Maryland Journal

TOWSON, MD.

Saturday, February 1, 1890.

ARBITRARY SPEAKER REED.

Last week the JOURNAL referred to the exceeding arbitrary tactics of Speaker Reed as Speaker of the House. On Wednesday 29th he gave another exhibition of how far he was willing to go to carry out the evident designs of the Republican majority of the House to so rush things and tie them up as to aid the Republican party to retain its hold upon the country. The attempt was to force a vote in the House upon certain contested election cases from West Virginia and the seat held by Hon. Barnes Compton, of the 5th Maryland District, before the adoption of rules for the government of the House. Upon a motion to take up the West Virginia cases, the Democrats refused to answer to their names. Speaker Reed then took the names of the Democrats and declared a quorum present. This arbitrary act greatly excited the Democrats, but they kept cool sufficient to prevent their committing any discreditable act. The unjustifiable act of the Speaker did not meet the concurrence of a number of fair-minded Republicans who love fair dealing. Mr. Crisp (Democrat) of Georgia administered a scathing rebuke to the Speaker upon his outrageous act, as well did ex-Speaker Carlisle. The House finally adjourned without the Speaker accomplishing his object.

Speaker Reed pursued the same disgraceful tactics on Thursday that he did on Wednesday, giving Republican members full sway in all they had to say and in motions made, and denying them to Democrats, and in counting as present Democrats who declined to vote on account of the arbitrary and revolutionary acts of the Speaker. The greatest confusion prevailed throughout the entire session of the House, which lasted until 6.30 P. M. The galleries were crowded.

A HARSH TAX.

The letter of Mayor Davidson to the members of the General Assembly in which he protests against the proposition of ex-Governor Bowie that the Legislature release the City Passenger Railway Company from the power of the city, at each fifteen years to purchase its lines, is no doubt a good one. The ex-Governor evidently regards this provision very like a sword of Damocles hanging over his company, and says it is an effectual bar to them selling its lines, if it were desirable to do so. No doubt this it true, and it is no doubt Mayor Davidson's duty to get all he can for the city out of all the city railways. The Mayor in his letter says the stock is worth $90 per share, with only $17 paid up. This being the case the people should have relief. That odious tax of one cent from every passenger who rides, and that one cent to go to the maintenance of the various Parks in the city, should be abolished. Eight-tenths of the people who use the city railways are very poor people, and it is not right to tax them to maintain a pleasure ground for rich people to drive in their carriages. To be sure the poor can walk on the paths, and although the Parks are poetically called "the poor man's pleasure ground," yet it takes nearly all the thousands of dollars received to keep in repair the driving roads. The Park should be maintained from the general tax levy and the railway fare reduced to, say, 3 cents. We believe, with the late A. S. Abell, that the city railways would be handsomely remunerative at a 3-cent fare, and pay better salaries than now to its employees. No other city in the country taxes its poor people one cent every time he uses a city railway car to maintain its public parks. It is a harsh tax.

Gov. Bowie in a stinging letter in the daily papers of Wednesday refers to the Mayor's letter, and uses some very sarcastic language.—Evidently the Mayor's views struck the old ex-Governor as with a 40-pound sledge-hammer.

AN EXPENSIVE FELLOW.

Congressman Peters, of Kansas, says he cannot stay in Congress any longer and gives the following doleful reason :

"I simply can't live within my salary. That's all there is about it. I can make money as a lawyer at home, whereas as a law-maker here, I'm only losing more money every year. Just consider my expenses, and I know there are dozens of parallel cases. I pay $150 a month for an ordinary room at the National Hotel, and it is a very ordinary room. Then I have to hire a stenographer to write my letters, and send out my documents and seeds. He costs me about $100 a month. My postage stamp account is about $1 a day. I pay out from $30 to $40 a month for carriage and hansom and herdics, hiring around on errands. If I didn't do this, I never would get them done. I have a man to look after cases in the Land Office—the cases of my constituents. He is an expert, and I pay him fees for what ever he does—$40 or $50 a month. I take and pay for about seventy-five newspapers, all but two or three of them published in my district, for which I pay about $600 a year, or $50 a month. Then, if you add about $60 a month for incidental expenses, for money loaned to impecunious persons who represent themselves to be my constituents, and for other purposes, you will have my expenses pretty well covered, and the total is $40 a month, or $50 more than my salary. I can't afford to keep this up."

Now, any Congressman who will give $150 a month (a little over $21 a day) for *any* room in that ancient old hostelry, the National Hotel, must be as yaller as the daisies of his State. But the most coolest thing of all Cong. Peters says he "takes and pays for about 75 newspapers (all from Kansas, and nearly all from his "deestrick.") This last statement is the best Cong. Peters makes, and shows his good sense. Those 75 impecunious Kansas editors, however, should let up on Peters. Kansas can't afford to loose so dear a fellow as that. If he takes 75 newspapers (a little over 10 a day) it will take him all night to read them. What in thunder does this busy fellow do for sleeping hours, supposing he attends to his duties in the House as well as his constituents and the calls of those "impecunious persons" from Kansas? As Peters comes from a Prohibition State, we wouldn't for a moment suspect that any of the "incidental expenses" referred to is for that peculiarly agreeable fluid which is said to "warm the cockles of the heart."

