Duthe (heut Gal Badenin utign Weldred watts Elven was Breefor Divora a Vines Mr (Roch please tile Navi-Bishop Nor (S.D.

Mildred Watts

In The Circuit Court

Vs.

of

Elvin Watts

Baltimore City.

To The Honorable, The Judge of Said Court: Your Oratrix, complaining, respectfully says:

FIRST, That the parties hereto were married on or about the I2th. day of June, I9I5 in New York City and lived together as man and wife until on or about June I3th. I9I5.

SECOND, That Your Oratrix is a resident of the city of Baltimore, state of Maryland, and has been for more than two years prior to the filing of this bill of complaint. That the respondent is a non resident of the city of Baltimore, and when last heard of was in New York.

her husband has always been kind, affectionate, and above reproach,

the respondent without any just cause or reason, abandoned your

oratrix. He has upon divers times and occasions committed abouters

with one lews woman, whose name is unknown to your fratrix.

FOURTH, That Your Oraerix has never condoned said offense.

FIFTH, That there are no children born as a result of said marriage: THEREFORE YOUR ORATRIX PRAYS:

a-a A divorce a vinculo matrimonnii from the respondent.
b-b Such other and further releif as the case may re-

WHEREFORE YOUR ORATRIX PRAYS:

That a decree be passed, divorcing Your Oratrix from the respondent, a vinculo matrimonnii.

May it please Your Honor, to grant unto Your Oratrix, an order of publication, setting forth the nature and substance of this Bill and warning the said defendant to be in this court in person or appear by solicitor on or before a certain day to be therein named and show cause, if any the may have why a decree should not be passed as prayed.

Muchael Water pantal

quire.

Dairs & Bealf Solectors for plants

9

Davis & Bishop, Solicitors,
II8 E.Lexington St.

In The Circuit Court of Baltimore City.

Mildred Watts vs. Elvin Watts.

ORDER OF PUBLICATION.

The object of this suit is to procure a decree for a divorce A VINCULO MATRIMONNII, by the plaintiff from the defendant.

The Bill states that the parties thereto were married in New York, June 12th. 1915, and lived together as man and wife on or about June 13th. 1915. That the plaintiff is a resident of the city of Baltimore, state of Maryland and has been for more than two years prior to the filing of this bill of complaint. That the defendant is a non-resident of this city and state, and when last heard of was in New York City. That though the conduct of the plain tiff towards her husband was always kind and affectionate and above reproach, he, without just cause or reason abandoned her and has declared his intentions not to live with her any longer, and that the abandonment has continued uninterrupted for more than three years prior to the filing of this bill of complaint; and the separation of the parties are beyond any reasonable hope or expectation of reconciliation. That there are no children as a result of said marriage.

It is thereupon by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City, ordered this day of My 1920. That the plaintiff by causing a copy of this order to be inserted in some daily newspaper, published in the city of Baltimore, once a week for four successive weeks, before the day of 1920; and give notice to the said defendant, Elvin Watts (now absent) of the object and substance of this bill and warning him to be and appear in this court in person or by solicitor, on or before the day 1920, to show cause if any he may have, why a decree should not be passed as prayed.

Mms A Lyn

386 16 Docket No. Certificate of Publication 2/2/4

Davis & Bishop, Solicitors, 118 E. Lexington St.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALTI-MORD CITY-(B-380-1920) - Mildred Watts vs. Elvin Watts. ORDER OF PUBLICATION.

The object of this suit is to procure a decree for a divorce a vinculo matrimonii by the plaintiff from the defendant.

The bill states that the parties thereto were married in New York, June 12th,

1915, and lived together as man and wife on or about June 13th, 1915. That the plaintiff is a resident of the City of Bal timore, State of Maryland, and has been for more than two years prior to the filing of this bill of complaint. That the defendant is a non-resident of this city and State, and when last heard of was in New York City. That though the conduct of the plaintiff towards her husband was always kind and affectionate and above reproach. 1915, and lived together as man and wife kind and affectionate and above reproach, he, without just cause or reason, abandoned her and has declared his intentions not to live with her any longer, and that the abandonment has continued uninterrupted for more than three yeears prior to the filing of this bill of complaint; and the separation of the parties are beyond any reasonable hope or expectation of reconcutation. That there are no children as a result of said marriage. kind and affectionate and above reproach, as a result of said marriage.

as a result of said marriage.

