

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF

BALTIMORE CITY.

*B 33
1926.*

NETTIE RIDEOUT

VS.

WILLIAM RIDEOUT

BILL FOR DIVORCE.

** Order of Publication*

Mr. Clerk:-

Please file.

Davis & Evans

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF.

*B 30325
17 (2)*

J. STEWARD DAVIS

ATTORNEY AT LAW

215 SAINT PAUL PLACE

BALTIMORE, MD.

*Feb. 20 Jan 1926
Jan 1926*

NETTIE RIDEOUT : IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

VS. : OF

WILLIAM RIDEOUT : BALTIMORE CITY.

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Your Oratrix complaining respectfully represents:

1. That she was married to her husband, William Rideout on the 28th day of July, 1921 and with whom she resided until about the 15th day of January, 1925, when the defendant deserted the plaintiff.
2. That ever since said marriage your Oratrix has behaved herself as a faithful, chaste and affectionate wife toward the said William Rideout.
3. That the said James Rideout has on divers days and times since said marriage, committed the crime of adultery with divers, lewd and abandoned women, whose names are unknown to your Oratrix and said offense has not been condoned by your Oratrix.
4. That your Oratrix has not lived or co-habited with the said defendant since she discovered said adulteries.
5. That there are no children born as result of said marriage.
6. That your Oratrix is a citizen of the State of Maryland having resided in Baltimore City for more than three years prior to the filing of this Bill of Complaint, but that the defendant is a non-resident of the State of Maryland.

TO THE END, THEREFORE:

(a) That your Oratrix may be divorced A Vinculo Matrimonii from the said William Rideout.

(b) That she may resume her maiden name.

(c) That she may have such other and further relief as her case may require.

May it please your Honor to grant unto your Oratrix the Order of Publication directed against the said William Rideout, a non-resident of the State of Maryland, commanding and requiring him to be and appear in this Court on some day certain to be named therein to answer the premises and abide by and perform such decree or order as may be passed therein.

AND as in duty bound, etc.


ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF.

DAVIS & EVANS, SOLICITORS

215 ST. PAUL PLACE

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALTIMORE CITY

NETTIE RIDEOUT VS. WILLIAM RIDEOUT

ORDER OF PUBLICATION

The object of this Bill is to procure a divorce A Vinculo Matrimonii by the plaintiff from the defendant.

The Bill recites that the parties were married on the 28th day of July, 1921 and lived together as man and wife until on or about the 15th day of January, 1925 when the defendant deserted the plaintiff. That ever since said marriage your Oratrix has behaved herself as a faithful, chaste and affectionate wife towards the said defendant; that the said defendant has on divers days and times since said marriage, committed the crime of adultery with divers, lewd and abandoned women, whose names are unknown to your Oratrix and said offense has not been condoned by your Oratrix. That your Oratrix has not lived or co-habited with the said defendant since she discovered said adulteries. That there are no children born as issue of said marriage. That your Oratrix is a citizen of the State of Maryland but that the defendant is a non-resident of the State of Maryland.

It is thereupon ordered by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City, this 21st day of *January* 1926, that the plaintiff by causing a copy of this order to be inserted in some daily newspaper published in Baltimore City, once a week for four successive weeks, before the 21 day of *February* 1926, give notice to the absent defendant of the object and substance of this Bill, warning him to be and appear in this Honorable Court, in person or by Solicitor, on or before the 9 day of *March* 1926, to show cause, if any he may have why a decree should not be passed as prayed.

James P. Gorter

192....

Docket No.

33

1926

Rideout

vs.

Rideout

Certificate of Publication

B303251
(3)

THE DAILY RECORD

Filed 16 day of April 1926.

