

Copyright 2006 SHEPARD'S(R) - 23 Citing references

Hughes v. Maryland Committee for Fair Representation, 241 Md. 471, 217 A.2d 273, 1966 Md. LEXIS 737 (1966)

SHEPARD'S Signal: Caution: Possible negative treatment

Restrictions: *Unrestricted*

FOCUS(TM) Terms: *No FOCUS terms*

Print Format: *FULL*

Citing Ref. Signal: *Hidden*

SHEPARD'S SUMMARY

Unrestricted Shepard's Summary

No negative subsequent appellate history.

Citing References:

Cautionary Analyses: **Criticized (1)**

Neutral Analyses: Dissenting Op. (7), Explained (1)

Other Sources: Law Reviews (4)

LexisNexis Headnotes: HN1 (7)

PRIOR HISTORY (0 citing references)

(CITATION YOU ENTERED):

Hughes v. Maryland Committee for Fair Representation, 241 Md. 471, 217 A.2d 273, 1966 Md. LEXIS 737 (1966)

SUBSEQUENT APPELLATE HISTORY (1 citing reference)

1. **Writ of certiorari denied:**

Hughes v. Maryland Committee for Fair Representation, 384 U.S. 950, 86 S. Ct. 1569, 16 L. Ed. 2d 547, 1966 U.S. LEXIS 1595 (1966)

CITING DECISIONS (18 citing decisions)

MARYLAND COURT OF APPEALS

2. **Cited by:**

In re Legislative Districting, 370 Md. 312, 805 A.2d 292, 2002 Md. LEXIS 560 (2002) **LexisNexis Headnotes HN1**

370 Md. 312 p.357

805 A.2d 292 p.319

3. **Cited in Dissenting Opinion at:**

Legislative Redistricting Cases, 331 Md. 574, 629 A.2d 646, 1993 Md. LEXIS 128 (1993)

331 Md. 574 p.620

629 A.2d 646 p.669

4. **Cited by:**

Perkins v. Eskridge, 278 Md. 619, 366 A.2d 21, 1976 Md. LEXIS 661 (1976)

278 Md. 619 p.645
366 A.2d 21 p.36

5. **Cited by:**

State Administrative Board of Election Laws v. Calvert, 272 Md. 659, 327 A.2d 290, 1974 Md. LEXIS 798 (1974)

272 Md. 659 p.673
327 A.2d 290 p.298

6. **Cited in Dissenting Opinion at:**

Bartholomey v. State, 267 Md. 175, 297 A.2d 696, 1972 Md. LEXIS 664 (1972)

267 Md. 175 p.217
297 A.2d 696 p.718

7. **Cited in Dissenting Opinion at:**

Harnish v. Herald-Mail Co., 264 Md. 326, 286 A.2d 146, 1972 Md. LEXIS 1148, 49 A.L.R.3d 1056 (1972) **LexisNexis Headnotes HN1**

264 Md. 326 p.340
286 A.2d 146 p.154

8. **Cited in Dissenting Opinion at:**

State v. Lundquist, 262 Md. 534, 278 A.2d 263, 1971 Md. LEXIS 951 (1971)

262 Md. 534 p.568
278 A.2d 263 p.281

9. **Cited by:**

McMillan v. State, 258 Md. 147, 265 A.2d 453, 1970 Md. LEXIS 984 (1970) **LexisNexis Headnotes HN1**

258 Md. 147 p.156
265 A.2d 453 p.458

10. **Cited in Dissenting Opinion at:**

Brukiewa v. Police Comm'r of Baltimore City, 257 Md. 36, 263 A.2d 210, 1970 Md. LEXIS 1283 (1970) **LexisNexis Headnotes HN1**

263 A.2d 210 p.231

11. **Cited in Dissenting Opinion at:**

Meehan v. Macy, 257 Md. 58 (1969)

257 Md. 58 p.78

12. **Cited in Dissenting Opinion at:**

State v. Giles, 245 Md. 660, 229 A.2d 97 (1967)

245 Md. 660 p.668
229 A.2d 97 p.101

13. **Cited by:**
State v. Giles, 245 Md. 342, 227 A.2d 745, 1967 Md. LEXIS 523 (1967)
14. **Explained by, Cited by:**
Secretary of State v. Bryson, 244 Md. 418, 224 A.2d 277, 1966 Md. LEXIS 449 (1966)
- Explained by:**
244 Md. 418 p.425
224 A.2d 277 p.281
- Cited by:**
244 Md. 418 p.421
224 A.2d 277 p.279
15. **Cited by:**
Dixon v. Board of Supervisors of Elections, 244 Md. 48, 222 A.2d 371, 1966 Md. LEXIS 408 (1966)
- 244 Md. 48 p.52
244 Md. 48 p.53
222 A.2d 371 p.373
222 A.2d 371 p.374
16. **Criticized by:**
Montgomery County Council v. Garrott, 243 Md. 634, 222 A.2d 164, 1966 Md. LEXIS 564 (1966) **LexisNexis Headnotes HN1**
- 243 Md. 634 p.650
222 A.2d 164 p.172

MARYLAND

17. **Cited by:**
56 Md. Op. Att'y Gen. 172, 56 Md. Op. Att'y Gen. 172
- 56 Md. Op. Att'y Gen. 172 p.185

4TH CIRCUIT - COURT OF APPEALS

18. **Cited by:**
Maryland Citizens for Representative General Assembly v. Governor of Maryland, 429 F.2d 606, 1970 U.S. App. LEXIS 8246 (4th Cir. Md. 1970) **LexisNexis Headnotes HN1**
- 429 F.2d 606 p.608

4TH CIRCUIT - U.S. DISTRICT COURTS

19. **Cited by:**
Pohoryles v. Mandel, 312 F. Supp. 334, 1970 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 11917 (D. Md. 1970) **LexisNexis Headnotes HN1**

312 F. Supp. 334 p.335

312 F. Supp. 334 p.337

LAW REVIEWS AND PERIODICALS (4 Citing References)

20. *LECTURE: STATE COURTS AND DEMOCRACY: THE ROLE OF STATE COURTS IN THE BATTLE FOR INCLUSIVE PARTICIPATION IN THE ELECTORAL PROCESS*, 74 N.Y.U. L. Rev. 937 (1999)

21. *ARTICLE: LEGISLATIVE REDISTRICTING IN 1991-1992: THE TEXAS BILL OF RIGHTS v. THE VOTING RIGHTS ACT*, 26 St. Mary's L. J. 33 (1994)

22. 10 U. Balt. L. Rev. 102
10 U. Balt. L. Rev. 102 p.118

23. 120 U. Pa. L. Rev. 666
120 U. Pa. L. Rev. 666 p.669