In The Circuit Courd Bally of the 137 Austina Tuchle 22 Rufus Parkne Repture for Dunnee Wh Clerk pleasefle B 2 3 3 0 DAVIS & BISHOP

U

(2)

AUSTINA PINKNEY

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT

OF

VS

RUFUS PINKNEY

BALTIMORE CITY.

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGE OF SAID COURT, Your oratrix complaining, respectfully says:-

FIRST, That the parties hereto were married on or about Wife May 16, 1913 and lived together as man and until on or February 20, 1918. Said marriage occured in Baltimore City, and was performed by Rev. Mach.

SECOND, That your oratrix is a resident of the city of Baltimore, State of Maryland and has been for more than two years prior to the filing of this bill of complaint. That the defendant is a non-resident of the State of Maryland and when last heard of was in Pittsburg, Pa.

THIRD, That though the conduct of your oratrix toward her husband has always been kind, affectionate and above reproach, he without any just cause or reason abandoned and deserted your oratrix, and has declared his intentions to live with her no longer; that such abandonment has continued uninterruptedly for more than three years prior to the filing of this bill of complaint and was deliberate and final, and beyond any reasonable hope or expectation of reconciliation.

FOURTH, That your oratrix has never condoned nor forgiven the said desertion, that he has never co-habited with the said respondent since the desertion.

FIFTH, That there are no children born as a result of said marriage.

WHEREFORE YOUR ORATRIX PRAYS: -a- A Divorce A Vinculo Matrimonnii from the respondent. b-b That her maiden name be resumed to Bell. c-c Such other and further relief as the case may require. May it please Your Honor, to grant unto Your Oratrix, an order of publication, setting for th the nature and substance of this Bill and warning the said defendant to be in this Court in person or appear by solicitor on or before a certain day to be therein named and show cause, if any he may have why a decree should not be passed as prayed.

-3-

FOR PLAINTIFF.

J. STEWARD DAVIS, SOLICITOR.

14 E.Pleasant St. IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALTIMORE CITY.

Austina Pinkney verses Rufus Pinkney. ORDER OF PUBLICATION:

The Bill states that the parties thereto were married in(the) Baltimore City, State of Maryland on or about May 16, 1913 and lived together as man and wife until on or about February 20, 1918. Said marriage occured in Baltimore City, and was performed by Rev.Mach. That the plaintiff is a resident of the city of Baltimore, State of Maryland and has been for more than two years prior to the filing of this bill of complaint. That the defendant is a non resident of this city and state and state and when last heard of was in Pittsburgh, Pa. That though the conduct of the plaintiff toward her husband was always kind and affectionate and above reprosch, he without any just cause or reason abandoned and deserted her, and has declared his intentions to live with her no longer.

It is thereupon by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City, ordered this day of 1922; that the plaintiff by causing a copy of this order to be inserted in some daily newspaper, published in the city of Baltimore, once a week for four successive weeks, before the day of 1922; and give notice to the said defendant Rufus Pinkney(now absent) of the object and substance of this bill and warning him to be and appear in this court in person or by solicitor, on or before the day 1922 to show cause if any he may have, why a decree should not be passed as prayed.

anall D. Jona

Docket No. Peukney Sinkier Certificate of Publication BZ3356 THE DAILY RECORD Filed Sday of 192 2

## THE DAILY RECORD

#### J. Steward Davis, Solicitor, 14 E. Pleasant Street,

853

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF BALTI-MORE CITY- (B-37-1922) - Austina Pinkney vs. Rufus Pinkney.

#### ORDER OF PUBLICATION.

The bill states that the parties thereto were married in (the) Baltimore City, State of Maryland, on or about May 16, 1913, and lived together as man and wife until on or about February 20, 1918. Said marriage occurred in Baltimore City, and was performed by Rev. Mach. That the plaintiff is a resident of the City of Baltimore, State of Maryland and has been for more than two years prior to the Billing of this complaint. That the detendant is a non-resident of this city and State and when last heard of was in Pittsburgh, Pa. That, though the conduct of the plaintiff toward her husband was always kind and affectionate and above reproach. he, without any just cause or reason, abandoned and deserted her and has declared his Intentions to live with her no longer.

It is thereupon, by the Circuit Court of Raltimore City, ordered this 26th day of January 1922, that the plaintiff, by causing a copy of this order to be inserted in some daily newspaper, published in the City of Baltimore, once a week for four successive weeks, before the 27th day of Pebruary, 1922, give notice to the said defendant, Rufus Pinkney (now absent) of the object and substance of this bill and warning him to be and appear in this Court, in person or by solicitor, on or be fore the 15th day of March, 1922, to show cause, if any he may have, why a decree should not be passed as prayed.

