (L <sub>post</sub> )
A. Ne	w Dev	elopment and Redevelopment
L <sub>post</sub>	=	(R <sub>v</sub> ) (C) (A) (8.16)
R <sub>v</sub>	=	$0.05 + 0.009 (I_{post})$
	=	0.05 + 0.009 ( <u>77.98</u> ) = <u>0.75</u>
$L_{post}$	=	( <u>0.75</u> )( <u>0.30</u> )( <u>2.49</u> )(8.16)
	=	4.58 Ibs/year of total phosphorus
Wher	e:	
L <sub>post</sub>	=	Average annual load of total phosphorus exported from the post- development site (lbs/year)
R <sub>v</sub>	=	Runoff coefficient, which expresses the fraction of rainfall which is converted into runoff
I <sub>post</sub>	=	Post-development (proposed) site imperviousness (i.e., I=75 if site is 75% impervious
С	=	Flow-weighted mean concentration of pollutant (total phosphorus) in urban runoff (mg/l) = 0.30 mg/l
А	=	Area of the site within the Critical Area IDA (acres)
8.16	=	Includes regional constraints and unit conversion factors
Step 4:		Calculate the Pollutant Removal Requirement (RR)
RR	=	L <sub>post</sub> - (0.9) (L <sub>pre</sub> )
	=	( <u>4.58</u> )-(0.9)( <u>3.01</u> )
	. =	<b>1.87</b> Ibs/year of total phosphorus
Wher	e:	
RR	=	Polutant removal requirement (lbs/year)
$L_{post}$	=	Average annual load of total phosphorus exported from the post- development site (lbs/year)
L <sub>pre</sub>	=	Average annual load of total phosphorus exported from the site prio to development (lbs/year)

## Step 5: Identify Feasible BMP(s)

Select BMP Options using the screening matricies provided in the Chapter 4 of the 2000 Maryland Stormwater Design Manual. Calculate the load removed for each option.

BMP Type $(L_{post}) \times (BMP_{RE}) \times (\% DA Served) = Let$	oad Removed				
Infiltration Trench 4.58 65% 73% 2	2.17 lbs/year				
x =	Ibs/year				
x =	lbs/year				
x x =	lbs/year				
Load Removed, LR (total) =	2.17 Ibs/year				
Polutant Removal Requirement, RR (from Step 4) =	1.87 Ibs/year				
Where: Load Removed = Annual total phosphorus load removed by the pr (Ibs/year)	oposed BMP				
L <sub>post</sub> = Average annual load of total phosphorus exporte development site (lbs/year)	<ul> <li>Average annual load of total phosphorus exported from the post- development site (lbs/year)</li> </ul>				
(BMP <sub>RE</sub> ) = BMP removal efficiency for total phosphorus, Ta	ble 4.8 (%)				
(% DA Served) = Fraction of the site area within the critical area IE the BMP (%)	)A served by				
RR = Polutant removal requirement (lbs/year)	<ul> <li>Polutant removal requirement (lbs/year)</li> </ul>				
If the Load Removed is equal or greater than the Pollutant Removal Recomputed in Step 4, the the onsite BMP complies with the 10% Rule.	aquirement				
Has the RR (pollutant removal requirement) been met?	s 🔲 No				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

5/5/2006

#### MISTY HARBOR CONDOMINIUMS GMB Project #2005289.00 (Revised 5-5-06)

#### MARYLAND STORMWATER SIZING CRITERIA

(Based on Total Site Area)

Total Area (A):	2.486 ac	108290 ft <sup>2</sup>
Existing Impervious (A <sub>ex</sub> ):	1.228 ac	53473 ft <sup>2</sup>
Percent Impervious (existing):	49.38 %	
Proposed Impervious (A <sub>i</sub> ):	1.938 ac	84417 ft <sup>2</sup>
Percent Impervious (Proposed):	77.95 %	

#### Per Town of Ocean City Stormwater Management Ordinance Section 30-143(d)(2) Redevelopment Criteria:

 $WQ_v$ : a). Reduce existing site impervious area by at least 20%.

b). Where site conditions prevent reduction of impervious area, provide qualitative control for 20% of impervious area of existing site.

c). When a combination of impervious area reduction and stormwater management practice implementation is used, the combined area shall equal or exceed 20% of the site.

