

DEPARTMENT OF LAW

217 COURT HOUSE

September 20, 1948

Mr. Maurice Ogle Clerk, Court of Appeals of Maryland Court of Appeals Building Annapolis, Maryland

Dear Mr. Ogle:

THOMAS N. BIDDISON

CITY SOLICITOR

I am enclosing a stipulation on behalf of all the appellees in the case of Green, et al. v. Robert Garrett, et al., entered on the docket for the October Term, 1948, as Case No. 58, which stipulation has been executed by counsel for all parties thereto.

Will you kindly file the above stipulation with the record in the aforementioned proceedings, and oblige

Very truly yours,

romas M. Biddesen

TNB/RRS Enc.

THOMAS N. BIDDISON City Solicitor

70.58 Oct. T. 1948 الحراجة الجانقي - 4 ---Stipulation Filed: Sep. 21-1948

FREDERICK E. GREEN and MINNIE C. GREEN, his wife, et al, Appellants,	: : In the
VS.	COURT OF APPEALS
ROBERT GARRETT, President, Department of Recreation and Parks of Baltimore City, et al,	: : : October Term, 1948 :
BALTIMORE BASEBALL & EXHIBITION COMPANY, INTERNATIONAL LEAGUE OF PROFESSIONAL BASEBALL CLUBS,	: No. 58
Appellees.	

- <u>STIPULATION</u> -

WHEREAS, under the Rules and Regulations Respecting Appeals, prescribed by the Court of Appeals of Maryland, Robert Garrett, President, J. Marshall Boone, Mrs. Howard W. Ford, S. Lawrence Hammerman, Bernard Harris, R. Wilburt Marsheck, Weston B. Scrimger, in their official capacities and comprising and constituting the Department of Recreation and Parks of Baltimore City, Baltimore Baseball & Exhibition Company and International League of Professional Baseball Clubs, appellees herein, are required to file their respective briefs with the Clerk of the said Court on or before September 27, 1948,

- 1 -

WHEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED,

by and between counsel for all parties to the above entitled case that the time for the filing of the said Appellees' Briefs and Appendix, as aforesaid, be extended to October 26, 1948, provided that no delay in the argument of this case shall result therefrom, and provided further that opportunity shall be given Appellants, in accordance with the rules of this Court, to file a reply Brief if, in the opinion of Appellants' counsel, such should become necessary.

Wilmer H. Driver Attorney for Appellants

n m Kemp/Bartlett, Jr. J. in \times

Robert D. Bartlett Attorneys for Baltimore Baseball & Exhibition Company

1. Nicholas Shriver, Jr. Attorney for International League of Professional Baseball Clubs

ausa mas

Thomas N. Biddison City Solicitor

SO 61

Edwin Harlan Deputy City Solicitor

Ghing John J. Ghingler, Jr. Assistant City Solicitor, John

Attorneys for Robert Garrett, et al

FILED OCT 221948

Court of Appeals of Maryland

October Term, 1948

No. 58

FREDERICK E. GREEN and MINNIE C. GREEN, his wife, et al.,

Appellants,

No. 58 - Oct. T. 1948

VS.

ROBERT GARRETT, et al., Constituting THE DEPART-MENT OF RECREATION AND PARKS OF BALTI-MORE CITY, THE BALTIMORE BASEBALL AND EXHIBITION COMPANY, THE INTERNATIONAL LEAGUE OF PROFESSIONAL BASEBALL CLUBS, *Appellees.*

> Appeal from the Circuit Court No. 2 of Baltimore City (Mason, J.)

MOTION TO DISMISS

ROBERT D. BARTLETT, J. KEMP BARTLETT, JR., Solicitors for Appellee, The Baltimore Baseball and Exhibition Company. J. NICHOLAS SHRIVER, JR., CROSS AND SHRIVER, Solicitors for Appellee, International League of Professional Baseball Clubs.

IN THE

Court of Appeals of Maryland

October Term, 1948

No. 58

FREDERICK E. GREEN and MINNIE C. GREEN, his wife, et al.,

Appellants,

vs.

ROBERT GARRETT, et al., Constituting THE DEPART-MENT OF RECREATION AND PARKS OF BALTI-MORE CITY, THE BALTIMORE BASEBALL AND EXHIBITION COMPANY, THE INTERNATIONAL LEAGUE OF PROFESSIONAL BASEBALL CLUBS, *Appellees*.

> Appeal from the Circuit Court No. 2 of Baltimore City (Mason, J.)

MOTION TO DISMISS

The Baltimore Baseball and Exhibition Company, and the International League of Professional Baseball Clubs, two of the Appellees in the above-entitled cause, by their solicitors, respectfully move that the Appeal be dismissed and for grounds therefor say: That because of the fact that the 1948 baseball season has ended, the questions raised by this appeal have become moot.