THE MUDD-COMPTON CASE.

The Mudd-Compton case was argued before the House Committee on Tuesday, 28th ult., and the Republicans hope to take it up for consideration before the end of the week.—The indications are that they have made up their minds to rush the cases of Smith against Jackson, and Atkinson against Pendleton, from West Virginia, and that of Mudd against Compton, from Maryland. The other West Virginia contest, that of McGinnis against Alderson, will, from all indications, be conceded to the Democrats. Alderson, the Democrat, has made his title quite clear, and the Republicans are too shrewd to undertake to unseat him. They will earn a reputation for fairness and non-partisanship by reporting in favor of Alderson and against the others.—*Baltimore American's Washington Correspondence.*

On Sunday 25th ult. during confirmation services at St. John's Episcopal Church, corner of St. John and Brown streets, Philadelphia, the congregation was startled by the actions of an apparently demented young man, who arose from his seat in the church, and, pointing a revolver at Bishop Whitaker, who was taking part in the services, fired a shot at him. Immediately there was great confusion, but it was quickly seen that no harm had resulted from the shot. An officer was hastily summoned, and the would-be-murderer taken into custody. At the Station House he gave his name as David Alexander. It is since ascertained the young man is demented.

The JOURNAL is indebted to Hon. J. Fred. C. Talbott for Vol. 27, Part 2, "Official Records of the War of the Rebellion."

BALTIMORE'S OPPORTUNITY.

How wonderfully appropriate and prophetic are the words of Shakespeare. He says :

There is a tide in the affairs of men,
Which, taken at the full, leads on to fortune.

This is true not only of men, but of a country, of a State, of a county, of a city; and especially of Baltimore city at the present time. She has splendid projects presented to her at the present time, which, if allowed to lapse or are pressed out of sight by onerous demands, may never again present themselves, or be deferred for many years. This tide to which Shakespeare refers may very appropriately be applied to the new railroad facilities now offered to her in the shape of the Belt Line Railroad. There is not a citizen of Baltimore, of Baltimore county, or of the State, who does not know and say that the building of this road would be of the utmost importance to the prosperity of the city as well as to Baltimore county. A partial examination of the map of the line shows that at no point would it seriously damage the face of the city. At its point of commencement on the west bank of tide-water, not far from Locust Point, it crosses several not vitally important streets, bridging two. When the line strikes Howard street the depressed portion is on the west side of that street, next to the Baltimore and Ohio Freight Station. It leaves one-half of that street open to traffic, and there are at any rate but little else than business houses of all kinds, some large, others small, on that street down to where the road branches off. The tunnel under Howard street certainly could not injure the houses along that thoroughfare. If it did, the company would be bound to pay for any damage. When the tunnel emerges near Preston street it would take some property that has a prospective value only because of Mount Royal Avenue, which will some day, in the considerable future, be a favorite drive to Druid Hill Park. As a business consideration, however, it will make no difference whether there be a fine drive or not to the Park—that fact merely concerns rich people with carriages, not the great body of the public. A very important provision is the raising of the grade of North Avenue Bridge ten feet above its present level. This would necessitate the filling up of the street from about Maryland Avenue on the east to about Stewart & Mowen's livery stable on the west.

As a matter of course a big enterprise like this through the heart of a large city like Baltimore cannot be accomplished without interfering somewhat with the face of the city, and the taking of private property, but this latter the railroad company is willing to pay for, as it is any private property it may need in Baltimore county. And, too, nearly all the property affected, either in the city or in Baltimore county, is on the market.

Our contemporary of the *Sun* is working itself into a stew over the matter and expects large returns to the city treasury for the privilege of passing through the city as well as a large annual income in addition. Here is where the trouble comes in. If the Councils' demands are onerous, it may almost amount to punitive considerations. In such case the Councils have it in their power to kill a goose that will lay a golden egg. Just now everybody is hoping for prosperity in business affairs, and if the City Council's demands are so onerous as to prevent the expenditure of from $7,000,000 to $10,000,000, the expected business boom will have to look to other objects. And what could be better than the spending of at least $7,000,000! The matter cannot be long delayed. When the subject comes before the Council on its merits there should be no doubt that that body will deal with it so that the enterprise may be accomplished and the city fully protected.

MAYOR DAVIDSON'S MESSAGE.

The first message of Mayor Davidson, of Baltimore was read to both Branches of the City Council, on Monday evening, 27th ult. It is almost needless to say it is a highly interesting document to the citizens of Baltimore, whom it immediately concerns. The *Sun* has made a very careful summery which we append :

The Mayor's Message begins with some comment upon the advantages of this city for the successful prosecution of manufacturing, trade and commerce, which he finds to be numerous.

