IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALTIMORE CITY. EDWARD C. WALKER Vs. HELEN E. WALKER BILL FOR DIVORCE. Mr.Clerk:-Please file. Dairs + Wars J. STEWARD DAVIS

J. STEWARD DAVIS
ATTORNEY AT LAW
215 SAINT PAUL PLACE
BALTIMOTE, MD.

19 16 Meh 19.

VS. : OF

HELEN E. WALKER : BALTIMORE CITY

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Your Orator complaining fespectfully represents:

- I. That he was married to his wife, Helen E. Welker, on the 5th day of March, I9I9 and lived together as man and wife until about the 1st day of March, I923 when the defendant deserted the plaintiff.
- 2. That ever since said marriage your Orator has behaved himself as a faithful, chaste and affectionate husband toward the said Helen E. Walker.
- That the said Helen E. Walker has on divers days and times since said marriage, committed the crime of adultery with divers, lewd and abandoned men, whose names are unknown to your Orator and said offense has not been condoned by your Orator.
- 4. That your Orator has not lived or co-habited with said defendant since he discovered said adulteries.
- 5. That there is one child born as issue of said marriage, Beatrice age about six years.
- 6. That your Orator is a citizen of the State of Maryland, having resided in Baltimore City for more than three years prior to the filing of this Bill of Complaint, but that the defendant is a non-resident of the State of Maryland and when last heard of was in Suffolk, Virginia.

TO THE END. THEREFORE:

- (a) That your Orator may be divorced A Vinculo Matrimonii from the said Helen E. Walker.
- (b) That he may have such other and further relief as his case may require.

May it please your Honor to grant unto your Orator the Order of Publication directed against the said Helen E. Walker, commanding and requiring her to be and appear in this Court on some day certain to be named therein to answer the premises and abide by and perform such decree or order as may be passed therein.

AND as in duty bound, etc.

ATTORNEYS FOR COMPLAINANT.

DAVIS & EVANS, SOLICITORS, 215 ST. PAUL PLACE

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALTIMORE CITY EDWARD C.WALKER VS. HELEN E.WALKER

ORDER OF PUBLICATION

The object of this bill is to procure a divorce A Vinculo Matrimonii by the plaintiff, Edward C. Walker from the defendant, Helen E. Walker.

The bill states that the parties were married on the 5th day of March, 1919 and lived together until the 1st day of March, 1923 when the defendant deserted the plaintiff. That ever since said marriage your Orator has behaved himself as a faithful, chaste and affectionate husband toward the said Helen E.Walker, That the said Helen E.Walker has on divers days and times since said marriage , committed the crime of adultery with divers, lewd and abandoned men, whose names are unknown to your Orator and said offense has not been condoned by your Orator. That there is one child born as issue of said marriage, Beatrice age six years. That your Orator is a citizen of the State of Maryland, but that the defendant is a non-resident of the State of Maryland and when last heard of, was in Suffolk, Virginia.

It is thereupon ordered by The Circuit Court of Baltimore City this /b day of March 1926, that the plaintiff by causing a copy of this order to be inserted in some daily newspaper published in Baltimore City once a week for four successive weeks before the /b day of April 1926, give notice to the absent defendant of the object and substance of this bill, warning her to be and appear in this Court in person or by Solicitor on or before the 3 day of May. 1926 to show cause, if any she may have why a decree should not be passed as prayed.

James P. Forter

Certificate of Publication THE DAILY RECORD

Docket No. 66

Edward C Walk

1926..

