

The bill was then read a third time by special order, passed, and returned to the house of delegates.

On motion, ordered that the senate will proceed at one o'clock on Monday next, to the election of a director on the part of the state in the bank of Baltimore.

The bill for the benefit of Lemuel Offeitt, Samuel Hardesty and Johnson Hardesty, of Montgomery county, was read a third time, rejected, and returned to the house of delegates.

Mr. Bowie offered the following message; which was read, assented to, and sent to the house of delegates.

By the Senate, January 27, 1826.

Gentlemen of the House of Delegates,

We have rejected the bill from your house, entitled "an act for the benefit of Lemuel Offeitt, and of Samuel Hardesty, and Johnson Hardesty of Montgomery county," because we believe that full and ample relief in these cases is provided by the act of eighteen hundred and twenty three, chapter eighty seven, to which we beg leave to refer you,

By Order,

Wm. Kilty. Clk.

Mr. Miller asked and obtained leave to bring in a bill, to be entitled, an act to repeal in part an act directing the time, places and manner of holding elections for representatives of this state in the congress of the United States, and for appointing electors on the part of this state, for choosing a president and vice president of the United States, and for the regulation of the said elections, and also to repeal the act of assembly therein mentioned, passed 14th December 1790, chapter 16; ordered, that Messrs Miller, Bowie and Dickenson be a committee to prepare and report the same.

Mr. Johnson from the committee to whom was referred, the bill for the relief of Jacob Ayres, of the city of Baltimore, reported that the committee had had the same under consideration and were of opinion that it ought to pass, with the following amendment, viz: at the end of the bill add, "and that like authority is hereby given and extend to any creditor or creditors of the said Jacob Ayres."

"*And be it enacted*, That nothing herein contained shall be construed to prevent any such creditor or creditors from exhibiting to Baltimore county court, allegations against the said Ayres, in the same manner as he, she or they, could have done upon the original application of said Ayres, for the benefit of the insolvent laws of Maryland; which allegations shall be tried by the said court in like manner as is now provided for the trial of allegations against other applicants for the benefit of said insolvent laws." Which amendment was read and assented to; the bill was then read a second and by special order a third time, passed with the proposed amendment, and returned to the house of delegates.