

B 26
1919

IN THE CIRCUIT OF BALTIMORE

CITY

BLANCHE MONROE

VS.

SAMUEL MONROE.

BILL FOR DIVORCE A MENSA

ET THORO.

B19286
(1)

J. Stewart Davis
Attorney

118 E. Lexington St.
A 23 April 1919

BLANCHE MONROE)
VS.)
SAMUEL MONROE)

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT
OF
BALTIMORE CITY.

TO the Honorable, the Judge of said Court:

Your Oratrix complaining respectively says

FIRST, That the parties hereto were married in Baltimore City, State of Maryland on or about the 21st day of Jan. 1911, and lived together as man and wife until on or about Dec. 9, 1918

SECOND, That your Oratrix has ever been a good and faithful, chaste, affectionate, and true wife to the said defendant.

THIRD, That though the conduct of your Oratrix towards her husband has always been kind and above reproach in all respects he without just cause or reason has been excessively cruel in his treatment towards her and excessively vicious in his conduct, having several times beat her with straps and a bundle of switches. On other occasions he has threatened her life with a gun and infrequently in public he has called your Oratrix vile names, and that the said acts were committed without her procurement, connivance or consent, that she has neither lived with her husband or condoned the offense since the date of separation and that there is no reasonable hope or expectation of reconciliation.

FOURTH, That Your Oratrix is a resident of the City of Baltimore, State of Maryland and has been for more than two years prior to the filing of this bill.

FIFTH, That there are no children as the result of said marriage.

SIXTH, That Your Oratrix is without means to defray the expenses of this litigation and that the defendant is a man of means engaged in as a crane operator at Sparrows Point earning at least One Hundred and Twenty Five Dollars a month and that she is advised by counsel that she is entitled to have an order passed by this honorable Court awarding her a certain sum as alimony during the progress of this suit and a further sum to compensate her solicitor for services in the same.

Return this

261359

Cl. Cl.

1919

No.

Docket.

Monroe

vs

Monroe

Subpoena to Answer Bill of Complaint

815 ISA

B

No.

19286

(2)

Served April 28/19

7-15 am

Filed

J. Stewart Davis

1919

Solicitor

Summoned April 28th 1919 and copy left with
Hui
Abram F. Sheets
Sheriff

EQUITY SUBPENA

The State of Maryland

To

Samuel Monroe Sd

Of Baltimore County, Greeting:

WE COMMAND AND ENJOIN YOU, that all excuses set aside, you be in your person before the Circuit Court of Baltimore City, at the Court House in said city, on the Second Monday of May 19 19 to answer the complaint of

Blanche Monroe

against you in said Court exhibited.

HEREOF fail not, as you will answer the contrary at your peril.

Witness the Honorable MORRIS A. SOPER, Chief Judge of the Supreme Bench of Baltimore City,

the 10 day of March 19 19

Issued the 23 day of April in the year 19 19
Chas R Whitford

Clerk

Within Order of Court made return to Samuel Monroe
by reading said to him, and copy left with him this
28th day of April 1919. Alraw J. Street
Sheriff

Return this
59 B Docket 26 ✓
1919

CIRCUIT COURT.

Monroe

vs.

Monroe

Order For Alimony

Pendente Lite.

ORDER

B No. 19 286

#125 a Mont (3)
children served
April 28/19
7-15 am
815 I 96

Fd.: 23 April 1919

Blanche Monroe

vs.

Samuel Monroe ^{Sd}

IN THE
CIRCUIT COURT

OF

BALTIMORE CITY

March

TERM, 1919

ORDERED BY THE COURT this

23 day of April 1919

that the defendant

Samuel Monroe

pay to the plaintiff

Blanche Monroe

the sum of

eight

Dollars per week, during the continuance of this suit, accounting from the

23

day of

April

1919

to the said plaintiff

Blanche Monroe

as alimony, *pendente lite* unless cause to the contrary be shown on or before the

7

day of

May

1919

, provided a copy of this Order be served on the said defendant

Samuel Monroe

on or before the

30

day of

April

1919

James P. Gorter

TRUE COPY—TEST:

CLERK.

In Card of
A B 261
Baets 27/1919

Blanche Monros

vs

Saml. Monros

Etiquette for annual

Mr. C. H. Pease etc

B 19286

(5)

J. Stewart Davis

118 E. Lexington St.

At 21 May 1919

Blanche Monroe

Vs.

Samuel Monroe


)
)
)
)
)

I
In The Circuit Court

of

Baltimore City.

Mr. Clerk please enter the above bill dismissed with costs
on the Plaintiff



Solicitor for the Plaintiff.

3/22/19

In the *P 261*
Circuit Court of
Baltimore City. *1919*

BLANCHE MONROE
VS.
SAMUEL MONROE.

ANSWER.

Mr. Clerk,--
Please file, etc.

B 19286
W. H. Lawrence
Solicitor for Defendant.

LAW OFFICES
WILLIAM H. LAWRENCE
812 THE LAW BUILDING
BALTIMORE, MARYLAND.
W. H. Lawrence

BLANCHE MONROE

VS

SAMUEL MONROE

)
(
)
(
)
(
)

IN THE
CIRCUIT COURT
OF
BALTIMORE CITY.

TO THE HONORABLE, THE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

The Answer of Samuel Monroe to the Order of Court allowing alimony pendente lite respectfully represents unto your Honor:-

(1) That he is employed at Sparrows Point and earns Thirty (\$30.00) dollars per week provided he makes full time, that your petitioner, Blanche Monroe, conducts a boarding house in the City of Baltimore and has a weekly earning as large as your respondent, that after paying for his board, incidental ~~of~~ expenses and debts that are due it nearly consumes his entire earnings.

Therefore your respondent is unable to comply with the Order of this Honorable Court and prays the same be rescinded.

As in duty bound &c.

Sam Monroe

John Lawrence

Not. for Dist

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public of the State of Maryland, this 3rd day of *May*, in the year 1919.

Louise C. Weisbrod
Notary Public.