Filed 12 day of Mch 192

THE DAILY RECORD

Davis & Evans, Solicitors, 215 St. Paul Place

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALTI-MORE CITY-(B-122-1926)-Edward C Walker vs Helen E Walker

ORDER OF PUBLICATION

The object of this bill is to procure a divorce a vinculo matrimonii by the plaintiff, Edward C Walker, from the defendant, Helen E Walker

The bill states that the parties were married on the 5th day of March, 1919, and lived together until the 1st day of March, 1923, when the defendant deserted the plaintiff That ever since said marriage your orator has behaved himself as a faithful, chaste and affectionate husband toward the said Helen E. Walker That the said Helen E Walker, has on divers days and times since said marriage, committed the crime of adultery with divers, lewd and abandoned men, whose names are unkown to your orator and said offense has not been condoned by your prator That there is one child born as issue of said marriage, Beatrice, age six years That your orator is a citizen of the State of Marylad, but that the defendant is a non-resident of the State of Maryland, and when last heard of was in

Suffolk, Virginia
It is thereupon ordered by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City this 16th day of March 1926, that the plaintiff by causing a copy of this order to be inserted in some duly newspaper published in Baltimore City once a week for four successive weeks before the 16th day of April, 1926, give-notice to the absent detendant of the object and substance of this bill, warning her to be and appear in this Court in person or by solicitor, on or before the 3rd day of May, 1926 to show cause if any she may have, why a decree should

not be passed as praved JAMES P GORTER

True Copy-Test CHAS R WHITEFORD mh17 24.31.ap7 Clerk

Baltimore, 192
We hereby certify that the annexed advertisement of Order Lub Wallow Circuit Court
of Baltimore City, Case of Shipard Of alker
was published in THE DAILY RECORD, a daily news-
paper published in the City of Baltimore, once in each of successive weeks before the Lidday of 1926.
THE DAILY RECORD

APR 7 - 1926

1926 Docket \$66. Edward C. Walker

Helen E. Walker

Decree Pro Confesso.

"Mick"

B 30500 (4) Filed 12 Meh 1927

Elward C. Walfer

vs.

Circuit Court

of

BALTIMORE CITY.

Fam Term, 1927

The Defendant having been duly summous (notified by Order of Publication) to appear to the Bill of Complaint, and having failed to appear thereto, according to the exigency of the writ, (said Order).

It is thereupon this day of in the year nineteen hundred and twenty which by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City, Adjudged, Ordered and Decreed, that the complainant is entitled to relief in the premises, and that the bill of Complaint be and is hereby taken pro confesso against the defendant. But because it doth not certainly appear to what relief the Plaintiff is entitled, it is further Adjudged, and Ordered, that one of the Examiners of this Court, take testimony to support the allegations of the bill.

James P. Forter

Circuit Court

122 1926 Docket No. B/66 Edward C. Walfer

Helen E. Walker

Order of Reference and Report

"Syons"

B No. 30500

Order Filed 5" day of Gril 1937

Report Filed 30 day of Gril 1927

Edward C. Waller	IN THE
Wa	Circuit Court
VS.	OF
Helen & Walker	BALTIMORE CITY
	_
This case being submitted, without argument, it is	s ordered by the Court, this5
_	
day of Opril 192	Esq., Auditor and Master, to report the
pleadings and the facts, and his opinion thereon.	, •
	James P. Forter
his wife, on the ground of adultery. Defendant proceeded against as a proved. Plaintiff's residence in Baltimo prior to the filing of the bill prov The marriage proved. Adultery proved.	non-resident and his non-residence re city for more than two years ed.
Case ready for decree.	
oabo roady for decree.	
	William P. Lyons
	Auditor and Master
April 25, 1927	

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF EDWARD C. WALKER VS. HELEN E. WALKER, PETITION & ORDER THEREON. 30500 Mr. Clerk:-Please file. DAVIS & EVANS

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

For 14 april 1927

BAUMGARTEN & CO. FINC.

: IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

VS.

OF

HEIEN E. VALKER

BALTIMORE CITY

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

The petition of Edward C. Walker respectfully represents.

- That on or about the 24th day of Larch, 1927 testimony I. was taken in the above entitled cause before George Arnold Frick, Examiner.
- That your petitioner desires to take additional testimony before the aforesaid Examiner.

WHIREFORE YOUR PETITIONER PRAYS:

- (a) That, leave be granted to take additional testimony.
- (b) Such other and further relief as the case may require.

ATTORNEYS FOR PHINTING

Ordered by the Court this 14 day of April, 1927 that the petitioner be and he is hereby permitted to take additional testimony before George Arnold Frick, Laminer.

