IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF MARTHA J. TATE 1928 VS. ROBERT J.TATE BILL FOR DIVORCE. Mr.Clerk:-Please file. Attorney for Plaintiff.

J. STEWARD DAVIS
ATTORNEY AT LAW
215 SAINT PAUL PLACE
BALTIMORE, MD.

MARTHA J.TATE : IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

VS. : OF

ROBERT J.TATE ::: BALTIMORE CITY.

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Your Oratrix complaining respectfully says:

(I)

That she was married to her husband, Robert J.Tate on the 18th day of June, 1908 in Baltimore City, and lived together as man and wife until about the 25th day of December, 1919 when the defendant deserted the plaintiff.

(2)

Robert J. Tate has always been kind, affectionate and above reproach, he has, without any just cause or reason abandoned and deserted her and has declared hes intentions to live with her no longer, and that such abandonment has continued uninterruptedly for more than three years and is deliberate and final and the separation of the parties is beyond any reasonable expectation of reconciliation.

(3)

That there are no children as issue of said marriage.

(4)

That your Oratrix has not lived with or co-habited with the said defendant since said desertion.

(5)

That both your Oratrix and the defendant are citizens of the State of Maryland, having resided in Baltimore City for more than three years prior to the filing of this bill.

# TO THE END THEREFORE:

- (a) That your Oratrix may hevdivorded a Vinculo Matrimonii from the said defendant, Robert J.Tate.
  - (b) That she may resume her maiden name, Kelly.
- (c) That she may have such other and further relief as her case may require.

May it please your Honor to grant unto your Oratrix the Writ of Subpoens directed against the said Robert J.Tate, commanding and requiring him to be and appear in this Court on some day certain to be named therein to answer the premises and abide by and perform such decree or order as may be passed therein.

AND as in duty bound, etc.

SØLICITOR FOR COMPLAINANT.

Received 27th day of Sept 1923 and forthwith delivered to the Sheriff of Frederick County. No. 100 junion the deliver William P. Cole, 6

Ct. Ct. Docket SUBPOENA TO ANSWER BILL OF COMPLAINT Solicitor **EQUITY SUBPOENA** 

The State of Maryland **Un** 

Robert J. Tato

Of Baltimere

County, Greeting:

WE COMMAND AND ENJOIN YOU, that all excuses set aside, you be in your person before the Circuit Court of Baltimore City, at the Court House in said city, on the Second Monday of Cotolog 19 23 to answer the complaint of

Martha J. Tato

against you in said Court exhibited.

HEREOF fail not, as you will answer the contrary at your peril.

WITNESS the honorable MORE R, Chief Judge of the Supreme Bench day of September 23 of Baltimore City, the

Issued the

25 day of September the year 19 23

Clark Whileford

Clerk

Ct. Ct.

Docket

Marka Tate

Decree Pro Confesso.

Monha late vs. Robert Tate

IN THE

Circuit Court

OF

BALTIMORE CITY.

Term, 192

Henry Sugge

The Defendant having been duly summoned (notified by Order of Publication) to appear to the Bill of Complaint, and having failed to appear thereto, according to the exigency of the writ, (said Order).

DECREED, that the complainant is entitled to relief in the premises, and that the bill of Complaint be and is hereby taken pro confesso against the defendant. But because it doth not certainly appear to what relief the Plaintiff is entitled, it is further Adjudged, and Ordered, that one of the Examiners of this Court, take testimony to support the allegations of the bill.

# In the Circuit Court,

OF BALTIMORE CITY

# **DEPOSITIONS**

Marcha & Late

Robert & Late

No. 2, 5-9, 48 19

## PLAINTIFF'S COSTS

\$ 11.00

### DEFENDANT'S COSTS

Examiners...\$....Sheriff....Stenographer...

Fel 3 Jan 1928.

3/12/1/411

Volut J. I	In the Circuit Court  OF BALTIMORE CITY.	,
	Decree Pro Confesso have	uq.
Lew	passed in said co	use
and notice having been	n given me by the Solicitor for the	IJ.
	stimony in the same, I, A. de RUSSY SAPPINGTO	N, one
	niners of the Circuit Courts of Baltimore City, under	
virtue of an order of	the above named Circuit Court, passed in said cause day of Scholar 1933,	met on
the perent	lente day of horeceles in the year r	nineteen
hundred and wenty	day of November in the year reflected at my office, in the City of Baltimore, in the igned the Muellenth day of November 1	ne State
hundred and well, of Maryland, and assi	1 - the City of Baltimore, in the	ne State
hundred and weut, of Maryland, and assi in the same year at office of	igned the Musterthe day of Novus  o'clock in the After-noon	and the
hundred and weuth of Maryland, and assi in the same year at office of	igned the Muettenth day of Novus  o'clock in the After-noon  wan Navio, Esq. in the City an	and the d State
of Maryland, and assi in the same year at office of	igned the Multienthe day of Movement o'clock in the After-noon want have Esq. in the City and place for such examination of witnesses in said	and the d State l cause:

1

8-38.

Tate,

**v**.

Tate.

