

# VOTES AND PROCEEDINGS, November, 1788:

5

|   |  |                                    |                           |                                       |                              |     |
|---|--|------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----|
| N E G A T I V E.  |  |                                    |                           |                                       |                              |     |
| Messieurs<br>Chilton,<br>Chapman,<br>Sherwood,<br>Kerr, | Polk,<br>Murray,<br>Bond,<br>Matthews, | Digges,<br>Duvall,<br>J. Tilghman, | Sewell,<br>Potts,<br>Faw, | J. M'Pherson,<br>Edmondson,<br>Emory, | Shryock,<br>Taylor,<br>Lynn. | 16. |

So it was resolved in the affirmative.

The main question was then put. Resolved unanimously in the affirmative.

Mr. James Steele, a delegate returned for Dorchester county, appeared, and after qualifying in the mode prescribed by the constitution and form of government, took his seat in the house.

A petition from Andrew Hagerty, a soldier in the late war, praying the depreciation of his pay, was preferred, read, and referred to Mr. Forrest, Mr. Thomas, Mr. Duvall and Mr. Seney, to consider and report thereon.

The following question being propounded to the house, viz. That the petition of Samuel Chase and David M'Mechen, Esquires, respecting the late election of delegates for Baltimore-town, and the petition of sundry inhabitants of Baltimore-town on the same subject, be read a second time on Friday, the fourteenth instant; that notice hereof be given to the parties concerned; and that they be informed, that the clerk of this house will issue subpoenas for such witnesses as they may deem necessary; and that they will be heard by counsel if required? The previous question was called for and put, That the said question be now put? The yeas and nays being called for by Mr. Lecompte, appeared as follow:

|  |   |  |   |   |   |     |
|--|---|--|---|---|---|-----|
| A F F I R M A T I V E.   |   |  |   |   |   |     |
| Messieurs<br>Forrest,<br>Chilton,<br>Thomas,<br>R. Miller,<br>Comegys,<br>Harwood, | Mercer,<br>Chafe,<br>Worthington,<br>Gantt,<br>Fraizer, | Blake,<br>Ridgely,<br>Ridgely, of Wm.<br>Lecompte,<br>S. Miller, | Bravard,<br>Craufurd,<br>R. Bowie,<br>Quynn,<br>Duvall, | Seney,<br>J. S. Purnell,<br>Dennis,<br>Houston,<br>Faw, | Norris,<br>Pinkney,<br>Scott,<br>Mason,<br>O'Neale. | 31. |

|  |   |                                      |   |   |   |     |
|--|---|--------------------------------------|---|---|---|-----|
| N E G A T I V E.   |   |                                      |   |   |   |     |
| Messieurs<br>Dent,<br>Chapman,<br>W. M'Pherson,<br>Sherwood, | Kerr,<br>Chamberlaine,<br>Stewart,<br>Polk, | Murray,<br>Shaw,<br>Steele,<br>Bond, | Matthews,<br>Digges,<br>J. Tilghman,<br>Sewell, | Potts,<br>J. M'Pherson,<br>Edmondson,<br>Emory, | Shryock,<br>Taylor,<br>Lynn,<br>Dorsey. | 24. |

So it was resolved in the affirmative.

The main question was then put. Resolved unanimously in the affirmative.

The following question being propounded to the house, viz. That Samuel Chase and David M'Mechen, Esquires, or the petitioners against the legality of the election of James M'Henry and John Coulter, Esquires, sitting members, or their agents, describe with certainty, and under distinct heads, the particular facts they mean to prove in support of the prayer of the petitions against the said election, and furnish the said members therewith? The previous question was called for and put, That the said question be now put? The yeas and nays being called for by Mr. Potts, appeared as follow:

|   |  |  |  |   |   |     |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|-----|
| A F F I R M A T I V E.  |  |  |  |   |   |     |
| Messieurs<br>Dent,<br>Chapman,<br>W. M'Pherson,<br>Sherwood,<br>Kerr, | Chamberlaine,<br>Stewart,<br>Polk,<br>Murray,<br>Shaw, | Steele,<br>Bond,<br>Matthews,<br>Digges, | Duvall,<br>J. Tilghman,<br>Sewell,<br>Potts, | Faw,<br>J. M'Pherson,<br>Edmondson,<br>Emory, | Shryock,<br>Taylor,<br>Lynn,<br>Dorsey. | 26. |

|  |   |  |  |  |  |     |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|-----|
| N E G A T I V E.   |   |  |  |  |  |     |
| Messieurs<br>Forrest,<br>Chilton,<br>Thomas,<br>R. Miller,<br>Comegys, | Harwood,<br>Mercer,<br>Chafe,<br>Worthington,<br>Gantt, | Fraizer,<br>Blake,<br>Ridgely,<br>Ridgely, of Wm.<br>Lecompte, | S. Miller,<br>Bravard,<br>Craufurd,<br>R. Bowie,<br>Quynn, | Seney,<br>J. S. Purnell,<br>Dennis,<br>Houston,<br>Norris, | Pinkney,<br>Scott,<br>Mason,<br>O'Neale. | 29. |

So it was determined in the negative.

The house adjourns till to-morrow morning 9 o'clock.

## F R I D A Y, November 7, 1788.

THE house met. Present the same members as on yesterday. The proceedings of yesterday were read.

A petition from Job Garretson, of Baltimore county, praying that an execution issued against him, and his securities, for certain confiscated property heretofore bought, may be suspended until the honourable the chancellor shall decide on his case, was preferred, read, and referred to Mr. Seney, Mr. Ridgely, of William, Mr. Chapman, Mr. Pinkney and Mr. Blake, to consider and report thereon.

A petition from Robert Long, of Baltimore county, setting forth, that he became one of the securities of Mark Alexander to the state for part of a tract of confiscated property which has been discovered to lie foul of several elder tracts; that Mark Alexander, the principal, has taken the benefit of the late insolvent act, and praying that an execution which has issued against him as security aforesaid may be suspended until the chancellor shall decide on the contract; was preferred, read, and referred to the committee appointed on the petition of Job Garretson.

B

Mr.