James P. Forter

CIRCUIT COURT

B 66 122

No.

Docket

EDWARD C. WALKER

VS.

HELEN E. WALKER

Edlio / 14 192 7

Decree of Divorce

B No. 30500

Fd 30 april 1927

The within is a proper decree to be passed in this case.

William Tyons Auditor and Master.

EDWARD C. WALKER	Circuit Court
VS.	OF BALTIMORE CITY,
HELEN E. WALKER	MARCHTerm, 192_7
This cause standing ready for hearing and bein	g duly submitted, the proceedings were by the Court
by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City, Adjudged, O	
•	DIVORCED A VINCULO MATRIMONII from the
And it is Further Ordered, That the said pay the cost of this proceeding.	COMPLAINANT, EDWARD C. WALKER.

	Edward C. Walker	
	Helen E. Walker	
DEPOSITIONS		
	No	
	PLAINTIFF'S COSTS	
	Examiner \$	
	DEFENDANT'S COSTS	
	Examiner \$ Copies \$ Notices \$ Sheriff \$ Stenographer \$ \$	

Edward C. Walker	71 11 60: 11 60 11
vs.	In the Circuit Court
Helen E. Walker	OF BALTIMORE CITY
	······································
A Degree Pro Cor	nfesso having passed,
and notice having been given me by	y the Solicitor for the Plaintiff
of a desire to take testimony in the	same, I, GEORGE ARNOLD FRICK, one
the Standing Examiners of the C	ircuit Courts of Baltimore City, under and by
virtue of an order of the above nan	ned Circuit Court, passed in said cause on the
da	y of
the 23rd day	of March in the year nineteen
	, at my office, in the City of Baltimore, in the
	e24th day of March
in the same year at one	o'clock in the after noon and the
office of the Examiner,	in the City and State
aforesaid, as the time and place for	or such examination of witnesses in said cause;
at which last mentioned time and	place I attended, due notice of such meeting
having been given, and proceeded i	n the presence of the Solicitorof the
Plaintiff	to take the following depositions, that
is to say:	

TESTIMONY taken at the office of George Arnold Frick on Thursday, March 24th, 1927, at one o'clock in the afternoon.

Present: Davis & Evans, Counsel for Plaintiff.

Thereupon:

EDWARD C. WALKER, the plaintiff, produced as a witness on his own behalf, being first duly sworn, deposeth and saith as follows, that is to say -

BY THE EXAMINER:

- 1 Q- State your name, residence and occupation?
- A- Edward C. Walker, 2234 Druid Hill Avenue, Chauffeur.
- 2 Q- You are the plaintiff in this proceeding?
 - A- Yes, sir.
- 3 Q- How long have you known your wife, the defendant?
 - A- About 10 years.

BY MR. EVANS:

- 4 Q- Mr. Walker when were you married?
 - A- March 5th, 1919.
- 5 Q- Where?

- A- In Suffolk, Virginia.
- 6 Q- By a minister of the Gospel?
 - A- Yes, sir.
- 7 Q- Are you and your wife living together now?
 - A- No, sir.
- 8 Q- When did you separate?
 - A- About the first of March, 1923.
- 9 Q- Where?
 - A- At 804 Pennsylvania Avenue, Baltimore.
- 10 Q- Who left the other?
 - A- She left me.
- 11 Q- Do you know why she left?
- A- On account she was running around having other company on me. I talked to her and she decided she would rather be away from me then with me.
- 12 Q- Did you talk to her to try to straighten matters out?
 - A- Yes, sir, I did everything under the sun.
- 13 Q- What did she say?
- A- She promised to do better sometimes but she would do the same thing over again.
- 14 Q- What did she do the day she left?
- A- She received a telegram stating that her mother was very ill and we had just left her mother three days before that. After she had left she said that she wasn't coming back again.

