

B 749
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
1900
BALTIMORE CITY.

VIRGINIA PERSON

VS.

HOWARD PERSON

815 Rutland Ave.

BILL OF COMPLAINT.

Mr. Clerk:

Please file, etc.

Harvey J. Blum
.....
Solicitor for Complainant.

321907

17

FILED *6 Dec 1900*

Virginia Person *
vs *
Howard Person *

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALTIMORE CITY.

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Your Oratrix complaining says:

FIRST:

That on the 29th day of April, 1919, she was married to her husband, Howard Person, with whom she resided in the City of Baltimore, since the date of said marriage until December 1st, 1920.

SECOND:

That your Oratrix has been a resident of the City of Baltimore, in the State of Maryland, for more than two years immediately preceding the filing of this, her Bill of Complaint.

THIRD:

That there are no children born as issue of said marriage.

FOURTH:

That though the conduct of your Oratrix towards her husband, the said Howard Person has always been kind, chaste and above reproach, the said defendant, Howard Person has without any just cause or reason repeatedly beat, abused and ill-treated her, thereby causing her to leave him on or about the 1st day of December, 1920.

FIFTH:

That the defendant failed to support your Oratrix during the greater portion of their married life and failed to contribute toward her support.

SIXTH:

That the said defendant is employed as a riveter and earns

about forty dollars (\$40.00) per week.

SEVENTH:

That your Oratrix is in destitute circumstances and has no means of support.

To the end therefore:

(a) That your Oratrix may be divorced a mensa et thoro from the defendant, the said Howard Person.

(b) That the defendant may be required to pay unto your Oratrix a reasonable sum of money for the support of herself during the prosecution of this suit and such a sum as this Honorable Court may deem reasonable to her counsel for the purpose of prosecuting this suit and to defray the necessary costs and expenses.

(c) That the defendant may be required to pay unto your Oratrix as permanent alimony a reasonable sum of money for her support.

(d) That your Oratrix may have such other and further relief as her case may require.

May it please Your Honor to grant unto your Oratrix the write of subpoena commanding the said Howard Person to be and appear in this Honorable Court on some certain day to be named therein, either in person or by solicitor, to answer the premises and to show cause if any he may have why the relief sought should not be granted as prayed.

And as in duty bound, etc.

Harold J. Plum
.....
Solicitor for Complainant.

Virginia Person
.....
Complainant.

Baltimore City, State of Maryland, To Wit:

I hereby certify that on this *4th* day of December, 1920, before me, the subscriber, A Justice of the Peace, of the State of Maryland, in and for Baltimore City, personally appeared Virginia Person and made oath in due form of law that the matters and facts as set forth are true to the best of her knowledge and belief.

Witness my hand and seal:

Harriet J. Dun
.....(SEAL)
JUSTICE OF THE PEACE

Equity Subpoena
The State of Maryland

(Faint handwritten notes)

*415
Pro*

749
1920

Ct. Ct.

Docket No.

Person

Person
815 Rutland Ave

SUBPOENA TO ANSWER BILL OF COMPLAINT

Pro
B

No.

21907

(2)

Filed

11 Dec 1920

6

Maurice J. Shum

SOLICITOR

6

*Summoned and a copy of the Process left with
the defendant,*

(McKinley)
Thomas F. McKinley
Sheriff

12/8/20 Fees \$0.80

EQUITY SUBPOENA

The State of Maryland

To

Howard Person

of Baltimore City, Greeting:

WE COMMAND AND ENJOIN YOU, that all excuses set aside, you be in your person before the Circuit Court of Baltimore City, at the Court House in said City, on the second Monday of *December* 1920, to answer the complaint of

Virginia Person

against you in said Court exhibited.

HEREOF fail not, as you will answer the contrary at your peril:

WITNESS, the honorable MORRIS A. SOPER, Chief Judge of the Supreme Bench of Baltimore City, the *8* day of *November* 1920

Issued the *6* day of *December* in the year 1920

Chas R. Whiteford Clerk.