The funded debt of the city December 31st, 1889, was $36,738,107. If to this sum be added the indebtedness of Western Maryland Railroad bonds the total funded and guaranteed debt on the date named is found to have been $37,730,107. Deducting productive assets the balance of debt over available income and realizing securities is $9,290,471. Taxpayers are called upon to provide interest upon $17,485,691, but of this amount the city pays interest to itself upon $6,552,491, which is in the sinking fund. This fund has been increased by $258 537 in the past year. The increase of bonded debt was $699,570; of floating debt $83,895. The amount of debt to be paid off in 1890 is $12,862,194. As the five million loan was paid off on January 2d, 1890, the bonded debt of the city at present in really but $31,738,085.

The receipts of the city in 1889 were $8,363,609, an increase of $514,218 over 1888. The disbursements of the city government in 1389 were $5,126,344, as against $4,986,255 in 1888.

The present payment by the city of interest on the Belair Depot notes is disapproved, and it is suggested that the notes be paid. Money for the purpose may be had either from a saving in interest on the notes, or from a proper high-license bill, which would give the city $500,000 annually.

The probable cost of opening Douglas street in the manner proposed is found to be fully $500,000 in addition to the cost it will entail upon property-holders. This is pronounced excessive and out of all proportion to the benefit to be gained. A more reasonable and moderate plan is that would result in the straightening property to be aimed at would cost not over $225,000. The repeal of the ordinance to widen Douglas street is accordingly recommended.

The item of $75,000 allotted to the opening of Barclay street is also considered excessive.

Public schools cost in 1889 as much as $847,873, exclusive of $67,847 paid for repairs and new buildings. The average daily attendance was 40,014.—For 1890 the School Board asks for $955,500. The city paid into the State School Fund $100,000 more than it got from it—an imposition upon Baltimore taxpayers that ought to be corrected.

The city has at present no contract with the Gas Company nor with the Electric Lighting Company. It pays for gas $1.25 per 1,000 feet, whereas gas can be produced at a cost of 60 cents per 1,000 feet. It is recommended that the city borrow $5,000,000, or so much thereof as may be necessary, to make its own gas, just as it provides its own water. There is no reason, it is alleged, why a Gas Board cannot be found as honest and capable as our Water Board. We have at present in use 5,423 gas lamps, an increase of 116. Of electric lights we have 695 are lights, costing at present 40 cents each per night. In view of their number the cost, it is suggested, should be less.

It is recommended that the Commissioner of Street Cleaning be authorized to employ the men whom he has to direct, an ordinance to enable him to do so is submitted to the council.

The Belt Line Railroad project, with suitable safeguards, is approved, but should not be authorized until all claims for exemption from municipal taxation are waived and abandoned.

The right of the Western Maryland Railroad to use the Belt Line tracks on favorable terms should be assured. Bayview Asylum had in the past year 1,236 inmates, 994 of whom were of foreign birth. It is recommended that an effort should be made to prevent other communities from saddling the care of their pauper insane upon this city.

If our new Mayor means by "other communities" the authorities of Baltimore county, "saddling the case of their pauper insane" upon that city, he is in error—the boot is upon the other leg. Paupers are turned loose from Bay View into Baltimore county, and find their way into the hands of our officers. They have on Bay View clothing but when returned to that institution the officers refuse to receive them; neither will the Mayor, as some were some time since taken to the Mayor's office and he refused to send them to Bay View. They should have been turned loose upon the streets of Baltimore, but humanity forbade it.

THE WORLD'S FAIR BILL.

The action of the House of Delegates of the New York Legislature, coupled with the act of ex-Senator Platt, who has turned the affair into the political channel, shows that the bill to permit New York city to issue $10,000,000 of bonds for conducting the proposed World's Fair, is of a disgraceful character. New Yorkers care nothing for the Fair only so far as they can make money out of it. Congress should not allow such condition of circumstances to control it, but order the Fair held at Washington and appropriate sufficient money from the public treasury. No $15,000,000 is necessary. There's a cat in the New York and Chicago meal tubs.

The work of double-tracking the Northern Central Railway from York to Harrisburg, a distance of 28 miles, is being pushed rapidly.

The JOURNAL is indebted to Col. L. Victor Baughman, Comptroller, for a copy of recent report to the Legislature.

Secretary Proctor has purchased the sword of the late Gen. Shields for the sum of $10,000.

[Correspondence of the Maryland Journal.]
OUR ANNAPOLIS LETTER.

ANNAPOLIS, Jan. 29th, 1890.

The Senate and House resumed their sessions on Monday night 28th and transacted a considerable amount of important business at the evening session.

SENATE.

Jan. 27th.—Bills introduced—By Mr. Lindsay, an Act to pay Charles T. Cookey a sum of money for work done and material furnished for fencing one-half of line fence between his property and the property of the State, viz : Pikesville Arsenal.

Also, a bill entitled an Act to pay to J. H. Medairy & Co. a sum of money due them for supplies furnished to State Tobacco Warehouse No. 2, and for accounts assigned to them (for valuable consideration) for supplies furnished to said Warehouse No. 2.

