IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALTIMORE CITY

FREDERICK SIMPSON

VS.

VICTORIA SIMPSON 537 Males St.

BILL FOR DIVORCE.

Mr.Clerk:-

Please file.

DAVIS & EVANS

BAUMGARTEN & CO., INC.

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

FREDERICK SIMPSON

VS.

:

:

OF

VICTORIA SIMPSON

BALTIMORE CITY

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:
Your Orator complaining respectfully says:

- That he was married to his wife, Victoria Simpson on or about the 26 day of Mark 1921 in Annapolis, Maryland, and with whom he resided until on or about the 10th day of September, 1923, when the defendant deserted the plaintiff.
- 2. That though the conduct of your Orator toward the said Victoria Simpson has always been kind, affectionate and above reproach, she has, without any just cause or reason, abandoned and deserted him and has declared her intentions to live with him no longer, and that such abandonment has continued uninterruptedly for more than three years and is deliberate and final and the separation of the parties is beyond any reasonable expectation of reconciliation.
- 3. That your Orator has not lived or co-habited with the said defendant since said desertion.
- 44. That there is one child born as issue of said marriage, Frederick Simpson, Jr. age four years.
- 5. That both your Orator and the defendant are citizens of the State of Maryland, having resided in Baltimore City for more than three years prior to the filing of this Bill of Complaint.

TO THE END, THEREFORE:

- (a) That your Orator may be divorced A Vinculo Matrimonii from the said Victoria Simpson.
- (b) That he may have such other and further relief as his case may require.

May it please your Honor to grant unto your Orator the Writ of Subpoens directed against the said Victoria Simpson, commanding and requiring her to be and appear in this Court on some day certain to be named therein to answer the premises and abide by and perform such decree or order as may be passed therein.

AND as in duty bound, etc.

ATTORNEYS BEOR PLAINTIFF.

P782

Ct. Ct.

B-491

1926

Docket No.

Frederick Simpson

VS.

Victoria Simpson

537 masher st

SUBPOENA TO ANSWER BILL OF COMPLAINT

Pro

No.

B-31191

(2)

Filed & Nov

1925

Davis & Evans

SOLICITOR

11

EQUITY SUBPOENA

The State of Maryland

Un Victoria Simpson
537 Mosher Street

of Baltimore City, Greeting:

WE COMMAND AND ENJOIN YOU, That all excuses set aside, you do within the time limited by law, beginning on the second Monday of November next cause an appearance to be entered for you and your answer to be filed to the complaint of

Frederick Simpson

against you exhibited in the Circuit Court of Baltimore City, HEREOF fail not, as you will answer the contrary at your peril.

WITNESS, the Honorable JAMES P. GORTER, Chief Judge of the Supreme Bench of Baltimore
City, the September 192 6.

Issued the 4th day of November, , in the year 192 6.

Charl. Whileford Clerk

MEMORANDUM: You are required to file your answer or other defense in the Clerk's Office, room 206, in the Court House, Baltimore City, within fifteen days after return day.

(General Equity Rules 11)

Docket \$66 Federick Simpson Victoria Simpson Decree Pro Confesso.

Filed 30 Nov 1926

Jederick Simpson

vs.

Circuit Court

OF

BALTIMORE CITY.

Term, 1926

The Defendant having been duly summoned (notified by Order of Publication) to appear to the Bill of Complaint, and having failed to appear thereto, according to the exigency of the writ, (said Order).

It is thereupon this

day of Workship in the year nineteen hundred and twenty by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City, Adjudged, Ordered and Decreed, that the complainant is entitled to relief in the premises, and that the bill of Complaint be and is hereby taken pro confesso against the defendant. But because it doth not certainly appear to what relief the Plaintiff is entitled, it is further Adjudged, and Ordered, that one of the Examiners of this Court, take testimony to support the allegations of the bill.

