IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALTIMORE CITY

B 585

PHILIP WOOD

VS.

ALICE WOOD

B 32474

BILL FOR DIVORCE.

Mr.Clerk:-

Please file.

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

DAVIS & EVANS ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Fd 19 nov 1927

4

BAUMGARTEN & CO., INC.

PHILIP WOOD : IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

VS. OF

ALICE WOOD : BALTIMORE CITY

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:
Your Orator complaining respectfully represents:

- (I) That he was married to his wife, Alice Wood on the 3rd day of December, I926 in Washington, D.C. and with whom he resided until the IIth day of October, I927.
- (2) That ever since said marriage your Orator has behaved himself as a faithful, chaste and affectionate husband toward the said Alice Wood.
- (3) That the said Alice Wood has on divers days and times since said marriage, committed the crime of adultery with divers, lewd and abandoned men, whose names are unknown to your Orator and said offense has not been condoned by your Orator.
- (4) That your Orator has not lived or co-habited with the said defendant since he discovered her said adulteries.
- (5) That there is one child born as result of said marriage, Lucille, age three months.
- (6) That your Orator is a citizen of the State of Maryland, having resided in Baltimore City for more than three years prior to thefiling of this Bill of Complaint, but that the defendant is a non-resident of the State of Maryland and when last heard of was in Powhotan, Virginia.

TO THE END, THEREFORE, YOUR ORATOR PRAYS:

- (a) That he may be divorced A Vinculo Matrimonii from the said Alice Wood.
- (b) That he may have such other and further relief as his case may require.

May it please your Honor to grant unto your Orator the Order of Publication directed against the said Alice Wood, commanding and requiring her to be and appear in this Court on some day certain to be named therein to answer the premises and abide by and perform such decree or order as may be passed therein.

AND as in duty bound, etc.

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF.

DAVIS & EVANS, SOLICITORS, 215 ST. PAUL PLACE, IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALTIMORE CITY PHILIP WOOD VS. ALICE WOOD ORDER OF PUBLICATION

The object of this bill is to procure a divorce a Vinculo Matrimonii by the plaintiff, Philip Wood from the defendand, Alice Wood.

The bill recites that the parties were married on the 3rd day of December, 1926 and lived together until the IIth day of October, 1927. That ever since said marriage the plaintiff has behaved himself as a faithful, chaste and affectionate husband toward the said defendant. That the said defendant has on divers days and times since said marriage, committed the crime of adultery with divers, lewd and abandoned men, whose names are unknown to the plaintiff and said offense has not been condoned by the plaintiff. That the plaintiff has not lived or co-habited with said defendant since said adultery was discovered. That there is one child born as result of said marriage, Lucille, age three months. That the plaintiff is a citizen of the State of Maryland, having residedin Baltimore City for more than three years prior to the filing of this Bill of Complaint, but that the defendant is a non-resident of the State of Maryland, and when last heard of was in Powhotan, Virginia.

It is thereupon ordered by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City this / day of / 1927, that the plaintiff by causing a copy of this order to be inserted in some daily newspaper published in Baltimore City, once a week for four successive weeks before the /9 day of December 1927, give notice to the absent defendant of the object and substance of this bill, warning her to be and appear in this Court in person or by Solicitor on or before the following 1928 to show cause, if any she may have, why a decree should not be passed as prayed.

H. arthur Herry

13585 Ct. Ct. Docket 67 B 5 8 Philip Word alice Mood

Decree Pro Confesso

Dapp:
B No. 32474

Philip Wood

BALTIMORE CITY

January

Term, 1929

The Defendant having been duly summoned (notified by Order of Publication) to appear to the Bill of Complaint, and having failed to appear thereto, according to the exigency of the writ, (said Order).

25th in the year nineteen It is thereupon this by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City, ADJUDGED, ORDERED and hundred and twenty WWW DECREED, that the complainant is entitled to relief in the premises, and that the bill of Complaint be and is hereby taken pro confesso against the defendant. But because it doth not certainly appear to what relief the Plaintiff is entitled, it is further Adjudged, and Ordered, that one of the Examiners of this Court, take testimony to support the allegations of the bill.