Jan. 28th.—By Mr. Lindsay, Senate bill entitled an Act to amend the charter of the Deer Creek Mining and Construction Company of Baltimore City.

Jan. 29th.—Petition—By Mr. Lindsay, from H. C. Turnbull and others for a law to prohibit the sale of liquor within one mile of Govanstown School House.

Mr. Lindsay, from committee to notify Mr. John Gill of his election as Police Commissioner, reported, and Mr. Gill's letter of acceptance was read.

Jan. 30th.—Bill introduced—By Mr. Lindsay, to refund to J. Harman Schone, late Treasurer of Baltimore County, taxes paid in error.

The Senate passed a bill to make the 12th of September a legal holiday.

HOUSE.

Jan. 27th.—Second reading of bill—The bill entitled an Act, with amendments, Sec. 5, Article 3, Public Local Laws, title "Baltimore County," sub-title "Birds and Game."

Leaves—To Mr. Rich, to the Baltimore and Harford county delegations to introduce a bill, an Act to provide for the execution of the laws for the protection of wild fowl in the Gunpowder and Bush Rivers and their tributaries in the counties of Baltimore and Harford.

Jan. 29th.—Leaves for bills—By Mr. McCabe, to provide the mode of constructing and operating the Belt Line Railroad in Baltimore city and county. The provisions of the bill are as follows :

WHEREAS, The Baltimore Belt Line Railroad Company, a company duly organized under the Act of 1876, Chapter 242, now forming a part of Article 23 of the Code of Public General Laws, proposes to construct a line of railroad passing through parts of Baltimore city and parts of Baltimore county, and in making its said railroad to construct the same in a tunnel for part of the route thereof; and, whereas, the construction of said tunnel involves a large and heavy cost :

Section 1. Be it enacted, That for the transportation of passengers over said line or any part thereof the said company shall be entitled to charge any sum not exceeding the rate of 10 cents per mile or fraction of a mile for each passenger, and shall be authorized to construct its railroad or any part thereof in such tunnel under such ordinance or ordinances as may be passed by the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore relating hereto.

Sec. 2. And be it further enacted, That the said railroad company be, and it hereby is, authorized and empowered to connect its tracks with those of any other railroad at any points upon its route, making such point its terminus if it should so desire, and to enter into agreements with any other railroad companies for the use of its tracks and other property upon such terms as may be agreed upon between it and such other companies, said agreements being hereby authorized to make such connections and enter into such agreements.

Sec. 3. And be it further enacted, That in the exercise of the powers of condemnation by said company for the acquisition of property or land or any easement therein or right appurtenant thereto for its said railroad, within said city, in the manner provided by Article 23 of the Code of Public General Laws of the State of Maryland, the Superior Court of Baltimore, the Court of Common Pleas and the Baltimore City Court and the Clerks thereof, and the Sheriff of said city and the jurors summoned by him from the inhabitants of said city, and any Justice of the Peace of said city and all parties interested in the exercise of said powers shall respectively have the same powers and rights which are conferred upon the Circuit Courts of the counties and the Clerks thereof, and the Sheriff of said counties and jurors summoned by them from the inhabitants of said counties and the Justices of the Peace of said counties and the parties interested in the exercise of the powers under said Article 23, and the inquisitions shall be returned by the Sheriff to the Clerk of the Court which may be named in that behalf out of the Justice of the Peace, and said Courts may confirm said inquisition at any time after the return of said inquisition to the Clerk of said Court if no sufficient cause to the contrary be shown; but fifteen days after such return shall be allowed in which to file objections to the confirmation of any such inquisition.

Sec. 4. And be it further enacted, That this Act shall take effect from the date of its passage.

By Mr. Parlett, to give the 2d District of Baltimore county an additional Constable.

THE COMPULSORY HAY-WEIGHING BILL.

On Wednesday morning 29th a delegation of Baltimore county farmers, consisting of Messrs. C. Lyon Rogers, Washington Stevenson, John G. Rider, Geo. H. Merryman, Henry C. Merryman, Edward S. Peerce, George Peerce, Henry Doering, David Kalb, Samuel C. Heird, Chas. Forman, August Stern, appeared before the Ways and Means Committee in reference to the bill now before the Legislature to strike out the compulsory feature for the weighing of hay, straw, corn, &c.

The Committee, Dr. Shaw, Chairman, received the delegation at 11 o'clock A. M. There were present Senator Lindsay, Senator Peters of Howard, and Delegates Parlett and Drach of Baltimore county, and Delegate Richardson of Harford.

Senator Peters of Howard was the first to speak. He was in favor of the provisions of the bill. At present the fees paid by farmers in Baltimore, Howard, Carroll, Harford and Anne Arundel counties for the weighing of hay, straw, corn, &c., was greater in amount than the county taxes upon their property.—The bill was passed when the bill came before the Senate he would support it with great pleasure. The Senator stated that the aggregate amount of any fees received at the various scales does not amount to more than $500. This matter could have been settled long since, but the farmers themselves did not move in the matter. They should act promptly for their own interests, and the General Assembly would no doubt grant their request. A per-cent no fees are required for baled hay or straw.