- 15 Q- Did she say why?
 - A- No.
- 16 Q- Did she take all her things?
- A- She sent some of her things and what she didn't send she took with her.
- 17 4- How did you treat her?
- A- The very, very best. I would meet her with a kiss and leave her with a kiss. Give her anything I was able to give her. I just bought her a typewriter before she left for \$72.50.
- 18 Q- Were you always kind, loving and affectionate to her?
 - A- Yes, sir.
- 19 Q- Did youggive her any cause to leave?
 - A- No, sir.
- 20 Q- Did you give her any cause to stay away after she left?
 - A- No, sir.
- 21 Q- Did you maintain yourhome for her after she had left?
- A- I maintained the home for about six or seven weeks after she left.
- 22 Q- Were you always willing to accept her back?
 - A- Yes, sir.
- 23 Q- She never came hack?
 - A- No, sir.

- 24 Q- Were there any children born as a result of your marriage?
- A- Beatrice. She is about 8 years old now. She was born April 21, 1919.
- 25 Q- Have you lived or cohabited with your wife since the first of March, 1923.
 - A- No. sir. I haven't seen her.
- 26 Q- Do you think there is any reasonable expectation of you and your wife living together again?
- A- No, sir, because she told me that she would not live with me again.
- 27 Q- You were willing to take her back if she came?

 A- Yess, sir.
- 28 Q- Who has the child now?
- A- She has the child. The Family Welfare tried to get in touch with her but was unable.
- 29 Q- Are you a citizen of Baltimore, Maryland?
 - A- Yes, sir.
- 30 Q- How long have you been here?
 - A- Since 1920.
- 31 Q- Is your wife a citizen of Baltimore?
 - A- No, sir.
- you 32 Q- Has she been in Maryland since she left/in 1923 so far as you know?
 - A- No.
- 33 Q- Where was she last heard of.?
 - A- In Suffokk, Virginia.

QUESTION BY THE EXAMINER:

Do you know or can you state any other matter or thing that may be of benefit or advantage to the parties to this suit or either of them or that may be material to the subject of this your examination or the matters in question between the parties? If so, state the same fully and at large in your answer.

Cdward C. Walker

Answer: Mo

EDWARD HUDGINS, a witness of lawful age, produced on behalf of the plaintiff, being first duly sworn, deposeth and saith as follows, that is to say -

BY THE EXAMINER:

- 1 Q- State your name, residence and occupation?
 - A- Edward Hudgins, 525 McMachen Street, tailor.
- 2 Q- Do you know the parties to this suit?
 - A- Yes, sir.
- 3 Q- How long have you known them?
 - A- Since 1920.

BY MR. EVANS:

- 4 Q- Mr. Hudgins, Mr. and Mrs. Walker used to live at your house, is that right?
 - A- Yes, sir, at 1620 Druid Hill Avenue.
- **5** Q- Were they always known as man and wife?
 - A- Yes. sir.
- 6 Q- How did Mr. Walker treat Mrs. Walker?
- A- Mr. Walker, to the best of my knowledge, treated Mrs. Walker as a man should treat his wife.
- 7 Q- Do you know when they separated?
 - A- About March, 1923.
- 8 Q- Were you present at the time she left?
- A- No, I was not present at the time she left but shortly afterwards.
- 9 Q- A day or the next day or two afterwards?

- A- A day or two later.
- 10 4- You went to the home of Mr. and Mrs. Walker?
 - A- Yes, sir.
- 11 Q- Where were they living then?
 - A- At 804 Pennsylvania: Avenue.
- 12 Q- What was the occasion for you being there at that time?
- A- I always visited Mr. Walker and his wife as a married couple. At this time I lived in the country and when I came in I looked them up. I got there a couple of days after she left.
- 13 Q- Was Mrs. Walker home when you got there?
 - A- No, sir.
- 14 Q- You didn't see her at that time in March, 1923?
 - A- No, sir. I didn't see her.
- 15 Q- Did Mr. Walker say anything to you about Mrs. Walker?
- A- Yes. I asked him about her and he said she had gone away. He said she had gone home to her mother, that she had got a telegram saying that her mother was sick or something of the kind. She had gone home and to his surprise in going over the house he seen that all the things were gone too.
- 16 Q- She moved all the things?
 - A- Yes, sir.
- 17 Q- Did he say what his idea of it was?
 - A- He didn't know why she left but he knew she

had gone for good without his knowledge.

