IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALTIMORE CITY

EUGENE SATTERFIELD

VS.

ROBERTA SATTERFIELD 304 N. Bruce St.

BILL FOR DIVORCE

Mr.Clerk:-

Please file.

EUGENE SATTERFIELD

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

VS.

•

OF

ROBERTA SATTERFIELD

BALTIMORE CITY.

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:
Your Orator complaining respectfully represents:

(I)

That he was married to his wife, Roberta Satterfield, on or about the 18th day of December, 1917 and with whom he resided until about the 11th day of April, 1919, when the defendant deserted the plaintiff.

(2)

That though the conduct of your Orator toward the said Roberta Satterfield has always been kind, affectionate and above reproach, she has without any just cause or reason abandoned and deserted him and has declared her intentions to live with him no longer, and that such abandonment has continued uninterruptedly for more than three years and is deliberate and final, and the separation of the parties is beyond any reasonable expectation of reconciliation.

(3)

That your Orator has not lived or co-habited with the said defendant since said desertion.

(4)

That there are no children as Essue of said marriage.

(5)

That both your Orator and the defendant are citizens of the State of Maryland, having resided in Baltimore City for more than three years prior to the filing of this Bill of Complaint.

TO THE END, THEREFORE:

- (a) That your Orator may be divorced a vinculo matrimonii from the said defendant, Roberta Satterfield.
- (b) That he may have such other and further relief as his case may require.

May it please your Honor to grant unto your Orator the Writ of Subpoens directed against the said Roberta Satterfield: commanding and requiring her to be and appear in this Court on some day certain to be named therein to answer the premises and abide by and perform such decree or order as may be passed therein.

AND as in duty bound, etc.

Steward Soirs Solicitor for complainant.

Ct. Ct. Docket No. SUBPOENA TO ANSWER BILL OF COMPLAINT

EQUITY SUBPOENA

The State of Maryland

Tn

of Baltimore City, Greeting:

WE COMMAND AND ENJOIN YOU, That all excyses set aside, you do within the time limited by law beginning on the second Monday of Wehlerules, cause an appearance to be entered for you and your answer to be filed to the complaint of

Noberta Satterfield 304 A. Bruce Il

Ougene Satterfield against you exhibited in the Circuit Court of Baltimore City,

HEREOF fail not, as you will answer the contrary at your peril:

WITNESS, the Honorable JAMES P. FORTER, Chief Judge of the Supreme Bench of

Baltimore City, the

Clerk.

Issued the

MEMORANDUM: You are required to file your answer or other defense in the Clerk's Office, room 206, in the Court House, Baltimore City, within fifteen days after return day.

(General Equity Rules 11.)

Ct. Ct.

Docket No.

SUBPOENA TO ANSWER BILL OF COMPLAINT

No.

Filed..... 192

SOLICITOR

EQUITY SUBPOENA

The State of Maryland

Un

Roberta Satterfield 304 D. Bruce St.

of Baltimore City, Greeting:

WE COMMAND AND ENJOIN YOU, That all expuses set aside, you do within the time limited by law beginning on the second Monday of Defiterable cause an appearance to be entered for you and your answer to be filed to the complaint of

against you exhibited in the Circuit Court of Baltimore City,

HEREOF fail not, as you will answer the contrary at your peril:

WITNESS, the Honorable JAMES P. GORTER, Chief Judge of the Supreme Bench of

Baltimore City, the day of day of left 1923

Issued the 24" day of Cusquell, in the year 1923.

Clerk.

MEMORANDUM: You are required to file your answer or other defense in the Clerk's Office, room 206, in the Court House, Baltimore City, within fifteen days after return day.

(General Equity Rules 11.)

10

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

VS.

ROBERTA SATTERFIELD

ORDER OF PUBLICATION

Mr.Clerk:-

Please file.

J. Steward Davis

J. STEWARD DAVIS

BAUMGARTEN & CO., INC.

J'.STEWARD DAVIS.SOLICITOR 215 ST.PAUL PLACE

Ongma IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALTIMORE CITY EUGENE SATTERFIELD VS. ROBERTA SATTERFIELD ORDER OF PUBLICATION

The object of this bill is to procure a Divorce a Vinculo Matrimonii by the plaintiff from the defendant.

The bill recites that the parties were married on or about Dec. 18, 1917 and lived together until about April II, 1919, when the defendant deserted the plaintiff. That there are no children as issue of said marriage; that the conduct of the plaintiff toward the said defendant has always been kind, affectionate and above reproach, that the defendant without any just cause or reason ahandoned and deserted the plaintiff and declared her intentions to live with him no longer; said abandonment has continued uninterruptedly for more than three years and is deliberate and final; that there is no hope or expectation of reconciliation. That the plaintiff has been a citizen of the State of Maryland for more than three years prior to the filing of this bill of complaint, that the defendant is a resident but two summons have been directed against said defendant at her last known residence, 304 N.Bruce St. and were returned non est.

It is thereupon this 10 day of Mclule 1923 ordered by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City that the plaintiff by causing a copy of this order to be published in Baltimore City in each of four successive weeks in some daily newspaper before the //day of Jaumau 1924 giving notice to the absent defendant of the object and substance of this bill warning her to be and appear in this Court in person or by Solicitor on or before the 2 day of amay 1924 to show cause, if any she may have, why a decree should not be passed as prayed.

Sine Coly Text

d1118,25 ga