CARROLL T. BOND. True copy—Test: CHAS. R. WHITEFORD, ja27f3,10,17 Clerk.

Baltimore, FEB 1 7 1922, 192..... We hereby certify that the annexed advertise-Libligation Circuit Court ment of Order..... of Baltimore City Case of Austina Cinkney Rufus Cinkney was published in THE DAILY RECORD, a daily newspaper published in the City of Baltimore, once in each of Frank successive weeks before the 27th. day of February, 1922 First insertion January 27th, 1922 THE DAILY /RECORD Per Shalden M. Grapslet,

37.162 1912 Docket Ct. Ct. Peulsney Penkne Decree Pro Confesso. 8 23356 No. ( Filed IS John 1919M

Unstina Sinkney

IN THE Circuit Court

tink

BALTIMORE CITY.

Term. 190 2

OF

The Defendant having been duly summoned (notified by Order of Publication) to appear to the Bill of Complaint, and having failed to appear thereto, according to the exigency of the writ, (said Order).

It is thereupon this day of day of humber in the year nineteen hundred and humber by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City, ADJUDGED, ORDERED and DECREED, that the complainant is entitled to relief in the premises, and that the bill of Complaint be and is hereby taken pro confesso against said defendant. But because it doth not certainly appear to what relief the Plaintiff is entitled, it is further *Adjudged*, and *Ordered*, that one of the Examiners of this Court, take testimony to support the allegations of the bill.

annall IL

day of

STATE OF MARYLAND,

()

BALTIMORE CITY, SCT :

I hereby certify that on this

before me, the subscriber, a Notary Public, of the State of Maryland, in and for the City aforesaid, personally appeared and made oath

1.17 1 751

in due form of law that her (his) husband (wife) the defendant in the above entitled case is not in the Military or Naval service of the United States Government, to the best of her (his) knowledge, information and belief.

As Witness my hand and Notarial Seal.

Notary Public.

3/12/14/60 Doc. 3 37 1922 In the Circuit Court, OF BALTIMORE CITY **DEPOSITIONS** augustinia Pinkney Pufis Puhry No. 2.335-63 PLAINTIEKS COSTS 600 Examiners ..... Copies. Sheriff ... 200 Stenographer .. 1000 **DEFENDANT'S COSTS** Examiners.. Copies. Sheriff ... Stenographer. ane 1923

In the Circuit Court  $\mathbf{vs}$ OF BALTIMORE CITY. a Cro and notice having been given me by the Solicitor for the ..... planne of a desire to take testimony in the same, I, A. de RUSSY SAPPINGTON, one of the Standing Examiners of the Circuit Courts of Baltimore City, under and by virtue of an order of the above named Circuit Court, passed in said cause on the -- day of September \_\_day of September\_\_in the year nineteen the y=luce\_at my office, in the City of Baltimore, in the State hundred and∠ of Maryland, and assigned the livenly - sife day of September rel = Thirty o'clock in the affler -\_\_\_\_ noon and the in the same year at\_ Mco.\_ Gog ------ in the City and State office of\_ aforesaid, Uas the time and place for such examination of witnesses in said cause; at which last mentioned time and place I attended, due notice of such meeting having been given, and proceeded in the presence of the Solicitor\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_of the \_\_\_\_\_to take the following depositions, that is to say:-

Pinkney,

8.5

v.

Pinkney.

Testimony taken at the office of Mr. Davis, Courtland Street, Baltimore, Maryland, September 26th., 1922, at 3.30 O'clock P. M.

1

AUSTINA PINKNEY, the plaintiff in this case, produced on his own behalf, having been first duly sworn, deposeth and saith as follows, that is to say:

#### BY THE EXMMINER:

1 Q. State your name residence and occupation?

A. Austina Pinkney, 322 North Stricker Street; day s' work.

2 Q. Do you know the parties to this suit?

A. , am the Plaintiff and my husband is the Defendant. BY MR. DAVIS:

1 Q. When were you married?

A. 1913 - May 16th.

2 Q. Were you married by a Minister of the Gospel?

A. Yes.

3 Q. According to a Religious Ceremony?

A. Yes.

4 Q. In Baltimore City.

A.Yes.

A. Pinkney.

5 Q.Have you been a resident of Baltimore City, State of Maryland, for at least two years prior to the filing of this suit?

A. Yes; all my life.

<sup>6</sup> Q. Are there any children as the result of this marriage?

