

74
16

BALTIMORE CITY COURT

YEAR 1949

FILE NO.

⁷⁴
013830

¹⁶
DOCKET

⁷⁴
FOLIO

013830

TITLE OF CASE	DATE	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION OF PAPER
PLAINTIFF: <i>William J. Whittle</i> <i>minor by</i> <i>Lucille Whittle</i> <i>his next friend & parent</i>	<i>7-29-49</i> <i>8/26/49</i>	<i>1</i> <i>2</i>	<i>Petition for Writ of Mandamus, affidavit, and Order of Court, etc.</i> <i>Respondents answer, affidavit and Exhibit A, filed.</i>
DEFENDANT: <i>Harry C. Byrd</i> <i>President</i> <i>et al</i>	<i>3/14/51</i>	<i>3</i>	<i>Jan 29. 1951</i> <i>Case dismissed. Order of plaintiff's attorney and Order of Court (Judge Byrnes) filed.</i>
THIRD PARTY:			
ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF: <i>Donald G. Murray</i> <i>and</i> <i>Charles H. Houston</i>			
ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT: <i>Walter Hammond</i> <i>Jemetta C. Proctor</i>			
ATTORNEY FOR THIRD PARTY:			

3 ✓

013830

HIRAM T. WHITTLE, minor
by LUCILLE WHITTLE his
next friend and parent

*
*
*
*
*

IN THE
BALTIMORE CITY
COURT

98
16

vs.

HARRY C. BYRD, et al.

FILED MAR 14 1951

* * * * *

DISMISSAL AND ORDER

MR. CLERK:

The above entitled cause having been filed in your
Honorable Court and an answer having been filed for the Respondents
by the Attorney General, and the relief prayed for by the Petitioner
having been granted without this case having been heard in open
Court and proper costs having been paid, please dismiss the above
entitled cause.

Donald G. Murray
Donald G. Murray
Attorney for Petitioner

SEAL
TROYAN BOND
COURT REPORTERS SA

TY

THE STATE OF TEXAS,
COUNTY OF [illegible]

Know all men by these presents,
that [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

The above entitled case having been filed for
recording in Book [illegible] and at [illegible] the same being
the property of [illegible] and no other person or persons
claiming an interest therein, and the same being
correctly and lawfully filed and recorded in the
public records.

WITNESSETH my hand and seal of office
this [illegible] day of [illegible] 19[illegible]



EVILWA

THOMAS BOND

CITIZEN OF THE STATE OF TEXAS

HIRAM T. WHITTLE, minor
by LUCILLE WHITTLE his
next friend and parent

vs.

HARRY C. BYRD, et al

* * * * *

ORDER

IN THE
BALTIMORE CITY
COURT

Let the within cause be dismissed as prayed, *this*
14th day of March, 1951.

Joseph R. Byrnes
JUDGE

E. J. A.
3/14/51.

ROSSAN BOND

3

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL



CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

HALL HAMMOND
ATTORNEY GENERAL
J. EDGAR HARVEY
DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL



THE STATE LAW DEPARTMENT

10 LIGHT STREET

BALTIMORE, MARYLAND

HARRISON L. WINTER
ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
KENNETH C. PROCTOR
ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
WARD B. COE, JR.
SPECIAL ASST. ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR THE
COMPTROLLER OF THE TREASURY
JOSEPH D. BUSCHER
SPECIAL ASST. ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR THE
STATE ROADS COMMISSION
AARON A. BAER
SPECIAL ASST. ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR THE
EMPLOYMENT SECURITY BOARD
PHILIP T. MCCUSKER
SPECIAL ATTORNEY FOR THE
STATE ACCIDENT FUND

February 15, 1951

Mr. John O. Rutherford, Clerk
Baltimore City Court
Court House
Baltimore, 2, Maryland

Re: Hiram T. Whittle v. Byrd, et al.

Dear Mr. Rutherford:

Enclosed is check in the amount of \$22.50, covering Court costs in the above entitled case. I will appreciate it if you will mail me a receipt.

Mr. Donald G. Murray will forward you an Order of Dismissal in this case.

Very truly yours,

Asst. Attorney General

KCP:LEL
Enclosure

cc: Donald G. Murray, Esq.

FILED FEB 16 1951



THE STATE LAW DEPARTMENT

BALTIMORE MARYLAND

1911

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

013830

156
S.D. 79

HIRAM T. WHITTLE, minor,
by LUCILLE WHITTLE, His
next Friend and Parent,
vs.
HARRY C. BYRD, Et., Al.

No.....
Page.....
Docket.....

IN THE
Baltimore City Court.

FILED JAN 16 1951


JOHN O. RUTHERFORD, Clerk.

Postponed

WRIT OF SUMMONS FOR WITNESSES (COUNTY).

State of Maryland, Baltimore City, to wit:

To the Sheriff of PRINCE GEORGE County, Greetings:



Seal
of the
Court.

WE COMMAND YOU, that you summon.....
Dean S. Sidney Steinberg,
Dean Gleen L. Martin of Engineering
and Aeronautical Sciences,
College Park, Maryland, *Mr. Harry C. Byrd, President, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland;*


to be and appear before the Judge of the BALTIMORE CITY COURT, in Room No. 226,
of the Court House, in the said city, on the 11th. day of January
1951, at 10 o'clock A. M., to testify for Petitioner,

Hereof, fail not, at your peril; and have you, then and there, this writ.

Witness, the HONORABLE W. CONWELL SMITH, Chief Judge of the Supreme Bench of
Baltimore City, the 11th. day of September,
in the year of our Lord, nineteen hundred and Fifty,
ISSUED, the 6th. day of January, 1951.

John O. Rutherford
Clerk of the Baltimore City Court.

Received, the 8th day of.....
January, 1951



Seal of
the Circuit Court
for said County.

and, forthwith, delivered to the SHERIFF of
PRINCE GEORGE, County.
Test:

W. Waverly Webb
Clerk of the Circuit Court
for PRINCE GEORGE County.

Filed.....

Summonee Dean S. Sidney Steinberg, Dean Gleen L. Martin and Dr. Harry C. Byrd
this 10th day of January, 1951.

Carlton G. Bull
Sheriff

Donald G. Murray,
Attorney for

HIRAM T. WHITTLE, minor,
By LUCILLE WHITTLE, His
next Friend and Parent,
vs.
HARRY C. BYRD, Et., Al.

