1233 3/32/73 10 69 52 IN THE BALTIMORE CITY COURT BOX NO 1097 LILLIE PUE Mos VS. BRAGER'S, a corporation Mr.Clerk:-Please file. ATYORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF DAVIS & BISHOP ATTORNEYS AT LAW BANNEKER BUILDING 14 E. PLEASANT STREET BALTIMORE, MD. COMMERCIAL PRINTING & STATIONERY CO.

LILLIE PUE

IN THE

vs.

BRAGER'S, a corporation. BALTIMORE CITY COURT

Lillie Pue by her attorney, J. Steward Davis, sues Brager's, a corporation of the City of Baltimore. State of Maryland, for that on or about the 18th day of September, 1922, the said corporation, or its agents or servants did falsely imprison the plaintiff for the larceny of an umbrells, which umbrells the plaintiff had purchased from the defendant corporation; that the plaintiff was forced to go to an office in the building occupied by the defendant corporation, despite the plaintiff's protest: by reason of which the plaintiff has been greatly injured in her credit and reputation and brought into public scandal, infamy and disgrace with and amongst her neighbors; and also the plaintiff has by reason of said premises, suffered great anxiety and pain of body and mind: and that by reason of said premises hath been otherwise greatly damaged and injured; and the plaintiff claims five thousand (\$5000)dollars damages therefore.

•

FOR PLAINTIFF.

IN THE

LILLIE PUE

vs.

BRAGER'S a corporation BALTIMORE CITY COURT.

PLAINT IFF

The plaintiff elects that this case be tried by a jury.

•

1233 No. 69 NOV R. D. 1922 **Baltimore City Court.** Lillie Pre alle vs.Braques, a corp. 61.60 Entaw + Faratoga ろ WRIT OF SUMMONS آم of Nar and=Notice to=plead Cop/ within to be served on defendant. Sewant David Attorney for Plaintiff Filed day of 192

WRIT OF SUMM	NS				· · ·
		STATE	OF MARY	LAND	
	•		BALTIMORE	E CITY, To wit	• •
				eriff of Baltimore	• •
	You are	commanded to	o summon Bra	gere, a cockin	ation of
		(ne City	OF Daltur	Brager - Cop	
		C		Broger - SP	
	······	······································	7		
	•	0		be held at the Court next, to an	
city, on the seco	nd Monday of	nov.		next, to an	swer an action at t
city, on the seco	nd Monday of	nov.		• •	swer an action at t
city, on the seco	nd Monday of	nov.		next, to an	swer an action at t
city, on the seco	nd Monday of	nov. illie Pi		next, to an	swer an action at t
city, on the seco suit of and have you th Witne	nd Monday of	this writ.	. GORTER, Chief J	next, to an	swer an action at t
city, on the seco suit of and have you th Witne City, the	nd Monday of	this writ. day of	. GORTER, Chief J. Sep.	next, to an udge of the Supreme 192 2	swer an action at t Bench of Baltimo
city, on the seco suit of and have you th Witne City, the	nd Monday of	this writ. day of	. GORTER, Chief J. Sep.	udge of the Supreme	swer an action at t Bench of Baltimo
city, on the seco suit of and have you th Witne City, the	nd Monday of	this writ. day of	. GORTER, Chief J. Sep.	next, to an udge of the Supreme 192 2	swer an action at t Bench of Baltimo

•

69 Nor Baltimore City Court. LILLIE PUE ٧S BRAGER'S, a corporation. DEMURRER. Mr. Clerk: Please fil 0 Attorneys for Defendants. 22 مع (il ELEDNOV 4 1922 BARTON, WILMER & BARTON

BARTON, WILMER & BARTO Attorneys at law 207 N. Calvert St. Baltimore, MD. LILLIE PUE

vs

BRAGER'S,

a corporation

IN THE

BALTIMORE CITY COURT.


DEMURRER .

Albert A. Brager and A. Stanley Brager, Co-partners trading as Brager's (said Brager's being not in fact a corporation as alleged in the declaration but a partnership composed as hereinbefore recited) demur to the declaration in this case exhibited against them, and for ground of demurrer say:

That said declaration is insufficient in substance and bad in law.

and de

Attorneys for Defendants.



LILLIE PUE

IN THE

vs

BRAGER'S,

a corporation

BALTIMORE CITY COURT.

Albert A. Brager and A. Stanley Brager, Co-partners trading as Brager's (which is not in fact a corporation, which as used in the declaration is assumed to mean the firm of that name) for pleas say:

:

:

:

:

.

That they did not commit the wrong alleged.

l'aril q

Attorneys for Defendants

\$95

jue


ragers

4

Page ς

.9/

12




No. 19 SUMMONS FOR WITNESS In Baltimore City Court. ROOM 134 Noy Jerm, 192). The Sheriff will please summon the following witnesses : the VI" day of May Monday Returnable on 192 , at 10 o'clock A. M. LILLIE PUE IN THE VS BALTIMORE CITY COURT BRAGERS No. 19 - Judge Stump. ****** MR. CLERK: Please issue summons for the following witnesses to testify on behalf of the Defendant and make the writ returnable Monday, May 21st, 1923, at 10 A.M. Mrs. Lena Nuckolls Ad 707 North Howard Street, 10 Lindsay Pue, A 2020 McCullough Street, 11 Violet Todd, AN c/o The New Howard Hotel, Ida Quarles, & A 10 569 Orchard Street, Mrs. Blanche Newlin, M 2613 Kate Avenue, Mrs. Maud Nichols, WE 512 North Charles Street, 10

Raudoph Barbon Attorney for Defendant.

address nong locate Cannott 19-2 5-3 (Stelly,

The Baltimore City Court VS. Term, You are hereby summoned to attend this Court, on av of 192-1 , at 10 o'clock A. M., to testify for...... in the above named case, and to attend said Court daily until duly discharged. By order of the Court, THOMAS F. McNULTY, Sheriff of Baltimore City Baltimore (Bring this summons with you.) Be punctual in attendance or you will be attached. Deputy No. ROOM 134, COURT HOUSE.



Bragers

Pue

M

) ago 95

No. 19 SUMMONS FOR WITNESS In Baltimore City Court. ROOM 134 lay Jerm, 192 3 The Sheriff will please summon the following witnesses: ____day of May Returnable on 192 , at 10 o'clock A. M.

VS BRAGERS IN THE BALTIMORE CITY COURT

No. 19 - Judge Stump

*

-*

*

*

Mr. Clerk:

Please issue summons for the following witness to testify on behalf of the Defendant and make the writ returnable Tuesday, May 22nd, 1923, at 10. A.M.

10 Mers Mand Micho

512 North Charles Street.

Raudoff Barton

Attorney for Defendant.