

stay at home he would do me a kindness upon that he says I let him stay he further says when he brought in his account for me to pay him he kept back one Piece for leaving him at home to the Value of Eighteen or Nineteen Shillings, now if he had brought in his whole account as I expected he had I should a paid him, I can be Qualified I never knew I owed him one farthing or over was to receive any gratuity from him upon that account untill that Petition was set on Foot, when I heard of it I went to him and asked him if I owed him upon that he made Answer as aforesaid, I then settled with him and paid him off, the next thing I am charged with in the Petition is about Samuel Armit and his Wife it would take a great deal of time to answer that part of the Petition and tire your Patience to hear it, however I deny the charge they have made against me in so far from my having any of their Effects in my Hand that Samuel Armit now owes me thirty nine Pounds and I am afraid I shall never get one farthing of it, if I have acted any ways contrary to Law why do they sue me if they had any Cause of Petition they would long ago. The next is about one Saylor & Dutch. Man I think it was about four years ago one Leaser sued him and was brought before me, Leaser proved his Account and Saylor made answer he had an account to prove I asked him what it was, he said it was for nursing Leaser in the Small Pox the aforesaid Leaser has it over light and after he got well he worked for him some time until Saylor was satisfied for his trouble then I asked Saylor if he did intend to charge Leaser for the Nursing of him at that time he made answer no if Leaser had not Warranted him and made him. Had he would a never paid one shilling about it so I think these trumped Accounts and especially of a long standing ought not to be allowed.

The next is about one Michael Creagor a Worthless fellow ^{for as} I can learn he lives some distance from me but where I know not, he pretends to prove his Assertion by some of his own sort only, Samuel Magruder Constable I asked him about it he says he knows nothing of the matter in so far from my receiving double fees for one Warrant I dont get single fees. I desire you will be so good as to send me three Summonis one for Peter Shuman and one for John Furgulson and another for Thomas Johnson and appoint the first Wednesday in July for a hearing. and in so doing you will very much Oblige
30. April 1767.

To
Upton Scott Esq. Clerk of the Council

Your very humble servant
P. Bainbridge.

This is to Certify to his Excellency that I Philip Rodenfiller and Peter Bainbridge have settled and made up all our Accounts to this Day and the said Rodenfiller has nothing against the said Bainbridge being continued in Commission, as Witness my hand this 27. day of April 1767.
Philip Rodenfiller.

To His Excellency Horatio Sharpe Esq. Governour and Commander in Chief in and over the Province of Maryland.

The humble Petition of the Inhabitants of Kitocton hundred in Frederick County and Province aforesaid humbly sheweth.

That some time ago a certain Peter Shuman went about with a Petition through the Neighbourhood and told some of us that it was a Petition to send to the Governour in order to get a Justice, and others of us he told it was a Petition for a Road, and since Capt. Bainbridge has got the Petition from Annapolis and read it to us we declare that we know of no such things as he is charged with in the aforesaid Petition and we believe it to be done out of Spite and malice of two or three ill disposed Persons without any Reason therefor we the Neighbours of Capt. Bainbridge beg it as a favour of your Excellency to continue the aforesaid Capt. Bainbridge in his Commission and your humble Petitioners will be for ever in Duty bound to pray.

The above is signed by Twenty One