In The Cercent of Baktyron EAS Viola Thomas Beil tor Divorce a Vivas no Can please file J. STEWARD DAVIS ATTORNEY AT LAW

James Thomas

۷s.

In The Circuit Court

of

Baltimore City.

Viola Thomas

To The Honorable, The Judge of Said Court:

Your Orator, complaining, respectfully says:

FIRST, That the parties hereto were married on or about the 20th.day of Octoberul916and lived together as man and wife until on or about the 3rd.day of February, 1917. Said marriage occured in Baltimore City, sate of Maryland.

SECOND, That Your Orator is a resident of the city of Baltimore, state of Maryland, and has been for more than two years prior to the filing of this bill of complaint. That the respondent is a non-resident of the city and state and when last heard of was in Atlantic City, N.J.

THIRD, That though the conduct of Your Orator towards his wife has always been kind, affectionate, and above reproach, the without any just cause or reason, abandoned Your Orator to live in adultery with a said Guy Johnson. That the said Vidla Thomas has on divers days and times committed the crime of adultery with the aforementioned "Guy Johnson" and with divers other men whose names are unknown to Your Orator.

FOURTH, That Your Orator has not lived with the said Viola Thomas, since he discovered the said adultery, nor has he condoned the said adultery.

That there are no children b rn as a result of said mar-WHEREFORE YOUR ORATOR PRAYS:

- -a-A divorce a vinculo matrimonnii from the respondent.
- -b-Such other and further releif as the case may require.

May it please Your Homor to grant unto Your Orator, an order of publication, setting forth the nature and substance of this bill and warning the said respondent to be in this Court in person or appear by solicitor on or before a certain day, to be therein named and show cause, if any she may have, why a decree should not be passed as prayed.

As in duty bound etc.

+ James Homas Complainant.

Solicitor for Complainant.

State of Maryland)

Baltimore City)

To Wit

I hereby certify that on this & May (fing. 1919, before me the subscriber, a Notary Public in and for the city of Baltimore, state of Maryland, personally appeared James Thomas, the complainant in the foregoing bill and made oath in due form of law that the matter contained therein was true to the best of his knowledge and beleif.

Carthus in Rogers?
Notary Public.

J.Steward Davis, Solicitor, 118 E.Lexington St.

In The Circuit Court of Baltimore City.

James Thomas Vs. Viola Thomas.

ORDER OF PUBLICATION.

The object of this suit is to procure a decree for a divorce, a vinculo matrimonnii, by the complainant from thr respondent.

The bill states that the parties thereto were married in Baltimore City, state of Maryland on or about the 20th/day of October, 1916 and lived together as man and wife until on or about the 3rd.day of February, 1917. That the complainant is a resident of Baltimore city and has been for more than two years prior to the filing of this bill. That the respondent is a non-resident of this city and state, and when last heard of, was in Atlantic city, N.J. That though the conduct of the complainant towards his wife was always kind, affectionate, and above reperoach, she at divers times committed the crime of adultery with one "Guy Johnson" and divers other men whose names are unknown to the complainant. That the complainant has never condoned the said adultery. That there are no children as a result of said marriage.

ordered this day of May 1919, that the complainant, by causing a copy of this order to be inserted in some daily newspaper, published in the city of Baltimore, once a week for four successive weeks, before the day of May 1919, and give notice to the said defendant, Viola Thomas (now absent) of the object and substance of this bill and warning her to be and appear in this Court in person or by Solicitor, on or before the logar of

when 1919, to show cause, if any she has why a decree should

not be passed as prayed

508 B621 Ct. Ct.
1921 Docket

Thomas

vs.

Thomas

Decree Pro Confesso.

319759 No.

3

Filed 3 Oct 19122

tion and belief.

As Witness my hand and Notarial Seal.

	IN THE
James Thomas vs.	Circuit Court
Vrola Thomas	BALTIMORE CITY.
Sept	Term, 191
The Defendant having been duly summened (notifies the Bill of Complaint, and having failed to appear thereto, as (said Order).	ed by Order of Publication) to appear to ecording to the exigency of the writ,
It is thereupon this day of hundred and JWCMWY by the Circuit Court of Boundard Two b	altimore City, ADJUDGED, ORDERED and es, and that the bill of Complaint be and use it doth not certainly appear to what
STATE OF MARYLAND, BALTIMORE CITY, SCT: I hereby certify that on this before me, the subscriber, a Notary Public, of the State of M personally appeared in due form of law that her (his) husband (wife) the defendant Military or Naval service of the United States Government, to the	and made oath in the above entitled case is not in the

Notary Public.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

BALTIMORE JITY.

