

Dis

166 767

IN THE BALTIMORE CITY <sup>57</sup>

<sup>522</sup> COURT <sup>222</sup>

<sup>222</sup> BOX 1247 <sup>17</sup>

G.S. SEABROOK

BOX 1247 vs. <sup>1247</sup>

BALTIMORE TRANSIT COMPANY  
a body corporate

*Continental Bldg.*

DECLARATION

Mr. Clerk: - <sup>1247</sup>

Please file.

*Davis & Evans*  
ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

DAVIS & EVANS,

~~ATTORNEYS AT LAW~~  
ATTORNEY AT LAW  
215 SAINT PAUL PLACE  
BALTIMORE, MD.

FILED JUN 3 1925

BAUMGARTEN & CO., INC.

3/32/22

G.S. SEABROOK

:

IN THE

VS.

:

BALTIMORE TRANSIT COMPANY,  
a corporation

:

BALTIMORE CITY COURT

---

G.S. Seabrook by Davis and Evans, his attorneys, sues the Baltimore Transit Company, a body corporate.

For that the Baltimore Transit Company is and was at the time of the commission of the wrong hereinafter complained of, a corporation duly incorporated under the laws of the State of Maryland and engaged as a public carrier for the transportation of passengers by means of motor buses over the streets of Baltimore City.

That on or about the 12th day of July, 1924 ~~the~~ plaintiff was denied the full and equal privilege of the accomodation and advantages offered by the Baltimore Transit Company; the defendant by its servants, agents or employees, having refused to allow him to take passage on one of the defendant's buses, to wit: bus No. 104 at Charles and Baltimore Streets in Baltimore City, because of his color, though the plaintiff was willing to and able to comply with all the lawful and reasonable rules and regulations of the said Transit Company, and was ready and willing to pay his fare to the then servant, agent or employee of the defendant in charge of said bus, who refused it and then and there ordered him off the bus. And that he was forced to and did get off the motor bus under order of the servant in charge. And as a result thereof the plaintiff suffered great damages, embarrassment and humiliation.

WHEREFORE the plaintiff claims \$5,000.00 damages.

*Davis & Evans*  
ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

G.S. SEABROOK

:

IN THE

VS.

BALTIMORE TRANSIT COMPANY  
a corporation

:

BALTIMORE CITY COURT

The plaintiff elects to have this case tried before a  
Jury and prays leave of Court to do so.

*Davis Evans*  
ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

TO THE DEFENDANT:

TAKE NOTICE: That on the day of your appearance to this  
action in the Baltimore City Court, a rule will be entered re-  
quiring you to plead to the above declaration within the time  
required by law.

*Davis Evans*  
ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF.

\*\*\*\*\* 267 \*\*\*\*\*

166 June  
In the

Baltimore City Court

\*\*\*\*\*

G. S. Seabrook

v.

Baltimore Transit Company,  
a body corporate.

\*\*\*\*\*

P L E A.

\*\*\*\*\*

Mr. Clerk:

Please file.

J. Pembroke Thron  
Attorney for Defendant.

\*\*\*\*\*

✓ FILED JUN 11 1925

DELRANDSHIRE PAWEN  
D.C. W.

DELRANDSHIRE PAWEN

G. S. Seabrook

\*

In the

vs.

\*

Baltimore Transit Company,  
a body corporate.

\*

Baltimore City Court

\*

---

The Defendant, Baltimore Transit Company, a body  
corporate, by J. Pembroke Thom, its Attorney, for a Plea  
to the Plaintiff's Declaration, says:

That it did not commit the wrongs alleged.

J. Pembroke Thom

Attorney for Defendant.

P-128

$\frac{767}{57}$

No. I66 June R. D. 1925

# Baltimore City Court.

G.S. Seabrook

vs.

Baltimore Transit  
Company.

## WRIT OF SUMMONS

*with copy of writ*

Cop *y* of Nar and Notice to plead  
within to be served on defendant.

Davis and Evans

Attorney for Plaintiff

FILED JUN 10 1925

Filed \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_ 192

2

STATE OF MARYLAND

BALTIMORE CITY, to wit.

To the Sheriff of Baltimore City, Greeting:

You are commanded to summon.....

Baltimore Transit Company, a corporation

of Baltimore City, to appear before the Baltimore City Court, to be held at the Court House in the same city, on the second Monday of June inst. ~~next~~, to answer an action at the suit of G.D. Seabrook

and have you then and there this writ.

Witness the Honorable JAMES P. GORTER, Chief Judge of the Supreme Bench of Baltimore City, the 11th day of May 1925

Issued the 3rd day of June in the year 1925

Geo. Carey Lindsay Clerk.