No. 013930
Page 74
Docket 14

IN THE
Baltimore City Court.

JOHN O. RUTHERFORD, Clerk. **FILED FEB - 2 1951**

WRIT OF SUMMONS FOR WITNESSES (COUNTY).

State of Maryland, Baltimore City, to wit:

To the Sheriff of **SOMERSET** County, Greetings:



Seal
of the
Court.

WE COMMAND YOU, that you summon
Dr. John T. Williams, (and direct testimony),
Maryland State College, Princess Anne, Md.,
and Mr. Paul V. Jewell,
Maryland State College, Princess Anne, Md.,

to be and appear before the Judge of the BALTIMORE CITY COURT, in Room No. **226**,
of the Court House, in the said city, on the **29th.** day of **January**
19.51, at 10 o'clock A. M., to testify for **Plaintiff.**

Hereof, fail not, at your peril; and have you, then and there, this writ.

Witness, the HONORABLE W. CONWELL SMITH, Chief Judge of the Supreme Bench of
Baltimore City, the **8th.** day of **January**
in the year of our Lord, nineteen hundred and **Fifty One**.

ISSUED, the **22nd.** day of **January**, 19 **51**


John O. Rutherford
Clerk of the Baltimore City Court.

Received, the.....day of.....
January, 19**51**

and, forthwith, delivered to the SHERIFF of
Somerset County.

Test: *August L. Ames*
Clerk of the Circuit Court

for **Somerset** County.



Seal of
the Circuit Court
for said County.

Filed.....

Received 23 days of Jan 10 51
and the Sheriff of the Sheriff
of the Sheriff
Bryson L. Brown Clerk

Amundsen
Copies left 1/16/51
Murray & Guard Sheriff
Wallenda Jackson
Duffy

Donald G. Murray,
Attorney for Plaintiff.

HIRAM T. WHITTLE, minor,
By LUCILLE WHITTLE, His
next Friend and Parent,
vs.
HARRY C. BYRD, Et., Al.

No.....
Page.....
Docket.....

IN THE
Baltimore City Court.


JOHN O. RUTHERFORD, Clerk.

FILED JAN 29 1951

WRIT OF SUMMONS FOR WITNESSES (COUNTY).

State of Maryland, Baltimore City, to wit:

To the Sheriff of.....PRINCE GEORGE.....County, Greetings:



Seal
of the
Court.

WE COMMAND YOU, that you summon.....
Dr. Harry C. Byrd, Office of The President,
(~~duces tecum~~)
University of Maryland, College Park, Md.,
and
Dean, S. Sidney Steinberg, (~~duces tecum~~)
Glenn L. Martin College of Engineering &
Aeronautical Sciences,
College Park, Maryland, (~~duces tecum~~)

to be and appear before the Judge of the BALTIMORE CITY COURT, in Room No. 226.,
of the Court House, in the said city, on the 29th., day of JANUARY
19.51., at 10 o'clock A. M., to testify for Plaintiff.

Hereof, fail not, at your peril; and have you, then and there, this writ.

Witness, the HONORABLE W. CONWELL SMITH, Chief Judge of the Supreme Bench of
Baltimore City, the 8th., day of January
in the year of our Lord, nineteen hundred and Fifty One

ISSUED, the 22nd., day of January, 19 51.

John O. Rutherford
Clerk of the Baltimore City Court.

Received, the.....day of.....
January, 19.51.

Seal of
the Circuit Court
for said County.

and, forthwith, delivered to the SHERIFF of
Prince George County.
Test:

.....
Clerk of the Circuit Court
for Prince George County.

Filed.....

Summoned Dr. Harry C. Byrd, Dean S. Sidney Steinberg and Glenn L. Martin this 24th day of January, 1951.

Carlton D. Besell
Sheriff

Donald G. Murray
Attorney for Plaintiff.

HIRAM T. WHITTLE, minor,
By LUCILLE WHITTLE, His
next Friend and Parent,
VS.
HARRY C. BYRD, Et., Al.

No.
Page
Docket

IN THE
Baltimore City Court.

FILED JAN 24 1951

JOHN O. RUTHERFORD, Clerk.

RECEIPT FOR PROCESS.

The following sent herewith:

Writ of Summons for Witnesses, to Testify for Plaintiff,
Viz; Dr. John T. Williams, *(and dures to court)*,
Maryland State College, Princess Anne, Maryland,
and Mr. Paul V. Jewell,
Maryland State College, Princess Anne, Maryland,

RETURNABLE, 29th., day of JANUARY, 19 51.

I hereby certify that, on the 23rd day of January, 1951, I received, under cover, from the Clerk of the Baltimore City Court, process as above, and forthwith, delivered the same, to the Sheriff of Somerset County, ~~County~~

In testimony whereof, I hereunto set my hand and affix the Seal of the Circuit Court for Somerset County, ~~County~~ on this January, 1951, nineteen hundred and Fifty One

Seal of
the Circuit Court
for said County.

Stephen L. Barnes

Clerk of the Circuit Court for Somerset County, ~~County~~

~~XXXXX~~

Filed,



HIRAM T. WHITTLE, minor,
By LUCILLE WHITTLE, His
next Friend and Parent,
VS.
HARRY C. BYRD, Et., Al.

No.

Page

Docket

IN THE

FILED JAN 24 1951

Baltimore City Court.

JOHN O. RUTHERFORD, Clerk.

RECEIPT FOR PROCESS.

The following sent herewith:

Writ of Summons for Witnesses to Testify for Plaintiff,
Viz; Dr. Harry C. Byrd, Office of The President, (~~Glenn L. Martin~~)
University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland,
and Dean, S. Sidney Steinberg, (~~Glenn L. Martin~~)
Glenn L. Martin College of Engineering & Aeronautical
Sciences, College Park, Maryland, (~~Glenn L. Martin~~),

RETURNABLE, 29th., day of JANUARY, 1951.


I hereby certify that, on the _____ day of January _____, nineteen hundred and Fifty One _____, I received, under cover, from the Clerk of the Baltimore City Court, process as above, and forthwith, delivered the same, to the Sheriff of Prince George _____ County.