rigranh

	49	7/
Doc. 15	1 98	6

In the Circuit Court, OF BALTIMORE CITY

DEPOSITIONS

Frederich Simpson Victoria Simpson

No.3119,

PLAINTIFF'S COSTS

Examiners	\$
Copies	
Sheriff	
Stenographer	

DEFENDANT'S COSTS

Examiners	· D
Copies	
Sheriff	
Stenographer	

3/13/3/17

FrederichLeigeron	In the Circuit Court	
vs.	on the cutum court	
Victoria Suripson	OF BALTIMORE CITY.	
o roos an pringram		
	\circ	
a Decree (Pro Couleses having	
a Decree (Pro Confeses having	
and notice having been given me by the		
	,	
	ame, I, A. de RUSSY SAPPINGTON, one	
G	cuit Courts of Baltimore City, under and by	
virture of an order of the above named Circuit Court, passed in said cause on the thirtieth day of november 1926, met on		
the second da	ay of Secentles in the year nineteen	
hundred and twenty right at my	office, in the city of Baltimore, in the State	
of Maryland, and assigned the	uid day of December	
in the same year at	o'clock in the after noon and the	
office of Odel Sappington, Ey in the City and State		
aforesaid, as the time and place for such examination of witnesses in said cause;		
at which last mentioned time and p	lace I attended, due notice of such meeting	
having been given, and proceeded in the presence of the Solicitor of the		
plaintiff	to take the following depositions, that	
is to say:—		

2

FREDERICK SIMPSON

VS.

VICTORIA SIMPSON.

Testimony taken before me, A. deRussy Sappington, Examiner, at my offices in the Title Bldg, Baltimore, Md. on December 3, 1926, at 2.00 o'cbck in the afternoon.

Mr. George Evans appeared for the Plaintiff.

Thereupon ---

FREDERICK SIMPSON.

the plaintiff of lawful age, produced on his own behalf, having been first duly sworn according to law, was examined and testified as follows:

By the Examiner:

- Q State your name, residence and occupation?
- A Frederick Simpson, 537 Bloome St., musician
- Q Do you know the parties to this suit?
- A I am the plaintiff and the defendant is my wife
 By Mr. Davis

- Q When, where and by whom were you married?
- A March 26, 1921, in Annapolis, Md., by a Minister of the Gospel.
 - Q Are you living with your husband now?
- A No, sir. She put me out of the house on September 10, 1923. Here is what happened:
 She wouldn't look after the house at all, and I just asked her about it, and she told me to get out and stay, and I argued and reasoned with her in every way, and told her I didn't want to leave her, but she told me to get out and stay, and I had to leave.
 I did everything I could for her. I even did the cooking and cleaning for her, because she wouldn't do it.
- Q Did you give her any just cause to order you to leave?
- A None at all. I tried to prevent it, and I have seen her since and tried to get her to agree to live with me, but she positively won't do it.
- Q Were you always a kind, affectionate and faithful husband?

- A I was.
- Q Has this separation continued uninterruptedly for more than three years prior to the filing of this bill?
 - A Yes, sir, since September 10, 1923.
- Q Is it deliberate and final and without any hope of reconciliation?
 - A It is. She just won't live with me.
- Q Have you been a resident of the City of Baltimore, State of Maryland for more than two years prior to the filing of this bill?
 - A Yes. sir.
- Q Are there any children as result of your . marriage?
- A One; Frederick, four years old. I have offered to take my wife back many times, and I have been supporting the child, and I want the child to have proper training and treatment. The child has only been with my wife six weeks, and I know/she is not in position to take care of it. She is never

home - she likes to go out all the time, and won't do anything when she is home.

Q You have asked for the custody of the child.

Are you in position to take care of it?

A I am. I have a good place with my mother, who has practically raised the child, until my wife took it away. My mother lives in Annapolis, Maryland, and has a good home, and is very fond of the child, and I know the child would have the proper training there. It was raised with her, and my wife only took it about six weeks ago.

GENERAL QUESTION

Do you know or can you state any other matter or thing that may be to the benefit or advantage of the parties to this suit, or either of them, or that may be material to the subject of this, your examination, or the matters in question between the parties? If so, state the same fully and at large in your answer.

Tuderick Simpson,

The reupon --

CARRIE SIMPSON,

a witness of lawful age, produced on behalf of the plaintiff, having been first duly sworn according to law, was examined and testified as follows:

By the Examiner:

- Q State your name, residence and occupation?
- A Carrie Simpson, 28 Washington St.,

Annapolis, Md., housewife

- Q Do you know the parties to this suit?
- A Yes; the plaintiff is my son and the defendant my daughter in law.

By Mr. Davis:

- Q Were they married and did they live together at one time as husband and wife, and were they so known by all their friends, relatives and acquaintances?
 - A Yes, sir.
 - Q Are they living together now?
- A No, sir. His wife put him out nn September
 10th. 1923. and they haven't lived together since.