# SHERIFF'S RETURN

---

SUMMONED THE UNITED RAILWAYS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY OF BALTIMORE, A CORPORATION, BY SERVICE ON <sup>Edward Early Assistant</sup> ~~JOHN T. STAUB~~, TREASURER, AND A COPY OF NAR AND NOTICE TO PLEAD WITH A COPY OF THE PROCESS LEFT WITH SAID <sup>Assistant</sup> TREASURER.

Fees \$1.20

(Budacz)  
6/6/25

John E. Potee  
SHERIFF



592

Sept 29 / 29

Deabrook

1/2

United Republics

—————

**Baltimore City Court.**

**ROOM 226**

Sept. 1925

The Sheriff will please summon the following witnesses:

Returnable on Tuesday the 29<sup>th</sup> day of Sept  
1925, at 10 o'clock A. M.

Form A-139 2-12-23 1000

#522

G. S. Seabrooke

vs.

**The United Railways & Electric Co.**  
of Baltimore

In the

BALTIMORE CITY COURT #3

Mr. Clerk,—

Please have summoned the below mentioned witnesses to testify for the  
defendant in the above entitled case, returnable **Tuesday, September 29, 1925,** at  
10 A.M.

4  
Now Est.  
John J. Hendstadt 1531 N. Caroline Street

B. Lee Thigbys  
Attorney for defendant.

522

8/25

Seabrooke

✓

United Reps

✓

Baltimore City Court.

ROOM 226

Sept 1925

The Sheriff will please summon the following witnesses:

Returnable on Thursday the 8<sup>th</sup> day of Oct  
1925, at 10 o'clock A. M.

G. S. Seabrook

vs.

Baltimore Transit  
Co

Baltimore City Court.

Sept

Term 1925

No. 522

Trials

MR. LINDSAY, Clerk,

Please issue summons for the following witnesses:

3 G. S. Seabrook 1430 Orleans St

to testify for the Plaintiff  
Returnable Thursday the 8<sup>th</sup> day of Oct  
1925 at 10 o'clock A. M.

Davis E. Brown  
Attorney for plaintiff

522 Oct 8 / 25

Seabrooke

to

United Reps

**Baltimore City Court.**

**ROOM 226**

Sept. 1925

The Sheriff will please summon the following witnesses:

Returnable on Thursday the 8<sup>th</sup> day of October  
1925, at 10 o'clock A. M.

Form A-139 2-12-23 1000

D 522

G. S. SEABROOKE

vs.

**The United Railways & Electric Co.**  
of Baltimore

In the

BALTIMORE CITY COURT #3

Mr. Clerk,—

Please have summoned the below mentioned witnesses to testify for the defendant in the above entitled case, returnable Thursday, October 8, 1925, at 10 A.M.

3 W J  
John J. Henstadt

131 N. Caroline Street

R. Lee Shigley  
Attorney for defendant.

599 / Oct 9 / 25

Seabrooke

→  
12

United Ry. Co.

—||—

Baltimore City Court.

ROOM 226

The Sheriff will please summon the following witnesses:

Returnable on Friday the 9<sup>th</sup> day of October 1925, at 10 o'clock A. M.

Form A-139 2-12-23 1000

*# 522*

G. S. SEABROOKE

vs.

The United Railways & Electric Co.  
of Baltimore

In the

BALTIMORE CITY COURT #3

Mr. Clerk,—

Please have summoned the below mentioned witnesses to testify for the defendant in the above entitled case, returnable **Friday, October 9, 1925, at 10 A.M.**

*sd*  
4 John J. Henstadt

1531 N. Caroline Street

AND

Eastern Rolling Mills,  
Sewer Road.  
Back River

*7 SP*

*R. Lee Shiffney*  
Attorney for defendant.



1247

767  
57

In The  
Baltimore City Court

G. S. Seabrook

vs.

The Baltimore Transit Company

-----  
DISMISSAL.  
-----

Mr. Clerk:-

Please file.

*J. Pembroke Thom*  
Attorney for Defendant

OCT 22 1925

G. S. SEABROOK

vs.

THE BALTIMORE  
TRANSIT COMPANY

IN THE

BALTIMORE CITY COURT

.....

Mr. Clerk:-

Please enter the above entitled case Dismissed  
upon payment of costs by the Defendant.

G. S. Seabrook  
Plaintiff

J. Pembroke Thom  
Attorney for Defendant.

Davis & Evans  
Attorneys for Plaintiff.