Percent of Total Area to be treated :	38.45 %	(Assuming all treated area is impervious)
WQ <sub>v</sub> Requirement (20% of existing Impervous area	0.956 <b>ac</b>	41639 <b>ft<sup>2</sup></b>
Percent Change: Area which must be treated to meet	57.87 %	
Change in site Impervious Area:	0.710 ac	30944 <b>ft<sup>2</sup></b>
20% of Existing Impervious Area (A <sub>i</sub> ):	0.246 <b>ac</b>	10695 <b>ft<sup>2</sup></b>

Water Quality Volume Required to be treated by BMP (WQ<sub>v</sub>)

P=	1.0	
R <sub>v</sub> =	0.950	
A=	0.956	ac
Impervious (Drainage Area) =	100.00	%
Note: P=1.0 fo	r Delmarva Pe	ennisula

WQ <sub>v</sub> required =	(P)(R <sub>v</sub> )(A) 12	]
WQ <sub>v</sub> required =	0.0757 3296	ac-ft ft <sup>3</sup>
WQ <sub>v</sub> provided =	0.1149 5006	ac-ft ft <sup>3</sup>

41639 ft<sup>2</sup>

(provided in three (3) areas)

Applicant's Guide to 10% Rule Compliance

## **Worksheet A: Standard Application Process**

Calculating Pollutant Removal Requirements\*

#### **Step 1: Project Description**

#### A. Calculate Percent Imperviousness

1) Site Acreage = 0.875 acres

2) Site Imperviousness, existing and proposed, (See Table 1.0 for details)

	(a) Existing (acres)	(b) Post-Development (acres)
Rooftop	0.147	0.682
Roads	0.000	0.000
Sidewalks	0.126	0.000
Parking Lots	0.112	0.000
Pools / Ponds	0.000	0.000
Decks	0.000	0.000
Other	0.000	0.000
	0.000	0.000
Imp <b>e</b> rvious		
Surface Area	0.351	0.682

3) Non-Structural BMPs Disconnected Impervious Area

4) Adjusted Proposed Impervious Surface Area (Step 2b) - (Step 3) = (0.682) - (0.000) = 0.682 acres

Imperviousness (I)

Existing Impervious Surface Area / Site Area = (Step 2a) / (Step 1) = 40% Post-Development Impervious Surface Area / Site Area = (Step 2b) / (Step 1) = 78%

#### B. Define Development Category (circle)

Redevelopment: Existing imperviousness greater than <u>15%</u> I (Go to Step 2A)
 New development: Existing imperviousness less than <u>15%</u> I (Go to Step 2B)
 Single Lot Residential: Single lot being developed or improved; single family residential; and more than 250 square feet being disturbed. (Go to Page 27 - Single Lot Residential sheet for remaining steps).
 \* NOTE: All acreage used in this worksheet refer to areas within the IDA of the critical area only.

Applicant's Guide to 10% Rule Compliance

S	tep 2:	Calculate the P	re-Developmen	t Load (L <sub>pre</sub> )	)		
A. Redevelopr	nent						
Lpre	=	(R <sub>v</sub> )(C)(A)8.16		C ·	=	0.3	
R <sub>v</sub>	=	0.4	<b>4</b> 1	$R_v$	=	0.410	
L <sub>pre</sub>	=	(0.41)(0.3)(0.875)	B.16				
	= .	0.88	Ibs P / year				
where:							
R <sub>v</sub>	=	Runoff coefficient,	which expresses the f	raction of rainfal	I whicl	n is converted into runoff	
l <sub>pre</sub>	=	Site imperviousne	Site imperviousness (I.e., I=75 if site is 75% impervious)				
C	=	Flow weighted mean concentration of the pollutant in urban runoff (mg/l)					
	С	= 0.26 if pre-develop	oment I<20%				
	С	= 1.08 if pre-develop	oment I>=20%				
А	=	Area of the development site (acres in the Critical Area)					
8.16	: =	Includes regional	constants and unit co	nversion factors	5		
			OR				
B. New Develo	pment						
L <sub>pre</sub>	=	0.5 lbs/year * A					
	=	(0.5)( )					
	=	0	lbs P / vear				