Notice to the person summoned:

"Personal attendance in Court on the day named in the above writ is not required; but unless within fifteen (15) days after the return day, legal defense is made in the above mentioned suit a Judgment by default may be entered against you."

B Docket 749
1920

CIRCUIT COURT

Person

vs.

Person

815 Cullanday

Order For Alimony

Pendente Lite

ORDER

B No. 321907

(5)

\$40 a
month of Blum

Fd.: 7 Dec 1920

6

Copy of the within Order of Court served on Howard
Person, on the 8th day of December 1920, in presence
of Michael H McKinley,

Thomas F. McNulty
Sheriff

Recd \$0.50

Virginia Person

vs.

Howard Person

IN THE
CIRCUIT COURT

OF

BALTIMORE CITY

Nov TERM, 1920

ORDERED BY THE COURT this 7th day of November 1920

that the defendant

Howard Person

pay to the plaintiff

Virginia Person

the sum of

Twelve

Dollars per week, during the continuance of this suit, accounting from the

day of

December 1920

to the said plaintiff

Virginia Person

as alimony *pendente lite* unless cause to the contrary be shown on or before the

day of

December 1920

provided a copy of this Order be served on the said defendant

Howard Person

on or before the

December 1920

15

Robert F. Stanton

TRUE COPY—TEST:

CLERK

In the Circuit
Court of Paltz
more City ^{B 2149}
1920

Virginia Person
vs
Howard Person

Petition and order
to file Supplemental
Bill.

W. Clerk,
Please

file, etc.

W. Person
Sol. for Petitioner

B 2190
4

FILED 2 July 1921

Virginia Person

Vs.

Howard Person

*
*
*

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
BALTIMORE CITY.

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

The petitioner of Virginia Person in the above entitled cause respectfully shows:

1- That heretofore, to wit, on or about the 6th day of December, 1920, she filed in this Honorable Court a Bill of Complaint asking for a divorce a mensa et thoro.

2- That since filing said Bill of Complaint, your petitioner has obtained knowledge of certain facts that she believes entitles her to a divorce a Vinculo Matrimonii.

3- That your petitioner is desirous of filing a Supplemental Bill for a divorce a vinculo matrimonii.

To the end therefore.

That this Honorable Court may pass an order authorizing your petitioner to file a bill for divorce a vinculo matrimonii in the above entitled cause.

And as in duty bound, etc.

Howard Person
.....
Solicitor for Petitioner

Virginia Person
Petitioner.

State of Maryland, Baltimore City, to wit:

I hereby certify that on this *1st* day of *February* 1921, before me, the subscriber, a Justice of the Peace, of the State of Maryland, in and for Baltimore City, personally appeared Virginia Person and made oath in due form of law that the matters and facts above set forth are true to the best of her knowledge and belief.

Witness my hand and seal:

Howard Person
..... (SEAL)

JUSTICE OF THE PEACE:

On the forgoing petition and affidavit it is this 20
day of February 1921, ordered by the Circuit Court
of Baltimore City that the said Virginia Person may file a
Supplemental Bill for divorce a vinculo matrimonii in the above
entitled cause, ~~provided a copy of said mentioned petition and
order is served upon the above mentioned defendant or his
solicitor.~~

Charles H. Hester

749
B 6920
In the Circuit
Court of Balto-
more City.

Virginia Person
vs
Howard Person

Supplemental Bill

Mr. Clerk.

Please

file, etc.

Harold J. Plum
Sol. for Complainant

B 21906
(5)

FILED

2 July 1931

Virginia Person *
Vs. * IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF
Howard Person * BALTIMORE CITY.

SUPPLEMENTAL BILL:

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Your Oratrix complaining respectfully says:

1- That heretofore, to wit, on or about the 29th day of April, 1919, she was married to the defendant, the said Howard Person in Baltimore City by an ordained Baptist Minister of the Gospel, with whom she resided until the 1st day of December, 1920.