Testerny later Mar, 14, 1938 he considered muce fromme as of the date

Doc. 67 3 585

In the Circuit Court,

OF BALTIMORE CITY

DEPOSITIONS

Philip Wood
alice Wood

No. 32474 B

PLAINTIFF'S COSTS

DEFENDANT'S COSTS

Examiners \$ Sheriff Stenographer

Fd 19 april 1929

PHILIP WOOD vs.	In the Circuit Court
ALICE WOOD	OF BALTIMORE CITY.
A decree pro confesso hav	ing been issued in the above cause
·	the Solicitor for the plaintiff
of a desire to take testimony in the	e same, I, A. de RÜSSY SAPPINGTON, one
of the Standing Examiners of the	Circuit Courts of Baltimore City, under and by
virtue of an order of the above nai (Nunc pro tunc) Twenty-fifth	med Circuit Court, passed in said cause on the
the Twenty-fourth	day of February in the year nineteer
Ue Twell of Link att	day of the year nineteer
	my office, in the city of Baltimore, in the State
hundred andtwenty-eightat	my office, in the city of Baltimore, in the State
hundred andtwenty-eight at a	•
hundred andtwenty-eight at roof Maryland, and assigned theThe in the same year at1:30	my office, in the city of Baltimore, in the Statementy-fourth day of February o'clock in the after- noon and the
hundred andtwenty-eight at a formal of Maryland, and assigned theTy in the same year at	my office, in the city of Baltimore, in the State Nenty-fourth day of February o'clock in the after- noon and the in the City and State
hundred andtwenty-eight at a foresaid, as the time and place for	my office, in the city of Baltimore, in the State Nenty-fourth day of February o'clock in the after— noon and the in the City and State or such examination of witnesses in said cause
hundred andtwenty-eight at a formal of Maryland, and assigned the	my office, in the city of Baltimore, in the State

PHILIP WOOD In the Circuit Court of

VS.

ALICE WOOD Baltimore City.

Testimony taken before me, A. deRussy Sappington, Examiner, in my offices in the Title Building, Baltimore, on Debruary 24th, 1928, at 1:30 P. M.

Mr. J. Steward Davis appeared for plaintiff.

Thereupon ---

PHILIP WOOD.

the plaintiff, of lawful age, produced on his own behalf, being duly sworn, testified as follows:

By the Examiner:

- Q State your name, residence and occupation?
- A Philip Wood, 1229 East Lexington Street, Baltimore, laborer.
 - Q Do you know the parties to this suit?
- A Yes, sir, I am the plaintiff and my wife is the defendant.

By Mr. Davis:

- Q When, where and by whom were you married?
- A In Washington, D. C., on December 3rd, 1926, by a Minister of the Gospel.
 - Q Where did you live after the marriage?
 - A Always in Baltimore.
 - Q How long did you live together?
 - A Until October 11th, 1927.
 - Q Which one left the other then?
 - A She left me.
 - Q Did you give her any cause or reason to leave you?
 - A No. sir.
 - Q What did she say when she left you?
- A We had an argument about some linoleum and I asked her to cancel the order and she told me she was going to have it and that she had another man uptown and she left.
 - Q Did you treat your wife properly?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q Did you work and support her and treat her right?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q You have charged your wife with adultery. Do you know anything of that of your own personal knowledge?
- Q No, sir, only what other men told me, but she told me to send the linoleum to Linden Avenue, up where her other man was.

- Q Did she say anything else at other times about other men?
- A She told me I wasn't the only man that worked for her.
- Q Have you been a resident of Baltimore, Maryland, for more than two years prior to the filing of this Bill?
 - A Yes, sir, I was born and raised here.
 - Q Are any children living as a result of the marriage?
 - A No. sir.
 - Q Is your wife a resident of Maryland?
 - A No. sir.
 - Q Where is she?
 - A In Powhatan. Virginia.
- Q Have you ever lived or cohabited with your wife since you learned of her adulteries?

A No. sir.

GENERAL QUESTION

Do you know or can you state any other matter or thing that may be to the benefit or advantage of the parties to this suit, or either of them, or that may be material to the subject of this, your examination, or the matters in question between the parties? If so, state the same fully and at large in your

answer. The

A. ---

Thereupon ---

COLE E. GOFF.

a witness, of lawful age, produced on behalf of the plaintiff, being duly sworn, testified as follows:

By the Examiner:

- Q State your name, residence and occupation?
- A Cole E. Goff, 1229 East Lexington Street, Baltimore, laborer.
 - Q Do you know the parties to this suit?
 - A Yes, sir.

