- WC 210-05 VAR Seaside Village 02279

MSA-5-1829-5357

 \square

A State

H/4/05

Robert L. Ehrlich, Jr. Governor

Michael S. Steele Lt. Governor



Martin G. Madden Chairman

Ren Serey Executive Director

STATE OF MARYLAND CRITICAL AREA COMMISSION CHESAPEAKE AND ATLANTIC COASTAL BAYS 1804 West Street, Suite 100, Annapolis, Maryland 21401 (410) 260-3460 Fax: (410) 974-5338

www.dnr.state.md.us/criticalarea/

April 4, 2005

Ms. Stacey Weisner Worcester County Development Review & Permitting One West Market St., Room 1201 Snow Hill, MD 21863

RE: Variance Case No. 92279, Seaside Village

Dear Ms. Weisner:

Thank you for providing information on the above referenced variance case. It is our understanding that there are actually two parts to this request. The first is to permit disturbance within the Buffer to bury an existing high voltage electric line under an existing driveway. The second is to permit grading within the Buffer to restore previously disturbed tidal and non-tidal wetlands as required under a correction order from the Maryland Department of the Environment.

In regard to the disturbance to the Buffer related to burying the electric cable, this office does not oppose the variance requested provided impacts are minimized and the variance requested is the minimum to provide relief. While the cable is being placed beneath existing impervious surface, we recommend that the applicant take advantage of the opportunity to ensure the future perviousness of the driveway. Also, the applicant should have all applicable permits from MDE in hand prior to any work (as the line is being placed within the non-tidal wetland buffer).

In regard to the disturbance to the Buffer related to restoration of the wetland, again we do not oppose the variance requested provided impacts are minimized and the variance requested is the minimum to provide relief. We recommend mitigation at a 3:1 ratio for all disturbance to the Buffer. Mitigation should be in addition to that otherwise required under the County's Buffer Management Area Program. Also, the applicant should coordinate these corrective actions with MDE, especially since it has been nearly three years since the violation occurred.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Please include this letter in your file and submit it as part of the record for this request. Also, please notify the Commission in writing of the decision made by the Board. If you have any questions or concerns, please contact me at (410) 260-3477.

Sincerely,

Whe Chandlos LeeAnne Chandler

Natural Resources Planner

R D HAND AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE, SITE PLANNING AND FEASIBILITY 12302 Collins Road - Bishopville, Maryland - 21813 Phone (410) 352-3623 Fax (410) 352-3301

March 18, 2005

Ms Stacey Weisner Worcester County Dept. of Development Review & Permitting One West Market St. Gov. Office Complex Rm 1201 Snow Hill, MD 21863 MAR 2 2 2005

CRITICAL AREA COMMISSION

Re: Seaside Village

Dear Stacey,

In response to your request please accept this as justification for variance to NR 3-104(c)(4) (Grading in the Buffer). Attached please find a field inspection report from Steve Barnes, MDE dated 9/26/2002, letter from Spencer Rowe Inc. to Steve Dawson, MDE dated 9/27/2002 and letter of authorization from Steve Dawson, MDE dated 10/6/2004 concerning required tidal and nontidal restoration requirements for the above referenced project.

RD HAND

The restoration order was required due to actions by previous owners of the property that resulted in filling of tidal and nontidal wetland during dredging operations. The restoration is to be preformed during stormwater management construction phases of the project development in accordance with the restoration plan dated 8/23/02 prepared by RDHAI and Associates, Inc. (attached).

As always should you have any questions concerning this matter please contact this office accordingly.

