

one just system of taxation, and that is pointed out by the bill of rights—that every person in the state ought to contribute his proportion of public taxes for the support of government, according to his actual worth in real or personal property within this state.

There seems then to be no reason, why the agriculturist should contribute more than his proportion of indirect taxes; and we therefore recommend that the bill, entitled, An act to provide a revenue for the support of the government of this state, be rejected, until a general system of taxation, according to the spirit of the bill of rights, shall be adopted. So soon as there shall be a general contribution to the treasury, from each individual, according to his actual worth, the agriculturist will not ask to be exempt.

Respectfully submitted,

By order, Jacob S. Smith, Com. Clk.

On motion by Mr. Sappington, the question was then propounded, That the house do now proceed to the consideration of the bill, reported by Mr. Chapman, as chairman of the committee on ways and means, entitled, An act to provide a revenue for the support of the government of this state?

The yeas and nays being required by seven members, were taken and appeared as follow:

AFFIRMATIVE.		
Messrs. Hawkins	Crabb	Tyson
R. Thomas	Winchester	Stricker
M. Smith	Barnes	Tidball—13
Peach	Sappington	
Speed	M'Ilhenny	
NEGATIVE		
Messrs. Speaker	Denny	Hitch
Gough	Dennis	Norris
Wilmer	Gibbons	Sutton
Stewart	Teackle	Montgomery
Compton	Wright	Keene
Kent	Griffith	Hardcastle
Linthicum	J. W. Thomas	Brown
Rogerson	Harlan	Newcomer
King	Thompson	E Hughes
M. Culloh	Beall	Peter
Price	Du Val	Janes
Buchanan	Ridgeway	Lansdale
Turner	Slemaker	Armstrong
Reyner	Bishop	Shaw—42

So it was determined in the negative.

On motion by Mr. Teackle, the question was propounded, That the house do now proceed to the consideration of the bill, reported by him, from the committee on ways and means, entitled, An act concerning land warrants, and for other purposes?