- 18 Q- Was her trunk gone?
 - A- Yes, sir.
- 19 4- All her clothing and things were gone too?
 - A- Yes, sir.
- 20 Q- Was the house in the candition that you had always seen it in before?
 - A- No, sir.
- 21 Q- What was the difference?
- A- The difference was, after he took me over the home we found her trunk gone and all of her belongings.
- 22 Q- And did you find just his things?
 - A- Yes, sir, just his things.
- 23 Q- There wasn't any reason, to your knowledge, for her going?
 - A- No. sir.
- 24 Q- He treated her nice, took care of her and gave her a home?
 - A- Yes, sir.
- 25 Q- Did you hearlof any complaint about her going out too much?
- A- I heard Mr. Walker mention that he had reason to believe she was keeping company with others. They both looked to me as a father and he would tell me things that he wouldn't tell others.
- 26 Q- Is she a resident of Baltimore City, State of Maryland?

- A- Not to my knowledge, nos sir.
- 27 Q- Where did you last have knowledge of her being?
 - A- Suffolk, Virginia.
- 28 Q- Has Mr. Walker lived or cohabited with her since 1923?
 - A- No.
- 29 Q- Is he a citizen of Baltimore city, State of Maryland?
 - A- Yes, sir.
- 30 Q- Has he been for more than two years prior to the filing of this suit?
 - A- Yes, sir.
- 31 Q- Do you think there is any reasonable expectati n of them living together again?
 - A- No, sir.

QUESTION BY THE EXAMINER:

Do you know or can you state any other matter or thing that may be of benefit or advantage to the parties to this suit or either of them or that may be material to the subject of this your examination or the matters in question between the parties? If so, state the same fully and at large in your answer.

Answer: No Edward Herdgins

No other witnesses being named or produced before me, I then at the
request of the Solicitorof the Plaintiff
closed the depositions taken in said cause, and now return them closed under my
hand and seal on this
April in the year of our Lord nineteen hundred
twenty- seven at the City of Baltimore, in the State of Maryland.
Examiner. {SEAL}
There are no Exhibits with these depositions, to wit:
Plaintiff'sExhibit.
Defendant's Exhibit Inouge France
Examiner. I, GEORGE ARNOLD FRICK, the Examiner before whom the foregoing depositions were taken, do hereby certify that I was employed in assigning a day and taking the said depositions upontwodays, onboth
of which I was employed by the plaintiff and on none
by the defendant

DOCKET B. 122/1926 IN THE CIRCUIT COURT..... Edward C. Walker vs Helen E. Walker DEPOSITIONS PLAINTIFF'S COSTS Examiner \$..... Copies Notices Sheriff Stenographer DEFENDANT'S COSTS Examiner \$..... Copies Notices Sheriff Stenographer

Stenographer

\$
GEORGE ARNOLD FRICK, Examiner

day of 192

Edward C. Walker vs. Helen E. Walker,	In the Circuit Court OF BALTIMORE CITY
A Decree Pro Confesso ha	ving passed and testimony having heretofcre
been taken and duly retur	ened into Court
additional of a desire to take testimony in the the Standing Examiners of the Ci virtue of an order of the above name	same, I, GEORGE ARNOLD FRICK, one ircuit Courts of Baltimore City, under and by med Circuit Court, passed in said cause on the y of
	of April in the year nineteen
	, at my office, in the City of Baltimore, in the
State of Maryland, and assigned the	day of April
in the same year at ten	o'clock in the fore noon and the
office ofthe Examiner,	in the City and State
aforesaid, as the time and place fo	r such examination of witnesses in said cause;
at which last mentioned time and	place I attended, due notice of such meeting
D7 1 1 1 0 0	the presence of the Solicitorof theto take the following depositions, that
is to say:	

TESTIMONY taken at the office of George Arnold Frick, Examiner on Thursday, April 14th, 1927 at ten o'clock in the morning.

Present: Davis & Evans, Counsel for Plaintiff.

