

By Philip Lee, then Naval Officer of North Patowmack District.	} 1739.	John Boyd's Exchange, Indorsed by John Brown,	£. 13 17 1
		John Domat's Ditto, Indorsed by Robert Yeates,	53 11 8
		1740. James Montier on Robert Brown,	25 14 0
		1741. Randolph Johnson on John Buchanan,	4 0 6
And by Thomas Lee, his Executor, in	} 1747.	Thomas Hungerford on John Buchanan,	7 0 0
		And by said Executor's Account then dated, a Balance then due to the Province of	0 16 1 $\frac{1}{4}$

£. 104 19 4 $\frac{1}{4}$

By the Deputy Naval Officer of Oxford.	} 1744.	Barker on Asbburner,	40 8 0	
		Foster on Ditto,	53 11 7	
		Ackenleak on Catonack,	37 12 4	
		And in 1745 he charged a Bill, William Pemberton on Richard Gildart for	79 19 8	
		And the Trustees give Credit for said Bill only,	78 19 8	
			Difference to the Prejudice of the Province,	1 0 0

£. 132 11 11

But your Committee find the Trustees have given Credit to the Province in 1747 for Samuel Chamberlaine's Bill on William Anderson for

Which said Bill we don't find charged in any of the Naval Officers Accounts, and therefore think it probable the above Bills were Protested, and the same Sum has been by him since remitted for Reimbursement of the said Bills.

Also by the Deputy Naval Officer of Pocomoke.	} 1750.	Samuel Chamberlaine's Bills, but don't say on whom, transmitted to the Trustees, for which there is no Credit by them, for	31 7 6
		But they Credit the Province next Year his Bill on William Anderson, not charged in his Naval Officer's Accounts, for	27 0 0

Also the Naval Officer of Patuxent District in his Account, 1755, charges the Province as follows :

To John Knight's Exchange on Johnson and Company,	53 6 3
And Edward Barnes on Spencer for	34 12 5

Credit the Province by 15 d. per Hoghead on 995 Hogheads Tobacco,	£. 87 18 8
And charge the Ballance to next Account,	62 3 9
	25 14 11

£. 87 18 8

Which Ballance of 25 l. 14 s. 11 d. he transfers to the Debit of his next Year's Account against the Province; but it does not appear that the above Two Bills, amounting to 87 l. 18 s. 8 d. were ever Credited by the Trustees; yet by their Account of the next Year they Credited the Province by John Hanbury on said Naval Officer's Account 62 l. 3 s. 9 d. from which, we apprehend, the said Bills were not paid; and the said Naval Officer has ordered Mr. Hanbury to pay the Nett Sum due only on the Tobacco, by the preceding Account exported; by which the said Ballance of 25 l. 14 s. 11 d. carried to next Account against the Province, should have been again Credited, and not being so, is still due to the Province,

And for Ballance of his Account, 1756, he charges his Order on William Perkins in Favour of Messieurs Hunt and Hanbury remitted,	319 18 4
--	----------

And for Ballance of his Account, 1757, his Exchange on Mr. William Perkins, to be remitted to the Trustees by the first Ship,	286 1 3
---	---------

£. 631 14 6

But