Mr. C. Lyon Roger, of Baltimore county, addressed the Committee. He desired to make no attack upon either the State Scales or of the employees. He referred to the great trouble and expense to which farmers were put when a load of hay is weighed, and a certificate given when presented to the person to whom the hay is sold, the seller, objecting to the certificate, is compelled to take his hay to the State Scales and have it weighed, and then take his hay back to where he first had sold it. This double tax was very heavy upon the farmers. Farmers pay a tax upon everything they possess.

Dr. Shaw read the provisions of the bill, when it was asked by all the delegation present that an amendment should be made to the bill, that where a buyer objected to a weigh receipt from any scales other than the State scales and desired a load of hay weighed at the State Scales, the buyer should be required to pay the expenses of such weighing.

Your delegates, Rich, (a member of the Ways and Means Committee,) Parlett and Drach, interested themselves in the request of the delegation to see that their wishes were granted. Delegate Richardson, of Harford, also expressed himself as favorable to the request of the delegation, and he would vote for the bill when it came before the House.

Mr. Charles Forman, of the 1st District, also addressed the Committee. He seemed opposed to any interference with the State Scales, or the compulsory feature of weighing. If the people knew the nature was striking out it would seriously reduce the receipts at the State Scales, and he did not think that was justice to the officers at the Scales, as the laborer was worthy of his hire. Mr. Forman made quite a forensic effort and drew, in his remarks, upon poetry, the Bible, and metaphysics.

The Committee listened patiently to all the speeches from all who had spoken and informed the delegation that their wishes would very likely be respected.

CHESAPEAKE AND OHIO CANAL.

When the resolution to authorize the Attorney General to appear before the Court at Hagerstown in reference to the question before that tribunal to appoint receivers for the Chesapeake and Ohio Canal was before the House, your delegate, Mr. Edward N. Rich, in the discussion of the interesting question, showed that he was prompt and clear in debate. He handled the subject with much ability and had the House with him. The question was very ably discussed by Messrs. Carter (son of Hon. Bernard Carter) of the city delegation, Mr. Laird of Montgomery, and Dr. Shaw, Chairman of the Ways and Means Committee, and others. The debate upon the resolution was highly interesting as involving the existence of the Chesapeake and Ohio Canal as a waterway. They showed that they fully understand the entire question. The resolution failed for want of a two-thirds vote, and went over until Thursday.

On Thursday the House passed the resolution by a vote of 50 yeas to 29 nays.

A big legal battle will no doubt take place for the corpus of the canal, when it is stated that Attorney-General Whyte, Senator John P. Poe, Bernard Carter, Bernard M. Carter, and Messrs. John K. Cowen, S. Teackle Wallis, Bradley T. Johnson, Bradley S. Johnson, H. H. Keedy, J. Clarence Lane and Hugh L. Bond were present on Friday at Hagerstown. It looks like it were a coroner's jury.

Jan. 30th.—Leave—By Mr. Shaw, (Ways and Means Committee,) to appropriate money to pay for repairs to the State Normal School building.

A SHORT AND SHARP TARIFF LESSON.

A cemetery, razer, coal-scuttle full of rain water and blood; dead man with throat out leaning over coal-scuttle; note in dead man's pocket: "Machinist; out of work; no use struggling; good-bye." Wife and three children starving; rich country; plenty of wealth; everybody well off; Republican administration; good times; high tariff; 47 per cent; everything prosperous.

Let the dead and the beautiful rest and the Christmas bells ring on.

[*Harrisburg (Pa.) Patriot.*

[Correspondence of the MARYLAND JOURNAL.]
BALTIMORE COUNTY ROADS.

GLYNDON, BALTIMORE COUNTY, } January 25th, 1890. }

To the Editor of the JOURNAL :

I have noticed for some weeks past that the county roads has been the all-absorbing topic. Various plans and suggestions have been offered as a remedy—some good and *some* good for *nothing*. With all due regard and consideration for the "Councilman law" and the bond system, both having their good points, it seems to me that the "proposed change" in the old Road Law, which was adopted at the meeting held at Mr. Barton's office, in Baltimore, on January 18th, is the most practical, for the following reasons :

1st. It will prevent Road Supervisors from economizing in the amount of work done on the roads, and citizens will be more likely to get the full value of the money paid by them in road tax.

2d. It remains in the hands of the citizens and tax-paying public to say *when* such extra levy of 10 cents shall be levied by the County Commissioners.

If this proposed change is adopted by the Legislature, I have no doubt that we shall soon have good roads in place of the mud ones, through which we are now compelled to "wend our weary way."

The old road system of Supervisors is "played out," at least here with us. As for the other Districts I cannot speak, only I am quite sure that the 4th is not alone in "mud roads."