2- That she has been a resident of the City of Baltimore, State of Maryland for more than two years previous to the filing of this, her Bill of Complaint.

3- That although your Oratrix was always a kind, affectionate and faithful wife toward the said defendant, he treated her with great brutality and cruelty.

4- That the said defendant has been guilty of the crime of adultery with divers lewd and abandoned women of Baltimore City, and since discovering said adulterious acts, your Oratrix has not lived with the said defendant nor condoned the said acts.

5- That there are no children born as issue of said marriage.

To the end therefore:

(A) That your Oratrix may be divorced a vinculo matrimonii from the said defendant,

(b) That she may be permitted to resume her maiden name Virginia Moore.

(c) That she may have such other and further relief as the nature of her case may require.

May it please Your Honor to grant unto your Oratrix the writ of subpoena commanding the said Howard Person to be and appear in this Honorable Court on some certain day to be named therein, either in person or by solicitor, to show cause if any he may have why the relief sought should not be granted as prayed.

And as in duty bound, etc.

Nathaniel J. Blum
.....
Solicitor for Complainant.

Virginia Person
Complainant.

STATE OF MARYLAND, BALTIMORE CITY, To Wit:

I hereby certify that on this *1st* day of *February* 1921, before me, the subscriber, a Justice of the Peace, personally appeared Virginia Person and made oath in due form of law that the matters and facts as set forth are true to the best of her knowledge and belief.

Witness my hand and seal:

Nathaniel J. Blum
..... (SEAL)
JUSTICE OF THE PEACE:



483

749 B60

Ct. Ct.

192 0

Docket No.

Person

Person

SUBPOENA TO ANSWER BILL OF COMPLAINT

Pro

No.

21907

(6)

Filed

14 July 1921

Maurice J. Dunne

SOLICITOR

B

Thomas J. McNulty
Sheriff

Non Est

EQUITY SUBPOENA

The State of Maryland

To

Howard Person

of Baltimore City, Greeting:

WE COMMAND AND ENJOIN YOU, That all excuses set aside, you do within the time limited by law beginning on the second Monday of *February* next cause an appearance to be entered for you and your answer to be filed to the complaint of *Supplemental Dec of*

Virginia Person

against you exhibited in the Circuit Court of Baltimore City,

HEREOF fail not, as you will answer the contrary at your peril:

WITNESS, the Honorable MORRIS A. SOPER, Chief Judge of the Supreme Bench of Baltimore City, the *10* day of *January* 192*1*

Issued the *2,* day of *February* in the year 192*1*

Chas R Whiteford

Clerk.

MEMORANDUM: You are required to file your answer or other defense in the Clerk's Office, room 206, in the Court House, Baltimore City, within fifteen days after return day.

(General Equity Rules 11.)

Ct. Ct.

192

Docket No.

SUBPOENA TO ANSWER BILL OF COMPLAINT

No.

Filed.....192

SOLICITOR

EQUITY SUBPOENA

The State of Maryland

To

Howard Person



of Baltimore City, Greeting:

WE COMMAND AND ENJOIN YOU, That all excuses set aside, you do within the time limited by law beginning on the second Monday of *February* next cause an appearance to be entered for you and your answer to be filed to the complaint of *Supplemental proof*

Virginia Person

against you exhibited in the Circuit Court of Baltimore City,

HEREOF fail not, as you will answer the contrary at your peril:

WITNESS, the Honorable MORRIS A. SOPER, Chief Judge of the Supreme Bench of Baltimore City, the *10* day of *January* 192*1*

Issued the *2* day of *February* in the year 192*1*

Richard Whiteford

Clerk.

MEMORANDUM: You are required to file your answer or other defense in the Clerk's Office, room 206, in the Court House, Baltimore City, within fifteen days after return day.

(General Equity Rules 11.)

In The Circuit Court
of Baltimore City
749

Virginia Person
vs

Howard Person
749

Answer

Mr Clerk please file

(Howard)
Jans

B 21907

7

DAVIS & BISHOP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
108 E. LEXINGTON STREET
BALTIMORE, MD.

fd 8 July 1921

Virginia Person :: In The Circuit Court of
 Vs. ::
 Howard Person :: Baltimore City.