Testimony taken at the office of Mr. Davis, Baltimore, Maryland, November 19th., 1923, at three O'clock P. M.

Martha J. Gate, the Plaintiff in this case, produced on her own behalf, having been first duly sworn, deposeth and saith as follows, that is to say:

#### By the Examiner:

- 1 Q. State your name residence and occupation?
- A. Martha J. Tate, 1924 **Far**rett Street, Philadel-phia. Pennsylvania; house work.
- 2 Q. Do you know the parties to this suit?
- AA I am the Plaintiff and my husband is the Defendant.

#### By Mr. Davis:

- 1 Q. When were you married?
  - A. 18th., June 1908.
- 2 Q. In Baltimore City.
  - A. Yes.
- 3 Q. By a Religious Ceremony?
  - A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Is your husband a resident of Baltimore
  City, State of Maryland, and has heebeen for more than.

two years prior to the filing of this suit.

- A. Yes.
- 5 Q. How long has he been a resident of Baltimore City, State of Maryland, last past.
  - A. For over ten years.
- 6 Q. Are there any children as the result of this marriage?
  - A. No.
- 7 Q. What was your conduct towards your wife while living together; how did you treat him?
  - A. I think that I treated him good.
- 9 Q. State whether or not you were always a kind, affectionate and faithful wife?
  - A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Are you and your husband living together now?
  - A. No sir.
- 11 Q. Which left the other; did you leave him or did he leave you.
  - A. I left him.
- 12 Q. When?
- A. Four years ago; four years Christmas coming.

- 13 Q. Then that would be Christmas of 1919; is that correct.
  - A. yes.
- 14 Q. Why did you leave him?
  - A. Because he told me to go; he put me cut.
- 15 Q. What do you mean when you say that he put you our; tell exactly what he did and what he said.
- A. He told me to get out; that I had better be away from the house when he came back again, and if I would be there when he came back what that there would be trouble.
- 16 Q. Did he make any threats against you.
  - A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And under those circumstances you left the house, did you.
  - A. Yes.
  - 19 Q. Prior to this time how had he treated you.
    - A. He choked me and was awful mean to me.
- 20 Q. Did he beat you.
- A He choked me.
- 21 Q. How about support; did he properly support you?

- A. No sir; I was forced to go out to work, because he would not support me.
- 22 Q. And how long did you work durig your married life?
- A. All the time that I was married to him pretty nearly.
- 23 Q. And this was because he refused to support you, was it?
  - A. Yes.
- 24 Q. What did you do with the money that you earned?
  - A. Why, I had to take care of myself with it.
- 25 Q. And did you or not ask him to support -- And did you or not help to support him.
- A. Yes; he used to be there, and he would eat what I bought.
- 26 Q. Then you fed him; is nt that true.
  - A. Yes; I had to.
- 27 Q. How about his language towards you; what sort of language did he use?
- A. He used vile language towards me; he used to curse me and everything. He would call me all the names that he could think of.
- 28 Q. And this continued right up until the time .
  that he forced you out of the houxse under the cir-

cumstancesdescribed by you.

- A. Yes.
- 29 Q Since that time has he provided or offered to provide a home for you.
  - A. No sir.
- 30 Q Was there anything to prevent him doing so if he had wanted to?
  - A. No sir.
- 31 Q. Did he always know where and how to locate you for that purpose.
  - A. Yes.
- 32 Q. Has his abandonment and desertion of you continued uni nterrupteedly since Christmas of 1919?
  - A. yes.
- 33 Q. That is have you lived or cohabited together since that time?
  - A. No sir.
- 34 Q. Has his abandanmen and desertion -- Was his abandanment and desertion of you deliberate and final; did he deliberate and finally abandan and desert you?
  - A. Yes.
- 35 Q. State whether or not there is any reasonable expectation of a reconcilition; do you ever expect to make up and live together again?

- A. No sir.
- 36 Q. Do you desire to resume the use of your maiden name?
  - A. yes.
- 37 Q. What is your maiden name?
  - A. Kelly.

#### GENERAL QUESTION

Do you know or can you state any other matter or thing that may be to the benefit or advantage of the parties to this suit, or either of them, or that my be material to the subject of this, your examination, or the matters in question between the parties? If so, state the same fully and ot large in your answer.

As man to Martha & Jate

Annie Tate, a witness of lawful age, produced on behalf of the Plaintiff, having been first duly swcrn, deposeth and saith as follows, that is to say:

By the Examiner:

- 1 Q. State your name residence and occupation?
  - A. Annie Tate, 220 Myrtle Avenue; house work.
- 2 Q. Do you know the parties to this suit?
- A. Yes.

By Mr. Davis:

- 1 Q. Are they husband and wife?
  - A. yes.
- 2 Q. Did they live together as husband and wife and were they always known and recognized in the community in which they lived as husband and wife?
  - A. Yes.
- 3 Q. How long has the Defendant resided in Baltimore City, State of Maryland, last past.
  - A. I guess that he has been here seventeen years.
- 4 Q. Are there any children as the result of this marriage?
  - A. No.
- 5 Q. What was, the Plaintiff's conduct towards her husband while living together?
  - A. All right.
- 6 Q. State whether or not she was always a kind, affectionate and faithful wife?