A. No

7 Q. What was your conduct towards your husband while livingtogether ; how did you behave yourself?

A. I behaved myself as a wife should.
8 Q. State whether or not you were always a kind, affectionate and faithful wife?

A. Yes

9 Q. Are theparties to this suit living together now? A. No sir.

10 Q. Which left the other,

A. My husband left me.

11Q. When?

A. 20th., February 1918.

12 Q. Did he have any just eause or reason to abandon and desertt you at that time?

A. No sir.

12 Q. What happened at that time; what did he say and what did he do.

#### A: Pinkney

A. He did not say -- He did not do anything only he went off.

13 Q. What did he say?

A. He did not say anything.

14 Q. Did you go to him after he left youand try to get him to come back and live with you and do as a husband should?

A. Yes.

15 Q. More than once?

A. Yes.

16 Q. And eah timewhat did he say,

A. He did not say anything to me; he would not talk tome.

17 Q. Where did you see him on these occasions?

A.On the street and up at his mother's.

18 Q. And each time he refused to talk to you at all? A. Yes.

19 Q. Has his abandonment of you continueed uninterruptedly since February 2 Oth., 1918?

A. Yes.

19 Q. State whether or notsaid abandonment was deliberate and final; did he deliberately and finally abandon and desert you?

A. Yes.

A. \_\_\_\_\_\_inkney .

20 Q. State whether or not there is any reasonable expectation of a reconciliation; do you ever expect them tomake upand live together again?

Δ

A. No.

21 Q. Is he a resident or a non-resident of the State of Maryland?

A. Out of Maryland.

22 Q. Of what State is he a resident?

A. Pit<sup>t</sup>sburgh, Pennsylvania.

#### GENERAL QUESTION

Do you know or can you state any other matter or thing that may be to the benefit or advantage of the parties to this suit, or either of them, or that may be material to the subject of this, your examination, or the matters in question between the parties? If so, state the same fully and at large in your aswer.

Armen MO. Mors Austina Pinckney

BEATRICE BELL, a witness oflawful age, produced on behalf of the Plaintiff, having been first duly sworn, deposeth and saith as follows, that is to say:

BY THE EXAMINER:

1 Q. State your name residence and occupation?

A. Beatrice Bell, 322 N. Stricker Street; Baltimore, Maryland.

2 Q. How olg are you.

A. Fifteen years old.

3 Q. Do you , know the parties to this suit?

A. I am theplaintiff and my husband is the Defendant. BY MR. DAVIS:

1 Q. Are you related to the parties to this suit?

A. She is my sister.

2 Q. You are a sister to Mrs. Pinkney.

A. Yes.

3 Q. Are the parties to this suit husband and wife?

A. Yes.

4 Q. Did they live together as husband and wife and were they always known and recognized in the community in which they lived as husband and wife?

A. Yes.

5 Q. Has the Plaintiff been a resident of Baltimore City, tate of Maryland, for at least two years prior to the fiding of this suit?

A. No.

#### B. Bell.

6 Q What was her conduct towards her husband while living together; how did she behave herself?

A. the behaved herself as she should.
7 Q. State whether or not she was always a kind, affectionate and faithful wife?

A. Yes.

8 Q. Are the parties to this suit living together now?

A. No sir.

9 Q. Which left the other?

A. Helefthher.

10 Q. When?

A. February 2pth , 1918.

11 Q. Did he have any just cause or reason to abandon and desert her husband at that time?

A. No sir.

12 Q. What did he say ad what did he do?

A. we did not say anything.

13 Q. What did he do.

A. He did not do anything but walk out.

14 Q. Howlong did she continue to live in thesaame house in which her husband abandoned and deserted her after the desertion?

A. About eleven months.

15 Q. And then where shemove?

A. 112 North Gilmor Street.

#### B. Bell.

16 Q. How far was that way from the house in which her husband abandoned and deserted her?

A. Two blocks.

17 Q. Did he alway know where he could hocate his wife and communicate with her if he wanted to get her to come bak to him or if he wanted to go bakk to her.

A. Yes.

18 Q. Did he do it?

A. No sir.

19 Q. Has he provided or offered to provide a home forhis wife since he abandomed and deserted her?

A. No sir.

21 Q. Was there anything to prevent him doing so if he had wanted to.

A. No sir.

22 Q. Has he shown by his acts that he doesnot intend to live with his wife any more?

A. Yes.

23 Q. Have they lived or cohabited together as husband and wife since that time?

A. No sir.

24 Q. State whether or not his abandonment of his wife was deliberate and final?

A. Yes; it was deliberate and final.

8

D

## B. Bell.

.

25 0. Is he a resident or a non-resident of the State of Maryland?

