

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
No 2
BALTIMORE CITY.

B 557
1926

THOMAS ROBINSON

VS.

MAGGIE ROBINSON

{ 1918 Kenneman
{ 1819

BILL FOR DIVORCE.

Mr. Clerk:-

Please file.

No 25825 B

Davis Evans
ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

J. STEWARD DAVIS
ATTORNEY AT LAW
215 SAINT PAUL PLACE
BALTIMORE, MD.

Filed 1st October 1926

THOMAS ROBINSON : IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

No 2

VS. : OF

MAGGIE ROBINSON : BALTIMORE CITY.

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Your Orator complaining respectfully represents:

1. That he was married to his wife, Maggie Robinson, on or about the 14th day of October, 1905 in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania and with whom he resided until about the 7th day of August 1909 when the defendant deserted the plaintiff.
2. That though the conduct of your Orator toward the said Maggie Robinson has always been kind, affectionate and above reproach, she has, without any just cause or reason, abandoned and deserted him and has declared her intentions to live with him no longer, and that such abandonment has continued uninterruptedly for more than three years and is deliberate and final and the separation of the parties is beyond any reasonable expectation of reconciliation.
3. That your Orator has not lived or co-habited with the said defendant since said desertion.
4. That there is one child, Reginald Robinson, age 15 years born as issue of said marriage.
5. That your Orator is a ~~resident~~ resident of the State of New York but that the defendant is a ~~resident~~ resident of the State of Maryland, having resided here for more than three years prior to the filing of this bill of complaint.

TO THE END, THEREFORE:

(a) That your Orator may be divorced A Vinculo Matrimonii from the said Maggie Robinson.

(b) That he may have such other and further relief as his case may require.

May it please your Honor to grant unto your Orator the Writ of Subpoena directed against the said Maggie Robinson, commanding and requiring her to be and appear in this Court on some day certain to be named therein to answer the premises and abide by and perform such decree or order as may be passed therein.

Davis Evans

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF.

P36

Ct. Ct. No. 2

559
1925

B

Docket No. 34

Robinson

vs.

Robinson

10/19 or 19/10 ~~Henneman Ave~~

Subpoena to Answer Bill of Complaint

PD

No. 25825.B
213

Copies = copied

4 H Hayes Dec 1925

Filed 13th October, 1925

Davis and Evans

Solicitor.

6

Wm & al -
(McKinley)

John E. Poler
Sheriff

19th October 1925

REISSUED TO ~~Parent~~ Return Day, 1925

John P. Keascent
CLERK

Summoned and a copy of the Process left with
the defendant.

(McKinley)
10/29/25

Fee \$0.80

John E. Poler
Sheriff

EQUITY SUBPOENA

The State of Maryland

To

Maggie Robinson
1819 or 1918 Kennemans Ave

of Baltimore City, Greeting:

WE COMMAND AND ENJOIN YOU, That all excuses set aside, you do within the time limited by law, beginning on the second Monday of October, next, cause an appearance to be entered for you, and your Answer to be filed to the Complaint of

Thomas Robinson

against you exhibited in the CIRCUIT COURT NO. 2 OF BALTIMORE CITY.

HEREOF fail not, as you will answer the contrary at your peril:

WITNESS, the Honorable JAMES P. GORTER, Chief Judge of the Supreme Bench of Baltimore City, the 14th day of September, 1925
Issued the 1st day of October, in the year 1925

John Keasants

Clerk.

MEMORANDUM:

You are required to file your Answer or other defence in the Clerk's Office, Room No. 235, in the Court House, Baltimore City, within fifteen days after the return day. (General Equity Rule 11.)

Ct. Ct. No.2

559

192 5

Docket B 34

Thomas Robinson

vs.

Maggie Robinson

Decree Pro Confesso

Sapp

No. 25825B

et.

Filed *Dec 11* 192 *5*

]DECREE PRO CONFESSO.[

IN THE

Thomas Robinson

Circuit Court No. 2

vs.

OF

Maggie Robinson

BALTIMORE CITY

November Term, 192*5*

The Defendant *Maggie Robinson* having been duly summoned (~~notified by Order of Publication~~) to appear to the Bill of Complaint and having failed to appear thereto, according to the exigency of the writ (~~said Order~~)

It is thereupon this day *9th* day of *December* in the year nineteen hundred and *twenty five* by the Circuit Court No. 2 of Baltimore City, ADJUDGED ORDERED and DECREED, that the complainant is entitled to relief in the premises, and that the Bill of Complaint be and is hereby taken pro confesso against said defendant *Maggie Robinson*

But because it doth not certainly appear to what relief the plaintiff is entitled, it is further ADJUDGED and ORDERED, that one of the Examiners of this Court take testimony to support the allegations of the Bill.