 To The Honorable, The Judge of Said Court:

Your Orator complaining respectfully says,

FIRST, The answer of your respondent to the
 amended bill of complaint exhibited is as follows:

SECOND: Your orator admits the first, second
 and fifth paragraphs of the said bill of complaint.

THIRD, That your orator denies the third and
 fourth paragraphs of the said Bill of complaint and insists on
 the strictest legal proof thereon.



Attorney For Complainant.

506

749
1920

Bloo

Ct. Ct.

Docket No.

Person

Person

815 Rutland ave

SUBPOENA TO ANSWER BILL OF COMPLAINT

Pro

No.

B

21907

8

Filed

5 March 1921

Maurice J. Bloom

SOLICITOR

6

Summored and a copy of the Process left with the
defendant,

(McKinley)

or

Sherriff #0.80

Thomas J. McKinley
Sherriff

3/2/21

EQUITY SUBPOENA

The State of Maryland

To

Howard Person

of Baltimore City, Greeting:

WE COMMAND AND ENJOIN YOU, That all excuses set aside, you do within the time limited by law beginning on the second Monday of *March* ^{next} cause an appearance to be entered for you and your answer to be *supplemental Bill of* filed to the complaint of

Virginia Person

against you exhibited in the Circuit Court of Baltimore City,

HEREOF fail not, as you will answer the contrary at your peril:

WITNESS, the Honorable MORRIS A. SOPER, Chief Judge of the Supreme Bench of Baltimore City, the *10* day of *January* 192*1*

Issued the *18* day of *February*, in the year 192*1*

Edward R. Whiteford

Clerk.

MEMORANDUM: You are required to file your answer or other defense in the Clerk's Office, room 206, in the Court House, Baltimore City, within fifteen days after return day.

(General Equity Rules 11.)

CIRCUIT COURT

749 Bleo
19 20

Docket No.

Virginia Person
vs.

Howard Person

Order

19

749

Petition for leave to take
Testimony and Order
of Court thereon.

B 21907

No.

Sept <9>

Fd 7 March 19 21

Virginia Person

vs.

Howard Person

IN THE
Circuit Court
OF
BALTIMORE CITY

To the Honorable the Judge of the
Circuit Court of Baltimore City:

THE PETITION OF *Virginia Person*

in this case, respectfully shows that *she* desire *s* to take testimony in this case, and respectfully pray *s* that leave be granted to do so before one of the Standing Examiners of this Court.

Harriet J. Kim
Solicitor for *Complainant*

ORDERED, this *4th* day of *March* 19*21*, that leave be granted to the parties to the cause, to take testimony, as prayed, before any one of the Standing Examiners of this Court.

W. H. H. H.

3/12/1915

Doc. 73 ⁷⁴⁹/₁₉₂₀

3/12/1915

**In the Circuit Court,
OF BALTIMORE CITY**

DEPOSITIONS

Virginia Person

vs.

Howard Person

No. 2190713

PLAINTIFF'S COSTS

Examiners \$
Copies
Sheriff
Stenographer
\$ _____

DEFENDANT'S COSTS

Examiners \$
Copies
Sheriff
Stenographer

pd 2 apul \$ 79.21

Virginia Person

vs.

Howard Person

In the Circuit Court

OF BALTIMORE CITY.

The above cause being at issue

and notice having been given me by the Solicitor for the *plaintiff* of a desire to take testimony in the same, I, A. de RUSSY SAPPINGTON, one of the Standing Examiners of the Circuit Courts of Baltimore City, under and by virtue of an order of the above named Circuit Court, passed in said cause on the *seventh* day of *March* 19*21*, met on the *seventh* day of *March* in the year nineteen hundred and *twenty-one* at my office, in the City of Baltimore, in the State of Maryland, and assigned the *seventh* day of *March*, in the same year at *three* o'clock in the *after* noon and the office of *Maurice J. Blum, Esq.* in the City and State aforesaid, as the time and place for such examination of witnesses in said cause; at which last mentioned time and place I attended, due notice of such meeting having been given, and proceeded in the presence of the Solicitor of the *plaintiff* to take the following depositions, that is to say:—

8-2

1

Person,

v.