A. He is a non-resident.

26 Q. Of what state is he a resident?

A. Pittsburgh , pennsylvania.

#### GENERAL QUESTION

•

Do you know or can you state any other matter or thing that may be to the benefit or advantage of the parties to this suit, or either of them, or that may be material to the subject of this, your examination, or the matters in question between the parties? If so, state the same fully and at large in your aswer.

A---- UD Beatrice Bille

No other witnesses being named or produced before me, I then, at the request Sault of the Solicitor\_\_\_\_\_of the\_\_\_\_\_ - - - - - - - - closed the depositions taken in said cause and now retarn them closed under my 19th - day of the hand and seal, on this\_\_\_\_\_ - - in the year of Our Lord nineteen hundred and Mr ... \_\_\_at the City of Baltimore, in the State of Maryland, (SEAL). Examiner. Exhibits with these depositions to wit: There are A

			i these depositio	110, 00 110.
Plaintiff's	Exhibit_\			
<u>`</u>		·		
Defendant's	Exhibit X			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		$\mathcal{A}$	<i>A</i> .
		add	Sepper	miner.
				-

I, A. de RUSSY SAPPINGTON, the Examiner before whom the foregoing depositions were taken, do hereby certify that I was employed in assigning a day, and taking the said depositions upon <u>two</u> days, on <u>beck</u> of which I was employed by the Plaintiff\_\_\_\_\_, and on <u>\_\_\_\_\_</u> by the Defendant\_\_\_\_\_

Examiner.

Circuit Court 37 192 2 Purkney Punkner, Order of Reference and Report B23356 No. 6 MR 192 3 Order Filed / U day of Report Filed.... ..day of ... 192

Austina Pinkney Rufres Penkney

IN THE 37

# Circuit Court

OF

BALTIMORE CITY

May Term/192-3

, Esq., Auditor and Master, to report the

This case being submitted, without argument, it is ordered by the Court, this

, 192 3 that the same be and it is hereby referred to day of ma TSIN

pleadings and the facts, and his opinion thereon.

# Report of Auditor and Master

Bill filed by wife against husband for a divorce a vinculo matrimonii for three years abandonment and to be permitted to resume her maiden name. Code Art. 16, Sed. 36.

Order of publication against defendant as a non-resident, no answer, decree pro confesso, thirty days elapsed.

Proof shows marriage, residence of plaintiff and non-residence of defendant and abandonment for three years, continuous, deliberate, final and without hope of reconciliation and that the plaintiff be permitted to resume her maiden name.

Case ready for decree.

Alor Adverton

Aun Diffy

CIRCUI	r cou	RT
B <u>37</u> 1922	No.	Docket
C. Aller and C. Aller		
Austi	na Pinkney	·
	VS.	
Rufus	Pinkney ded	
Folio	193.19	83
Decree	of Divor	re
Berree 1 B2	of Divor	
Berree B B No.		
B2		
B2	3333	5-6

The within is a proper decree to be passed in this case.

Alaf for Auditor and Master.

### **Decree of Divorce**

IN THE

Austina Pinkney	Circuit Court
	OF
VS.	BALTIMORE CITY
	hhi
Rufus Pinkney	
This cause standing ready for hearing an	nd being duly submitted, the proceedings were by the
Court read and considered.	- a.p.
It is thereupon, this	day of A. D. 1923
by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City, Adju	udged, Ordered and Decreed, that the said
Austi	na Pinkney
the above named Complainant be and She is her	reby DIVORCED A VINCULO MATRIMONII from the
Defendant, the said Rufus Pinkney,	
Defendant, the said Rufus Pinkney. And that the plaintiff	be permitted to resume her maiden name
Defendant, the said Rufus Pinkney. And that the plaintiff	be permitted to resume her maiden name
Defendant, the said Rufus Pinkney. And that the plaintiff	be permitted to resume her maiden name
Defendant, the said Rufus Pinkney. And that the plaintiff	be permitted to resume her maiden name
Defendant, the said Rufus Pinkney. And that the plaintiff	be permitted to resume her maiden name
Defendant, the said Rufus Pinkney. And that the plaintiff	be permitted to resume her maiden name
Defendant, the said Rufus Pinkney. And that the plaintiff	be permitted to resume her maiden name
Defendant, the said Rufus Pinkney, And that the plaintiff Austina Bell.	be permitted to resume her maiden name
Defendant, the said Rufus Pinkney, And that the plaintiff Austina Bell.	be permitted to resume her maiden name
Defendant, the said Rufus Pinkney, And that the plaintiff Austina Bell.	be permitted to resume her maiden name
Defendant, the said Rufus Pinkney, And that the plaintiff Austina Bell.	be permitted to resume her maiden name

H. arthur Stump

FORM 4-5M-1-1-19.