1919

JAMES THOMAS

VS.

VIOLA THOMAS

ORDER OF PUBLICATION

Big 759
Big 759
Mr Och Paoce hile

DAVIS & BISHOP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

BYAN NE HER BUILDING

14 E. PLEASAN STREET

BANKINGAE, MD.

COMMERCIAL PRINTING & STATIONERY CO.

J.STEWARD DAVIS, SOLICITOR, 215 ST. PAUL PLACE IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALTIMORE CITY JAMES THOMAS VS. VIOLA THOMAS ORDER OF PUBLICATION

The object of this suit is to procure a divorce a Vinculo Matrimonii by the plaintiff from the defendant.

The Bill recites that the parties were married on or about the 20th day of October, I9I6, in Baltimore City, State of Maryland, and that they lived together until on or about the 3rd day of February, I9I7, when the defendant deserted the plaintiff. That there are no children as result of said marriage. That the defendant is a non-resident of the State of Maryland and has deserted her husband without any just cause or reason and has on divers days and times committed the crime of adultery; that the said abandonment has continued uninterruptedly for more than three years and is deliberate and final, and the separation of the parties is beyond any reasonable expectation of reconciliation. That the plaintiff has been a citizen of the State of Maryland for more than three years prior to the filing of this bill of complaint.

It is thereupon this day of I922, ordered by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City, that the plaintiff by causing a copy of this order to be inserted in some daily newspaper published in Baltimore City, once in each of four successive weeks, before the day of I922, giving notice to the absent defendant, Viola Thomas, of the object and substance of this bill, warning her to be and appear in this Court in person or by Solicitor on or before the day of I922 to show cause, if any she may have, why a decree should not be passed as prayed.

I. W.T. Andrews, of the City of Peltimore, State of Maryland, hereby cortify that on the day of the Less the attached order of publication was published in the Daily Herald, a newspaper published daily in the City of Baltimore and that the publication of the said attached order was continued once a week for four successive weeks in the aforementioned newspaper of which I was Editor.

W. D. auduws Editor The Hypel V.

STAGE OF HARYL'ND BALFUMORE CITY, to wit:-

I HEREBY CERTIFY, that on this of day of Octaber, in the year one thousand nine hundred and twenty two, before me, the subscriber, a Notary Public of the State of Maryland in and for the City of Baltimore aforesaid, personally appeared J.T. Andrews and made eath in due form of law that the matters and facts in the aforegoing statement are true to the best of his knowledge and belief.

WITNESS my hand and Notarial Seal.

HOTARY FOBLICE

19/9 Docket No. Things	
Order of Reference and Report	
Robatson	
03/9459	
No.	
Order Filed 13 day of hor 1922 Report Filed 12 day of hor 1922	

James Thomas
Viola Thomas

IN THE

Circuit Court

OF

BALTIMORE CITY

Sept Term, 19 Z Z, 19 22, that the same be and it is hereby referred to Esq., Auditor and Master, to report the pleadings and the facts, and his opinion thereon.

Report of Auditor and Master

Bill fil el by husband against wife for divorce a vinculo matrimonii on ground of adultery, Code Art. 16, Sect. 36, Order of publication against defendant as non-resident, no answer, decree pro confesso, thirty days elapsed. Proof shows marriage, residence of plaintiff, non-residence of defendant and adultery of defendant. Case ready for decree.

Auditor & Master.

CIRCUIT COURT

B No.59 Docket

James Thomas

VS.

Viola Thomas

Decree of Divorce

B No. 19759

fd. 17" November 1952

The within is a proper decree to be passed in this case.

Alog Volo

James Thomas	Circuit Court
VS.	BALTIMORE CITY
Viola Thomas	November Term, 1922
This cause standing ready for hearing	and being duly submitted, the proceedings were by the
Court read and considered.	
It is thereupon, this	day of November , A. D.1922.
by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City, A	
James Thomas	
And it is further Ordered, That the said pay the cost of this proceeding.	Plaintiff Carall J. Hond

In the Circuit Court, OF BALTIMORE CITY

DEPOSITIONS

ames Olexander Thomas

No.19759

PLAINTHEF'S COSTS

Examiners Copies ...

Sheriff

Stenographer ..

DEFENDANT'S COSTS

Examiners Copies ...

Sheriff....

Stenographer.

