

CASES REPORTED.

ix

Mason and Steuart, et al. Lessee, 507
 Massey and The State use of Sappington, et ux. 276 (note.)
 Maydwell, et al. Lessee v Carroll, 361
 ——— and Carroll's Lessee, 292
 Mayor, &c. of Baltimore and M'Evoy et al. 193
 ——— and M'Mechen, 534
 Mildred's Adm'r. and Coale, et al. 278
 Mitchell, et al. v Ringgold, 159
 ——— and Wilson, 91
 Madd v Mudd, 438
 Mumma and Orndorff, 70
 Mundell's Lessee v Clerklee, 462

N.

Neale and Queen, 158
 Negro Mary and Sprigg, 491
 ——— Presley and Sprigg, 493
 New York Insurance Company v Barney, 437
 Nicols's Lessee and Teackle, 574
 Noland v Ringgold, 216
 Norwood v Norwood, 57
 ——— v Martin, 199

O.

O'Brien et ux. v Hardy, 434
 O'Conner, et ux. and Ennis, 163
 Orme v Lodge 83
 Orndorff v Mumma, 79
 Orr and Jones, 559
 Owings v Reynolds, et al. Lessee, 141

P.

Page and Thomas's Ex'x. 242
 Parker and Henderson's Lessee, 117
 Partridge v Dorsey's Lessee, 302
 Patterson v Maryland Insurance Company, 71
 Payson & Lorman and Hodgson, 339
 Pendergast and Roache, 33
 Pennington's Surv. Lessee and Stevenson, 554
 Peter v Schley's Lessee, 211
 Peters v Johnson, 291
 Pierpoint's Adm'r. v Pierpoint, 165
 Pitesell and Prutzman, et al. 77
 Pottenger's Ex'x. et al. v Steuart, et ux. 347
 Prather v Johnson, et al. 487
 Preston's Terretenant v Gwinn's Adm'r. 496
 Prutzman, et al. v Pitesell, 77
 Pye v Woods, et ux. 504

Q.

Queen v Neale, 158
 Quimby v Wroth, 249

R.

Rench v Beltzhoover, 469

Repol and Wood, 125
 Reynolds, et al. Lessee and Owings, 141
 Rickard and Tyson, 109
 Ridgely and Stevenson, 281
 Ringgold v Tyson, 172
 ——— v Galloway, et ux. Lessee, 451
 ——— and Mitchell, et al. 159
 ——— and Noland, 216
 Roache v Pendergast, 33
 Roseberry and Stevens v Seney, et al. Lessee, 223
 Ross et al. Lessee v Mason, 507
 Rusk v Sowerwine, 97

S.

Sappington, et ux. v Massey, 276 (note.)
 Saunders Terretenant of Duley v Webster, 432
 Schell v The State use of Sower, 538
 Schley's Lessee and Peter, 211
 Scholls, et al. v Shriner, 490
 Scott v Lancaster, 441
 ——— and Dent's Adm'r. 28
 Selby v Gunby, 277 (note.)
 Seney, et al. Lessee and Roseberry & Stevens, 228
 Shipley v Alexander, 84
 Shriner and Scholls, et al. 490
 Skinner's Devises and Dorsey, 474
 Smith and Cockey's Lessee, 20
 ——— and Cockey et al. Lessee, 552
 ——— and Bruce's Adm'r. 499
 Sower and Schell, 538
 Sowerwine and Rusk, 97
 Spedden v The State use of Marshall, et ux. 251
 Sprigg v Negro Mary, 491
 ——— v Negro Presley, 493
 State (The) v Chase, 182
 ——— and Horsey, 2
 ——— and Davis, 154
 ——— use of Eckman v Wolfe, et al. 224
 ——— use of Jameson and Hamilton, 503
 ——— use of Levy Court &c. and Kerr, et al. 560
 ——— use of Marshall, et ux. and Spedden, 251
 ——— use of Orr and Jones, 559
 ——— use of Sappington, et ux. v Massey, 276 (note.)
 ——— use of Sower and Schell, 538
 ——— use of Thomas v Mann, 238
 ——— and Mann, 237
 ——— use of Tilden, et ux. and Downes, 239
 Steuart and Buchanan's Lessee, 329
 ———, et ux. and Pottenger's Ex'x et al. 347
 ——— et al. Lessee v Mason, 507
 Stevens & Roseberry v Seney et al. Lessee, 228