It is thereupon, by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City, ordered, this Sth day of July, 1920, that the plaintiff, by causing a copy of this order to be inserted in some daily newspaper, published in the City of Baltimore, once a week for four successive weeks, before the 9th day of August, 1920, and give notice to the said defendant, Elvin Watts (now absent) of the object and substance of this bill, and warning him to be and appear in this Court, in person or by solicitor, on or before the 25th day of August, 1920, to show cause, if any he may have, why a decree should not be passed as prayed.

True copy—Test:

True copy-Test:

CHAS. R. WHITEFORD, jy9,16,23,30

JUL 3 0 1920 Baltimore. We hereby certify that the annexed advertiseublication ment of Order of Baltimore City, Sase of dred Il was published in THE DAILY RECORD, a daily newspaper published in the City of Baltimore, once in each of Sour successive weeks before the 9th. day of allegust, 1920 First insertion July, atu., 1920

THE DAILY RECORD.

Per Thaddeus W. Crapster

386 Aleo Ct. Ct. 19PO Docket Decree Pro Confesso.

Mul and courts

IN THE model wat Circuit Court BALTIMORE CITY. Elvin Watts Term, 1940 The Defendant having been duly summoned (notified by Order of Publication) to appear to the Bill of Complaint, and having failed to appear thereto, according to the exigency of the wait (said Order). day of Septembro It is thereupon this in the year nineteen hundred and by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City, ADJUDGED, ORDERED and DECREED, that the complainant is entitled to relief in the premises, and that the bill of Complaint be and is hereby taken pro confesso against said defendant. But because it doth not certainly appear to what relief the Plaintiff is entitled, it is further Adjudged, and Ordered, that one of the Examiners of this Court, take testimony to support the allegations of the bill. Shud & obcer STATE OF MARYLAND, BALTIMORE CITY, SCT: day of Supt I hereby certify that on this before me, the subscriber, a Notary Public, of the State of Maryland, in and for the City aforesaid, personally appeared Mulud Walls in due form of law that her (his) husband (wife) the defendant in the above entitled case is not in the Military or Naval service of the United States Government, to the best of her (his) knowledge, information and belief. As Witness my hand and Notarial Seal.

Watts	
SIT	IONS
212	14
TIFF'S C	OSTS
\$	10.00 Pai
DANT'S	COSTS
\$	
	SIT LIA TIFF'S C

-

-

having been given, and proceeded in the presence of the Solicitor______of the

is to say:-

____to take the following deposition, that

MILDRED WATTS, the Plaintiff produced in her own behealf, being duly sworn, deposeth and saith as follows:

100. State your name, residence and occupation, if any.

A- Mildred Watts, 325 W. Biddle Street, Baltimore, and I am a Bookkeeper.

2Q. Who are the parties to this suit?

A- I am the Plaintiff, and Elvin Watts is my husband, the defendant.

BY MR. DAVIS:

BY THE EXAMINER:

3Q. When, where and by whom were you married?

A- On June 12th, 1915, In the City Hall of New York City, by a Magistrate.

4Q: Where did you and your husband live after the marriage?

A- We lived at 100 W. 39th Street, New York City. We lived there until June 13th, 1915, and then we came to Baltimore to live.

5Q. Where did you and your husband live after you came to Baltimore?

A- At 225 W. Biddle Street, with my mother, and about a half a day after we got back here, he left me, and went back to New York.

6Q. What day did you separate?

A- We were married on Saturday, June 12th, 1915, and came to Baltimore Sunday, June 13th, the following day, and he left the same day we came to Baltimore, June 13th, 1915.

7Q. What caused him to leave you?

Mildred Watts

- A I do not know the cause.
- 8Q. What did he say when he left you?
- A- He said he was going to return, or send for me within a week.
- 9Q. Did he ever- return?
- A- He never returned, and I never heard from him.
- 10Q.m Has he in any way directly or indirectly communicated with you since then?
- A- No.
- 11Q. Have you tried to locate him?
- A- I tried several times. I have written to several addresses in New York, but I have not heard from him. I went to New York myself, but could not bocate him.
- 12Q. Did you receive any answer to these letters?
- A- No. None whatever. I sent them to him, but could get no answer, nor were the letters returned.
- 13Q. Have you or not been a resident of the City of Baltimore, State of Maryland, for more than two years continuously prior to July 7th, 1920?
- A- Yes, I have.
- 14Q. Is your husband now or was he on the 7th of July, 1920 a resident or a non-resident of the State of Maryland?
- A- He is a non-resident of Maryland. He never lived in Maryland, except the few hours he spent with me on the 13th of June, 1915.