In testimony whereof, I hereunto set my hand and affix the Seal of the Circuit Court for Prince George _____ County, on this _____ day of January _____, nineteen hundred and Fifty One _____

Seal of
the Circuit Court
for said County.

W. H. Rowley
Clerk of the Circuit Court for Prince George
County.

Filed,



147
MSD

ROOM 226, BALTIMORE CITY COURT.
MR. SHERIFF: Please Summon
following witnesses.

JOHN O. RUTHERFORD, Clerk,
IN THE

HIRAM T. WHITTLE, minor :
by LUCILLE WHITTLE his :
next friend and parent :

BALTIMORE CITY

vs. :

COURT

HARRY C. BYRD, et al. :

: : : : : : : : : : :

Mr. Clerk:

Please issue subpoenas to the following persons as witnesses in
the above entitled cause:

Dr. Harry C. Byrd
Office of the President
University of Maryland
College Park, Maryland

out

out

Dean S. Sidney Steinberg
Glenn L. Martin College of Engineering & Aeronautical Sciences
College Park, Maryland

Dr. Edgar F. Long
Director of Admissions
Lombard and Greene Streets
Baltimore, Maryland

out

Dr. John T. Williams
Maryland State College
Princess Anne, Maryland

Mr. Paul V. Jewell
Maryland State College
Princess Anne, Maryland

And make the same returnable to his Honor, Chief Judge Conwell
Smith, Baltimore City Court, Room 226, on the 11th day of January, 1951 at
10:00 A.M.

Donald G. Murray
Donald G. Murray, Solicitor

IN SENATE
JANUARY 1951

WITNESSES
BALTIMORE CITY
MAYOR

HARRY C. FORD, Mayor
of BALTIMORE CITY

WITNESSES
BALTIMORE CITY

Mr. Clerk:

Please read the following names as witnesses in

the above entitled cases:

Mr. Harry C. Ford
Mayor of Baltimore
University of Maryland
College Park, Maryland

Dean E. Sidney Steiner
Chairman of the Board of Trustees
College Park, Maryland

Mr. Robert T. Long
Director of Admissions
Board and Greene Streets
Baltimore, Maryland

Mr. John C. Willinger
Maryland State College
Prince Georges, Maryland

Mr. Paul W. Jernigan
Maryland State College
Prince Georges, Maryland

and make the same returns to his honor, Chief Judge Conwell

of the Baltimore City Court, Room 200, on the 14th day of January, 1951 at

10:00 A.M.

Donald G. Murray, Solicitor

HIRAM T. WHITTLE, minor
by LUCILLE WHITTLE his
next friend and parent

vs.

HARRY C. BYRD, et al.

IN THE
BALTIMORE CITY
COURT

ROOM 226, BALTIMORE CITY COURT.
MR. SHERIFF: Please summon
following witnesses.

Mr. Clerk:

JOHN O. RUTHERFORD, Clerk.

Please issue subpoena duces tecum on Dr. John T. Williams, Maryland State College, Princess Anne, Maryland, who is custodian of a copy of a report made by a committee inspecting the engineering facilities of Maryland State College, Princess Anne, Maryland sometime in 1949, to bring into Court this copy of the report and make the same returnable by His Honor, Chief Judge Conwell Smith, Baltimore City Court, Room 226, on the 11th day of January, 1951, at 10:00 A.M.

Donald G. Murray, Attorney for Petitioner

STATE OF NEW YORK

IN SENATE
JANUARY 15, 1914

REPORT
OF THE
COMMISSIONERS OF THE LAND OFFICE
IN RESPONSE TO A RESOLUTION
PASSED BY THE SENATE
JANUARY 15, 1914

ALBANY: J.B. LIPPINCOTT COMPANY, 1914.

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF THE LAND OFFICE
ALBANY, N. Y.

Summons

HIRAM T. WHITTLE, minor
by LUCILLE WHITTLE his
next friend and parent

vs.

HARRY C. BYRD, et al.

:
:
:
:

IN THE
BALTIMORE CITY
COURT

ROOM 226, BALTIMORE CITY COURT,

MR. SHERIFF: Please Summon

: : : : : following witnesses.

JOHN O. NUTHERFORD, Clerk

Mr. Clerk:

Please issue subpoena duces tecum on Dr. John T. Williams, Maryland State College, Princess Anne, Maryland, who is custodian of a copy of a report made by a committee inspecting the engineering facilities of Maryland State College, Princess Anne, Maryland sometime in 1949, to bring into Court this copy of the report and make the same returnable by His Honor, Chief Judge Conwell Smith, Baltimore City Court, Room 226, on the 11th day of January, 1951, at 10:00 A.M.

Donald G. Murray

Donald G. Murray, Attorney for Petitioner

IN THE
BALTIMORE CITY
COURT

WILLIAM T. WHELAN, Mayor
vs.
JACOBIE WELLS
et al.

Please have subpoena books return on Mr. John T. Williams, Maryland
State College, Princess Anne, Maryland, who is custodian of a report
made by a committee inspecting the engineering facilities of Maryland State Col-
lege, Princess Anne, Maryland sometime in 1949, to bring into Court this copy of
the report and make the same returnable by His Honor, Chief Judge Conwell Smith,
Baltimore City Court, Room 236, on the fifth day of January, 1951, at 10:00 A.M.

Donald G. Murray
Donald G. Murray, Attorney for Petitioner

HIRAM T. WHITTLE, minor,
by LUCILLE WHITTLE, His
next Friend and Parent,
vs.
HARRY C. BYRD, Et., Al.

No.....
Page.....
Docket.....


IN THE
Baltimore City Court.

JOHN O. RUTHERFORD, Clerk.

WRIT OF SUMMONS FOR WITNESSES (COUNTY).

State of Maryland, Baltimore City, to wit:

To the Sheriff of **SOMERSET** County, Greetings:



Seal
of the
Court.

WE COMMAND YOU, that you summon.....
Dr. John T. Williams,
Maryland State College,
Princess Anne, Maryland, and
Mr. Paul H. Jamell,
Maryland State College,
Princess Anne, Maryland,

to be and appear before the Judge of the BALTIMORE CITY COURT, in Room No. **226**,
of the Court House, in the said city, on the **11th.**, day of **January**,
19. **51**, at 10 o'clock A. M., to testify for **Petitioner**,

Hereof, fail not, at your peril; and have you, then and there, this writ.