The inefficiency of the Supervisor system is most plainly evident in this part of the 4th District. For instance, take the county road leading from Reisterstown to Cockeysville, one of the principal thoroughfares in the District. This road from Glyndon *to the cross road* leading by Barr's mill and thence to Woodensburg is in good condition, but *here* the good ceases.

From the said cross road to St. John's Church and Dover Post Office is in some places almost impassable and unfit for travel, several places being nearly axle-deep, where, by a small amount of labor, it could have been made good.

The question may possibly suggest itself why is it that a part of that road is so much worse than the other? This is easily explained.—The work and money which, by right, should have been equally divided and "the rough places made smooth" with it, has been placed upon that part of the road which was not *really* in *need* of it, and where it would be useful to *only* a "chosen few," our Supervisor being among the "elect"—in fact, the greater amount of work has been put upon the Glyndon end, and nothing, comparatively speaking, on the part which has needed repairing most sadly for the last two years at least. "Quae cum ita sint." Can any one wonder at it that the residents of this part of the 4th District cry out like the frogs in the fable against the tyrannical rule of the stork praying for even a log instead, and are willing to try any system or plan rather than continue the present system of Supervisors and bad roads?

I have just noticed an article by your Woodensburg correspondent "Now and Then," advocating a system of taxation long since defunct, viz : poll tax. Poor "Now and Then!" How sad it is that he, like Rip Van Winkle, has been indulging in a long, long nap, and is not therefore fully awake to the great amount of progress which civilization has made in the nineteenth century. This seems to be the only reason that I can find by which to excuse him for not having fully aware of the *uselessness* of the poll-tax system; good enough, no doubt, in one way, but look at the vast injustice.

Now, perhaps, my "brother's" position would have been more tenable had he proposed a special tax to be levied only upon "old bachelors and contentious old maids," of which, I understand from one of "his brothers in office," "the woods are full of."

I am indeed greatly surprised to hear a man of his experience speak so highly of a plan which has long since been tried and abandoned in nearly every instance as a failure. Now, let us review some few of the methods by which the different States undertake to keep up their roads, and then compare them with the admirable plan which has been adopted by our committee on roads.

Let us first glance over the county roads in Eastern North Carolina, where a system very similar to that proposed by "Now and Then" may be shown; but fifteen days after such return shall be allowed in which to file objections to the confirmation of any such inquisition. but fifteen days after such return shall be allowed in which to file objections to the confirmation of any such inquisition.

Sec. 4. And be it further enacted, That this Act shall take effect from the date of its passage.

By Mr. Parlett, to give the 2d District of Baltimore county an additional Constable.

THE COMPULSORY HAY-WEIGHING BILL.

On Wednesday morning 29th a delegation of Baltimore county farmers, consisting of Messrs. C. Lyon Rogers, Washington Stevenson, John G. Rider, Geo. H. Merryman, Henry C. Merryman, Edward S. Peerce, George Peerce, Henry Doering, David Kalb, Samuel C. Heird, Chas. Forman, August Stern, appeared before the Ways and Means Committee in reference to the bill now before the Legislature to strike out the compulsory feature for the weighing of hay, straw, corn, &c.

The Committee, Dr. Shaw, Chairman, received the delegation at 11 o'clock A. M. There were present Senator Lindsay, Senator Peters of Howard, and Delegates Parlett and Drach of Baltimore county, and Delegate Richardson of Harford.

Senator Peters of Howard was the first to speak. He was in favor of the provisions of the bill. At present the fees paid by farmers in Baltimore, Howard, Carroll, Harford and Anne Arundel counties for the weighing of hay, straw, corn, &c., was greater in amount than the county taxes upon their property.—The bill was passed when the bill came before the Senate he would support it with great pleasure. The Senator stated that the aggregate amount of any fees received at the various scales does not amount to more than $500. This matter could have been settled long since, but the farmers themselves did not move in the matter. They should act promptly for their own interests, and the General Assembly would no doubt grant their request. A per-cent no fees are required for baled hay or straw.

Mr. C. Lyon Roger, of Baltimore county, addressed the Committee. He desired to make no attack upon either the State Scales or of the employees. He referred to the great trouble and expense to which farmers were put when a load of hay is weighed, and a certificate given when presented to the person to whom the hay is sold, the seller, objecting to the certificate, is compelled to take his hay to the State Scales and have it weighed, and then take his hay back to where he first had sold it. This double tax was very heavy upon the farmers. Farmers pay a tax upon everything they possess.

Dr. Shaw read the provisions of the bill, when it was asked by all the delegation present that an amendment should be made to the bill, that where a buyer objected to a weigh receipt from any scales other than the State scales and desired a load of hay weighed at the State Scales, the buyer should be required to pay the expenses of such weighing.

Your delegates, Rich, (a member of the Ways and Means Committee,) Parlett and Drach, interested themselves in the request of the delegation to see that their wishes were granted. Delegate Richardson, of Harford, also expressed himself as favorable to the request of the delegation, and he would vote for the bill when it came before the House.