Sincerely. Robert D. Hand

President

c.c. Hugh Cropper, IV Dane Bauer / Dave Wangel

RDH/jmh

Post-It" Fax Note 7671	Date3/18/05 pagas* 1		
TO STACKY WEISNER	From ROB HAND		
Co./Dept.	Ça.		
Phone #	Phone #		
Fax # 410 632 3008	Fax #		

001

	Maryiand Departm Easter 407 R Cambrid P: (410) 901-402	ent of the Environment in Division face Street ge, MD 21613 0 F: (419) 221-6317	Forf 213 98 84
Field Inspection Re	eport by: Steve Barnes		1/2-5
Permit / Approval	Numbers:		(10W Sounde Cillete)
Inspection Date:	09/26/2002	for's ad	se (not
Facility Address:	C/O-Frank-Howses & John H. I 9428 Stephen Decatur Hwy. Berlin, Md., MD 21842-	surbage the	1. CC
Site Name: Jac We	k W. Burbage Whispering	Site Status:	Complete
Permit Type: Tic	ial Wetlands	Site Condition:	Corrections needed
Contact(s): <h< td=""><td>Sone Given ></td><td>Recommended Action:</td><td>Dead File</td></h<>	Sone Given >	Recommended Action:	Dead File
		Evidence Collected:	VISUAL OBSERVATION
Inspection Reason	: PAF Initial Inspection for Current	Follow-up for PAF#: Fiscal Year	0000000

ROWE

884

INSPECTION FINDINGS

Site visit with Harry Hunsicker and consultant Spence Rowe. Report 9-26-02. Visit 8-28-02. The dredge spoil dike North side when installed was placed in tidal wetlands according to the Tidal Wetlands Map. In some other areas adjacent the Tidal Wetlands Nontidal Wetlands has also been encroached upon. In order for future impacts to proceede or for permit review to occur the applicant must insure that the site is in compliance with all past approvals. R.D. Hand has prepared a restoration plan that will correct any and all past encroachments. This will allow Nontidal/Tidal

Wetland review to proceed in the review process in the future. Note; Restoration work should be phased in the Erosion and sediment control plan. Concentration should be made for SWM Plan and outfall Construction during this phase.

Inspector. The Barno

Received by:

2002-02-139

PAGE

01

RECEIVED

MAR 2 2 2005

CRITICAL AREA COMMISSION

0/28/2003 11:52 41

4102139884

ROWE

SPENCER ROWE, INC. 12409 Kent Road Ocean City, Maryland 21842

voice: 410-213-0127 fax: 410-213-9884 email: srowe@beachin.net

owerland delineation and permitting o forestry o complete site evaluation

September 27, 2002

Steve Dawson Chief, Eastern Shore Section Nontidal Wetlands Maryland Department of the Environment Multi-Service Center 201 Baptist Street Salisbury, Maryland 21801

Re: 200162771/01-NT-2044

Dear Steve:

Please find enclosed the plates by R.D. Hand showing the proposed buffer impacts for the second phase of the Water's Edge project that was subject to the above-referenced permit.

Note that all the impacts are associated with outfalls for storm water management.

Also please note that the applicant is subject to the requirements for compliance with previously issued permits to others on this site as documented by Steve Barnes in his Inspection Report of 9-26-02 (copy enclosed)

Thanks

Sincere

Spencer Rowe President

ARCHITECTS & ENGINEERS SALISBURY · BALTIMORE · LEWES · SEAFORD · YORK

February 14, 2005

Worcester Co Board of Zoning Appeals Dept. of Development Review One West Market St. Snow Hill, MD 21863

Attn: Board of Zoning Appeals

Re: Variance Request Seaside Village Golf Course Rd. & Rte. 50 Tax Map 27 Parcels 547 & 553

RESPOND TO: 11426 York Road Second Floor Hunt Valley, MD 21030 410/584-8370 410/584-9076 FAX

RECEIVED

MAR 2 2 2005

CRITICAL AREA COMMISSION

Dear Board of Zoning Appeals:

The owner, ADC Builders, Inc., of the proposed subdivision known as Seaside Village RPC requests a variance for minimal temporary disturbance to the 100 Foot Atlantic Coastal Bays Critical Area Buffer. The project entails the burial of an existing overhead electrical transmission line within an existing impervious roadway. The excavation will be four (4) feet in depth and three (3) feet in width. To the extent possible, the trench will be aligned in the existing ten (10) foot roadway which has been classified as impervious area. Some temporary disturbance to the delineated non-tidal wetland may also be necessary to run the buried cable into the existing utility sub-station as shown on sheet No. C-2 adjacent to slip # 66 in the marina. Any disturbance to non-tidal wetlands and/or buffer will receive the necessary approvals from the Maryland Department of Environment.