Witness, the HONORABLE W. CONWELL SMITH, Chief Judge of the Supreme Bench of
Baltimore City, the **11th.**, day of **September**,
in the year of our Lord, nineteen hundred and **Fifty**.

ISSUED, the **6th.**, day of **January**, 1951.

John O. Rutherford
Clerk of the Baltimore City Court.

Received, the **8** day of **January**, 19 **51**

and, forthwith, delivered to the SHERIFF of
SOMERSET County.

Test: *Reubin L. Barnes*
Clerk of the Circuit Court
for **SOMERSET** County.

Seal of
the Circuit Court
for said County.

Filed.....

WALTER B. ...
...
...
...
...
...

Armed & Dangerous
Orms & Grand
Sheriff
Quigley & Orms
Rip & Sheriff

Received 8 Jan 1951
and for value delivered to the Sheriff
of Somerset County.
Test: Raymond H. ... Clerk.



Donald G. Murray,
Attorney for

HIRAM T. WHITTLE, minor,
by LUCILLE WHITTLE, His
next Friend and Parent,
VS.
HARRY C. BYRD, Et., Al.

No.

Page

Docket

IN THE

Baltimore City Court.

JOHN O. RUTHERFORD, Clerk.

16 Petition.
FILED JAN - 9 1951

RECEIPT FOR PROCESS.

The following sent herewith:

Writ of Summons for Witness, to testify for the
Petitioner, Viz; Dr. John T. Williams,
Maryland State College,
Princess Anne, Maryland, and

*Dr. Paul H. Jewell, Maryland State
College, Princess Anne, Maryland*

RETURNABLE, 11th., day of January, 19 51

I hereby certify that, on the 8th day of January,
nineteen hundred and Fifty One, I received, under cover, from
the Clerk of the Baltimore City Court, process as above, and forthwith, delivered the
same, to the Sheriff of Somerset County.

In testimony whereof, I hereunto set my hand and affix the
Seal of the Circuit Court for Somerset
County, on this January
nineteen hundred and Fifty One

Seal of
the Circuit Court
for said County.

Stephen L. Barnes

Clerk of the Circuit Court for Somerset
County.

Filed, JAN 9 - 1951

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
LIBRARY
540 EAST 57TH STREET
CHICAGO, ILL. 60637

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
LIBRARY
540 EAST 57TH STREET
CHICAGO, ILL. 60637

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
LIBRARY

LIBRARY

LIBRARY

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
LIBRARY

LIBRARY



HIRAM T. WHITTLE, minor,
by LUCILLE WHITTLE, His
next Friend and Parent,
VS.
HARRY C. BYRD, Et., Al.

No.

Page

Docket *16 Petition*

IN THE

Baltimore City Court.

FILED JAN - 9 1951

JOHN O. RUTHERFORD, Clerk.

RECEIPT FOR PROCESS.

The following sent herewith:

Writ of Summons for Witness, to testify for the
Petitioner, Viz; Dean S. Sidney Steinberg,
Dean Gleen L. Martin of Engineering
and Aeronautical Sciences,
College Park, Maryland, and Dr. Harry

C. Byrd, President, University of Maryland, College

RETURNABLE, **11th.**, day of **January**, 19 **51**

I hereby certify that, on the _____ day of **January**,
nineteen hundred and **Fifty One**, I received, under cover, from
the Clerk of the Baltimore City Court, process as above, and forthwith, delivered the
same, to the Sheriff of **Prince George** County.

In testimony whereof, I hereunto set my hand and affix the
Seal of the Circuit Court for **Prince George**
County, on this **8th** day of **January**,
nineteen hundred and **Fifty One**

Seal of
the Circuit Court
for said County.

W. Waverly Webb

Clerk of the Circuit Court for **Prince George**
County.

FILED JAN 9 - 1951
Filed,

Clerk, Maryland,

Faint, illegible text at the top of the page, possibly a header or title.

Very faint, illegible text in the middle section of the page.

Small, faint text fragment located in the lower-left quadrant.

Small, faint text fragment located near the bottom left of the page.

2
✓
98
16

HIRAM T. WHITTLE, minor,
by LUCILLE WHITTLE his
next friend and parent
929 McDonough Street

: IN

Vs.

: THE BALTIMORE CITY COURT

HARRY C. BYRD, PRESIDENT;
WILLIAM P. COLE, JR., STANFORD
Z. ROTHSCHILD, J. MILTON PATTERSON,
PETER W. CHICHESTER, EDWARD F.
HOLTER, E. PAUL KNOTTS, CHARLES P.
McCORMICK, HARRY H. NUTTLE, PHILIP
C. TURNER, MILLARD E. TYDINGS, MRS.
JOHN L. WHITEHURST, constituting the
Board of Regents of the University of
Maryland; S. S. STEINBERG, DEAN OF
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING and EDGAR F.
LONG, DIRECTOR OF ADMISSIONS OF THE
UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND.
109 East Redwood Street

FILED AUG 26 1949

ANSWER

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Harry C. Byrd, President; William P. Cole, Jr., Stanford Z. Rothschild, J. Milton Patterson, Peter W. Chichester, Edward F. Holter, E. Paul Knotts, Charles P. McCormick, Harry H. Nuttle, Philip C. Turner, Millard E. Tydings, Mrs. John L. Whitehurst, constituting the Board of Regents of the University of Maryland; S. S. Steinberg, Dean of College of Engineering and Edgar F. Long, Director of Admissions of the University of Maryland, by Hall Hammond, Attorney General, and Kenneth C. Proctor, Assistant Attorney General, their attorneys, in answer to the Petition for Writ of Mandamus filed against them respectfully shows unto your Honor:

(1) Answering paragraph First, the Respondents admit that Hiram T. Whittle is a Negro, eighteen (18) years of age and, at all times material, was and is a citizen and resident of the United States and the State of Maryland. Further answering said paragraph, the Respondents admit that, by application dated April 10, 1949, and received by the Respondents on May 7, 1949, the Petitioner applied for admission as a first year student in the College of

FILED AUG 26 1949

THE UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND
COLLEGE PARK, MARYLAND

HARRY C. BYRD, President,
The Board of Regents,
The University of Maryland,
College Park, Maryland