Person.

Testimony taken at the office of Maurice Blum, Esq., Equitable Building, Baltimore, Maryland, March 7th., 1921, at 3 O'clock P. M.

VIRGINIA PERSON, the Plaintiff in this case, produced on her own behalf, having been first duly sworn, deposeth and saith as follows, that is to say:

BY THE EXAMINER:

1 Q. State your name residence and occupation?

A. Virginia Person, 1517 East Fayette Street; factory work.

2 Q. Do you know the parties to this suit?

A. I am the Plaintiff and my husband is the Defendant.

BY MR. BLUM:

1 Q. Kindly state when, where and by whom you were married?

A. April 29th., 1919.

2 Q. By whom were you married?

A. By the Reverend Mr. Williams.

3 Q. Was the Reverend Mr. Williams a Regularly Ordained Minister of the Gospel?

A. yes.

Virginia Persons.

4 Q. Have you been a resident of Baltimore City, State of Maryland, for at least two years prior to the filing of this suit?

A. Yes; about two and a half years.

5 Q. Are there any children as the result of this marriage?

A. No sir.

6 Q. What was your conduct towards your husband while living together; how did you behave yourself?

A. All right.

7 Q. State whether or not you were always a kind, affectionate and faithful wife?

A. Yes; I was always kind and faithful.

8 Q. Are the parties to this suit living together now?

A. No sir.

9 Q. Which left the other?

A. He left me.

10 Q. When?

A. December 3rd., - at least he made me leave.

10 Q. What year?

A. 1920.

11 Q. You charge your husband with adultery; what can you tell us about that of your own personal knowledge.

Virginia Persons.

A. Well, I can tell you about it,- All that I can tell you about it is that he received a letter from this woman, and I read the letter, and he said that he did not want to live together with me any more and he put me out.

1280 Q. Did he or not confess his adulteries to you with this woman?

A. No sir; he did not confess to me, but I saw him with her; I saw him at this woman's house.

13 Q. You do not know anything other than what other people have told you?

A. No sir; that is all.

20 Q. Have you lived or cohabited with him since you discovered this adultery?

A. No sir.

15 Q. Have you lived or cohabited with him since December 3rd., 1920 when he put you out of the house?

A. No sir.

GENERAL QUESTION

Do you know or can you state any other matter or thing that may be to the benefit or advantage of the parties to this suit, or either of them, or that may be material to the subject of this, your examination, or the matters in question between the parties? If so, state the same fully and at large in your answer.

A.---

Virginia Pearson

SAMUEL DOCKERY, a witness of lawful age, produced on behalf of the Plaintiff, having been first duly sworn, deposeseth and saith as follows, that is to say:

BY THE EXAMINER:

1 Q. State your name residence and occupation?

A. Samuel Dockery, 815 Rutland Avenue; ship yard.

2 Q. Do you know the parties to this suit?

A. Yes.

BY MR. BLUM:

1 Q. Are they husband and wife?

A. yes.

2 Q. How long have you known the parties to this suit?

A. Ever since they were married.

2 Q. Did they live together as husband and wife and were they always known and recognized in the community in which they lived as husband and wife?

A. Yes, as far as I know.

4 Q. Was the Plaintiff been a resident of Baltimore City, State of Maryland, for the last two years prior to the filing of this suit?

A. Yes.

5 Q. Are there any children as the result of this marriage?

Samuel Dockery.

a A. No sir.

6 Q. What was her conduct towards her husband while living together?

A. Very good.

7 Q. State whether or not she was always a kind, affectionate and faithful wife?

A. Yes, as far as I know.

8 Q. Are the parties to this suit living together now?

A. No sir.

9 Q. Which left the other.

A. She left,- he never left; she claims that he put her out, but I do not know anything about that, because I was not there that night.

