

On motion by Mr. Tilghman, the orders submitted by him to-day, relative to state directors in the several banks wherein the state is a stockholder, as already amended, were taken up for consideration and again read. When, on motion by Mr. Thomas of Cecil, the question was taken, Will the house agree further to amend said orders by inserting the following words at the end of the first said orders, viz.

“Provided, That in his opinion it will not be inconsistent with the interest of the said bank to which it is directed.”

And it was determined in the negative.

On motion by Mr. Semmes, the first of said orders was further amended, by inserting after the words, “in which the state is a stockholder” the words, “be requested.”

The question was then propounded, Will the house adopt the said orders, so amended as above? And the yeas and nays, being required by seven members, were taken and appeared as follow:

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs. Speaker
 Thomas of St. Mary's
 Hawkins
 Comegys
 Boon
 Kent
 Stewart of A. Arundel
 Sellman
 Bourne
 Banning
 Millis
 Teackle
 Donoho
 Gibbous
 Dennis
 Williams of Dorchester
 Goldsborough
 Mercer
 Semmes
 Beall
 Gantt
 Duvall
 Wells

Watkins
 Tilghman
 Stevens
 Ruth
 Williams of Worcester
 Hitch
 Powell
 Kemp
 Holtz
 Shriver
 Montgomery
 Smithson
 Sutton
 Orrell
 Douglas of Caroline
 Steuart of Baltimore
 Fitzhugh
 Walgamot
 Rentsch
 Lee
 M'Neill
 Hoblitzell—45.

NEGATIVE.

Messrs. Ringgold
 Linthicum
 Rogerson
 Turner
 Price
 Shower
 Ely
 Lloyd
 Thomas of Cecil

Harlan
 Hooper
 Thomas of Frederick
 Hope
 M'Mahon
 Peter
 Hughes of Montgomery
 Lansdale—17.

So it was resolved in the affirmative.

Mr. Mackey, who had been absent from the seat of government since the 16th instant, again appeared in the house and resumed his seat.

On motion by Mr. M'Culloh,

The house then adjourned until to-morrow morning ten o'clock.