Thereupon:

EDWARD C. WALKER, the plaintiff, heretofore produced, sworn and examined as a witness on his own behalf, being now recalled, deposeth and saith as follows, that is to say -

BY MR. EVANS:

- 1 Q- Mr. Walker, you have charged Mrs. Walker with adultery. Do you know anything about that?
 - A- Yes, sir.
- 2 Q- Tell us what you know, if anything?
- A- Well, sometimes I have seen her out with different men and expecially Herbert Newby. He went with her before I married her. She used to go to a Mrs. Thomas house on Druid Hill Avenue and then Mrs. Thomas moved to McCollough Street in the 1800 block.
- 3 Q- What did Mrs. Thomas have there?
- A- A flat. I found out that it wasn't the right place for her to go and I found out that she was going there to meet Herbert Newby. I told Helen to keep away -1-

from Mrs. Thomas. She told me she was going around there whenever she was ready and she didn't deny she was going around to see this man. The last time I spoke to her about it she said she would leave me because she didn't want to give me no trouble.

- 4 Q- Where did this Herbery Newby work?
 - A- He drove a machine out in Roland Park.
- 5 Q- What kind of a looking fellow is he?
- A- Brown skinned and about five feet six inches and he weighs between 140 and 150 pounds.
- 6 Q- You know Mr. Hudgins?
 - A- Yes. sir.
- 7 Q- Have you ever discussed with your wife in Mr. Hudgins presence about her running around with this fellow?
 - A- Yes, sir.
- 8 4- Did she deny it at that time?
 - A- No, sir, she dian't.
- 9 Q- When was the last time you discussed with her about running around with this Herbert Newby?
 - A- About a week before she left.
- 10 Q- Where did this discussion take place?
 - A- In "Jack's"Garage at 514 Wilson Street.
- 11 Q- How did she happen to be at the garage then?
- A- I was night watchman and she came there from around Mrs. Thomas's house.

- 12 Q- She had been to Mrs. Thomas's after you told her to stay away?
- A- I told her several times to stay away from there
 13 Q- What took place at the garage?
- A- I asked her, "Didn't I tell you to stay away from Mrs. Thomas," and she said, "I am going around there as long as I want to." She said she would leave me before she gave me any trouble and she did.

 14 Q- Did you accuse her at that time about running with Herbert Newby?
 - A- Yes, sir.
- 15 Q- What did she say?
- A- She told me she didn't want to cause me any trouble and that she would leave.
- 16 Q- Do you know where she is now?
 - A- In Virginia.
- 17 Q- Have you had any information as to what she is doing there?
- A- Yes, sir. When I went down to Norfolk I found out that she is living with Herby Newby out from Suffolk where his home is. In a little country place.

 18 Q- She never denied that she was running around with him?
- A- Around about the first she denied it but at the last she did not. She almost stood up and told

- me to my face that she was going to see him,.
- 19 Q- Where this Mrs. Thomas lives is a flat?
 - A- Yes, sir.
- 20 Q- Do you believe that she could rent rooms there?
- A- Yes, sir, for the simple fact that her husband worked out in Green opring Valley and he told me. She rents rooms.
- 21 Q- Your wife didn't deny that she was running around with Newby or that she was meeting him at Mrs. Thomas's?
 - A- No.
- 22 Q- Did you accuse her of going to bed with him?
- A- She said she didn't want to oring me any trouble and a couple of days after that she left.

 Neither one of them have been seen in Baltimore since.

 23 Q- After you accused her, of dommitting adultery with Herby Newby, in the garage that night she came

there to see you, how long did she stay at your house?

- A- About a week.
- 24 Q- She was preparing to leave?
- A- She prepared to leave and she sent most of her clothes by mail.
- 25 Q- She acted as if she got a telegram from her mother and that her mother was very sick?
 - A- Yes, sir.
- 26 Q- From the time you accused her at the garage until the time she left did you cohabit with her or

have sexual relations with her?

- A- No, sir, I was working at nights and I didn't go to bed for a whole week. I didnt even have my clothes off.
- 27 Q- Did you discuss it with her after that?
- A- I talked to her and she said it wasn't no use to keep talking about it. She said if I knew it what was the use of me talking. She didn't deny it.
- 28 %- You tried to get her to stop going to meet this man and going to Mrs. Thomas's house before that?
 - A- Yes, sir, several times.