- Q What caused the separation?
- A His wife wouldn't do any work in the house, and he complained and she put him out. I was present at the time, and he didn't want to go, and tried to get her to change her mind, but she refused. The main cause of the trouble was that she wouldn't do anything at all, and she liked to go out and have a good time. I have always had care of their child.
 - Q Do you object to taking care of the child?
- A I certainly do not, because I am very fond of the little child and the child is very fond of me, and if my son gets the child I will see that it is properly raised and trained. I always had the child until six weeks ago when his wife took it away, and the little thing didn't want to go and cried to come back with me, because I have really been the mother to the child.
- Q Did Mr. Simpson give his wife any just cause to put him out?
 - A No, sir, none at all.

- Q Was he always a kind, affectionate and faithful husband?
 - A He certainly was.
- Q Has this separation continued uninterruptedly for more than three years prior to the filing of this bill?
 - A Yes, sir, ever since September 10, 1923.
- Q Is it deliberate and final and without any hope of reconciliation.
- A Yes, sir. He tried to get herto come back, but she refused, and she told me she wouldn't live with him.
- Q Has the plaintiff been a resident of the City of Baltimore, State of Maryland, for more than two years prior to the filing of this bill?
 - A Yes, sir, he has.

GENERAL QUESTION

other matter or thing that may be to the benefit or advantage of the parties to this suit, or either of them, or that may be material to the subject of this, your examination, or the matters in question between the parties? If so, state the same fully and at large in your answer.

Carrie Sumpson

No other witnesses being named or produced before me, I then, at the request			
of the Solicitor of the plantiff			
closed the depositions taken in said cause and now return them closed under my			
hand and seal, on this twenty-second day of angus X			
n the year of Our Lord nineteen hundred and twenty- seven at the			
City of Baltimore, in the State of Maryland.			
Examiner.			
Γhere are Exhibits with these depositions, to wit:			
Plaintiff's Exhibit			
Defendant's Exhibit			
Cell Capse Examiner.			
I, A. de RUSSY SAPPINGTON, the Examiner before whom the fore-			
going depositions were taken, do hereby certify that I was employed in assigning			
day, and taking the said depositions upon Lun days, on both			
of which I was employed by the Plaintiff, and on			
by the Defendant			
Celle Mapping Txaniner.			

•

rno Deforston Circuit Court 1926 Docket No B66

Trederick Simpson Victoria Simpson Order of Reference and Report Syons

Order Filed 22 day of lug..192)
Report Filed 10 day of how 1928

Frederick Simpson	IN THE
VS.	Circuit Court
Victoria Lampson	OF
Villorea Sampson	BALTIMORE CITY
	fully Term, 1977
This case being submitted, without argument, i	
down of Musica X	that the same he and it is hereby referred to
William P. Kyous.	Esq., Auditor and Master, to report the
pleadings and the facts, and his opinion thereon.	
Offi-	
Just 1	
	emr HDRCHA
Report of Audit	or and Master
Bill for divorce a vinculo matri	monii, filed by the husband against
his wife on the ground of abandonment	
Defendant summoned but failed to	
Plaintiff's residence in Baltimo	re City for more than two years prior
to the filing of the bill proved.	
The marriage proved.	
Abandonment uninterruptedly for	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
irreconcilability of the parties prov	ed.
Case made for awarding the guard	ianship and custody of the minor
child of the parties to the plaintiff	, and charging said plaintiff with
the maintenance and support of said c	hild.
Decree pro confesso was passed a	gainst the defendant and more than
thirty days have since elapsed.	
	William P. Lyons
	Auditor and Master.
September 15, 1927.	

CIRCUIT COURT

B 491

1926

No.

Docket

FREDERICK SIMPSON

VS.

VICTORIA SIMPSON

secorded

Folio 3/2 1928

Decree of Divorce

B No. 3/19/

Fd 20 Wec 1928

The within is a proper decree to be passed in this case.

William P. Lyons Auditor and Master.

FREDERICK SIMPSON	Circuit Court
vs.	OF BALTIMORE CITY,
VICTORIA SIMPSON	Movember Term, 192 8
read and considered	of, A. D. 192
FREDERICK SIMPSON	DIVORCED A VINCULO MATRIMONII from the
AND it is further ordered that FREDERICK SIMPSON JR., minor child hereby awarded to the Complainant, plainant be and he is hereby charge of said child, subject to the furth	FREDERICK SIMPSON, and said Com-
And it is Further Ordered, That the said pay the cost of this proceeding.	Complainant, FREDERICK SIMPSON