James P. Gorter

Doc. B $\frac{559}{1925}$

In the Circuit Court, *no. 2,*
OF BALTIMORE CITY

DEPOSITIONS

Thomas Robinson

vs.

Maggie Robinson

No. 25825B
(5)

PLAINTIFF'S COSTS

Examiners..... \$.....

Copies.....

Sheriff.....

Stenographer.....

\$ _____

DEFENDANT'S COSTS

Examiners..... \$.....

Copies.....

Sheriff.....

Stenographer.....

\$ _____

Ad 29 January 1926

926

519/212

Thomas Robinson

vs.

Maggie Robinson

In the Circuit Court No. 2.

OF BALTIMORE CITY.

Dece. Pro Confess having
 been passed in said cause
 and notice having been given me by the Solicitor for the Plaintiff
 of a desire to take testimony in the same, I, A. de RUSSY SAPPINGTON, one
 of the Standing Examiners of the Circuit Courts of Baltimore City, under and by
 viture of an order of the above named Circuit Court, passed in said cause on the
 ninth day of December 1925, met on
 the twenty-first day of December in the year nineteen
 hundred and twenty-five at my office, in the city of Baltimore, in the State
 of Maryland, and assigned the twenty-second day of December
 in the same year at two-thirty o'clock in the afternoon and the
 office of Ade Sappington, Esq. in the City and State
 aforesaid, as the time and place for such examination of witnesses in said cause;
 at which last mentioned time and place I attended, due notice of such meeting,
 having been given, and proceeded in the presence of the Solicitor of the
 Plaintiff to take the following depositions, that
 is to say:—

8-4-12

THOMAS ROBINSON

VS.

MAGGIE ROBINSON.

Testimony taken before me, A. DeRussy
Sappington, Examiner, at my offices in the Title
Building, Baltimore, Maryland, on December 22,
1925, at 2.30 o'clock in the afternoon.

--

Thereupon---

THOMAS ROBINSON,

the plaintiff, of lawful age, produced on his
own behalf, having been first duly sworn according
to law, was examined and testified as follows:

By the Examiner:

Q Please state your name, residence and
occupation?

A Thomas Robinson, 140 West 142nd Street,
New York City, chauffeur.

Q Do you know the parties to this suit?

A Yes, I am the plaintiff and the defendant is my wife.

By Mr. Davis:

Q When, where and by whom were you married?

A In Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, on the 14th of October, 1905 by a Minister of the Gospel; I have forgotten his name.

Q Did you and your wife live together after the marriage?

A Yes, sir.

Q Are you living together now?

A No, sir.

Q Which one left the other, and when?

A She left me on the 7th of August, 1909.

Q Where were you living at the time?

A On Colvin Street, Baltimore, Maryland.

Q State what happened on the day of the separation?

A I wanted to take her to my home in New York and she refused to go. I left Baltimore with the idea she was to follow me, and I sent her the

money and wrote to her, and she refused to come.

Q Have you and your wife lived or cohabited together since the 7th of August, 1909?

A No, sir.

Q Is this separation deliberate and final?

A Yes, sir.

Q Any hope of reconciliation?

A No, sir.

Q Has your wife, the defendant, been a resident of the City of Baltimore, State of Maryland for more than two years prior to the filing of this bill?

A Yes, sir, longer than that.

Q Any children living as result of your marriage?

A One boy, Reginald, fifteen years old.

Q Who has the custody of the child?

A His grandmother; my wife's mother.

Q Are you satisfied with that arrangement?

A Yes, sir, I am.

Q Now, were you always a kind, affectionate

and faithful husband towards your wife?

A I was. And I always provided for my wife and I wanted to continue but she refused to come with me.

Q Has the separation between you continued uninterruptedly for more than three years prior to the filing of this bill of complaint?

A Yes, sir, ever since the 7th of August, 1909.

GENERAL QUESTION

Do you know or can you state any other matter or thing that may be to the benefit or advantage of the parties to this suit or either of them, or that may be material to the subject of this, your examination, or the matters in question between the parties? If so state the same fully and at large in your answer?