QUESTION BY THE EXAMINER:

Do you know or can you state any other matter or thing that may be of benefit or advantage to the parties to this suit or either of them or that may be material to the subject of this your examination or the matters in question between the parties? If so, state the same fully and at large in your answer.

Le . P. Walker

Answer:

EDWARD HUDGINS, heretofore produced, sworn, and examined as a witness on behalf of the plaintiff, being now recalled, deposeth and saith as follows, that is to say -

BY MR. EVANS:

- l Q- You testified before in this case as to her leaving and so forth. That's right, isn't it?
 - A- Yes, sir.
- 2. Q- Have you ever heard Mr. Walker discuss with Mrs. Walker about her going around with some man working where she did?
- A- I heard Mr. Walker say to her did she always have to let this man bring her home in his machine.
- 3 Q- Was this man working at the same place she was working?
- A- He worked someplace near her. He always brought Mrs. Walker home in the machine. A few times I visited their home I heard Mr. Walker ask her why she allowed him to bring her home in a machine.
- 4 Q- He objected to it?
 - A- Yes, sir.
- 5 Q- Doyou know what kind of a looking a fellow this man is? The one that brought her home?
 - A- No.
- 6 Q- Do you know his name?
 - A- Herby Newby.

- 7 Q- What did she say about that matter?
- A- She didn't deny his accusation. But she continued to allow Newby to bring her home.
- 8 Q- That is all you know about Newby?
 - A- Yes, sir.
- 9 Q- Do you know this Mrs. Thomas?
 - A- I have heard of her.
- 10 Q- Where was this?
 - A- At my house.
- 11 Q- What was the discussion then?
- A- Mr. Walker objected to her going there because it wasn't a good place.
- 12 Q- What did she say?
- A- She continued to go and from what I could see and understand in the matter she didn't care anything about him objecting to her going there.
- 13 Q- Did he accuse her of going there to meet Mr. Hewby?
- A- He said it wasn't a fit place and I believe I heard him say that she was going to see Mr. Newby there.
- 14 Q- At that time what did she say?
 - A- I don't know what she said.
- 15 Q- Did she deny the adultery?
 - A- No. Besides that she continued to go there.
- 16 Q- Do you know where she is now?
 - A- No, I don't. I have heard she is in Virignia.
- 17 Q- Do you know what she is doing there?
 - A- No, I do not.

QUESTION BY THE EXAMINER:

Do you know or can you state any other matter or thing that may be of benefit or advantage to the parties to this suit or either of them or that may be material to the subject of this your examination or the matters in question between the parties? If so, state the same fully and at large in your answer.

Answer: The Edw Hudgins

URANUS J. WILSON, a witness of lawful age, produced on behalf of the plaintiff, being first duly sworn, deposeth and saith as follows, that is to say -

BY THE EXAMINER:

- 1 4- State your name, residence and occupation?
- A- Uranus J. Wilson, 913 North Fremont Street, expressman.
- 2 Q- Do you know the parties to this suit?
 - A- Yes.
- 3 Q- How long have you known them?
 - A- About seven years.

BY MR. EVANS:

- 4 Q- Were they always known and recognized in the community as man and wife?
 - A- Yes.
- 5 Q- How did Mr. Walker always treat Mrs. Walker?
 - A- Very nice.
- 6 Q- How did she treat him?
- A- Well, at times she was all right but she was kind of cold towards him.
- 7 Q- Tell me this Mr. Wilson. Have you ever seen anything suspicious in Mrs. Walker's conduct?
- A- Well, I have seen Mrs. Walker with men several times at different places.
- 8 Q- This Herby Newby. Have you heard him discussed in your presence?