THE UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND

RECEIVED

HARRY C. BYRD, President,
The Board of Regents,
The University of Maryland,
College Park, Maryland

REPLY

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGE OF THE COURT

Harry C. Byrd, President, William I. Cole, Jr., Secretary,
Hochschild, A. Wilson Patterson, Peter W. Hachnesser, Arthur R.
Holder, E. Paul Hoke, Chas. F. McConick, Harry H. Nantz,
Philip C. Turner, William E. Tydings, Mrs. John L. Williamson,
constituted the Board of Regents of the University of Maryland;
A. S. Steinhilber, Vice-Chancellor of the University of Maryland,
Director of Admissions of the University of Maryland, by Bill
Hendry, Attorney General, and Kenneth C. Proctor, Assistant
Attorney General, their attorneys, in answer to the petition for
writ of habeas corpus filed against them respectfully shows into our
Honor:

(1) Answering paragraph first, the Respondents admit that
William I. Byrd (18) years of age, and
all other material, was and is a citizen and resident of the United
States and the State of Maryland. Further answering said paragraph,
the Respondents admit that, by application dated April 10, 1949,
and received by the Respondents on May 7, 1949, the Petitioner
applied for admission as a first year student in the College of
William I. Byrd

Engineering of the University of Maryland for the academic year beginning September 21, 1949.

Further answering said paragraph, the Respondents say that the general policy of the State of Maryland regarding education has always been to segregate the white and Negro races; that for many years the State of Maryland, in the development of its secondary public schools and of the University of Maryland, has attempted to and, as herein set forth, now does provide facilities which are equal for both the white and Negro races; that, in furtherance of said policy, the University of Maryland maintains as an integral part of said University, Maryland State College at Princess Anne in Somerset County, Maryland; that said Maryland State College includes in its curriculum first year studies in engineering; that the educational advantages and facilities provided by the Maryland State College for first year studies in engineering are equal to those provided by the University of Maryland at College Park, Maryland, and fully comply with the requirements of the Fourteenth Amendment of the Constitution of the United States, § U.S.C. 41, and of the decisions of the Supreme Court of the United States.

Further answering said paragraph, the Respondents say that on August 13, 1949, the Respondent Edgar F. Long wrote to the Petitioner regarding the aforesaid application, copy of the letter is annexed hereto, marked "Respondents' Exhibit A" and is prayed to be taken as a part hereof; that the Petitioner was advised that, in accordance with the aforesaid policy of the State of Maryland, he will be admitted to the University of Maryland and that the first year studies in engineering will be provided him at Maryland State College at Princess Anne, Somerset County, Maryland, a division of the University of Maryland; that his total expenses incident to attendance at Maryland State College at Princess Anne will be considerably lower than such expenses would be at the University of Maryland at College Park.

meeting of the University of Maryland on the 20th of
September, 1949.

Further answering said paragraph, the respondents say that
the general policy of the State of Maryland regarding education
has always been to segregate the white and Negro races; and for
many years the State of Maryland, in the development of its
secondary public schools and in the maintenance of its
colleges, has maintained a policy of segregation, now does provide facilities
which are equal for both the white and Negro races; that, in fur-
therance of said policy, the University of Maryland maintains as
an integral part of said University, Maryland State College
at Princess Anne in Somerset County, Maryland; that said Maryland
State College includes in its curriculum first year studies in
engineering; that said college is organized and controlled by the
University of Maryland; that said college is for first year studies in engineer-
ing and equal to those provided by the University of Maryland at
College Park, Maryland, and that the respondents of
the present Amendment of the Constitution of the United States,
U.S.C. 11, and its restrictions on the United States of the
United States.

Further answering said paragraph, the respondents say that
on August 22, 1949, the respondents herein were written to the
petitioner regarding the above-captioned matter, and in reply
is annexed hereto, marked "Respondent's Answer," and is prayed
to be taken as a part hereof; that the petitioner was advised that
in accordance with the above-captioned policy of the State of Maryland,
it will be admitted to the University of Maryland and that the
first year studies in engineering will be provided him at Maryland
State College at Princess Anne, Somerset County, Maryland, a divi-
sion of the University of Maryland; that his total expenses inci-
dent to attendance at Maryland State College at Princess Anne
will be considered lower than such expenses would be at the
University of Maryland at College Park.

Further answering said paragraph, the Respondents deny that the University of Maryland authorities have refused to pass on Petitioner's application, and allege that they have handled said application in the manner just described. Further answering said paragraph, the Respondents admit that, since receipt of Petitioner's application, similar applications of white students have been appraised and passed upon.

(2) Answering paragraphs Second, Third and Fourth, the Respondents admit the matters and facts therein set forth.

(3) Answering paragraph Fifth, the Respondents admit the matters and facts therein set forth, except that the Respondents deny that the College of Engineering of the University of Maryland at College Park is the only State institution which affords an engineering education, and allege that first year studies in engineering are provided at Maryland State College at Princess Anne; the Respondents further deny that the College of Engineering is accredited by the Middle States Association of Colleges and Secondary Schools.

(4) Answering paragraphs Sixth and Seventh, the Respondents admit the matters and facts therein set forth.

(5) Answering paragraph Eighth, the Respondents admit that they have had notice of the Petitioner's application. Further answering said paragraph, the Respondents admit that the Petitioner has appealed as to his application successively to the President and the Board of Regents of the University of Maryland, but deny that he has not been able to get any satisfactory and definite action on his appeal, and allege that said application is being and will be handled in the manner described in paragraph (1) of this Answer and in Respondents' Exhibit A.

Further answer was said to be that the University of Maryland has not been notified on Petitioner's Application, and since they have handled said application in the manner just described. Further answering said paragraph, the Respondents admit that, since receipt of Petitioner's application, similar applications of white students have been processed and passed upon.

(2) Answering paragraph second, third and fourth, the Respondents admit the facts and facts therein set forth. (3) Answering paragraph fifth, the Respondents admit the facts and facts therein set forth, except that the Respondents deny that the College of Agriculture of the University of Maryland is the only state institution which offers an engineering education, and since less than 10 years ago the Respondents provided no financial aid to the College of Agriculture; the Respondents further deny that the College of Agriculture is operated by the State Board of Education of Maryland and secondary schools.