- A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Are the parties to this suit living together now?
  - A. No sir.
- 8 Q. Which left the other; did he leave her cr did she leave him?
  - A. She left him.
- 8 Q. When?
  - A. About Christmas of 1919.
- 9 Q. Why did she leave him?
  - A. He told her to go.
- 10 Q. How do you know that.
  - A. She told me as soon as it happened.
- 11 Q. Have you seen him since that time.
  - A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Have you had any conversation with him with reference to his wife?
- A. Yes; he told metthat he had told her to get out, and that he did not want her back any more.
- 13 Q. Now, prior to this time, how had he treated his wife?
  - A. He was very nasty to her; very disagreeable.
- 14 Q. Did he ever beat or choke his wife?
  - A. Yes; he choked her.

10

- 15 Q. Was it severe?
  - A. Yes; right severe.
- 16 Q. How about support; did he work and support her.
  - A. He worked and she worked too.
- 17 Q. Whose money support ed the household?
- A. She did as much as he did; she would put her money into the house hold.
- 18 Q. She was compelled to do this?
  - A. yes.
- 19 Q. And buy food?
  - A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And would he eat it?
  - A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Then she helped to support him; is that true?
  - A. Yes.
- 21 Q. How about his language towards; her; what sort of language would he use.
  - A. He wouldcall her awful vile names.
- 22 Q. What do you mean by that.
- A. Cursing and swearing and calling her all sorts of vile names.

- 23 Q. Was he justified in using such language.
  - A. No.
- 24 Q. And this continued right up until the time of the separation when she had to leave him under the circumstances described by you?
  - A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Since that time has he provided or offered to provide a home for his wife?
  - A. No sir.
- 26 Q. Was there anything to prevent him doing so if he had wanted to?
  - A. No sir.
- 26 Q. Did he always know where and how to locate his wife for that purpose.
  - A. Yes.
- 28 Q. Has his abandonment of his wife continued uninterrupteedly mince Christmas of 1919?
  - A. Yes.
- 28 Q. That is have they lived or cohabited together since that time?
  - A. No sir.
- 29 Q. State whether or not his abandonment of his wife was deliberate and final?
  - A. Yes.

- 30 Q. Did he deliberately and finally abandon and desert his wife?
  - A. Yes.
- 31 Q. State whether or not there is any reasonable expectation of a reconciliaton; do you ever expect them to make up and live together again?
  - A. No sir.
- 32 Q. You say that you saw him and talked to hi after the separation and that he told you that he had ordered his wife out of the house and that he did not want to live with her again?
- A. Yes; he told me that he had ordered her out of the house and that he would not live with her again.

#### GENERAL QUESTION

Do you know or can you state any other matter or thing that may be to the bene it or advantae of the parties to this suit, or either of them, or that may be material to the subject of this, your examination, or the matters in question between the parties? If so, state the same fully and at large in your answer.

anne Pate

No other witnesses being named or produced before me, I then, at the request-
f the Solicitor of the Acidity
losed the depositions taken in said cause and now return them closed under my
and and seal, on this fourth gaday of family
n the year of Our Lord nineteen hundred and twenty at the
City of Baltimore, in the State of Maryland.  (SEAL).  Examiner.
There are AND. Exhibits with these depositions, to wit:
Plaintiff's Exhibit
Defendant's Exhibit/
Examiner.
I, A. de RUSSY SAPPINGTON, the Examiner before whom the fore- going depositions were taken, do hereby certify that I was employed in assigning a day, and taking the said depositions upon days, on days, on the before whom the fore- going depositions were taken, do hereby certify that I was employed in assigning and taking the said depositions upon days, on days, on the before whom the fore- going depositions were taken, do hereby certify that I was employed in assigning and taking the said depositions upon days, on the before whom the fore- going depositions were taken, do hereby certify that I was employed in assigning and taking the said depositions upon days, on the before whom the fore- going depositions were taken, do hereby certify that I was employed in assigning and taking the said depositions upon days, on the before whom the fore- going depositions were taken, do hereby certify that I was employed in assigning and taking the said depositions upon days, on the before whom the fore- going depositions were taken, do hereby certify that I was employed in assigning the said depositions upon days, on the before whom the fore- going depositions were taken, do hereby certify that I was employed in assigning the said depositions upon days, on the before whom the fore- going depositions were taken, do hereby certify that I was employed in assigning the said depositions upon days, on the before whom the fore- going depositions upon days, on the before whom the fore- going depositions upon days, on the before whom the fore- going depositions upon days, on the before whom the fore- going depositions upon days, on the before whom the fore- going depositions upon days, on the before whom the fore- going depositions upon days, on the before whom the fore- going depositions upon days, on the before whom the fore- going depositions upon days, on the before whom the fore- going depositions upon days, on the before whom the fore- going depositions upon days, on the before whom the before whom the before whom the before wh