GEORGE, MILES & BUHR, LLC

The existing poles will be abandoned. Alternatives are being developed to deal with their total removal or to cut the poles and leave the bases in place. In either case, the temporary disturbance will be necessary to move these wooden structures.

Specific long-term benefits involved with the temporary disturbance of wetlands can be categorized as:

- 1. Removal of potential overhead electrical hazards.
- 2. Future maintenance of the electrical lines can be accomplished within the existing disturbed roadway thereby eliminating any additional disturbances.
- Restoration of a scenic view for existing and proposed development. 3.

A REGOLE MARINER, JR . PE

JEBRY KOTRA

MARTIN D DUSBIRER

JAMES R THOMAS, JR . PE

PETER A BOZICK, JR PE

JUDY & SCHWARTZ, PE

CHARLES M O'DONNELL, PE

JOHN E BURNSWORTH, PE

W BRICE FOXWELL, PE

JAMES H WILLEY, JR , PE

MICHAELO MCARTHUR ALA

DANE S BAUER

MICHAEL G KOBIN, PE

JAMES C HOAGESON, PE

STEPHEN L MARSH, PE

AMANDA H POLLACK, PE

C RICHARD BOHM

Seaside Village February 14, 2005 Page 2

It should be noted that the proposed Seaside Village project actually reduces the amount of impervious area throughout the buffer. Substantial beneficial increases in vegetation are encompassed within the landscaping and planting plan which accompanies site development.

If additional information or clarification is necessary, please contact the consulting firm of George, Miles & Buhr, LLC (GMB) attn: Mr. Jim Dieter at 410/584-8370.

Sincerely, unps MI Dane S. Bauer

Associate

DSB/mc

CC L. CHANDLER







RICAL	EASEMENT	
		6)

NO.	DATE	REVISIONS

C COPYRIGHT 2005 GEORGE, MILES & BUHR, LLC

Ste	p 1: Calculate Existing ar	nd Proposed Site Impe	rviousness
A.	Calculate Percent Impervious	sness	
1)	Site Area within the IDA, A=	28.51 ac	res
2)	Site Impervious Surface Area,	Existing and Proposed, (a) Existing (acres)	(See Table 4.1 for detai (b) Proposed (acres)
	Roads	3.94	7.34
	Parking Lots Driveways		
	Sidewalks/paths		0.91
	Rooftops		4.69
	Swimming pools/ponds Other		0.11
	Impervious Surface Area	3.94 acres	13.05 acres
3)	Imperviousness (I)		
	Existing Imperviousness, I _{pre}	= Impervious Su	rface Area / Site Area
		= (Step 2a) / (Ste	ep1)
		= (<u>3.94</u>)) / ()
		= 14%	
	Proposed Imperviousness, I _{pos}	= Impervious Su	rface Area / Site Area
TUE	R V Grad A	= (Step 2a) / (Ste	ep1)
MAR 2	2 2005	= (13.05) / (28.51)
AL ARE	A COMMISSION	= 46%	
В.	Define Development Categor	У	
1)	✓ New Development: Existi	ng Imperviousness less	than 15% I (Go to Step
2)	Redevelopment: Existi	ng Imperviousness of 1	5% I or more (Go to Ste
3)	Single Lot Residential Develop single family residential develo impervious area and associate Approach, for detailed criteria a	ment: Single lot being c pment; and more than 2 d disturbance (Go to Se and requirements)	leveloped or improved; 240 square feet of ection 5, Residential

1

Worksheet A G:\Projects\2003\2003108-F\Stormwater Management\Seaside 10% Rule (3-15-05)