Mildred Watts

- 15Q. Has the abandonment of you by your husband continued uninterruptedly for at least three years prior to July 7th, 1920?
- A= Yes, he left me on the 13th of June, 1915, and it has continued ever since.
- 16Q. Have you ever lived with or cohabited with your husband since he abandoned you on the 13th of June, 1915?
- A- No, I have not even seen him, or heard from him.
- 17Q. Was or not his abandonment of you his own deliberate and final act?
- A- Yes, it was.
- 18Q. Were any children born of this marriage?
- A- No.
- 19Q. If a decree is passed in this case, do you or not request the Court to grant you permission to resume your maiden name?
- A- Y-s, I do. Ilwant my maiden name.
- 20Q. What was your maiden name?
- A- Mildred Banks.
- 21Q. Is there any reasonable hope or expectation of a reconciliation?
- A- No, none whatever.

Question by the Examiner:

Do you know or can you state any other matter or thing that may be of benefit or advantage to the parties to this suit or either of them or that may be material to the subject of this your examination or the matters in question between the parties? If so, state the same fully and at large in your answer.

Answer: No mo melded, Watts.

Counsel for plaintiff offers in evidence Consolidated Laws of New York, Vol. I. Chapter XIV Domestic Relations which reads as follows:-

"Sec. 11. By Whom a Marriage Must Be Solemnized. The marriage must be solemnized by either: ******

3. A justice or judge of a court of record, or of a municipal court, or a justice of the peace; "except that justices of the peace in cities which contain more than one hundred thousand and less than one million inhabitants shall have no power to solemnize marriages."

PEARL YOUNG, a witness produced on behalf of the Plaintiff, being duly sworn, deposeth and saith as follows:

BY THE EXAMINER:

- 1Q. State your name, residence and occupation, if any.
- A- Mrs. Pearl Young, 225 W. Biddle Street, Beltimore, and I am a Housewife.
- 2Q. Do you know the parties to this suit?
- A- Yes, the Plaintiff is my sister, and the defendant is her husband, my brother-in-law.

BY MR. DAVIS:

- 3Q. Are they or not man and wife, and if you say they are, tell me how you know it.
- A- Yes, they are man and wife. She left Baltimore to go to New York to get married on June 12th, 1915, and the next day he brought her home, and they told us they were married.

 4Q. How long did he stay with her after they reached this City?
- A- One half a day.
- 5Q. What happened then?
- A- He left her, saying that he would go to New York, and would send for her, or return forher.
- 6Q. Did he ever come for her, or send for her?
- À- He never did.
- 7Q. bHave you ever heard from him since?
- A- No,
- 80. Do you know whether she has ever heard from him since?
- A- She has not.

Pearl Young

- 9Q. Do you know whether or not she has tried to locate him?

 A- I know she has, in every way possible. She has inquired from people, and has written a number of letters, and has gone to New York once to try to find him, but could not locate him.
- 10Q. What was her treatment and conduct towards her husband during the time they lived together?
- A- She was a good kind affectionate wife to him.
- 11Q. Did she give him any cause to leave her?
- A- No, she did not.
- 12Q. Do you now why he left her?
- A- No.
- 13Q. Has she or not been a resident of the City of Baltimore, State of Maryland, for more than two years prior to July 7th, 1920?
- Λ- She has lived here all her life, except the little while she was in New York when she got married.
- 14Q. Is Elvin Watts, the defendant in this case, a resident or a non-resident of the State of Maryland?
- A- He does not live here. He is a non-resident. He never lived in Maryland. He lived in New York, and he went back to New York.
- 15Q. Has the abandon ent of Mrs. Watts by her husband continued uninterruptedly for at least three years prior to July 7th, 1920?
- A- Yes, aver five years.

Pearl Young

- 16Q. Was or not his abandonment of her his own deliberae and final act?
- A- Yes, it certainly was. He simply brought her here to her mother's house, and left her, saying that he would beback for her, or send for her, which he never did.
- 17Q. In your opinion, is there any reasonable hope or expectation of a reconciliation?
- A- No, there is none at all.
- 18Q. Were any children born of this marriage?
- A- No.
- 19Q. Have they ever lived together or cohabited with each other since he abandoned her on June 13th, 1915?
- A- No, they have not.

Question by the Examiner:

Do you know or can you state any other matter or thing that may be of benefit or advantage to the parties to this suit or either or them or that may be material to the subject of this your examination or the matters in question between the parties? If so, state the same fully and at large in your answer.