In support of its motion it shows that;

The Bill of Complaint filed by the Appellants in the Circuit Court No. 2 of Baltimore City on the 23rd day of December, 1947, alleged "that it was and is the intention of The Baltimore Baseball and Exhibition Company * * * to lease said Stadium for another year" and the intention of the Department of Recreation and Parks to enter into negotiations "looking toward the execution of an agreement covering the 1948 playing season" (Appellants' App. 7).

Mr. Robert Garrett, Chairman of the Department of Recreation and Parks, was called as a witness by the Appellants and testified that his Department had given a hearing to the Stadium Protest Committee and read from the minutes of that meeting as follows:

"Mr. Driver asked the Board if it would allow the Orioles to play in the Stadium for the year 1948, and likewise wanted to know the policy of the Board for future years concerning the Orioles. The Board did not declare itself as to the future but by majority vote agreed to allow the Baltimore Baseball and Exhibition Company the use of the Baltimore Stadium for the 1948 baseball season, provided the Orioles make such a request and present a written agreement which is acceptable to the Board" (Appellants' App. 229-230).

> See also in this respect Plaintiffs' Exhibit No. 29 (Appellees' App. 95) and Plaintiffs' Exhibits Nos. 25, 26 and 27 (Appellees' App. 90-93).

The case was tried below on the theory that it involved a lease for a period no longer than the 1948 season.

"(The Court) Of course, this present lease that is covered in this bill of complaint hasn't anything to do with any lease for a longer period than 1948.

(The Witness) The lease we will make now will probably be for 1948 only.

(The Court) And of course, it did contemplate 1947, but that time is past and was past when the bill was filed, it contemplated 1947 and 1948?

(The Witness) Yes.

(The Court) And no longer.

(The Witness) That is right" (Appellees' App. 83).

The ruling of the Lower Court upon a proffer by the Department of Recreation and Parks as to proof of the plans for the new stadium, as well as to proof of plans for alteration of the existing stadium after the 1948 season, clearly demonstrated that the proceedings related solely to the 1948 season. For example, Judge Mason, in ruling adversely on one such proffer, stated (page 1186 of typewritten transcript of record):

"As I understand this matter, it is a bill of complaint to enjoin the City from making a contract with the Orioles for the year 1948 to play in the present Stadium";

and again:

"[Future plans for new construction after the 1948 season are] all speculative. I have to deal with what I have before me now" (Tr. p. 1189).

Finally and even more conclusively, in his opinion Judge Mason said:

"Of course, it must be borne in mind that the agreement which is the subject of this suit is not for a long term. It is for the 1948 season" (Appellants' App. 491).

The 1948 season ended on September 12, 1948 (Defendant's Exhibit No. 5, Appellants' App. 485).

Because, therefore, of the fact that the 1948 baseball season has ended, the questions raised by this appeal have become moot.

In the case of *Cook v. Normac Corp.*, 176 Md. 396-397, it was said:

"In the argument it has been stated that the work has been completed during the time occupied by the litigation, and that fact would foreclose any question of stopping it; the question would be moot."

See also:

Iverson v. Jones, 171 Md. 649;
Public Service Commission v. Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Co., 147 Md. 279;
Syfer v. Spence, 103 Md. 66.

Respectfully submitted,

ROBERT D. BARTLETT,

J. KEMP BARTLETT, JR.,

Solicitors for Appellee, The Baltimore Baseball and Exhibition Company.

J. NICHOLAS SHRIVER, JR.,

CROSS AND SHRIVER,

Solicitors for Appellee, International League of Professional Baseball Clubs. LAW OFFICE OF

WILMER H. DRIVER

PHONE PLAZA 6098

819-20 FIDELITY BUILDING

BALTIMORE

July 21, 1948

Hon. Maurice Ogle, Clerk Court of Appeals of Maryland Court of Appeals Building Annapolis, Maryland

Dear Mr. Ogle:

Re: Frederick E. Green, et al, vs. Robert Garrett, et al

I enclose herewith Stipulation executed by all counsel in the above-captioned case, providing for extending the time for filing the appendix and brief on behalf of the appellants to thirty days from August 8, 1948.

Very truly yours,

V. Stein

Wilmer H. Driver

WHD/fmw

20.58- Oct. T. 1998

Stepalation

Filed. July 22-1948

FREDERICK E. GREEN and MINNIE C. GREEN, his wife, et al Appellants

vs.

ROBERT GARRETT, President, J. MARSHALL BOONE, MRS. HOWARD W. FORD, S. LAWRENCE HAMMERMAN, BERNARD HARRIS, R. WILBURT MARSHECK, WESTON B. SCRIMGER, in their official capacities and comprising and constituting the DEPARTMENT OF RECREATION AND PARKS OF BALTIMORE CITY and BALTIMORE BASEBALL AND EXHIBITION COMPANY, a Maryland Corporation, and BASEBALL CLUBS,

COURT OF APPEALS

IN THE

OF

MARYLAND

the INTERNATIONAL LEAGUE OF PROFESSIONAL : No. 58, October Term, 1948 Appellees

.