Mr. Charles Forman, of the 1st District, also addressed the Committee. He seemed opposed to any interference with the State Scales, or the compulsory feature of weighing. If the people knew the nature was striking out it would seriously reduce the receipts at the State Scales, and he did not think that was justice to the officers at the Scales, as the laborer was worthy of his hire. Mr. Forman made quite a forensic effort and drew, in his remarks, upon poetry, the Bible, and metaphysics.

The Committee listened patiently to all the speeches from all who had spoken and informed the delegation that their wishes would very likely be respected.

CHESAPEAKE AND OHIO CANAL.

When the resolution to authorize the Attorney General to appear before the Court at Hagerstown in reference to the question before that tribunal to appoint receivers for the Chesapeake and Ohio Canal was before the House, your delegate, Mr. Edward N. Rich, in the discussion of the interesting question, showed that he was prompt and clear in debate. He handled the subject with much ability and had the House with him. The question was very ably discussed by Messrs. Carter (son of Hon. Bernard Carter) of the city delegation, Mr. Laird of Montgomery, and Dr. Shaw, Chairman of the Ways and Means Committee, and others. The debate upon the resolution was highly interesting as involving the existence of the Chesapeake and Ohio Canal as a waterway. They showed that they fully understand the entire question. The resolution failed for want of a two-thirds vote, and went over until Thursday.

On Thursday the House passed the resolution by a vote of 50 yeas to 29 nays.

A big legal battle will no doubt take place for the corpus of the canal, when it is stated that Attorney-General Whyte, Senator John P. Poe, Bernard Carter, Bernard M. Carter, and Messrs. John K. Cowen, S. Teackle Wallis, Bradley T. Johnson, Bradley S. Johnson, H. H. Keedy, J. Clarence Lane and Hugh L. Bond were present on Friday at Hagerstown. It looks like it were a coroner's jury.

Jan. 30th.—Leave—By Mr. Shaw, (Ways and Means Committee,) to appropriate money to pay for repairs to the State Normal School building.

A SHORT AND SHARP TARIFF LESSON.

A cemetery, razer, coal-scuttle full of rain water and blood; dead man with throat out leaning over coal-scuttle; note in dead man's pocket: "Machinist; out of work; no use struggling; good-bye." Wife and three children starving; rich country; plenty of wealth; everybody well off; Republican administration; good times; high tariff; 47 per cent; everything prosperous.

Let the dead and the beautiful rest and the Christmas bells ring on.

[*Harrisburg (Pa.) Patriot.*

[Correspondence of the MARYLAND JOURNAL.]
BALTIMORE COUNTY ROADS.

GLYNDON, BALTIMORE COUNTY, } January 25th, 1890. }

To the Editor of the JOURNAL :

I have noticed for some weeks past that the county roads has been the all-absorbing topic. Various plans and suggestions have been offered as a remedy—some good and *some* good for *nothing*. With all due regard and consideration for the "Councilman law" and the bond system, both having their good points, it seems to me that the "proposed change" in the old Road Law, which was adopted at the meeting held at Mr. Barton's office, in Baltimore, on January 18th, is the most practical, for the following reasons :

1st. It will prevent Road Supervisors from economizing in the amount of work done on the roads, and citizens will be more likely to get the full value of the money paid by them in road tax.

2d. It remains in the hands of the citizens and tax-paying public to say *when* such extra levy of 10 cents shall be levied by the County Commissioners.

If this proposed change is adopted by the Legislature, I have no doubt that we shall soon have good roads in place of the mud ones, through which we are now compelled to "wend our weary way."

The old road system of Supervisors is "played out," at least here with us. As for the other Districts I cannot speak, only I am quite sure that the 4th is not alone in "mud roads."

The inefficiency of the Supervisor system is most plainly evident in this part of the 4th District. For instance, take the county road leading from Reisterstown to Cockeysville, one of the principal thoroughfares in the District. This road from Glyndon *to the cross road* leading by Barr's mill and thence to Woodensburg is in good condition, but *here* the good ceases.

From the said cross road to St. John's Church and Dover Post Office is in some places almost impassable and unfit for travel, several places being nearly axle-deep, where, by a small amount of labor, it could have been made good.

The question may possibly suggest itself why is it that a part of that road is so much worse than the other? This is easily explained.—The work and money which, by right, should have been equally divided and "the rough places made smooth" with it, has been placed upon that part of the road which was not *really* in *need* of it, and where it would be useful to *only* a "chosen few," our Supervisor being among the "elect"—in fact, the greater amount of work has been put upon the Glyndon end, and nothing, comparatively speaking, on the part which has needed repairing most sadly for the last two years at least. "Quae cum ita sint." Can any one wonder at it that the residents of this part of the 4th District cry out like the frogs in the fable against the tyrannical rule of the stork praying for even a log instead, and are willing to try any system or plan rather than continue the present system of Supervisors and bad roads?