Answer: No

Mrs. Pearl young

of the solicitor of the Plaint:	iff id cause, and now return them closed under my
	19th day of
	•
•	in the year of our Lord nineteen hundred
and twenty at the	City of Baltimore in the State of Maryland.
•	Stall Seals
·	Examiner.
•	Exhibits with these depositions, to wit:
Plaintiff's Exhibit	
Defendant's Exhibit	
Defendant'sExhibit	
Defendant'sExhibit	
Defendant'sExhibit	All Don
Defendant'sExhibit	Alfred & Can
Defendant's Exhibit ———————————————————————————————————	Alful Jaminer.
	Alful Jaminer.
I, ALFRED J. CARR, the	Examiner before whom the foregoing depositions
I, ALFRED J. CARR, the	Examiner. Examiner before whom the foregoing depositions at I was employed in assigning a day, and taking
I, ALFRED J. CARR, the were taken, do hereby certify tha	Examiner. Examiner before whom the foregoing depositions at I was employed in assigning a day, and taking days, on both
I, ALFRED J. CARR, the were taken, do hereby certify tha	Examiner. Examiner before whom the foregoing depositions at I was employed in assigning a day, and taking

/

.

Um wats Rebtoments leave amend Who Cash please file

-

vs.

of

Elvin Watts

Baltimore City.

To The Honorable, The Judge of Said Court:
Your oratrix, complaining respectfully says:

WHEREFORE YOUR PETITIONER PRAYS:

Leave to amend by inter-alienation, the 3rd.paragraph of the original bill as of _______ day of ______ to read"That the said abandonment has continued uninterruptedly for more than three years prior to the filing of this bill of complaint. The separation of the parties is beyond any reasonable hope or expectation of reconciliation and that the said defendant has declared his intentions to live with your petitioner no longer.

u) jani adomelo placely

Circuit Court 386 B 60 19 20 Docket No. Vs. Vs.				
Order of Reference and Report				
(1) Order Filed day of 1978				

....day of......

...19

Report Filed.....

Muloud Walts	IN THE		
vs.	Circuit Court		
	OF		
Elvin Wats	BALTIMORE CITY		
	Monember Term, 1920		
This case being submitted, without argument, is	t is ordered by the Court, this		
day of November, 19 %	to, that the same be and it is hereby referred to		
day of November, 19 2	, Esq., Auditor and Master, to report the		
pleadings and the facts, and his opinion thereon.	D171		
	Abur J. Staulin		
Report of Audit	or and Master		
Bill (as amended) for	divorce a vinculo matrimonii filed		
by the wife against her husband on th	ne grounds of abandonment and of		
adultery. Code 1911, Art. 16, secs.	36-41.		
Defendant proceeded as non-residence proven.	gainst as a non-resident and his		
Plaintiff's residence	in Baltimore City for more than		
two years proven.			
The marriage proven.			
The abandonment for th	ree years, its finality and the		
irreconcilability of the parties prov	ren.		
A decree pro confesso	was passed against the defendant		
and more than thirty days have since	elapsed.		
Case ready for decree.	maral B. Cue		
	Auditor and Master.		
Fee \$9 paid.	November 13th, 1920.		

1269

CIRCUIT COURT

B -386-

1920.

No. 60 Docket

MILDRED WATTS

VS.

ELVIN WATTS

Decree of Divorce

B 21214

(8)

fal 16 november 1920

The within is a proper decree to be passed in this case.

NULL Auditor and Master

MILDR	ED WATTS		Circui	t Court
	•			OF
	VS.		BALTIM	IORE CITY
ELY	VIN WATTS			Term, 1920
This cause star	nding ready for l	hearing and be	no duly submitted the	proceedings were by the
Court read and con		/ of	5	
It is thereupon,		6 4 day	of Markey	ubut, A. D. 1920,
•	,	`	Ordered and Decreed, th	
Mildred Wat	•	4 *		at the salu
	,			
-		* **		O MATRIMONII from the
Defendant, the s	aid Elvin Wa	atts.		
AND IT IS	FURTHER ORI	DERED that	said complainant	be and she is
hereby author	ized to resu	me her mai	den name, Mildre	d Banks.
<u> </u>		·	·	
		·		
				•
· 🖚 🕳		• .		
,		·		_
-	,	······································	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······································
শু,	and one		···-	
	م کی د		<u> </u>	·
		·	· ·	
·		- ب بر 		
And it is further	ordered, That the	he said Go m	plainant -)	<u> </u>
pay the cost of this	proceeding.	/		
		(// She	1//