10 Q. No matter which left the other, you know that they are separated?

A. Yes.

11 Q. When did they separate?

A. December 1920.

12 Q. The early part of December 1920?

A. Yes.

13 Q. Mrs. person has testified that it was December 3rd., 19120, and that her husband put her out of the house; do you know that to be a fact.

A. I know they separated at that time.

Samuel Dockery.

14 Q. She has charged her husband with adultery; do you know anything personally of that.

A. Yes; since they have been separated, I know that he has been.

15 Q. What do you know of his adulteries since he has been separated.

A. He and I had a couple of girls in a machine riding around, and we stopped by the road a little while with these girls.

16 Q. When was this?

A. Below Sparrows Point.

17 Q. In a house of where.

A. No sir; along side of a road.

18 Q. Do you mean to state that the defendant in this case committed adultery with a woman not Mrs. Person?

A. Yes.

18 Q. How do you know that?

A. Because I saw him.

20 Q. You saw him in the act?

A. Yes.

21 Q. Did you have sexual intercourse with the girl that you were with?

A. Yes.

Samuel Dockery.

22 Q. You were both out for the same purpose.

A. Yes.

23 Q. Are there any other instances?

A. Between the 18th., and the 20th of the month again.

24 Q. What month was that?

A. January 1921.

25 Q. Tell about that.

A. That was the same thing; we were out in the machine again.

26 Q. Where did this adultery take place?

A. Out on some pike in the Country; some place; I do not know the road.

27 Q. How do you know that he committed adultery on that occasion; did you see them.

A. I saw them because we were all together.

28 Q. And did you have intercourse with the girl that you were with on this occasion?

A. Yes.

29 Q. On both of these instances was the woman with whom he had intercourse, his wife?

A. No sir.

Samuel Dockery.

30 Q. When did the first adultery take place.

A. Between the 15th., and the 20th., of December 1920.

31 Q. And the second time was between the 18th., and the 20th., January 1921?

A. Yes.

32 Q. Has she lived or cohabited with her husband since she discovered this adultery?

A. No sir.

33 Q. Has she lived or cohabited with his wife since December 3rd., 1920?

A. No sir.

34 Q. Has she forgiven or condoned his offense in any way?

A. No sir; not that I know of.

35 Q. Where did these adulteries take place; I mean in what City and State.

A. Baltimore, Maryland, the second time, and the first time was in Baltimore County.

GENERAL QUESTION

Do you know or can you state any other matter or thing that may be to the benefit or advantage of the parties to this suit, or either of them, or that may be material to the subject of this, your examination, or the matters in question between the parties? If so, state the same fully and at large in your answer.

A.---

W. S. Jackson

CARRIE STROTHER, a witness of lawful age, produced on behalf of the Plaintiff, having been first duly sworn, deposeseth and saith as follows, that is to say:

BY THE EXAMINER:

1 Q. State your name residence and occupation?

A. Carrie Strother, 428 Mosher Street; laundress?

2 Q. Do you know the parties to this suit?

A. Yes.

BY MR. BLUM:

1 Q. How long have you known them.

A. Well, I have been knowing him quite a long while, and I have been knowing her since September 1920.

2 Q. Do you know that they are husband and wife and that they lived together as husband and wife?

A. Yes.

3 Q. Is she a bona fide resident of Baltimore City, State of Maryland; that is, I mean to say, is she a resident of Baltimore City, State of Maryland; is this her home here in Baltimorea

A. This is her home here iⁱⁿ Baltimore.

4 Q. And this is her real bona fide resident.

A. Yes.

5 Q. She has no children of this marriage?

A. No sir.

5 Q. What sort of a woman is Mrs. Person.

Carrie Strother.

A. She has seemed to be a very good woman since I have known her; I have visited her often.

6 Q. You do not know anything of the adulteries, do you

A. No sir; only what I have heard.

7 Q. Do you know that Mr. and Mrs. Person have been separated since about the 3rd., December 1920?

A. Yes.

8Q. Has she ever lived or cohabited with her husband since she discovered his adulteries?