I have just noticed an article by your Woodensburg correspondent "Now and Then," advocating a system of taxation long since defunct, viz : poll tax. Poor "Now and Then!" How sad it is that he, like Rip Van Winkle, has been indulging in a long, long nap, and is not therefore fully awake to the great amount of progress which civilization has made in the nineteenth century. This seems to be the only reason that I can find by which to excuse him for not having fully aware of the *uselessness* of the poll-tax system; good enough, no doubt, in one way, but look at the vast injustice.

Now, perhaps, my "brother's" position would have been more tenable had he proposed a special tax to be levied only upon "old bachelors and contentious old maids," of which, I understand from one of "his brothers in office," "the woods are full of."

I am indeed greatly surprised to hear a man of his experience speak so highly of a plan which has long since been tried and abandoned in nearly every instance as a failure. Now, let us review some few of the methods by which the different States undertake to keep up their roads, and then compare them with the admirable plan which has been adopted by our committee on roads.

Let us first glance over the county roads in Eastern North Carolina, where a system very similar to that proposed by "Now and Then" may be shown; but if in this State a man is taxed per capita and obliged to work out his taxes upon the road, either in person or by substitute, under what may be termed a "section boss," duly appointed by the county authorities. The result of this system, so far as my observation has been, is that there is not a decent road to be found scarcely in the whole eastern section of the State, and certainly none that could be called *good* during the winter and spring of the year. You are in mud nearly axle-deep, which, turn where you may, you cannot avoid; and one finds himself as did the Israelites of old on the banks of the Red Sea with no means of escape except to pass through it.

Let us come nearer home and enter the "Old Dominion State," where the poll-tax system is in operation and the money thereby collected used to make and keep up the roads. How do we find them? Take, for instance, the county roads leading from Alexandria to Georgetown via Arlington, the National Cemetery, and also the one from Alexandria to Bailey's Cross Roads, and thence to Carlin's Station and Vienna, on the W., S. & W. R. R., in Fairfax county. What is the condition of them? For three-fourths of the year they are almost impassable.

Go into Rappahannock and other counties where the road systems are nearly the same as the one now proposed to be used in this county, and we find good roads and roads upon which there is real comfort in travelling.

I could give a number of instances where the poll-tax system works in the same unsatisfactory manner, but I feel quite sure that it is unnecessary. As I understand that the road systems of New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, and also some of the admirable systems in use in the Western States, where the roads do not depend upon the poll tax, have already been brought before your readers, I shall not speak of them in detail. The great blessing of such systems can only be fully realized and duly appreciated when, after having ridden over them, we return to our Baltimore county road.

I am sorry indeed that "Brother Now and Then" could not consider to be cognizant of the very best road systems. As I understand that "my brother in the High Lands" is a constant reader of the Pilgrim's Progress, it strikes me that perhaps he may have gotten his ideas from "Christian's" experiences. He has no doubt reached that insurmountable barrier at this point in the various road discussions, which "Christian" called "The Slough of Despond," and has wisely concluded to take advantage of the nautical maxim, "to lay over in a storm," and has anchored his little barque safely in the harbor "Poll Tax."

He might perhaps be excused for putting forth such a proposition and suggestion as this if it were fully understood that the tax-paying citizens of the 4th District were too poor to stand the extra 10 cents to be levied "upon all taxable property." Then no doubt it might be pardonable to ask for a poll tax.

He speaks of the "pressing hard times" that are now being felt by the farming community. As I say "Brother Now and Then," if you feel with your farm, all your own, the loss of your 10 per cent. extra assessment, how must you think that poor man, renting his house and lot, with a large family to support who are entirely dependent upon his daily toil, feel the loss of his one dollar or fifty cents, as the case may be? You are, I understand, a constant reader of Holy Writ; take a glance, I beg you, at Nathan's parable to David, delivered after he had caused "Uriah the Hittite," the husband of Bathsheba, to be slain in order that he, with his countless thousands to select from, might get possession of "the poor man's" "one little ewe lamb," and see if that is not nearly the parallel case to what you and all other property-holders are doing when you advocate "taxing the poor." Very Truly Yours,

J. ARTHUR JOHNSON.

[Correspondence of the Maryland Journal.]
PROTECTION AND FREE TRADE.

PROTECTION :

God help me and my wife; brother Tom and his wife—*just we four, and no more!*

PRAYER OF MONOPOLY.

FREE TRADE :

A very wise man has written : "The world is my country, and to do good is my religion."

At present the United States of America is my country, where we are *all free traders with each other*. After awhile the "Three Americas" will be my country; and finally the "Three Americas," Europe, Asia, Africa, and "all the rest of mankind" will be my country, when the entire brotherhood of humanity will be *free traders*.

In old times one of the soundest planks in the platform of good, old-fashioned Democracy was : "Tariff for revenue, with *incidental* protection." At the present writing this "incidental protection" has grown to the gigantic proportions of *47 per cent!* and all for the benefit, not of our "infant manufacturing industries," but of enormous, vampire monopolies, which are fast sucking out the very life blood of our Nation!

H. CLAY PREUSS.

ARLINGTON, MD., 1890.

[Correspondence of the MARYLAND JOURNAL.]
THE H