THE DAILY RECORD

Baltimore, FEB 19 1926, 1926

Davis & Evans, Solicitors,
215 St Paul Place
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALTI-
MORE CITY — (B — 33 — 1926) — Nettie
Rideout vs William Rideout

ORDER OF PUBLICATION
The object of this bill is to procure a
divorce a vinculo matrimonii by the plain-
tiff from the defendant

The bill recites that the parties were
married on the 28th day of July, 1921,
and lived together as man and wife until
on or about the 15th day of January, 1925,
when the defendant deserted the plain-
tiff That ever since said marriage your
oratrix has behaved herself as a faithful,
chaste and affectionate wife towards the
said defendant, that the said defendant has
on divers days and times since said mar-
riage, committed the crime of adultery
with divers lewd and abandoned women,
whose names are unknown to your oratri-
x and said offense has not been con-
doned by your oratrix That your oratri-
x has not lived or cohabited with the
said defendant since she discovered said
adulteries That there are no children
born as issue of said marriage That your
oratrix is a citizen of the State of Mary-
land but that the defendant is a non-resi-
dent of the State of Maryland

It is thereupon ordered by the Circuit
Court of Baltimore City, this 21st day of
January, 1926, that the plaintiff by caus-
ing a copy of this order to be inserted in
some daily newspaper published in Balti-
more City, once a week for four succes-
sive weeks, before the 23rd day of Febru-
ary, 1926 give notice to the absent de-
fendant of the object and substance of this
bill, warning him to be and appear in this
honorable Court, in person or by solici-
tor, on or before the 9th day of March,
1926, to show cause if any he may have,
why a decree should not be passed as
prayed

JAMES P GORTER

True Copy—Test
CHAS R WHITEFORD,
ja22,29f5,12 Clerk.

We hereby certify, that the annexed advertise-
ment of Order Publication Circuit Court

of Baltimore City, Case of Nettie Rideout
William Rideout
vs.

was published in THE DAILY RECORD, a daily news-
paper published in the City of Baltimore, once in each of

four successive weeks before the
23rd day of February, 1926

First insertion Jan. 22nd, 1926

THE DAILY RECORD

Per [Signature]

33

1926

Ct. Ct.

Docket

B66

Nethe Rideout

vs.

William Rideout

Decree Pro Confesso.

Qapp.

B

No.

30325

47

Filed

16 April

1926

Nettie Ridout.

vs.

William Ridout

IN THE
Circuit Court

OF
BALTIMORE CITY.

Mar

Term, 1926

The Defendant having been duly ~~summoned~~ (notified by Order of Publication) to appear to the Bill of Complaint, and having failed to appear thereto, according to the exigency of the writ, (said Order).

It is thereupon this *16th* day of *April* in the year nineteen hundred and twenty *six* by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City, ADJUDGED, ORDERED and DECREED, that the complainant is entitled to relief in the premises, and that the bill of Complaint be and is hereby taken pro confesso against the defendant. But because it doth not certainly appear to what relief the Plaintiff is entitled, it is further *Adjudged*, and *Ordered*, that one of the Examiners of this Court, take testimony to support the allegations of the bill.

James P. Gorton

Doc. B $\frac{33}{1926}$

472/79

In the Circuit Court,
OF BALTIMORE CITY

DEPOSITIONS

Nettie Rideout

vs.

William Rideout

No. 30325B
57

PLAINTIFF'S COSTS

Examiners..... \$.....
Copies.....
Sheriff.....
Stenographer.....
\$ _____

DEFENDANT'S COSTS

Examiners..... \$.....
Copies.....
Sheriff.....
Stenographer.....
\$ _____

Fd 10 June 1926

Nelle Rideout

.....

vs.

William Rideout

.....

In the Circuit Court {

OF BALTIMORE CITY.

A Decree Pro Confesso having been passed in said cause and notice having been given me by the Solicitor for the *plaintiff* of a desire to take testimony in the same, I, A. de RUSSY SAPPINGTON, one of the Standing Examiners of the Circuit Courts of Baltimore City, under and by virtue of an order of the above named Circuit Court, passed in said cause on the *sixteenth* day of *April* 19*26*, met on the *eighth* day of *June* in the year nineteen hundred and *twenty-six* at my office, in the city of Baltimore, in the State of Maryland, and assigned the *ninth* day of *June* in the same year at *three thirty* o'clock in the *after* - noon and the office of *J Stewart Davis Esq* in the City and State aforesaid, as the time and place for such examination of witnesses in said cause; at which last mentioned time and place I attended, due notice of such meeting having been given, and proceeded in the presence of the Solicitor..... of the *plaintiff* to take the following depositions, that is to say:—

8-4-1

2

NETTIE RIDEOUT

VS.