James alejander Thomas	In the Circuit Court
Viola Chomas	OF BALTIMORE CITY:
of a desire to take testimony in the	the Solicitor for the planning same, I, A. de RUSSY SAPPINGTON, one cuit Courts of Baltimore City, under and by
virtue of an order of the above name	ed Circuit? Court, passed in said cause on the ay ofin the year nineteen
of Maryland, and assigned the in the same year at	Thurst
aforesaid, as the time and place for s	in the City and State such examination of witnesses in said cause;
having been given, and proceeded in t	che presence of the Solicitorof theto take the following depositions, that

8-26

Thomas,

v.

Thomas.

Testimony taken at the officeof Mr. Davis, Courtland Street, Baltimore, Maryland, October 3rd., 1922, at 1 O'clock P. M.

JAMES ALEXANDER THOMAS, he Plaintiff in this case, produced on his own behalf, having been first duly sworn, deposeth and saith asfollows, that is to say:

BY THE EXAMINER:

- 1 @. State your name residence and occupation?
 - A. James Alexander Thomas, 1126 Argyle Avenue; musician.
 - 2 Q. Do you know the parties to this suit?
 - A. I am the Plaintiff and my wife is the Defendant.

BY MR. DAVIS:

- 1 Q.. When were you married?
 - A. October 20th., 1916.
- 2 Q. Were you married by a Ministeroof the Gospel?
 - A. yes.
- 3 Q. In Baltimore City.
 - A. yes.
- 3 Q. By a Religious Ceremony?
 - A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Have you been a resident of Baltimore City, State of Maryland, for at least two yearsprior to the

James Alexander Thomas.

filing of this suit?

- A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Are there any children as the result of this marriage?
 - A. No.
- 78Q. What was your conduct towards your wife while living together?
- A. I tried to treat her as nice as I could, as a husband should treat a wife.
- 8 Q. Good to her?
 - A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And kind to her?
 - A. Yes
- 10 Q. And yousupported her?
 - A. Yes.
- 11 9. And faithful?
 - A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Are you and your wife living together now?
 - A. No sir.
- 12 Q. Which left the other; did she leave you or did you leave her?
 - A. Ileft her.
- 13 Q. When?
 - A. 3rd., February 1917.

James Alexander Thomas.

- 14 Q. Why did you leave her.
- A. Well, she was a woman that drank and I caught her in a predicament that a woman should not be in; I saw her going in the bed house with another man.
- 15 Q. With whom did you see her go in with?
 - A. Guy Johnson
- 16 Q You charge your wife with adultery with this man; howdoyou know that?
 - A. Because I saw her go into this bed house
- 17 Q. Where is that house.
 - A. Corner of Paca and New Streets.
- 18 Q. What is the reputation of this house?
 - A. It is known as a bed house.
- 19 Q. A house where you can ment a room and go to bed with a woman?
 - A. Yes.
- 20 Q. You saaw him go in there with this Buy Johnson.
 - A. Yes.
- 21 Q. The night before the separation?
 - A. Yes.
- 21 Q. What timeof the night?
- A. It was a little after I got through playing, near one O'dock.
- 23 Q. In themorning?
 - A. Ves.

James Alexander Thomas.

- 24 Q. You watched a while, did you not,
 - A. Yes
- 25 Q. Howllongidid you watch?
 - A. About twenty minutes.
- 26 Q. Was there any one with you?
- A. My friend was with me, this man here (indicating).
- 27 Q. Had you ever seen her with Guy Johnson before?
 - A. Yes.
- 28 Q. WhereA
- A. She was working at the Emerson at the time, and she had stayed out accuple of nights--COUNGE INTERRUPTING: Stayed out all night.
 WITNESS: Yes, and that was Sunday, and this was Wednesday; I went at six O'clockto see if I could see her at Baltimore and Calvert Street, and I saw her from a distance, and she was under the influence of liquor; I was over by the News Building, and she was with a man, but I could not recognize theman.
- 29 Q. Did you have a quarrel with her about Guy Johnson?
 - A. Yes.
- **30** Q. Where?
 - A. At home.

James Alexander.

- 31 Q. Did she defend him or what was it that she said.
- A. This was at the time at Fishman's Hall. He came in, and before I could get anything to say about it he said "Dont you do anything to her", and he wanted to put up a fight; thisman wasin the hall at the time; he did not know what I was going to say to her.
- 32 QL She waslast heard of where?
 - A. Atlantic City.
- 33 Q. Has she been back here on short trips.
 - A. Yes.
- 34 Q. How long ago?
 - A. From what I understand, it was about a year ago.
- 35 Q. Did she come back with any one.
- A. Yes; she was with a man; I can not recognize who it was; he was an out of town man.
- 36 Q. Fow long did she stay here with him?
 - A. Two or three days; may be a week or so.
- 37 Q. Did he go away with her?
 - A. Yes; I expect that he went away with her.
- 38 Q. Have you lived or cohabited with your wafe since you discovered her adulteries?
 - A. No.