STIPULATION

It is stipulated and agreed by and between the parties hereto, that the time for filing the appendix and brief on behalf of the Appellants in the above-captioned case be extended for a period of thirty days from August 8, 1948.

Sept. 7th

emas ator of Biddison, omas N.

Baltimore City

an Kemp Bartlett, Counsel for Baltimore J.

Baseball and Exhibition Company

1700

Eben J. D. Cross, Counsel for International League of Professional Baseball Clubs

N. Dru

Wilmer H. Driver, Attorney for Frederick E. Green and Minnie C. Green, his wife, et al

September 3, 1948

Wilmer H. Driver, Esquire 819 Fidelity Building Baltimore, Maryland

Dear Mr. Driver:

This is to advise you that Chief Judge Marbury has signed the Order attached to your petition extending from fifty to sixty pages the appellants' brief in the case of Frederick E. Green, et al. vs. Robert Garrett, et al., No. 58, October Term, 1948.

Very truly yours,

JLY/arh

Copies to

INGINODANCLIUD PAGO

ung would wondoby

Thomas N. Biddison, Esq. 905 Maryland Trust Bld'g Baltimore-2, Md.

J. Kemp Bartlett, Jr., Esq. 34 U.S.F. & G. Bld'g Baltimore-2, Md.

Messrs. Cross & Shriver 610 Mercantile Trust Bld'g Baltimore-2, Md. PHONE PLAZA 6098

LAW OFFICE OF WILMER H. DRIVER 819-20 FIDELITY BUILDING BALTIMORE

September 1, 1948

Hon. Ogle Marbury Chief Judge, Court of Appeals of Maryland 529 Main Street Laurel, Maryland

Dear Judge Marbury:

Re: Frederick E. Green, et al, vs. Robert Garrett, et al - No. 58, October Term, 1948 - Court of Appeals of Maryland

I enclose herewith a petition and order requesting the extension of the length of the Appellants' Brief in the above-styled case from 50 pages to 60 pages. The reason for this request is due to the fact that the Appellants' Appendix is rather voluminous, consisting of 510 pages: and as one aspect of the case turns on factual matters, it has been impossible to keep the Brief, prepared by me on behalf of the Appellants, within the limit prescribed by the Rules of Court without eliminating certain factors which I feel should be brought to the Court's attention.

The Appellants' Brief is due September 7th, and because of this fact as well as the intervening Labor Day holiday, I would greatly appreciate your having the Clerk advise me of the Court's action.

Thanking you for your courtesy in this regard, I am

Very truly yours,

. Srun

Wilmer H. Driver

WHD/fmw

Miglipher mitter m. Xmin Olly

no. 58 - Oct. T. 1948 Petition and Order eftending pages of appellants heif.

Filed. Sept. 3-1948.

FREDERICK E. GREEN and MINNIE C. GREEN, his wife, et al	:	IN THE
Appellants	:	
VS.	:	COURT OF APPEALS
ROBERT GARRETT, President, J. MARSHALL BOONE, MRS. HOWARD W.	•	
FORD, S. LAWRENCE HAMMERMAN, BERNARD HARRIS, R. WILBURT MARSHECK,	:	OF
WESTON B. SCRIMGER, in their official capacities and comprising and con-	•	and the second second second
stituting the DEPARTMENT OF RECREATION AND PARKS OF BALTIMORE CITY and	•	MARYLAND
BALTIMORE BASEBALL AND EXHIBITION COMPANY, a Maryland Corporation, and	:	
the INTERNATIONAL LEAGUE OF PROFESSIONAL BASEBALL CLUBS,	:	No. 58, October Term, 1948
Appellees	:	

PETITION AND ORDER

TO THE HONORABLE OGLE MARBURY, CHIEF JUDGE OF THE COURTS OF APPEALS OF MARYLAND:

Comes now Wilmer H. Driver, counsel for the Appellants in the aboveentitled cause, and respectfully represents as follows:

1. That the transcript in the above-entitled case is in excess of 1,700 pages, and the Appellants' Appendix is 510 pages in length.

2. That it has been impossible for counsel for the Appellants to keep the Appellants' Brief within the 50-page limit prescribed by the rules of this Court for the reason that the case turns on factual matters, and it has been impossible to keep the Brief within the 50-page limit without eliminating certain matters which counsel for the Appellants feels should be brought to the Court's attention.

3. That counsel for the Appellants is advised by the printer that the brief, as now constituted, will contain 60 pages.

WHEREFORE, Appellants pray that an order be passed authorizing Appellants' Brief to be extended from 50 pages to 60 pages.

Mieron N. Stamin

Wilmer H. Driver, Attorney for the Appellants

ORDER

The aforegoing Petition having been read and considered, it is thereupon ORDERED this $2 \sim 10^{-10}$ day of September, 1948, that the Appellants' Brief in the aforegoing cause may be extended from 50 pages to 60 pages.

Las A Contra

Stematu

Chief Judge

AN ANY

10: 28 - 000 × 1020