

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALTIMORE CITY.

*B 784  
19*

LOUISE WESTCOTT

VS.

EDWARD WESTCOTT.

*% Daniel Weinberg  
Alhambra Apartments*

BILL FOR DIVORCE.

Mr. Clerk:-

Please file.

*B 29729*

*J. Steward Davis*

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF.

J. STEWARD DAVIS

ATTORNEY AT LAW

215 SAINT PAUL PLACE

BALTIMORE, MD.

*Filed 30 Sept 1925*

3/13/21/60

LOUISE WESTCOTT

:

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

VS.

:

OF

EDWARD WESTCOTT

:

BALTIMORE CITY.

---

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Your Oratrix complaining respectfully represents:

1. That she was married to her husband, Edward Westcott Nov. 4, 1919 and with whom she resided until the 30th day of *Sept.* 1925.
2. That ever since said marriage your Oratrix has behaved herself as a faithful, chaste and affectionate wife toward the said Edward Westcott.
3. That the said Edward Westcott has on divers days and times since said marriage, committed the crime of adultery with divers, lewd and abandoned women, whose names are unknown to your Oratrix and said offense has not been condoned by your Oratrix.
4. That your Oratrix has not lived or co-habited with the said defendant since she discovered said adulteries.
5. That there are no children born as issue of said marriage.
6. That your Oratrix is destitute and without means of financing the expenses of this suit and that she is advised by Counsel that she is entitled to have an order passed by this Honorable Court awarding some amount as alimony pending this litigation and some sum certain to compensate her Solicitor. The defendant is employed and earns about twenty-five dollars per week.

7. That both your Oratrix and the defendant are citizens of the State of Maryland, having resided in Baltimore City for more than three years prior to the filing of this Bill of Complaint.

TO THE END, THEREFORE:

- (a) That your Oratrix may be divorced A Vinculo Matrimonii from the said Edward Westcott.
- (b) Alimony and Counsel fees.
- (c) That she may have such other and further relief as her case may require.

May it please your Honor to grant unto your Oratrix the Writ of Subpoena directed against the said Edward Westcott commanding and requiring him to be and appear in this Court on some day certain to be named therein to answer the premises and abide by and perform such decree or order as may be passed therein.

AND as in duty bound, etc.

*Davis & Evans.*

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF.

P256

Ct. Ct.

B 784  
192 5

Docket No.

Louise Westcott  
vs.  
Edward Westcott

SUBPOENA TO ANSWER BILL OF COMPLAINT

B  
No. 29729  
(2)

Filed 2<sup>nd</sup> Oct, 1925  
Davis & Evans

SOLICITOR S

M 4465

P1713

11

Summoned and a copy of the Process left  
with the Defendant  
Lycett  
(10/2/25)  
Jes \$0.80  
John & Porter  
Sheriff

EQUITY SUBPOENA

The State of Maryland

On Edward Westcott

C/O Daniel Weinberg, Alhambra Apts.

of Baltimore City, Greeting:

WE COMMAND AND ENJOIN YOU, That all excuses set aside, you do within the time limited by law, beginning on the second Monday of October next cause an appearance to be entered for you and your answer to be filed to the complaint of

Louise Westcott

against you exhibited in the Circuit Court of Baltimore City, HEREOF fail not, as you will answer the contrary at your peril.

WITNESS, the Honorable JAMES P. GORTER, Chief Judge of the Supreme Bench of Baltimore City, the 14th day of September 1925 Issued the 30th day of September, in the year 1925

Chas. R. Whitford Clerk

MEMORANDUM: You are required to file your answer or other defense in the Clerk's Office, room 206, in the Court House, Baltimore City, within fifteen days after return day.

Served on  
Edward Westcott  
of Daniel Weinberg  
Alhambra Apts.

B Docket 784  
1925

CIRCUIT COURT.

Westcott

vs.

Westcott  
of Daniel Weinberg

Order for Alimony

Pendente Lite

ORDER

B No. 29729  
\$25 per wk  
no child  
(23) (4)

Fd: 30 Oct 1925  
Fd 20 Nov 1925

m 5692 m 4465

copy of the within Order of Court served on  
Edward Westcott on the 2<sup>d</sup> day of November 1925  
in Presence of Joseph E. Lynch  
John E. Pater  
Sherry  
New York

Louise Westcott

vs.

Edward Westcott

IN THE  
CIRCUIT COURT

OF

BALTIMORE CITY

September

TERM, 192

ORDERED BY THE COURT this 30 day of October 1925

that the defendant

Edward Westcott

pay to the plaintiff

Louise Westcott

the sum of

Eight 1/4

Dollars per week, during the continuance of this suit, accounting from the

day of

October

1925 to the said plaintiff

30  
Louise Westcott

as alimony *pendente lite* unless cause to the contrary be shown on or before the

day of

~~October~~  
November

1925, provided a copy of this Order be served on the said defendant

Edward Westcott

on or before the

9

day of

~~October~~  
November

1925

James P. Gorter

TRUE COPY—TEST:

CLERK

A copy of the foregoing order having been served upon the defendant as is shown by the Sheriff's return hereon, and no cause to the contrary having been shown, it is this 20 day of November 1925, ordered by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City that the same be, and it is hereby made absolute and final.

James P. Gorter