A. Nop she has not.

GENERAL QUESTION

Do you know or can you state any other matter or thing that may be to the benefit or advantage of the parties to this suit, or either of them, or that may be material to the subject of this, your examination, or the matters in question between the parties? If ~~so~~, state the same fully and at large in your answer.

A.---

W. L. Carnie Strother

No other witnesses being named or produced before me, I then, at the request of the Solicitor.....of the plaintiff.....closed the depositions taken in said cause and now return them closed under my hand and seal, on this 3rd 7th day of April in the year of Our Lord nineteen hundred and twenty-one at the City of Baltimore, in the State of Maryland.

A. de Russey Sappington (SEAL).
Examiner.

There are no.....Exhibits with these depositions, to wit:

Plaintiff's.....Exhibit \.....

Defendant's.....Exhibit \.....

A. de Russey Sappington
Examiner.

I, A. de RUSSY SAPPINGTON, the Examiner before whom the foregoing depositions were taken, do hereby certify that I was employed in assigning a day, and taking the said depositions upon two days, on each of which I was employed by the Plaintiff....., and on none by the Defendant.....

A. de Russey Sappington
Examiner.

749 ^{Ret} Circuit Court

19 20 Docket No. 749

Virginia Person
vs.

Howard Person

SUBMISSION FOR DECREE.

Mr. Clerk,

Please file,

Harvey J. Dunn
Solicitor for Plaintiff.

B 2 1907
No. 4

Filed 2 April 1921

Virginia Person
vs.
Howard Person

*In the Circuit Court
of Baltimore City*

_____ TERM 19____

To the Honorable

Judge of Said Court:

The above cause is respectfully submitted for
decree and the 43rd General Equity Rule is hereby waived.

Harriet J. Blum

Solicitor for Plaintiff,

(Blum)

Solicitor for Defendant.

Circuit Court

749

19 20

Docket No.

Person

vs.

Person

Order of Reference
and Report

Robertson

B 21907

No.

12



Order Filed *2* day of *April* 19

Report Filed.....day of.....19

Virginia Person

vs.

Howard Person

IN THE
Circuit Court

OF

BALTIMORE CITY

March

Term, 19 21

5th

This case being submitted, without argument, it is ordered by the Court, this day of April, 1921, that the same be and it is hereby referred to Alex H Robertson, Esq., Auditor and Master, to report the pleadings and the facts, and his opinion thereon.

M. W. H. H. H.

Report of Auditor and Master

Supplemental bill filed by wife against husband for divorce. A Vinculo Matrimonii for adultery of defendant. Code Article 16, Section 36.

Defendant summoned and answers. Proof shows marriage, residence and adultery of defendant. The plaintiff to be permitted to resume her maiden name. Case submitted and ready for decree.

Alex H Robertson

Auditor and Master.

✓
CIRCUIT COURT

B 749
1920 No. Docket

Virginia Person

VS.

Howard Person

Recorded

Folio 192

Decree of Divorce

B 21907

B No.

13

Oct 8 April 1921

The within is a proper decree to be passed in this case.

Alex. Robertson
Auditor and Master.

Decree of Divorce

IN THE

Circuit Court

OF

BALTIMORE CITY

Virginia Person

VS.

Howard Person

March

Term, 1921.

This cause standing ready for hearing and being duly submitted, the proceedings were by the Court read and considered.

It is thereupon, this *Eighth* day of April, A. D. 1921.

by the Circuit Court of Baltimore City, Adjudged, Ordered and Decreed, that the said

Virginia Person

the above named Complainant be ands he is hereby DIVORCED A VINCULO MATRIMONII from the Defendant, Howard Person; and that the plaintiff be permitted to resume her maiden name, Virginia Moore.

And it is further Ordered, That the said defendant pay the cost of this proceeding.

Edward H. Fisher