(4) Answering paragraphs sixth and seventh, the Respondents admit the facts and facts therein set forth. (5) Answering paragraph eighth, the Respondents admit that they have had notice of the Petitioner's Application. Further

answering said paragraph, the Respondents admit that the Petitioner has applied as to his Application exclusively to the President and the Board of Regents of the University of Maryland, and deny that he has been able to contact any other person and define the title of his office, and admit that said Application is being and will be handled in the manner described in paragraph (1) of this answer and in response, Exhibit A.

UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND
STATE BOARD OF EDUCATION

Further answering said paragraph, Respondents admit that applicants having no better moral or educational qualifications were admitted to the College of Engineering of the University of Maryland, College Park, for the academic year beginning September 21, 1949, subsequent to the date of the Petitioner's application. Further answering said paragraph, the Respondents deny that Petitioner's application was refused wrongfully and arbitrarily, solely because of his race and color and in direct contravention of the provisions of the Fourteenth Amendment of the Constitution of the United States, 8 U.S.C. 41, and the Supreme Court decisions of the United States, and allege that in fact, Petitioner's application has been accepted for Maryland State College at Princess Anne, an integral part of the University of Maryland.

Further answering said paragraph, the Respondents allege that the provision for education of Petitioner at Maryland State College at Princess Anne, in accordance with the policy of the State of Maryland referred to above, does not discriminate against the Petitioner in any way whatsoever, and does afford facilities for education of the Petitioner, which are substantially equal to the facilities provided at the University of Maryland at College Park; and the Respondents further allege that, as stated in paragraph (1) above, Petitioner's expenses at Maryland State College at Princess Anne will be considerably lower than his expenses would be at the University of Maryland at College Park; and the Respondents further allege that the provision for education of the Petitioner, referred to above, fully complies with the requirements of the Fourteenth Amendment of the Constitution of the United States, 8 U.S.C. 41, and of the decisions of the Supreme Court of the United States, and it fully meets the obligation of the State of Maryland to the Petitioner under said Amendment and decisions.

Further answering said petition, respondents said that applicants having no higher moral or educational qualifications were admitted to the College of Engineering at the University of Maryland, College Park, for the academic year beginning September 22, 1952, subsequent to the case of the petitioner's application. Further answering said petition, the respondents say that although a petition was raised originally and judicially, solely because of the fact that the petitioner had not been admitted to the provisions of the Fourteenth Amendment of the Constitution of the United States, and that the respondents' decision of the United States, and also that in fact, the petitioner's application had been accepted for Maryland State College at Princess Anne, an integral part of the State of Maryland.

Further answering said petition, the respondents say that the provision for education of petitioners at Maryland State College at Princess Anne, in accordance with the policy of the State of Maryland referred to above, does not discriminate against the petitioner in any way whatsoever, and does not afford petitioners for education in the petitioner, which are substantially equal to the facilities provided to the majority of Maryland State College and the respondents further state that, as stated in paragraph (1) above, the petitioner's expenses at Maryland State College at Princess Anne will be a substantial part of the expenses which would be at the University of Maryland at College Park; and the respondents further state that the provision for education of the petitioner, referred to above, fully complies with the requirements of the Fourteenth Amendment of the Constitution of the United States and that the decision of the Supreme Court of the United States, and it fully meets the condition of the State of Maryland to the petitioner and her husband and children.


(6) Answering paragraph Ninth, the Respondents say that they have no personal knowledge of the matters and facts therein set forth and, therefore, demand strict proof thereof.


(7) Answering paragraph Tenth, the Respondents say that the matters and facts therein set forth are conclusions of law alleged by the Petitioner and as such, the Respondents are informed and believe that they are not required to answer the same in this pleading.

(8) Answering paragraph Eleventh, the Respondents deny each and every allegation thereof, and demand strict proof of said allegations.

AND, having fully answered the aforesaid Petition for Writ of Mandamus, the Respondents ask that they be dismissed with their proper costs.

AND as in duty bound, etc.



Attorney General


Assistant Attorney General
Attorneys for Respondents.

STATE OF MARYLAND, BALTIMORE CITY, To Wit:

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 23rd day of August, 1949, before me, the subscriber, a Notary Public of the State of Maryland, in and for Carroll County ~~aforesaid~~, personally appeared EDGAR F. LONG, DIRECTOR OF ADMISSIONS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, and made oath in due form of law that the matters and facts stated in the foregoing Answer are true to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

AS WITNESS my hand and notarial seal, the day and year last above written.


Notary Public

(a) Answering paragraph (a), the respondents say that they have no special knowledge of the facts and that they are not in a position to say whether or not the respondents are in any way connected with the respondents.

(b) Answering paragraph (b), the respondents say that they are not in a position to say whether or not the respondents are in any way connected with the respondents. They believe that they are not in a position to say whether or not the respondents are in any way connected with the respondents.

(c) Answering paragraph (c), the respondents say that they are not in a position to say whether or not the respondents are in any way connected with the respondents. They believe that they are not in a position to say whether or not the respondents are in any way connected with the respondents.

Very truly yours,

Assistant Attorney General
Department of Justice

STATE OF MARYLAND, BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 13th day of August, 1950, before me, the undersigned, a Notary Public of the State of Maryland, in and for Baltimore County, Maryland, personally appeared _____, and _____, Director of the University of Maryland, and made oath in the form of the following questions and answers in the foregoing answer and true to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

Notary Public




I HEREBY CERTIFY that copies of the within Answer were mailed this 25th day of August, 1949, to Charles H. Houston, Esq., 615 F Street, N.W., Washington, D. C., and Donald G. Murray, Esq., 1506 Pennsylvania Avenue, Baltimore 17, Maryland, attorneys for the Petitioner.

James C. Roctor
Assistant Attorney General

I HEREBY CERTIFY that copies of the within matter were mailed this 1st day of August, 1940, to Charles H. Houston, 615 F Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., and Donald G. Murray, 1500 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C., for the partition.