WILLIAM RIDEOUT.

Testimony taken before me, A. deRussy
Sappington, Examiner, at the offices of J. S. Davis,
Esquire, Baltimore, Maryland, on ~~June~~ 9th, 1926, at
3.30 o'clock in the afternoon.

Thereupon---

NETTIE RIDEOUT,
the plaintiff, of lawful age, produced on her own
behalf, having been first duly sworn according to law
was examined and testified as follows:

By the Examiner:

Q State your name, residence and occupation?

A Nettie Rideout, 2125 Druid Hill Avenue,
waitress.

Q Do you know the parties to this suit?

A Yes; I am the plaintiff and the defendant
is my husband.

By Mr. Davis:

Q When, where and by whom were you married?

A In New York City on July 28, 1921,
by a Minister of the Gospel.

Q Are you living with your husband now?

A No, sir.

Q Which left the other and when?

A He left me in January, 1925.

Q Did you give him any cause?

A No, sir.

Q Were you a kind, affectionate and faithful
wife?

A Yes, sir.

Q Have you been a resident of the City of
Baltimore, State of Maryland for more than two years
prior to the filing of this bill?

A Yes, sir.

Q Are there any children as result of your
marriage?

A No, sir.

Q You have alleged that your husband committed
adultery. What, if anything do you know about that?

A When he left he went to New York and sent
his bags to 147 W. 141 Street, New York, which this
Edna Winfrey lived.

Q Who is she?

A She was in Atlantic City and I saw my
husband and her together on several occasions. On

one occasion my sister and I saw my husband and Miss Winfrey go into a House on New York Avenue, in Atlantic City. ' That was in January, 1925.

Q What is the reputation of that house?

A It is a house where you can take women for the purpose of having sexual intercourse with them without being married. The next morning I saw him talking to her and got after him about it and he didn't deny it at all, because I saw him.

Q Have you lived or cohabited with your husband since you found out that he was guilty of adultery with this Edna Winfrey?

A No, sir.

Q Have you forgiven or condoned his offense?

A No, sir. He left in January, 1925 after that and I haven't lived with him since.

Q Where was he living when you last heard of him?

A In New York City.

Q How do you know that?

A A friend of ours got a letter from him and I saw the letter. It was from the same address where he had his bags sent--the address of the woman he left me for.

GENERAL QUESTION

Do you know or can you state any other matter or thing that may be to the benefit or advantage of the parties to this suit, or either of them, or that may be material to the subject of this, your examination, or the matters in question between the parties? If so, state the same fully and at large in your answer.

A. *No*

Nettie Rideout

Thereupon---

LENA ROHOBLT,

a witness of lawful age, produced on behalf of the plaintiff, having been first duly sworn according to law, was examined and testified as follows:

By the EXAMINER:

Q State your name, residence and occupation?

A Lena Rohoblt, 2125 Druid Hill Avenue;
waitress.

Q Do you know the parties to this suit?

A Yes, the plaintiff is my sister.

By Mr. Davis:

Q Were they married and did they live
together at one time as husband and wife?

A Yes, sir.

Q Were they known in the community as husband
and wife?

A Yes, sir.

Q Which left the other and when?

A Her husband left her in January, 1925.

Q Did she give him any just cause?

A No, sir.

Q Was she a kind, affectionate and chaste wife?

A Yes, sir, she was.

Q What caused the separation?

A In January, 1925 I was with my sister in Atlantic City and we saw her husband with Edna Winfrey and they went into a house on New York Avenue.

Q What is the reputation of that house?

A You can go there, take women there for intercourse with them without being married.

Q Did your sister, the plaintiff, ever live or cohabited with her husband after that?

A No, sir. She accused him of it and he didn't deny it, because we saw him the next day outside the house, and he had his bags checked to New York to the address of Edna Winfrey.