A. Nev	w Dev	elopment
L_{pre}	=	(0.5) (A)
	=	(0.5) (28.51)
	=	14.26 Ibs/year of total phosphorus
Where L _{pre}	<u>):</u> =	Average annual load of total phosphorus exported from the site prior to development (lbs/year)
0.5	=	Annual total phosphorus load from undeveloped lands (lbs/acre/year
А	=	Area of the site within the Critical Area IDA (acres)
B. Re	develo	opment
L_{pre}	=	(R _v) (C) (A) (8.16)
R_v	=	0.05 + 0.009 (I _{pre})
	=	0.05 + 0.009 (14) =0.17
L_{pre}	=	(<u>0.17</u>)(<u>0.30</u>)(<u>28.51</u>)(8.16)
	=	12.17 Ibs/year of total phosphorus
Where	e:	
L _{pre}	=	Average annual load of total phosphorus exported from the site prior to development (lbs/year)
R_{v}	=	Runoff coefficient, which expresses the fraction of rainfall which is converted into runoff
I _{pre}	=	Predevelopment (existing) site imperviousness (i.e., I=75 if site is 75% impervious
С	=	Flow-weighted mean concentration of pollutant (total phosphorus) in urban runoff (mg/l) = 0.30 mg/l
A	=	Area of the site within the Critical Area IDA (acres)

.

	: C	Calculate the Post-Development Load (L _{post})
A. N	ew Dev	elopment and Redevelopment
L _{post}	=	(R _v) (C) (A) (8.16)
R_{v}	=	$0.05 + 0.009 (I_{post})$
	. =	0.05 + 0.009 (<u>45.77</u>) = <u>0.46</u>
L _{post}	=	()()()()(8.16)
	=	32.24 Ibs/year of total phosphorus
Whe	ere:	
L _{post}	=	Average annual load of total phosphorus exported from the post- development site (lbs/year)
R_{v}	=	Runoff coefficient, which expresses the fraction of rainfall which is converted into runoff
I _{post}	=	Post-development (proposed) site imperviousness (i.e., I=75 if site 75% impervious
С	=	Flow-weighted mean concentration of pollutant (total phosphorus) i urban runoff (mg/l) = 0.30 mg/l
А	=	Area of the site within the Critical Area IDA (acres)
8.16	; =	Includes regional constraints and unit conversion factors
Step 4	: (Calculate the Pollutant Removal Requirement (RR)
RR		L _{post} - (0.9) (L _{pre})
	=	(<u>32.24</u>)-(0.9)(<u>14.26</u>)
	=	19.41 Ibs/year of total phosphorus
Whe	ere:	
RR	=	Polutant removal requirement (Ibs/year)
L _{post}	=	Average annual load of total phosphorus exported from the post- development site (lbs/year)

.

 \sim

Step 5: Identify Feasible BMP(s) Select BMP Options using the screening matricies provided in the Chapter 4 of the 2000 Maryland Stormwater Design Manual. Calculate the load removed for each option. (L_{post}) x (BMP_{RF}) x (% DA Served) = Load Removed BMP Type lbs/year x x = lbs/year lbs/year x x = x _____ x ____ = ____lbs/year Load Removed, LR (total) = 22.63 lbs/year Polutant Removal Requirement, RR (from Step 4) = **19.41** lbs/year Where: Load Removed = Annual total phosphorus load removed by the proposed BMP (lbs/year) = Average annual load of total phosphorus exported from the post-L_{post} development site (lbs/year) = BMP removal efficiency for total phosphorus, Table 4.8 (%) (BMP_{RE}) (% DA Served) = Fraction of the site area within the critical area IDA served by the BMP (%) = Polutant removal requirement (lbs/year) RR If the Load Removed is equal or greater than the Pollutant Removal Requirement computed in Step 4, the the onsite BMP complies with the 10% Rule. Has the RR (pollutant removal requirement) been met? Yes No