1810. Maryland Insurance Company. Graham.

that each of the defendants in that suit was bound for the whole of the costs adjudged to the plaintiff, which the appellant might have avoided by severing in his defence. But I cannot perceive how his not having severed, in any manner affects the case; for defendants may sever in their defence in other actions of tort, and yet if a joint judgment for damages is rendered against two in an action of trespass or trover, &c. and one pays the whole, he cannot recover against the other a moiety of the money so paid. Nor can one security, who is compelled to pay the whole of the money, in all cases resort to his co-security for a contribution; as if one becomes a joint security at the instance of another, though he is thereby made liable to the person to whom the security is given, yet he cannot be called upon by the other, because it was at his instance that he became a security. And this is a stronger case. with more equity on the side of the appellant; for the costs, which are the subject of controversy, were not only incurred at the instance of the appellee, but against the consent of the appellant; and the law therefore will not raise against him an implied undertaking to pay, and the judgment being joint makes no difference, and cannot shut out any equitable defence which the party might otherwise have had.

JUDGMENT AFFIRMED.

JUNE.

MARYLAND INSURANCE COMPANY VS. GRAHAM.

In an action of APPEAL from Baltimore County Court. Covenant by covenant on a policy of insurance, the appellee against the appellants. The declaration statistic that H for ed, "That whereas by a certain deed made between Thomas account of T G ed, "That whereas by a certain deed made between Thomas account of T G ed, "That whereas by a certain deed made between Thomas account of T G ed, "That whereas by a certain deed made insurance, Graham of the one part, and The Maryland Insurance Commands are them." and every of fourth day of September, in the year one thousand eight huned, &c and the assurers, (being a dred and two, which deed, sealed with the seal of The Mary-corporate body) land Insurance Company aforesaid, the said Graham here ey under their common stated that the aforesaid, the said Graham, according to the usage and custated that the aforesaid, the said Graham, under their into court brings, the date whereof is on the day and year plaintiff, according to the usage tom of merchants, (through and by Hugh Young and Willike ensum of merchants, (through am Young, merchants, trading in partnership under the name and by H&WY his attorneys and and firm of Hugh and William Young, his attorneys and agents,) in his own name, did make insurance &c. Held that the action, was well brought