By Mr. Davis:

- Q You know they lived together as husband and wife?
- A Yes, sir, I lived in the same house with them when they were together.
- Q Did you ever see Mrs. Wood entertain any men in her house besides her husband?
 - A Yes. sir.
 - Q Tell us what you saw?
- A I saw a man walk by one day and she was at the window and called him and she said, "Where have you been so long come in", and he said he wouldn't stay and I was sitting in the front room on the first floor and the man came in, I don't know who he was, and she called him in the room.

- Q What room did she call him in?
- A In her bedroom.
- Q Was she in the bedrooM?
- A Yes, she was in the bedroom and called him in the bedroom.
 - Q What happened then?
- A They closed the door and I stayed there about ten minutes and everything got quiet and I left then.
 - Q Where was this man and Mrs. Wood when you left?
 - A Still in the bedroom.
 - Q Describe this man.
- A He looked to be about twenty-seven or twenty-eight years old, a slim fellow, weighed about a hundred and fifty, brown-skinned fellow.
- Q How did Mr. Wood treat his wife - did he support her?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q Was he kind and faithful and true to her?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q Did he give her any cause or reason to commit adultery or to leave him?
 - A No. sir.

- Q Were you there the day she left and told him about the linoleum?
- A Yes, sir, I was there and she told him, "I am going home to my grandmother and grandfather and I want you to know there is more than one man working for me". She told him he wasn't the only man in the world who was working for her.
 - Q Did you hear her say anything about the linoleum?
- A Yes, sir, when she got ready to go this linoleum was there and he asked her to cancel it and send it back, but she said to send it up to where her other man was.

 She said her other man told her he had a room uptown and she could go there and had the linoleum sent to his room.
- Q Has Mr. Wood been a resident of Baltimore, Maryland, for more than two years prior to the filing of this Bill?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q Is his wife a resident of Maryland?
 - A No. sir. she is in Powhatan, Virginia.

- - **-** - -

GENERAL QUESTION

Thereupon ---

THOMAS WASHINGTON.

a witness, of lawful age, produced on behalf of the plaintiff, being duly sworn, testified as follows:

By the Examiner:

- Q State your name, residence and occupation?
- A Thomas Washington, 214 North Eden Street, Baltimore. laborer.
 - Q Do you know the parties to this suit?
 - A Yes, sir.

By Mr. Davis:

- Q You know they lived together as husband and wife?
 - A Yes, sir.
- Q Did you ever see Mrs. Wood in company with any man other than her husband?
- A Yes, sir, I saw her in company with a tall, slender, brown-skinned fellow in the movies three times and I saw her on the street with him a couple times.
 - Q Did you know his name?
- A No, sir, but I know she was with the same fellow each time.
 - Q Where was Mr. Wood at those times?
 - A He was working.

- Q Where would you see her?
- A Here, in Baltimore.
- Q Did you hear the description of the man given by Mr. Goff just now?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q Does that resemble the man you saw her with?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q Did you tell Mr. Wood about it?
 - A Yes, sir, after she left him.
 - Q How did Mr. Wood treat his wife?
- A He treated her like a good husband ought to.

 She didn't have to work and he furnished the house and was good and kind to her.
- Q Did he give her any cause or reason to leave him or to commit adultery?
 - A No. sir.
- Q Are any children living as a result of the marriage?
 - A No. sir.
- Q Has Mr. Wood been a resident of Baltimore,
 Maryland, for more than two years prior to the filing of
 this Bill?
 - A Yes sir.

- Q Is his wife a resident of Maryland?
- A No, sir, she is living in Powhatan, Virginia.
- Q Has Mr. Wood ever lived or cohabited with his wife since he learned of her adulteries?
 - A No, sir.

_ _ _ _ _

GENERAL QUESTION

other matter or thing that may be to the benefit or advantage of the parties to this suit, or either of them, or that may be material to the subject of this, your examination, or the matters in question between the parties? If so, state the same fully and at large in your answer.

A. ---- Masshington

No other witnesses being	g named or produced before me, I then, at the request
of the Solicitorof	theplaintiff
closed the depositions taken	in said cause and now return them closed under my
hand and seal, on this	uneteenth day of april
in the year of Our Lord nine	teen hundred andtwenty-nineat the
City of Baltimore, in the Stat	e of Maryland.
=	Examiner.
There are no	Exhibits with these depositions, to wit:
Plaintiff's Exhibit	
D0101111111111111111111111111111111111	
	_
	Cole Marginghy Examiner.
	Examiner.
,	PINGTON, the Examiner before whom the fore-
<u> </u>	n, do hereby certify that I was employed in assigning
	epositions upontwodays, onboth
of which I was employed by	the Plaintiff, and on
by the Defendant	
	Examiner.