## Step 3: Calculate the Post-Development Load (Lpost)

#### A. New Development and Redevelopment

L <sub>post</sub>	=	(R <sub>v</sub> )(C)(A)8.16	С	=	0.3
$R_v$	=	$0.05 + 0.009(I_{post})$	R <sub>v</sub> .	=	0.750
L <sub>post</sub>	=	(0.75)(0.3)(0.875)8.16			
	=	1.60lbs P / year			
where:					
Rv	=	Runoff coefficient, which expresses the	fraction of rainfal	l which	n is converted into runoff
l <sub>pre</sub>	=	Site imperviousness (I.e., I=75 if site is	75% impervious	5)	
С	=	Flow weighted mean concentration of t	the pollutant in u	rban r	unoff (mg/l)
		-	·		

C = 0.3

А	• =	Area of the development site (acres in the Critical Area)
3.16	=	Includes regional constants and unit conversion factors

8.16

Applicant's Guide to 10% Rule Compliance

## Step 4: Calculate the Pollutant Removal Requirement (RR)

RR = = =

L<sub>post</sub> - (0.9)(L<sub>pre</sub>) (1.60) - (0.9)(0.88) \_\_\_\_\_\_0.81\_\_\_\_\_lbs P

## Step 5: Identify Feasible Urban BMP

Select BMP Options using the screening tools and pollutant removal rates listed in the Applicant's Guide *Tables 5.0, 5.1, 5.2 and 5.4*. Calculate the load removed for each option.

ВМР Туре	(Removal Efficiency [use 0.50 or 50%])	x	(Fraction of Drainage Area Served) **	<b>X</b> .	(L <sub>post</sub> )		Load Removed	
Pervious Pavers	0.65	x	80%	x	1.6	=	0.83	lbs
								lbs
		x		x		=		_lbs
						=	0.83	_lbs

If the Load Removed is equal to or greater than the pollutant removal removal requirement (RR) calculated in Step 4, then the on-site BMP option complies with the 10% Rule. (See Table 5.3, page 16) for submittal requirements for each option.

# Entire Site

	Pre-Development	Post-Development
Roads	0.0	o.o
Parking Lots	36,005.3	27.364.0
Driveways	0.0	0.0
Sidewalks/conc	6,163.4	12,501.0
Building	26,434.0	33,968.0
Decks	1,950.0	3,250.0
Pools/Ponds	0.0	0.0
Other	0.0	0.0
Total Impervious	70,552.7	77,083.0
Landscape Area	29,809.0	20,173.0
Pervious Paver/Gravel	9,806.0	7,122.0
Pervious Wooden Decks	0.0	3,354.0
Total Pervious	39,615.0	30,649.0
Total Area	107,732.0	107,732.0
Percent Impervious	65.5%	71.6%
Percent Landscaped	27.7%	18.7%
Percent Pervious	36.8%	28.4%
Rv	0.639402	0.693956
WQv	5,740.3	6,230.1
20% Existing Wq and 100% New	1,148.1	489.8

WQv Required

1,637.8



wings\Exhibits\EX1.0 - EXHIBIT.dwg, 8/8/2006 1:14:07 PM, nderasmo



## LEGEND

PERVIOUS PAVERS

CRITICAL AREA LANDSCAPE AREA

	Pre-Development	Post-Development
ads	0.0	0.0
rking Lots	36 005 3	27 264 0
veways	0.0	27,304.0
iewalks/conc	6.163.4	12 501 0
ilding	26.434.0	33,968,0
cks	1,950.0	3,250.0
ols/Ponds	0.0	0.0
Ier	0.0	0.0
al Impervious	70,552.7	77.083.0
ndscape Area	29,809.0	20.173.0
vious Paver/Gravel	9,806.0	7.122.0
vious Wooden Decks	0.0	3.354.0
al Pervious	39,615.0	30.649.0
al Area	107,732.0	107.732.0
cent Impervious	65.5%	71.6%
cent Landscaped	27.7%	18.7%
cent Pervious	36.8%	28.4%
2. Alto a statement of a statement of an and a statement of a statem of a statement of a stat	0.639402	<b>0.69395</b> 6
۲۷	5,740.3	6,230.1
20% Existing Wq and 100% New	1,148.1	489.8
(1997) (1		

RECEIVED

JUL 2 5 2008 CRITICAL AREA COMMISSION