A No

Thomas Robinson

Thereupon ---

GEORGE CORPORAL,

a witness of lawful age, produced on behalf of the plaintiff, having been first duly sworn according to law, was examined and testified as follows:

By the Examiner:

Q State your name, residence and occupation?

A George Corporal, 1122 May Street, Baltimore; laboring.

Q Do you know the parties to this suit?

A Yes, I do.

By Mr. Davis:

Q Do you remember when they were married and lived together as man and wife?

A Yes, sir.

Q Were they known and recognized in the community in which they lived as husband and wife?

A Yes.

Q Are they living together now?

A No, sir.

George Corporal

8

Q Did you visit them while they did live together?

A Yes, sir, I did.

Q Which one left the other, and when was it, if you know?

A On the 7th day of August, 1909 he wanted to take her to his place in New York and she refused to go.

Q Have you ever seen her since the separation?

A Yes, two or three times, and she said she didn't want him any more.

Q Has this separation continued uninterruptedly for more than three years prior to the filing of this bill?

A Yes, ever since August 7, 1909.

Q Is the separation deliberate and final and beyond any reasonable hope or expectation of reconciliation?

A Yes, sir, it is.

George Corporal

9

Q Has the defendant, Mrs. Robinson, been a resident of the City of Baltimore, State of Maryland for more than two years prior to the filing of this bill?

A Yes, five years.

Q Now, was Mr. Robinson at all times a kind, affectionate and faithful husband?

A Yes, he certainly was. He provided for her and did everything he could do for her.

Q Any children as result of the marriage?

A One Reginald, fifteen years, and he is with his grandmother.

GENERAL QUESTION

Do you know or can you state any other matter or thing that may be to the benefit or advantage of the parties to this suit, or either of them, or that may be material to the subject of this, your examination, or the matters in question between the parties? If so, state the same fully and at large in your answer.

A.---

No Geo Corporal

No other witnesses being named or produced before me, I then, at the request of the Solicitor.....of the.....*Plaintiff*.....closed the depositions taken in said cause and now return them closed under my hand and seal, on this.....*29th*.....day of *January*.....in the year of Our Lord nineteen hundred and.....*Twenty-five*.....at the City of Baltimore, in the State of Maryland.

A. de Russy Sappington (SEAL).
Examiner.

There are.....*no*.....Exhibits with these depositions, to wit:
Plaintiff's..... Exhibit.....*/*.....

Defendant's..... Exhibit.....*/*.....

A. de Russy Sappington
Examiner.

I, A. de RUSSY SAPPINGTON, the Examiner before whom the foregoing depositions were taken, do hereby certify that I was employed in assigning a day, and taking the said depositions upon.....*two*.....days, on.....*both*.....of which I was employed by the Plaintiff....., and on.....*none*.....by the Defendant.....

A. de Russy Sappington
Examiner.

Ct. Ct. No. 2

559
192

34 B
Docket No.

Robinson

vs.

Robinson

Order of Reference
and Report

Coq

No. 21582 S. B.
6

Order Filed 9th day of *Sept* 1926
Report Filed 12th day of *March* 1926

IN THE
Circuit Court No. 2

OF
BALTIMORE CITY

Thomas Robinson

vs.

Maggie Robinson

January Term, 1926

This case being submitted, without argument, it is ordered by the Court, this *9th*
day of *February*, 1926, that the same be and is hereby referred to
Ward B. Coe, Esq., Auditor and Master, to report the
pleadings and the facts, and his opinion thereon.

James P. Gorter

Report of Auditor and Master

Bill for divorce a vinculo matrimonii filed by the husband against
his wife on the ground of abandonment. Code Art. 16 secs. 37-42.

Defendant summoned.

Defendant's residence in Baltimore City for more than two years
proven.

The marriage proven.

The abandonment for three years, its finality and the irrecon-
cilability of the parties proven.

A decree pro confesso was passed against the defendant and more
than thirty days have since elapsed.

Case ready for decree.

Ward B. Coe

Auditor and Master.

February 10, 1926.

The within is a proper decree
to be passed in this case.

Ward B. Cox

Auditor and Master.

Circuit Court No. 2

B 559 No. Docket
1925

THOMAS ROBINSON

vs.

MAGGIE ROBINSON

DECREE OF DIVORCE

PS

No. *25825B*

8

copy - copied

Filed *24 March*, 1926