Circuit Court

CII	cuit Court
192 9	Docket No
Ph	ilip Word
ali	ce Word
Order	of Reference
	nd Report
B No.	32474 267
Order Filed	day of May 1929 15 day of May 1929

Philip Wood	IN THE
vs.	Circuit Court
alice road	OF
	BALTIMORE CITY
	ON 1102 P
This case being submitted, without argument, it is day of	ordered by the Court, this
William P. Lyon	O, Esq., Auditor and Master, to report the
pleadings and the facts, and his opinion thereon. $\mathcal U$	Dello Moud
Report of Audito	r and Master
Bill for divorce a vinculo matri	monii filèd by the husband against
his wife on the ground of adultery.	Code Art. 16; Sec. 37-42.
Defendant proceeded against as a	non-resident and her non-residence
proved.	
Plaintiff's residence in Baltimo	ore City for more than two years
prior to the filing of the bill prove	ed.
The marriage proved.	
Adultery proved.	
Decree pro confesso was passed a	gainst the defendant and more than
thirty days have since elapsed.	
Case ready for decree.	Was: D.
	//Alliam . Legons
	Auditor and Master.
May 15, 1929.	
	

192	Docket No. 585
<u> </u>	Tood
	vs.
	lord.
1	<u> </u>
Certifica	te of Publication
6	32474
THE I	DAILY RECORD Say of May 1929

THE DAILY RECORD

Davis & Evans, Solicitors, 215 St. Paul Place.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALTI-MORE CITY—(B—585—1927)—Philip Wood vs. Alice Wood.

ORDER OF PUBLICATION.

The object of this bill is to procure a divorce a vinculo matrimonii by the plaintiff, Philip Wood, from the defendant,

Alice Wood.

Alice Wood.

The bill recites that the parties were married on the 3rd day of December, 1926. and lived together until the 11th day of October, 1927. That ever since said marriage the plaintiff has behaved himself as a faithful, chaste and affectionate husband toward the said defendant. That the said defendant has on divers days and times gives gaid marriage committed the crime since said marriage. defendant has on divers days and times since said marriage, committed the crime of adultery with divers lewd and abandoned men, whose names are unknown to the plaintiff and said offense has not been condoned by the plaintiff. That the plaintiff has not lived or co-habited with said defendant since said adultery was discovered. That there is one shild here overed.

defendant since said adultery was discovered. That there is one child born as result of said marriage, Lucille, age three months. That the plaintiff is a citizen of the State of Maryland, having resided in Baltimore City for more than three years prior to the filing of this bill of complaint, but that the defendant is a non-resident of the State of Maryland, and when last heard of was in Powhotan, Virginia.

It is thereupon ordered by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City this 19th day of November, 1927, that the plaintiff by causing a copy of this order to be inserted in some daily newspaper published in Baltimore City once a week for four successive weeks before the 19th day of December, 1297, give notice to the absent defendant of the object and substance of this bill, warning her to be and appear in this Court in ing her to be and appear in this Court in person or by solicitor on or before the 5th day of January, 1928, to show cause, if any she may have, why a decree should not be

passed as prayed.

H. ARTHUR STUMP CHAS. R. WHITEFOF

Baltimore, Nec. We hereby certify that the annexed advertisement of Order of Baltimore City, Case of was published in THE DAILY RECORD, a daily newspaper published in the City of Baltimore, once in each of x successive weeks before the THE DAILY REC

CIRCUIT COURT

B 585

1927

No.

Docket

PHILIP WOOD

VS.

ALICE WOOD

hagorded

Folio // 192 9

Decree of Divorce

B No. 32474

Fd 15 May 1929

The within is a proper decree to be passed in this case.

William Tyons Aughor and Moster.

PHILIP WOOD	Circuit Court
VS.	OF BALTIMORE CITY
ALICE WOOD This cause standing ready for hearing and being of	May Term, 192 9
	of, A. D. 1929.
PHILIP WOOD,	
Defendant, ALICE WOOD.	DIVORCED A VINCULO MATRIMONII from the
And it is further Ordered, That the said pay the cost of this proceeding.	Complainant, PHILIP WOOD,