Assistant Attorney General



RESPONDENTS' EXHIBIT A

August 13, 1949

Mr. Hiram T. Whittle
929 McDonough Street
Baltimore 5, Maryland

Dear Mr. Whittle:

In regard to your application for admission to the University of Maryland, College of Engineering, this is to advise you that you will be admitted to the University and that the first year's work in Engineering will be provided for you at the Maryland State College at Princess Anne, a division of the University of Maryland. This college was established by the State of Maryland as the Land-Grant College for "negroes of Maryland and gives work equal in all respects to that given at College Park. Exactly the same courses, both as to quantity and quality, will be provided for you at Princess Anne as are provided for white students at College Park.

Your total expenses incident to attendance at Princess Anne will be considerably lower than the cost of attendance at College Park.

Very truly yours,

Dr. Edgar F. Long
Director of Admissions

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBIT A

August 13, 1919

Mr. Hiram T. Whittle
929 McDonough Street
Baltimore 5, Maryland

Dear Mr. Whittle:

In regard to your application for admission to the University of Maryland, College of Engineering, this is to advise you that you will be admitted to the University and that the first year's work in Engineering will be provided for you at the Maryland State College at Princess Anne, a division of the University of Maryland. This college was established by the state of Maryland as the Land-Grant College for "degrees of Maryland and gives work equal in all respects to that given at College Park. Exactly the same courses, both as to quantity and quality, will be provided for you at Princess Anne as are provided for white students at College Park.

Your total expenses incident to attendance at Princess Anne will be considerably lower than the cost of attendance at College Park.

Very truly yours,

Dr. Edgar F. Long
Director of Admissions

No. 1.

HIRAM T. WHITTLE, minor,
by LUCILLE WHITTLE his
next friend and parent
929 McDonough Street

IN THE BALTIMORE

78
16

VS.

HARRY C. BYRD, PRESIDENT;
WILLIAM P. COLE, JR., STANFORD
Z. ROTHSCHILD, J. MILTON PATTERSON,
PETER W. CHICHESTER, EDWARD F.
HOLTER, E. PAUL KNOTTS, CHARLES P.
McCORMICK, HARRY H. NUTTLE, PHILIP
C. TURNER, MILLARD E. TYDINGS, MRS.
JOHN L. WHITEHURST, constituting the
Board of Regents of the University of
Maryland; S. S. STEINBERG, DEAN OF
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING and EDGAR F.
LONG, DIRECTOR OF ADMISSIONS OF THE
UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND.
109 East Redwood Street

CITY COURT

* * * * *

PETITION FOR WRIT OF MANDAMUS

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

The Petition of Hiram T. Whittle, minor, by Lucille Whittle his next friend and parent, respectfully shows:

First: Hiram T. Whittle, a Negro, is eighteen (18) years of age, and at all times material was and is a citizen and resident of the United States and the State of Maryland. On or about May 5, 1949 he duly applied for admission as a first year student in the College of Engineering of the University of Maryland for the academic year beginning September 21, 1949. The University authorities have refused to pass on this application although they have appraised and passed on other similar applications by white students.

Second: Harry C. Byrd is the President and Executive Head of the University of Maryland, Edgar F. Long is the Director of Admissions of the Baltimore Schools of the said University, which includes the College of Engineering; S. S. Steinberg is the Dean of the College of Engineering of the said University; William P. Cole, Jr., Stanford Z. Rothschild, J. Milton Patterson, Peter W. Chichester, Edward F. Holter, E. Paul Knotts, Charles P. McCormick, Harry H. Nuttle, Philip C. Turner, Millard E. Tydings, and Mrs. John L. Whitehurst constitute the Board of Regents of the University of Maryland.

FILED JUL 29 1949

44
11

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
LIBRARY

STATE OF THE UNION

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

REPORT

The Secretary of Defense reports that the Department of Defense has been successful in its efforts to reduce the military budget by \$10 billion over the next five years. This reduction is being achieved through a combination of program cuts and efficiency improvements. The Secretary also reports that the Department has been successful in its efforts to modernize the military's equipment and improve its readiness. The Secretary concludes that the Department is well positioned to meet the challenges of the future.

Third: The University of Maryland is an administrative department of the State of Maryland. It is a State institution performing an essential governmental function.

Fourth: Under the acts of the Legislature of the State of Maryland, which form the Charter of the University of Maryland, as now constituted, the Board of Regents, who are appointed by the Governor, by and with the consent of the Senate, are vested with the powers of governing the University. The President of the University of Maryland, the Director of Admissions of the Baltimore Schools, and the Dean of the College of Engineering function as their agents under their supervision and control.

Fifth: Under the Charter of the University of Maryland, the Faculty of Engineering is expressly established and conducts a College of Engineering of the University of Maryland as an integral component part of the said University subject to the laws and regulations governing the same. The aforesaid College of Engineering is the only State institution which affords an engineering education and is a member of the Association of American Colleges, and is accredited by the Middle States Association of Colleges and Secondary Schools which give it and its graduates high standing among the engineering profession.

Sixth: The Faculty of Engineering offers a four year course in said College of Engineering leading to the Bachelor of Science degree (B. S.). The requirements for admission to said course are:

"Graduates of accredited secondary schools of Maryland or the District of Columbia will be admitted by certificate upon recommendation of the principal."

Seventh: The Petitioner, Hiram T. Whittle, is a candidate for admission as a first year student in the College of Engineering of the University of Maryland and is fully qualified in all lawful and proper respects for admission thereto. On May 5, 1949 Petitioner applied to the College of Engineering accompanying said application with the required five dollars (\$5.00) investigation fee and transcript of his record from the schools attended by him. Said application blank and said accompanying transcript showed that the Petitioner's moral and educational qualifications were as good as or better than those admitted prior to this submission of application or subsequently admitted to the College of Engineering.

REC'D JUL 29 1949

SECRET

CONFIDENTIAL

[The following text is extremely faint and illegible due to the quality of the scan. It appears to be a multi-paragraph document.]

Eighth: The Board of Regents, the Director of Admissions and the Dean and Faculty Committee of the University of Maryland have had notice of this application and ample time and adequate opportunity to consider and act upon the Petitioner's application aforesaid in that the Petitioner appealed as to his application successively to the President and the Board of Regents, but has not been able to get any satisfactory and definite action on his appeal, and there is no other authority within the University organization to whom he can now appeal. Upon information and belief your Petitioner avers that applicants having no better moral or educational qualifications were admitted to the College of Engineering for the academic year beginning September 21, 1949 subsequent to the date of the Petitioner's application. Upon information and belief your Petitioner avers that his application was refused wrongfully and arbitrarily solely because of his race and color and in direct contravention of the provisions of the Fourteenth Amendment of the Constitution of the United States, § U. S. C. 41, and the Supreme Court decisions of the United States.