- A- Yes, sir.
- 9 Q- Mr. and Mrs. Walker discussed him?
 - A- Yes.
- 10 Q- At one time did you see Mrs. Walker in an automobile with a man?
- A- I saw her board a street car with a man. A brown skinned man of medium weight.
- 11 Q- Did he answer the description Mr. Walker gave of Mr. Newby?
 - A- Yes, sir.
- 12 Q- Do you know Mrs. Thomas?
 - A- No.
- 13 Q- Have you heard her discussed?
 - A- Yes.
- 14 Q- Where?
 - A- Between Mr. and Mrs. Walker.
- 15 Q- At their home?
- A- At their home and on the street and at the garage on Wilson Street.
- 16 Q- What if anything have you heard about this Mrs. Thomas?
- A- Mr. Walker accused Mrs. Walker of going there for indecent purposes. Of going to Mrs. Thomas's house on McCollough Street in a flat.
- 17 Q- What did she say about it?
 - A- At first she claimed she didn't do it.

- 18 Q- Where was this. At their home?
 - A- Yes.
- 19 Q- Was it on Pennsylvania Avenue?
- A- Do you mean where did he accuse her of going there? That took place at the garage on Wilson Street.
- 20 Q- What were you doing there?
 - A- I went in to see Walker.
- 21 Q- And Mrs. Walker came in while you were there?
 - A- Yes.
- 22 Q- Did you leave before or after her?
 - A- Before.
- 23 Q- You were there when she came?
 - A- Yes.
- 24 Q- What, if anything, was said about her going to Mrs. Thomas's at that time?
- A- Mr. Walker asked her where she had been and she said she had been at Mrs. Thomas's and he said, "I thought I told you to stay away from there." and she said she would continue to go there as long as she wanted to.
- 25 Q- Did she say why?
 - A- He accused her of going there to meet some men.
- 26 Q- What did she say to that?
- A- She didn't deny it. She said she didn't want to give him any trouble and that she was going to leave before she gave him any trouble.

- 27 Q- How long was this before their separation?
 - A- About a week.
- 28 Q- What time of the night was this?
 - A- Around about 9:30 or 10 o'clock.
- 29 Q- She didn't deny that she went there to see this Mr. Newby?
 - A- No.
- 30 Q- In fact, she admitted it?
- A- Yes, sir. She said she would continue going there as long as she was in town and she would leave him before she gefore she gave him any trouble?
- 31 Q- Did you see her after that?
 - A- Yes. Two or three days afterwards.
- 32 Q- On the street?
 - A- Yes.
- 33 Q- Did you discuss it with her then?
 - A- No.
- 34 Q- Did you ask her where she was going or anything?
 - A- No.
- 35 Q- Have you heard of her whereabouts since then?
 - A- I heard she was in Virginia.
- 36 Q- Where?
 - A- Someplace near Suffolk.
- 37 Q- You heard that from a friend?
 - A- Yes.
- 38 Q- What is she doing there?

- A- I heard she was living with this man.
- 39 Q- That information came from some friends who knew where she was?
 - A- Yes.
- 40 Q- You know lots of her friends?
 - A- Yes.
- 41 Q- Did Mr. Walker live or cohabit with her after that night on Wilson Street?
- A- No. I saw Ed one way and he said he didn't feel right towards her any more.
- 42 Q- You do not know this Mrs. Thomas?
 - A- No.

QUESTION BY THE EXAMINER:

Do you know or can you state any other matter or thing that may be of benefit or advantage to the parties to this suit or either of them or that may be material to the subject of this your examination or the matters in question between the parties? If so, state the same fully and at large in your answer.

Hranus J Wilson

Answer:

-15-

No other witnesses being named or produced before me, I then at the
request of the Solicitorof the Plaintiff
closed the depositions taken in said cause, and now return them closed under my
hand and seal on thisday of
April in the year of our Lord nineteen hundred
twentysevenat the City of Baltimore, in the State of Maryland.
Examiner. \{\subsetextrack{\subset}{\subsetextrack{
There are no Exhibits with these depositions, to wit:
Plaintiff'sExhibit.
Defendant's Exhibit
Examiner.
I, GEORGE ARNOLD FRICK, the Examiner before whom the foregoing depositions were taken, do hereby certify that I was employed in assigning a day and taking the said depositions upontwodays, onboth
of which I was employed by the plaintiff, and on none oy the defendant