James Alexander.

- 39 Q. Have you had sexual intercourse with her?
 - A. No.

QUIERAL QUESTION

Do you know or can you state any other matter or thing that may be to the benefit or advantage of the parties to this suit, or either of them, or thatymy be material to the subject of this, your examination, or the matters in question between the parties? If so, state the same fully and at large in your ensure.

Anna U

James a Thomas

MULFORD J. MATTHEWS, a witness of lawful age, produced on behalf of the Plaintiff, having been first duly sworn, deposeth and saith as follows, that is to say:

BY THE EXAMINER:

- 1 Q. State yourname residence and occupation?
- A. Mufford J. Matthews, 1629 Druid Hill Avenue; bell boy.
- 2Q. Doyou know the parties to this suit?
 - A. Yes.

By Mr. Davis:

- 1 Q. You were present at the marriage?
 - A. No.
- 2 Q. Do you know whether or not they lived together as husband and wife?
 - A. Yes; they lived together as husband and wife.
- 3 Q. And was their reputation in the community that of husband and wife?
 - A. Yes.
- 3 Q. He held her out as his wif 6?
 - A. Yes.
- 5 Q. What sort of a husband was Thomas to his wife?
- A. Well, as far as I always saw, he seemed to treat her all right, as far as I know. He was good and faithful; he did not seem tometo do anything that he should not do.

Mulford J.Matthews.

- 6 Q. Thomas said that in February 1917 at about one O'clock in the morning you and he were coming up the street, and you saw his wife; do youknow his wife?
- A. Yes. I did not know her psrsonally to talk to.
- 7 Q. But when you saw her, you knew that it was Mrs. Thomas?
 - A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Where was this house.
- A. This house that he and Isaw her go into was at the corner of New and Paca Street.
- 9 Q. What is the reputation of the house.
- A. What I hear is, that it is a bed house, where a man can take a woman that are not married.
- 10 Q. For immoralpurposes.
 - A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Do youknew Guy Johnson.
 - A Yes.
- 12 Q. Was Guy Johnson the man with her?
- A. Yes; from the way it looked to me it was the same man.
 - 13 Q. They went into this house together.
 - A. Yes.

M.J. Mathews.

- 14 Q. Did you and Mr. Watthews watch.
 - A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Together.
 - A. Yes; between twenty and thirty minutes.
- 16 Q. And they did not come out?
 - A. No.
- 17 Q. Were you at this dance at Fishman's Hall?

 A. yes.
- 18 Q. Whenhe and Johnson had an argument.
- A. I was not there when the fight began, but I was there shortly after it happened , and before it was over.
- 19 Q. Is she now a resident of the State of Maryland.
 - A. No, not a resident of the State of Maryland.
- 20 Q. Non-resident ?
 - A. Yes.
- 21 Q. When last heard of she was in Atlantic City.
 - A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Has Mr. Thomas lived with his wife since he discovered her adulteries, which you and he saw on the 2nd., February 1917?
 - A. Not to myknowledge.

M.J. Mathws.

22 Q. Has he been a resident of Baltimore City, stateof Maryland, for at least two yearsprior to the filing of this suit?

A. Yes.

23 Q. Are there any children as the result of this marriage?

A. No.

GENERAL QUESTION

Do you know or can you state any other matter or thing that may be to the benefit or advantage of the parties to this suit, or either of them, or that may be material to the subject of this, your esamination, or the matters in question between the parties? If so, state

the same fully and at large in your answer.

A. ---
Mulpus J. Mauline

No other witnesses being named or produced before me, I then, at the request
of the Solicitorof theplacatiff
closed the depositions taken in said cause and now return them closed under my
hand and seal, on this 10th day of Mumlus
in the year of Our Lord nineteen hundred and turnly-turn at the
City of Baltimore, in the State of Maryland
Examiner.
Examiner.
There are OW. Exhibits with these depositions, to wit:
Plaintiff'sExhibit
Defendant'sExhibit
`
ales Sappingting
Examiner.
I, A. de RUSSY SAPPINGTON, the Examiner before whom the fore-
going depositions were taken, do hereby certify that I was employed in assigning
a day, and taking the said depositions upontwodays, on_back
of which I was employed by the Plaintiff, and on
by the Defendant
Celled Sappenglong.
Examiner.