Q Have the plaintiff been a resident of the City of Baltimore, State of Maryland for more than two years prior to the filing of this bill?

A Yes, sir.

Q Is the defendant a resident of Maryland?

A No, sir.

Q Where was he when you last heard of him?

A In New York City. A friend of my sister's got a letter from him in New York, from Edna Winfrey's address, saying that he was living there.

Q Has the plaintiff lived or cohabited with her husband since she found out that he committed adultery?

A No, sir.

Q Has she forgiven or condoned his offense?

A She has not, no, sir.

GENERAL QUESTION

Do you know or can you state any other matter or thing that may be to the benefit or advantage of the parties to this suit, or either of them, or that may be material to the subject of this, your examination, or the matters in question between the parties? If so, state the same fully and at large in your answer.

A.--- No

Lena Roholt

No other witnesses being named or produced before me, I then, at the request of the Solicitor.....of the plaintiff.....closed the depositions taken in said cause and now return them closed under my hand and seal, on this tenth.....day of June.....in the year of Our Lord nineteen hundred and twenty-six.....at the City of Baltimore, in the State of Maryland.

A. de Russy Sappington (SEAL).
Examiner.

There are two.....Exhibits with these depositions, to wit:
Plaintiff's..... Exhibit \.....

Defendant's..... Exhibit \.....

A. de Russy Sappington
Examiner.

I, A. de RUSSY SAPPINGTON, the Examiner before whom the foregoing depositions were taken, do hereby certify that I was employed in assigning a day, and taking the said depositions upon ten.....days, on both.....of which I was employed by the Plaintiff....., and on none.....by the Defendant.....

A. de Russy Sappington
Examiner.

Circuit Court

33
19 26

Docket No. 1366

Nette Rideout

vs.

William Rideout

**Order of Reference
and Report**

Lyon
Lyon

B. No. 30325
(6)

Order Filed 2 day of Aug 19 26

Report Filed 28 day of Aug 19 26

BRITISH COLUMBIA

OF

Nettie Rideout

vs.

William Rideout

IN THE
Circuit Court

OF
BALTIMORE CITY

July Term, 1926
2nd

This case being submitted, without argument, it is ordered by the Court, this.....
day of *August*, 19*26*, that the same be and it is hereby referred to
William P. Lyons, Esq., Auditor and Master, to report the
pleadings and the facts, and his opinion thereon.

Walter L. Dawkins

Report of Auditor and Master

Bill for divorce a vinculo matrimonii filed by the wife against
her husband on the ground of adultery, Code Art. 16, Sec. 37-42.

Defendant proceeded against as a non-resident and his non-
residence proven.

Plaintiff's residence in Baltimore City for more than two years
prior to the filing of the bill proven.

The marriage proven.

Adultery proven.

Decree pro confesso was passed against the defendant and more
than thirty days have since elapsed.

Case ready for decree.

August 3rd, 1926.

William P. Lyons
Auditor and Master.

CIRCUIT COURT

B 33
1926 No. Docket

NETTIE R IDEOUT

34V81 vs. 47409

WILLIAM RIDEOUT.

Recorded

Folio 760 1926

Decree of Divorce

B No. 30325
(7)

Fd 1" Oct 1926

The within is a proper decree to be passed in this case.

William P. Lyons
Auditor and Master.

Decree of Divorce

IN THE

Circuit Court

OF

BALTIMORE CITY

NETTIE RIDEOUT

VS.

WILLIAM RIDEOUT.

July - - - - Term, 1926.

This cause standing ready for hearing and being duly submitted, the proceedings were by the Court read and considered.

October

It is thereupon, this *1st* day of ~~August~~ A. D. 1926,

by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City, Adjudged, Ordered and Decreed, that the said **Nettie Rideout** - - - - - the above named Complainant be and she is hereby DIVORCED A VINCULO MATRIMONII from the Defendant, **William Rideout**.

And it is further Ordered, That the said **Complainant** - - - - - pay the cost of this proceeding.

critrup