Ninth: The Petitioner is ready, willing and able to perform any lawful requirements and pay all proper fees and provide himself with all the necessary facilities for admission as a first year student at the College of Engineering of the University of Maryland and so tenders himself at this time.

Tenth: The actions of the Respondents in refusing to consider the application of the Petitioner were wrong, unlawful and arbitrary, thereby the State of Maryland did deny the Petitioner, a resident and citizen of the United States and the State of Maryland, the equal protection of the laws guaranteed him under the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States and did violate Title 8 U. S. C. Section 41.

Eleventh: Unless this Honorable Court, by a Writ of Mandamus shall secure, preserve, and enforce the rights of the said Hiram T. Whittle, Petitioner, he will suffer irreparable injury and will be without adequate remedy in the premises for the inception of the academic year for 1949-1950 of the College of Engineering in immanent; to wit: September 21, 1949.

WHEREFORE: Your Petitioner prays this Honorable Court to issue a Writ of Mandamus directed to the Respondents, Harry C. Byrd, President and Executive Head of the University of Maryland, Edgar F. Long Director of Admissions

FEB JUL 29 1949

OXFORD BOND

OXFORD BOND

OXFORD BOND

of the Baltimore Schools of the University of Maryland, S. S. Steinberg, Dean of College of Engineering of the University of Maryland, and William P. Cole, Jr., Stanford Z. Rothschild, J. Milton Patterson, Peter W. Chichester, Edward F. Holter, E. Paul Knotts, Charles P. McCormick, Harry H. Nuttle, Philip C. Turner, Millard E. Tydings, and Mrs. John L. Whitehurst, constituting the Board of Regents of the University of Maryland at their office located at 109 East Redwood Street, requiring the Respondents by and through their agents Edgar F. Long Director of Admissions and S. S. Steinberg, Dean of College of Engineering to (a) consider and act on Petitioner's application of May without regards to creed or color and admit him to the semester beginning September 21, 1949 in the College of Engineering or as soon thereafter as this case may be handled and heard if his qualifications equal or exceed the qualifications of those students already admitted to the College of Engineering, and if his application predates the application of any student already admitted to the College of Engineering for the current academic semester upon Petitioner's complying with the uniform, lawful requirements for admissions; or (b) to certify him at the beginning of the next academic term when entering students are accepted and to certify him on the same terms and conditions applicable to other students applying to the College of Engineering with regards to creed or color or race; and further ordering such other and further relief and protection to your Petitioner and his rights as aforesaid may be proper and necessary in the premises.

Donald G. Murray

Donald G. Murray

Charles H. Houston

Charles H. Houston
Solicitors for Petitioner

Hiram T. Whittle

Hiram T. Whittle

FILED JUL 29 1949

STATE OF MARYLAND, CITY OF BALTIMORE, to wit:

I HEREBY CERTIFY, That on this ^{28th} day of *July*, 1949, before me, the subscriber, a Notary Public of the State of Maryland, in and for Baltimore City, aforesaid, personally appeared Hiram T. Whittle and made oath in due form of law that the matters and facts therein set forth in the Petition are true to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

AS WITNESS my hand and Notarial Seal.

Dorothy L. Peens
Notary Public



FILED JUL 29 1949


SECRET

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

OFFICE OF THE ADJUTANT GENERAL

TO: THE ADJUTANT GENERAL, WASHINGTON, D. C.
FROM: THE ADJUTANT GENERAL, [illegible]
SUBJECT: [illegible]

[illegible signature]



SECRET

HIRAM T. WHITTLE, minor,
by LUCILLE WHITTLE his
next friend and parent
929 McDonough Street

IN THE BALTIMORE

VS.

HARRY C. BYRD, PRESIDENT;
WILLIAM P. COLE, JR., STANFORD
Z. ROTHSCHILD, J. MILTON PATTERSON,
PETER W. CHICHESTER, EDWARD F.
HOLTER, E. PAUL KNOTTS, CHARLES P.
McCORMICK, HARRY H. NUTTLE, PHILIP
C. TURNER, MILLARD E. TYDINGS, MRS.
JOHN L. WHITEHURST, constituting the
Board of Regents of the University of
Maryland; S. S. STEINBERG, DEAN OF
COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING and EDGAR F.
LONG, DIRECTOR OF ADMISSIONS OF THE
UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND
109 East Redwood Street

CITY COURT

* * * * *

Upon the foregoing Petition, it is by the Baltimore City Court this
29th day of *July*, 1949,

ORDERED, That the Defendants, Harry C. Byrd, President; the Board
of Regents of the University of Maryland, S. S. Steinberg, Dean of the College
of Engineering, and Edgar F. Long, Director of Admissions of the University of
Maryland show cause on or before the *27th* day of *August*, 1949, why
the relief prayed for should not be granted.

PROVIDED, That a copy of said Petition shall be served the Defendants
on or before the *8th* day of *August*, 1949.

J. Allen Sayler
JUDGE

FILED JUL 29 1949

MPS Sheriff's Office

PLEASE NOTE OUR

JOHN W. KATHERFORD, CLERK
Baltimore City Courts

RECEIVED
SHERIFF'S OFFICE

JUN 30 9 51 AM '49

BALTIMORE CITY

JUN 30 9 51 AM '49

BALTIMORE CITY

Patrol
111

Copy of the within Petition and Order of Court served on Harry C. Byrd, President; William P. Cole, Jr.; Stanford J. Rothschild; J. Milton Patterson; Peter W. Chichester; Edward F. Walters; E. Paul Knotts; Charles P. McCormick; Harry H. Nuttle; Philip C. Turner; Millard E. Tydings; and Mrs. John L. Whitehurst, constituting the Board of Regents of the University of Maryland; S.S. Steinberg, Dean of College of Engineering and Edgar F. Long, Director of Admissions of the University of Maryland, by service on Stanford J. Rothschild, Board of Regents, on the 1st day of August, 1949, in the presence of Samuel Elliott.

Yes
10. 75

Joseph C. Deegan
Sheriff